**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Rajesh Gupta, President,

Social Reformers, Chamber No.5033,

Lawyers Chamber Complex,

District Courts, Ludhiana. ----------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o President, Lodhi Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o President, Lodhi Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 1099 of 2017**

Present: None for the complainant.

Smt. Rattandeep Kaur, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 Shri Rajesh Gupta, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 12.06.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copies of Audit Report of Lodhi Club, Ludhiana for the Financial Years 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 10.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 03.01.2018.

2. On 03.01.2018, Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submitted a detailed reply from DRO-cum-PIO, Ludhiana vide letter No. 03/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 01.01.2018, which was taken on record. It was
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stated by the PIO that the complainant has been informed vide letter No. 2926/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 28.06.2017 and letter No. 3280/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 03.08.2017 that Lodhi Club is a private body which does not fall under the jurisdiction of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana and the matter regarding declaring Lodhi Club as a Public Authority is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court and no orders have been passed by the Hon’ble Court as yet.

3. It was checked from the record that Lodhi Club has not been declared as a Public Authority by the Commission as yet and no case is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana to declare Lodhi Club as a Public Authority. Accordingly, a copy of the reply filed by the respondent was handed over to the complainant to file a rejoinder, if he so desired. Besides, the complainant was directed to submit documentary evidence to prove that Lodhi Club is a Public Authority as per the judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in case titled Thalappalam Service Cooperative Bank Ltd. and others versus State of Kerala and others in Civil Appeal 9017 of 2013 arising out of SLP© No. 24290 of 12. The case was adjourned for today.

4. Today, the complainant is not present. However, a letter dated 19.02.2018 has been received from him informing that he is unable to attend hearing due to some important family work. The representative of the respondents informs that no rejoinder has been filed by the complainant. Consequently, after discussing the matter at length, the PIO is directed to verify the facts and submit response from the Lodhi Club, on the
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next date of hearing. Besides, one last opportunity is afforded to the complainant to submits documentary evidence to prove that Lodhi Club is a public authority under RTI Act as onus lies on him as per the above quoted judgement of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

5. To come up on **03.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dates: 21-02-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner**

 **Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Rajesh Gupta, President,

Social Reformers, Chamber No.5033,

Lawyers Chamber Complex,

District Courts, Ludhiana. ----------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o President, Surlej Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

FAA-President, Sutlej Club-cum-

Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 1098 of 2017**

Present: None for the complainant.

Smt. Rattandeep Kaur, Junior Assistant, office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 Shri Rajesh Gupta, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 12.06.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copies of Audit Report of Sutlej Club, Ludhiana for the Financial Years 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 10.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 03.01.2018.

2. On 03.01.2018, Shri Resham Singh, Superintendent, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submitted a detailed reply from DRO-cum-PIO, Ludhiana vide letter No. 05/8295/PIO/RTI, dated 01.01.2018, which was taken on record. It was
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stated by the PIO that the complainant had been informed vide letter No. 2927/8292/PIO/RTI, dated 28.06.2017 and letter No. 3282/8295/PIO/RTI, dated 03.08.2017 that Sutlej Club is a private body which does not fall under the jurisdiction of Deputy Commissioner Ludhiana and the matter regarding declaring Sutlej Club as a Public Authority is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court and no orders have been passed by the Hon’ble Court as yet. Accordingly, a copy of the reply filed by the respondent was handed over to the complainant to file a rejoinder, if he so desires.

3. Today, the complainant is not present. However, a letter dated 19.02.2018 has been received from him informing that he is unable to attend hearing due to some important family work. The representative of the respondents informs that no rejoinder has been filed by the complainant.

4. Since the matter regarding declaring Sutlej Club as a Public Authority is pending in the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court and no orders have been passed by the Hon’ble Court as yet, the instant case is **adjourned sine-die.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dates: 21-02-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner**

 **Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri S.P. Goyal, 103-A,

Krishna Chambers, 59,

New Marine Lines, Mumbai-400020. ---------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer/

Chief Administrative-cum-

Office Superintendent,

o/o District and Sessions Judge,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District and Sessions Judge,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2862 of 2017**

Present:- Shri S. P. Goyal, appellant, in person.

 Shri Karanbir Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 04.01.2018, when the appellant was not present. The representative of the respondent informed that they had sent a reply to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant was requested to peruse the same and send his observations, if any, on the reply, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 20.02.2018 to be held at Chandigarh, which was further postponed for today on the request of the appellant.

2. Today, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, the respondent PIO is directed to allow inspection of original file to the appellant to
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 identify the specific documents required by him and supply the identified documents upto 50 pages free of cost and beyond that on the payment of prescribed fee as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. The representative of the respondents assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the representative of the respondents, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh

s/o Shri Kulbir Singh,

H.No.1764, Sector 39-B,

Chandigarh. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police (Crime) Punjab,

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Inspector General of Police (Crime), Punjab,

Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2608 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

 Shri Prem Masih, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 09.01.2018, when the appellant informed that statements of Shri Santosh Kumar, Cashier and Shri Kamaldeep Batra, Operation Manager, Axis Bank, Rajpura had not been provided to him as yet. The respondent informed that the Challan in this case had been filed in the Court and the available information had been supplied to the appellant whereas statements of Shri Santosh Kumar, Cashier and Shri Kamaldeep Batra, Operation Manager were not available as these had not been recorded by the Investigating Officer. Consequently, after hearing both the parties, it was again directed that the statements of Shri Santosh Kumar and

Contd……p/2

**AC - 2608 of 2017 -2-**

Shri Kamaldeep Batra, if available, be supplied to the appellant and in case these were not available, then a written submission to this effect be made on the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents states that the requisite record, being old, is not traceable. He seeks some more time to enable them to trace the record and supply the requisite information to the appellant. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant and in case it is not available, then a written submission, to this effect, be provided to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing.

3. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhiana. ---------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dakha,

Mullanpur Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana

FAA-Senior Superintendent of Police,

Ludhiana Rural at Jagraon. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2974 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Appellant, in person.

 Shri Balbir Chand, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 11.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents submitted point-wise reply dated 10.01.2018 to the queries raised by the appellant vide his RTI application. Since the appellant was not present, the representative of the respondents was directed to send the same to the
appellant, by registered post. The appellant was directed to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents hands over information to the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to send his observations/deficiencies, if any, in the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **03.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhana. ------------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Director General of Police, Punjab (HQRS),

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab (Hqrs),

Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2973 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, appellant, in person.

Smt.Reetika Sharma, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 11.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents had brought point-wise reply for handing over to the appellant during the hearing,. Since the appellant was not present, the representative of the respondents was directed to send the same to the appellant through registered post and the appellant was direct to revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency. The case was adjourned for today.

2. In this case, the appellant has sought following information:-

Certified copies of the rules and instructions under which the air conditioners/Room heaters can be installed in the offices of SHO/Incharge, Readers of SHO/Incharge, Inquiry officers in Police Stations, Police , Police Chowki and CIA, NRI Police Stations, EO Wing Women Cell, Sub divisions and Police Headquarter Officers(DSP, SP) and
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regarding the payment of bills and certified copies of the rules to give sanction for installation of AC/room heaters with bill payment from State exchequer(Police department).

3. The IG/Provisioning-cum-Public Information Officer, Provisioning Wing, Punjab has sent following reply:-

No Rules/Instructions are available regarding Air Conditioners and Room heaters to DSP/SP at Police Headquarters. Punjab Police Headquarter does not receive any separate Electricity bill regarding A.C. and Room Heaters. It receives Electricity bill as a whole. As far as information regarding SHO/Incharges, readers of SHO/Incharges, Inquiry Officers in Police Stations, Police Chowki and CIA, NRI Police Stations, EO Wing, Women Cell, Sub divisions asre concerned, same may be got from concerned PIOs, As per GOI Office memorandum dated 12th June, 2008, if no part of the information sought, is available with PIO but is scattered with more than one of the Public Authorities, applicant should make separate applications to the concerned Public authorities for obtaining information from them.

4. During hearing it is observed that as a matter of policy, the guidelines/ instructions fixing entitlement of A.C./Room Heaters etc. for various officers are supposed to be in the office of DGP only. Accordingly, it is directed that the requisite instructions be provided to the appellant and in case these are not available or have not been issued by the DGP, then an affidavit to this effect be submitted on the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on **03.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Jagmohan Singh Makkar,

Chief Editor Taja Masle,

334, GT Road, Salim Tabri, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Tehsildar (West),

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (West),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2905 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 11.01.2018, when the respondent Tehsildar was not present as he had been deputed for election duty. Accordingly, last opportunity was afforded to him to provide information to the appellant and file reply to the notice issued by the Commission, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, none is present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, a letter dated 21.02.2018 has been received through e-mail from Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West) informing that he is unable to attend hearing due to election duty in Municipal Corporation Elections. He has further informed that a reply
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has already been sent to the appellant vide letter No. 248/SR(W), dated 29.08.2016. He has requested to adjourn the case to some other date.

3. Accordingly, last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the reply received from Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West), to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, failing which case will be decided on merit on the basis of documents, placed on record.

4. To come up on **28.03.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Pal Singh

s/o Shri Dharam Singh, Village Guru Nanak Pura,

PO Beas, Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib,

Distt. Amritsar. ------------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Baba Bakala Sahib,

District Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Amritsar. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2942 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Pal Singh appellant in person.

Shri Major Singh, Superintendent, office of BDPO, Rayya, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 11.01.2018, when the representative of the respondents placed on record a letter dated 9.1.2018, vide which it was informed that the information available in the office of the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Baba Bakala has been provided to the appellant and for supplying remaining information the RTI application has been transferred to BDPO, Rayya vide letter dated 12.6.2017. Accordingly, the respondent-PIO was directed to supply the remaining information to the appellant after collecting the same from the office of BDPO, Rayya, before the next date of hearing . The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter No SPL-I, dated 15.02.2018. The appellant informs that the provided information is not attested. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to certify the provided information. He is also directed to provide a written submission to the appellant to the effect that the information available on record has been provided to the appellant and no more information relating to the instant RTI application is available with them. The representative of the respondents assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the representative of the respondents, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

 **Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Jasbir Singh,

Village Bholapur Jhabewal,

P.O. Ramgarh, District Ludhiana. ---------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2963 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant, in person.

 Smt. Rattandeep Kaur, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 11.01.2018, when the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him. None was present on behalf of the respondents. However, a telephonic message was received from the respondents informing that DRO-cum-PIO was unable to attend the hearing as he had been deputed for election duty. They requested to adjourn the case to some other date. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents states that the information sought for by the appellant relates to Toll Plaza, which is not under the administrative control of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana and there is no record relating to the sought
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information in their office. Accordingly, the respondent PIO is directed to provide a written submission to the appellant to the effect that no record relating to the information sought for by the appellant vide the instant RTI application is available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The representative of the respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the representative of the respondents, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Smt. Manjit Kaur

w/o S. Balwinder Singh, H.No.83-A,

Gali No.4, Anteryami Colony,

Amritsar. ------------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Tehsildar, Amritsar-1,

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,

Amritsar-1, District Courts,

Amritsar. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3139 of 2017**

Present: None for the appellant.

 Shri Kartar Singh, Patwari, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Smt. Manjit Kaur, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 11.07.2017 , addressed to PIO, sought certain information regarding mutation and ownership of a certain property. On receiving no information he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 24.08.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 01.11.2017, which was received in the Commission on 06.11.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.

2. On 15.01.2018, the representative of the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to the appellant as yet. Consequently, after hearing both
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the parties and discussing the sought information at length, the PIO was directed to get the relevant record inspected by the appellant to identify the specific documents required by her and supply the same to her on the spot. In case any information/document was not available in the record, then a written submission from the Tehsildar be provided to the appellant. Besides, Action Taken Report on the orders of the Hon’ble Punjab and Haryana High Court passed in the matter, be also provided to the appellant. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the appellant was asked to collect the information but she did not turn up. Accordingly, the PIO is directed to send the information to the appellant by registered post and ask the appellant to inspect the record, if she so desires. The appellant is directed to send her observations, if any, on the information which will be sent to her by the PIO in due course of time.

4. To come up on **03.04.2018** **at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri S.P. Goyal,

 103-A,Krishna Chambers, 59,

New Marine Lines,

Mumbai-400020. ------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Civil Judge (Sr. Division),

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Administrative Officer

O/o District and Sessions Judge,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2891 of 2017**

Present: Shri S. P. Goyal, appellant, in person.

 Shri Karanbir Singh, Clerk, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri S.P.Goyal, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 02.05.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information along with inspection of file regarding Civil Suit 141 of 24.05.1988 titled as S.P. Goyal V/s Hari Dutt Dumra. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 03.08.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 05.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on 18.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.

Contd………p/2

**AC - 2891 of 2017 -2-**

2. On 15.01.2018, none was present on behalf of the complainant without any intimation. The representative of the respondents submitted a detailed reply vide letter No. 106/E, dated 08.01.2018 from First Appellate Authority-cum-Civil Judge(Senior Division), Ludhiana, which was taken on record. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to send a copy of that letter to the appellant by registered post and the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, on that letter to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, the respondent PIO is directed to allow inspection of original file to the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the identified documents upto 50 pages free of cost and beyond that on the payment of prescribed fee as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. The representative of the respondents assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

4. On the assurance given by the representative of the respondents, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh

r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. ----------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Division No.7, Backside Vardhman Mill,

Ludhiana. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1234 of 2017**

Present: Shri Tejinder Singh, complainant, in person.

 None for the respondent.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Tejinder Singh, Complainant, vide an RTI application dated 25.09.2017, addressed to PIO, sought information regarding arrest of some persons for illegal mining and taking possession of two tippers and one JCB Machine along with Action Taken Report. On receiving no information he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 06.11.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.

2. On 15.01.2018, the complainant informed that no reply had been received as yet from the PIO. None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to send a detailed reply to the Commission as well as to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.
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3. Today again, none is present on behalf of the respondent without any intimation nor any reply has been filed. Viewing the callous attitude of the PIO seriously, he is directed to supply requisite information to the appellant and file a reply explaining reasons for delay in the supply of information, before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

4. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Kuldip Singh s/o Late Shri Gopal Singh

r/o H.No.2218/15, Gali No.11, Makboolpura,

Sahita Road, Amritsar. --------Complainant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

 Chandigarh. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1231 of 2017**

Present: None for the complainant.

 Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Kuldip Singh, complainant, vide his RTI application dated 06.09.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information regarding recruitment to the post of Constable. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 06.11.2017, which was received in the Commission on 07.11.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.

2. On 15.01.2018, none was present on behalf of the respondent. However, a Memo. No. 10-8/RTI-1, dated 04.01.2018 was received from Shri Jasdip Singh Saini, P.P.S., AIGP/Personnel-2, Punjab-cum-PIO(Admn. Wing) vide which it has been informed that reply has been sent to the complainant vide letter No. 3893/RTI-1, dated 17.11.2017. The complainant informed that he had received the reply from the
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respondent PIO but it was wrong. Accordingly, the complainant was directed to send his detailed observations/deficiencies in the reply received by him, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the complainant is not present without any intimation nor any observations, on the reply sent by the PIO, have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the reply of the PIO. Besides, the reply sent by the PIO to the appellant appears to be bonafide/legitimate.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 15.01.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Narinder Pal Singh

s/o Late S. Ajit Singh,

 H.No.11851,Street No.3,

 S.A.S. Nagar, Ludhiana-141003. -----------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2977 of 2017**

Present: None for the appellant.

Shri Pawandev Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Narinder Pal Singh, Appellant, vide RTI application dated 06.06.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought a; copy of application dated 05.04.2016 sent to I.O., Police Station Shimla Puri, Ludhiana vide endorsement No. 107-5D, dated 05.04.2016. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 22.07.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 24.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on 26.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.
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2. On 15.01.2018, the respondent submitted a letter No. 119-120/RTI, dated 09.01.2018 from PIO-cum-DCP, Commissionerate, Ludhiana, addressed to SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana, with a copy endorsed to the Commission vide which the SHO has been directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant and attend the hearing in the Commission. Vide the said letter it has also been informed that the SHO has also been directed by First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner Police, Ludhiana vide letter No. 166/FA-RTI, dated 03.08.2017 and letter No. 166/FA-RTI, dated 30.11.2017 to supply the requisite information to the appellant. None was present on behalf of the SHO, without any intimation. Viewing the callous and defying attitude of Shri Mohammad Jamil, SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana, seriously, he was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant within 15 days, with a copy to the Commission and explain the delay, in person, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 would be initiated against him. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the appellant is not present. However two letters dated 12.02.2018 and 20.02.2018 have been received from him informing that he is unable to attend hearing as he has to appear in a court at Ludhiana.

4. Despite the orders of the Commission passed on the last date of hearing, Shri Mohammad Jamil, SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana, is not present today. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to him to supply requisite information to the
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appellant and explain the reasons for delay, in person, on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to file a detailed reply in the Commission, failing which action under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated ex-parte.

5. To come up on **03.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Mohammad Jamil, REGISTERED**

 **S.H.O., Police Station,**

 **Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Harnek Singh

s/o Shri Joginder Singh, Village Gure,

Tehsil Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana-142023. -------------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana (Rural)

at Jagraon.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Inspector General of Police,

Jalandhar. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3098 of 2017**

Present: None for the appellant.

 Shri Balbir Chand, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 Shri Harnek Singh, Appellant vide an RTI application dated 31.07.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certain information regarding inquiry against Shri Janak Raj, former Reader to S.S.P. Jagraon. On receiving no information he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 22.09.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 26.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on 31.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.

2. On 15.01.2018, the representative of the respondent submits a letter No.

Contd……p/2

**AC - 3098 of 2017 -2-**

20/RTI, dated 13.01.2018 from SSP,Ludhiana(Rural) vide which it was informed that information/reply had been sent to the appellant along with Speaking Orders. Accordingly, the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents reiterates that information/reply has already been sent to the appellant and no observations have been received from him. The appellant is not present without any intimation nor any observations have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information/reply.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Ashok Kumar,

#105, New Punjab Mata Nagar,

Pakhowal Road, Ludhiana-141013 ---------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3157 of 2017**

Present: Shri Ashok Kumar, Appellant, in person.

 Shri Dev Raj, Inspector, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 Shri Ashok Kumar, Appellant vide an RTI application dated 09.08.2017, addressed to PIO, sought copy of Investigation Report in respect of applications No. CR-729517, dated 13.05.2015; CR-1034454, dated 21.12.2016; CR-1052522, dated 20.02.2017 and CR-1062666, dated 21.03.2017 On receiving no information he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 15.09.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 06.11.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 15.01.2018.
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2. On 15.01.2018, the representative of the respondents submitted a detailed reply vide letter No. 2938/RTI, dated 12.01.2018 from PIO-cum-DCP, Commissionerate, Ludhiana, stating therein that the matter was still under investigation. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to provide a copy of this letter to the appellant and the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, on this letter, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the appellant submits a letter dated 21.02.2018 stating therein that no Look Out Notice has been issued against the accused Manav Sharma as yet, who has been declared as proclaimed offender by the court. Accordingly, the PIO is directed to pass a Speaking Order with regard to issuance of Look Out Notice against Manav Sharma.

4. A copy of the order is forwarded to Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to ensure the compliance of the orders.

5. To come up on **03.04.2018** **at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Deputy Commissioner of Police, REGISTERED**

 **Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate

r/o 836, MIG, PHB Colony,

Jamalpur Ludhiana. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Police Station Division No.2, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2333 of 2017**

Present:Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

None on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 16.01.2018, when the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him as yet. None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the callous and defying attitude of the PIO, a Show-Cause Notice was issued to Shri Gurvinder Singh, SHO, Police Station, Division No. 2, Ludhiana for imposition of penalty and a compensation of Rs. 1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, for the loss and detriment suffered by him, to be paid by the Public Authority through a Bank Draft, before the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the appellant informs that no information has been supplied to him and compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- has also not been paid to him as yet.

3. The representative of the respondents seeks some more time to enable them to supply the requisite information to the appellant. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. He is also directed to submit reply to the Show-Cause Notice issued to him and pay compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- to the appellant through Bank Draft.

4. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

  **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Gurvinder Singh, REGISTERED**

 **SHO, Police Station Division No.2,**

 **Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate

r/o 836, MIG, PHB Colony,

Jamalpur Ludhiana. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2334 of 2017**

Present:Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

None on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 16.01.2018, when the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him as yet. None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the callous and defying attitude of the PIO, a Show-Cause Notice was issued to Shri Jaswinder Singh , SHO, Police Station, Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana for imposition of penalty and a compensation of Rs. 1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, for the loss and detriment suffered by him, to be paid by the Public Authority through a Bank Draft, before the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the appellant informs that neither any information has been supplied to him nor compensation amount has been paid to him. None is present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation nor any reply has been filed. Viewing the defying attitude of the PIO seriously, he is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant and submit reply to the Show-Cause Notice issued to him before the next date of hearing. An opportunity of personal hearing is also afforded to him on the next date of hearing before imposing penalty and enhancing amount of compensation, in the interest of natural justice.

3. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

  **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Jaswinder Singh, REGISTERED**

 **SHO, Police Station Basti Jodhewal,**

 **Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate

r/o 836, MIG, PHB Colony,

Jamalpur Ludhiana. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Division No.6, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2335 of 2017**

Present:Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

Shri Harpreet Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 16.01.2018, when the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him as yet. None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the callous and defying attitude of the PIO, a Show-Cause Notice was issued to Shri Davinder Singh, SHO, Police Station, Division No. 6, Ludhiana for imposition of penalty and a compensation of Rs. 1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, for the loss and detriment suffered by him, to be paid by the Public Authority through a Bank Draft, before the next date of hearing. The PIO was also directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- has been paid to the appellant. He submits reply through an affidavit, which is handed over to the appellant, for furnishing his observations, if any, to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

  **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Davinder Singh, REGISTERED**

 **SHO, Police Station Division No.6,**

 **Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate

r/o 836, MIG, PHB Colony,

Jamalpur Ludhiana. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Sub Registrar (Central),

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (West),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

 **Appeal Case No. 2340 of 2017**

**Present:** Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

Smt. Suman, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 16.01.2018, when none was present on behalf of the respondents. The appellant informed that the information regarding Points No. 2, 3 and 4 was still pending. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to supply the remaining information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a letter No. 504-505/SR, dated 15.02.2018 to the appellant with a copy to the Commission vide which requisite information has been supplied. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to furnish his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

3. To come up on **04.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri S.P. Goyal,

2-C, Srabha Nagar,

Gurudwara Road,

Ludhiana-141001. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Judicial Magistrate,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authorioty,

O/o Chief Judicial Magistrate,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2545 of 2017**

Present:- Shri S.P. Goyal, appellant, in person.

 Shri Munish Kumar, Reader to CJM, Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, during hearing on 26.10.2017 the representative of the respondent informed that they had not received RTI application of the appellant. Accordingly, the appellant was directed to send a copy of his RTI application to the respondents and the PIO was directed to provide requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 30.11.2017, which was further postponed to 18.01.2018 due to certain administrative reasons.

2. On 18.01.2018, the appellant informed that he had provided a copy of application along with annexure to the respondents on 08.01.2018. None was present
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on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today to be held at Chandigarh.

3. Today, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, the respondent PIO is directed to allow inspection of original file to the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the identified documents upto 50 pages free of cost and beyond that on the payment of prescribed fee as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005. The representative of the respondents assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

4. On the assurance given by the representative of the respondents, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 21.02.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**