**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Parvinder Singh S/o Sh. Swaran Singh**

Village Bishanpur, PO & Teh. Mukerian,

District Hoshiarpur 144211. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal, Govt. I.T.I.

Hoshiarpur 146001.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Technical Education

and Industrial Training, Punjab

Plot No. 1, Udyan Path,

Sector 36 A, Chandigarh 160036. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 52 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 22.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent is absent for today’s hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id [iti\_hsp2006@yahoo.com](mailto:iti_hsp2006@yahoo.com) of respondent on 18.05.2018 mentioning there in that requisite information has been provided to the appellant along with attachment of letter of satisfaction regarding RTI application and requesting therein to close this case from the appellant, which is taken on record.
2. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission via diary no. 10215 dated 16.05.2018 mentioning that requisite information has been received by him and he is satisfied with it and also requesting therein to close this case.
3. After going through the documents placed on record it is found that respondent has supplied the information sought for to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied with it and requested the Commission to close this case, hence no further action is required in this case and therefore this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Surjit Singh (Whistle Blower)**

H. no. 2990/76, Street No.4,

Guru Gobind Singh Nagar,

Near Mair di Chowki, PO Daba,

Ludhiana (99880-13004). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 95 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 27.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Amarjeet Singh the representative of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sanjay Tandon, SDO (8146040129).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Amarjeet Singh the representative of the appellant states no information has been provided till date.
2. Sh. Sanjay Tandon (SDO), the respondent states that inquiry is pending regarding the information sought for by the appellant and it has been already given in written to the appellant.
3. After hearing the parties and going through the documents placed on record it is found that respondent has supplied the information sought for and/or filed a written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, hence no further action is required in this case and therefore this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Surjit Singh (Whistle Blower)**

H. no. 2990/76, Street No.4,

Guru Gobind Singh Nagar,

Near Mair di Chowki, PO Daba,

Ludhiana (99880-13004). Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 96 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 27.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Amarjeet Singh the representative of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sanjay Tandon, SDO (8146040129).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Amarjeet Singh the representative of the appellant states no information has been provided till date.
2. Sh. Sanjay Tandon (SDO), the respondent states that inquiry is pending regarding the information sought for by the appellant and it has been already given in written to the appellant.
3. After hearing the parties and going through the documents placed on record it is found that respondent has supplied the information sought for and/or filed a written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant, hence no further action is required in this case and therefore this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Arun Kumar (98148-95660)**

S/o Sh. Ramesh Chander Dutta

Ward No. 8, Near Durga Mandir,

Balachaur, (SBS Nagar) -144521. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Pb.

Plot No.3, Punjab Municipal Bhawan

Sector 35 A, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 111 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 27.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Munish Kumar, Clerk (95695-95691).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Munish Kumar, Clerk states that written reply has already been provided to the appellant stating therein that requisite information cannot be provided as it is a third party information.
2. The appellant states is absent continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he files any reply in this regard. He was also absent on previous hearing dated 16.04.2018.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record it is found that the copy of information sought for and/or filed a written reply satisfying the RTI application filed by the appellant stating therein that requisite information cannot be provided as it is a third party information attached with previous order dated 16.04.2018 and was send to the appellant through registered post by the Commission and the appellant has not pointed out deficiencies and/or filed any reply in this regard but rather he continuously absent for hearing’s without any intimation to the Commission as said in Para 1 & 2 of this order, hence no further action is required in this case and therefore this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (Press Reporter)**

S/o L. Sh. Baldev Singh

Village Gudha Khurd, (89680-84100)

PO Madhopur Cantt. 145024. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division No. 1, Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 77 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE (98780-11366) along with Sh. Gurmeet Singh, JE (83601-90041).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Gurmeet Singh, JE states that address of the applicant is not correct in their office and also presented the copy of receipt of registered post of reply sent to the appellant which returned back to their office before the Commission. This receipt is taken on record. He further added that the documentation fee was demanded from the appellant in the said reply
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that the ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** is missing from the address mention on registered post in Para 1 of this order, that is why the letter didn’t reached at their address.
3. On this, Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** was missing in address mentioned in RTI application received in their office.
4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant updated the respondent with correct address during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 77 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (Press Reporter)**

S/o L. Sh. Baldev Singh

Village Gudha Khurd, (89680-84100)

PO Madhopur Cantt. 145024. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division Bassi Pathana,

District Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 80 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE (98780-11366) along with Sh. Lakhbir Singh, Clerk (7696904911).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Lakhbir Singh, Clerk states that address of the applicant is not correct in their office and also presented the copy of receipt of registered post of reply sent to the appellant which returned back to their office before the Commission. He further added that third party information was requisite in RTI application so it cannot be provided.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that the ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** is missing from the address mention on registered post in Para 1 of this order, that is why the letter didn’t reached at their address.
3. On this, Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** was missing in address mentioned in RTI application received in their office.
4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant updated the respondent with correct address during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information is not a third party information and the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 80 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (Press Reporter)**

S/o L. Sh. Baldev Singh

Village Gudha Khurd, (89680-84100)

PO Madhopur Cantt. 145024. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, W/S & Sanitation,

Division Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 115 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE (98780-11366) along with Gurmeet Singh, JE (83601-90041).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Gurmeet Singh, JE states that address of the applicant is not correct in their office and also presented the copy of receipt of registered post of reply sent to the appellant which returned back to their office before the Commission. He further submitted the copy of reply in which the documentation fee of Rs/- 200 was demanded from the appellant via their letter no. 4297 dated 14.09.2017 in the said reply. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that the ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** is missing from the address mention on registered post in Para 1 of this order, that is why the letter didn’t reached at their address.
3. On this, Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** was missing in address mentioned in RTI application received in their office.
4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant updated the respondent with correct address during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 115 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (Press Reporter)**

S/o L. Sh. Baldev Singh

Village Gudha Khurd, (89680-84100)

PO Madhopur Cantt. 145024 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub-Division No.2, Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 116 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE (98780-11366).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that address of the applicant is not correct in their office and also presented the copy of receipt of registered post of reply sent to the appellant which returned back to their office before the Commission. This receipt is taken on record. He further submitted the copy of reply in which the documentation fee of Rs/- 5000 was demanded from the appellant via their letter no. 1098 dated 15.09.2017 in the said reply. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that the ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** is missing from the address mention on registered post in Para 1 of this order, that is why the letter didn’t reached at their address.
3. On this, Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** was missing in address mentioned in RTI application received in their office.
4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant updated the respondent with correct address during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 116 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (Press Reporter)**

S/o L. Sh. Baldev Singh

Village Gudha Khurd, (89680-84100)

PO Madhopur Cantt. 145024. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub-Division Amloh,

District Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 117 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE (98780-11366).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that address of the applicant is not correct in their office and also presented the copy of receipt of registered post of reply sent to the appellant which returned back to their office before the Commission. This receipt is taken on record. He further submitted the another copy of reply in which the documentation fee of Rs/- 7000 was demanded from the appellant via their letter no. 661 dated 06.11.2017 in the said reply. This reply is taken on record.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that the ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** is missing from the address mention on registered post in Para 1 of this order, that is why the letter didn’t reached at their address.
3. On this, Sh. Mohinder Singh, SDE states that ‘**Village Gudha Khurd’** was missing in address mentioned in RTI application received in their office.
4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant updated the respondent with correct address during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The appellant is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 117 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan**

S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,

President of Anti Corruption Council,

Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market,

Mission Road, Pathakot. (98143-54649) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o SDO, W/S & Sanitation,

Sub Division Khaddur Sahib,

District Tarn Taran.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, W/S & Sanitation,

Circle Amritsar. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 119 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sandeep Sharma on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh, clerk (81960-55200).

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Gurdeep Singh, clerk states and submits a letter signed by SE, W/S and Sanitation, Circle Amritsar along with supporting documents mentioning therein that the appellant has filed First appeal to their office with reference of letter no. 17036 dated 01.01.2016 of SIC, Punjab office (Appeal case no. 4100 of 2016 Disposed of by Ld. SIC Ms. Vinay Kapoor Mehra on 19.07.2016) and not the actual reference letter filed to 19446 dated 01.10.2017 of SIC, Punjab office related to RTI application of this case which in turn misleading the instant appeal case. On these merits the case was disposed off at First Appellate Authority O/o SE, W/S and Sanitation, Circle Amritsar.
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma the representative of appellant states that requested the Commission that the requisite information may be provided for the concerned case and apologized for the clerical mistake made by the appellant inadvertently.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to file a written reply satisfying the RTI application and/or provided requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing to the appellant through registered post failing to action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is advised to point out deficiencies if any after receiving the information and/or deposit documentation fee, if any, demanded by the respondent failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. Both the parties are also advised to represent their case in person or through any of their representative(s) on next date of hearing positively.
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**Appeal Case No. 119 of 2018**

1. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **18.06.2018 at 11.00 AM.**
2. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90400-04313)**

R/o Village Bholapur,

Post Office Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDM,

Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,

Moga Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3484 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 01.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Nobodypresent.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent is absent for today’s hearing but a telephonic message of Sh. Davinder Pal Singh, clerk (appeared on previous hearing dated 17.04.2018) was received in the Commission that the appellant has not visited their office as directed on previous orders dated 20.02.2018 and 17.04.2018. He further added that the appellant was again reminded telephonically twice to visit their office to inspect the record but the appellant has not visited their office.

1. Appellant is absent continuously second time for hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any reply in this regard. On previous hearings dated 20.02.2018 and 17.04.2018 the appellant was advised to visit respondent office and inspect the record but he failed to do so.

3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that last opportunity was given to the appellant to visit respondent’s office and inspect the record on any working day after coordinating with the respondent failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant but he failed to do so and nor did he represented this case or file any reply to Commission.

4. In the view of above, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla**

S/o Sh. Brij Lal, Ward No. 16,

B/190, Sangrur Road, Dhuri,

District Sangrur **(80540-20388)** Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Tehsil,

Baliawali, District Bathinda

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SDM,

Maur, District Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3524 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Mahendra Singh, Patwari (98885-72881) present on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is absent for today’s hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from representative of appellant through email id [pkrattan55@gmail.com](mailto:pkrattan55@gmail.com) requesting therein exemption for presence on today’s hearing and requested the Commission that the information may be provided as per directions of Commission to the respondent on previous hearing dated 17.04.2018 as earlier stated in that order that Vasika no.’ details are already mentioned in the RTI application in the table format.

2. Sh. Mahendra Singh, Patwari states that details other than year of ‘Vasika’ no. are mentioned in RTI application. He requested the Commission that if the appellant provides the year of ‘Vasika’ no. than the information may be provided otherwise it is vague information.

3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, last opportunity is given to the appellant with an advice to provide the Complete details of ‘Vasika No’ (i.e. year of ‘Vasika’ no.) to the appellant in written by the next date of hearing along with advice to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken. The respondent is directed to provide the information once details of ‘Vasika’ number (i.e. the year of ‘Vasika’ no.) is provided by the appellant along with directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case failing to which further action as per RTI Act, shall be preceded against the respondent PIO.
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4. The subject matter is adjourned for further hearing on **20.06.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Mohan Singh** S/o Sh. Acchar Singh

R/o Village Chatt, Sub Tehsil Chatt,

Tehsil Dera Bassi, **(94169-75600)**

District Mohali (SAS Nagar) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply

& Sanitation Department, Division No. 3,

Mohali (SAS Nagar)

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SE, Water Supply &

Sanitation Division,

Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3543 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 01.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.05.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Mohan Singh (94656-57390) the appellant in person.

Sh. Parveen Kumar, SDE present on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Mohan Singh the appellant shows his dissatisfaction stating that incomplete information has been provided by the appellant and pointed out deficiencies during the hearing to the respondent.
2. On this, Sh. Parveen Kumar, SDE states and justified that the requisite information has already been provided but the deficiencies pointed out are requested in RTI application.
3. Sh. Mohan Singh the appellant agreed with the justification given by the respondent.

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information has been supplied to the appellant and appellant is satisfied with it but the other information required by the appellant is not mentioned in RTI application therefore the appellant is advised to file a fresh RTI if he needs other additional information.

4. In the view of above, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Harish Chander (98146-94986)**

C/o Goyal Ayurvedic Store,

Chauna Bazar, District Faridkot-151202. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, NC Jaiton, District Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director,

Local Government, Ferozepur. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3542 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Madan Lal present on the behalf of appellant.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Madan Lal the representative of appellant states that information that relates with point 3 is still pending.

2. Respondent PIO is absent continuously third time for hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he filed any reply in this regard.

3. After hearing the representative of appellant and going through the documents placed on record, The respondent PIO O/o EO, Nagar Council, Jaiton, District Faridkot will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him/her for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition to his/her submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on him/her on the next date of hearing. He/she may note that in case he does not file his/her submission and does not avail himself/herself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

1. **The respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person on next dated of hearing along with directions to provide pending information that relates with point no. 3 of RTI application to the appellant.** A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, Office of O/o EO, Nagar Council, Jaiton, District Faridkot through **registered post**.

5. The subject matter is adjourned to **20.06.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated 21.05.2018 State Information Commissioner**