**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Navdeep Gupta,**

Kothi No. 455, Gilco Valley,

Kharar, Mohali (80540-98586) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SSP,

Punjab Police, Faridkot. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 963 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 13.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Pawandeep Singh (Constable).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Pawandeep Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant. He submits a written reply letter no. 144/RTI dated 07.02.2018 signed by PIO cum Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot stating that pending information in connection with point no. 2, 4 and 5 has already been supplied to the complainant along with a copy of letter no. 121/RTI dated 01.02.2018 with the remarks of the complainant “Received Satisfactory”, which are taken on record.
2. Complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is absent without any intimation to the Commission. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on
3. As the information stands supplied and complainant, Sh. Navdeep Gupta is satisfied with the supplied information. No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. D.S. Dhillon**

192 C, Rajguru Nagar,

Ludhiana-141012 Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Guru Nanak Dev Engg. College,

Gill Road, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Guru Nanak Dev Engg. College,

Gill Road, Ludhiana-6 Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1215 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 02.11.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Both the parties are not present for today’s hearing.
2. After examining the case file, it is found that an email has been received from the respondent PIO, Sh. Jaswinder Singh in the Commission dated 13.02.2018 stating that complainant visited the respondent’s office on 07.02.2018 and demanded information has already been supplied to him. In that email he also sent a copy of letter no. RTI 421 dated 07.02.2018 along with a copy of consent letter no. RTI No. 421 dated 07.02.2018 stating that I am satisfied with the information given to me against the RTI application DSD Adm dated 07.08.2017 by the PIO, GNDEC, Ludhiana during my visit to the college on 07.02.2018. The case may be treated as closed now, which are taken on record.
3. As the information stands supplied and complainant, Sh. D.S. Dhillon is satisfied with the supplied information. No further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Ms. Chander Kanta**

W/o Sh. Pankaj Kapoor,

#445, Pipliwala Town, Manimajra,

Chandigarh Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Estate Officer,

GMADA,Sector 62,

Mohali

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Estate Officer,

GMADA,Sector 62,

Mohali Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2999 of 2017**

**Present:** Ms. Chander Kanta along with Sh. Prem Kumar.

For the respondent: Ms. Satinderjeet Kaur (APIO cum Superintendent) along with Sh. Harvinder Singh (Accounts Officer) (94632-06450)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Harvinder Singh states that letters have been issued to the concerned banks to collect the requisite information. He further added that banks requested for some time as three persons with the same name are traced.
2. On this, representative of the applicant, Sh. Prem Kumar presented the copy of traced record of Ms. Chander Kanta with the Father Name as Sh. Prem Kumar to the Commission.
3. After discussing with both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, copy of traced record of Ms. Chander Kanta handed over to the respondent during the hearing along with demand draft details. It is found that letters issued to the concerned banks are received in the Commission from the respondent, Accounts Officer, GMADA, SAS Nagar vide diary no. 3231 dated 15.02.2018, which are on record. One more opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to collect the requisite information and supply to the applicant before the next date of hearing positively.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Prem Kumar**

S/o Sh. Ram Chand,

#445, Pipliwala Town, Manimajra

Chandigarh Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Estate Officer,

GMADA,Sector 62,

Mohali

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Estate Officer,

GMADA,Sector 62,

Mohali Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3000 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Ms. Satinderjeet Kaur (APIO cum Superintendent) along with Sh. Harvinder Singh (Accounts Officer) (94632-06450)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Harvinder Singh states that letters have been issued to the concerned banks to collect the requisite information. He further added that banks requested for some time as no record has been traced in the name of Sh. Prem Kumar till date.
2. Applicant, Sh. Prem Kumar requested for an adjournment in this case.
3. Request of the applicant is granted; matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Lt. Col Darshan Singh Dhillon,**

**(Regd. Post)** 192 C, Rajguru Nagar, Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab

Technical University, Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o – Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab

Technical University, Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3008 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (Deputy Registrar cum PIO).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Gurdeep Singh is present for today’s hearing.
2. Applicant, Sh. Darshan Singh Dhillon is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
3. After examining the case file, it is found that on the last hearing held on 17.01.2018, applicant, Sh. Darshan Singh was advised to produce any document where shown that respondent Institute (Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University, Bathinda) is a Public Authority and also to disclose what Public Interest is involved in this case if the required information is disclosed to him. **But respondent Institute name is written as Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University, Bathinda which may be read as Guru Teg Bahadur Khalsa Institute of Engineering & Technology, Chhapianwali, Malout**
4. Last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Darshan Singh to produce any document/evidence where shown that respondent Institute (Guru Teg Bahdur Khalsa Institute of Engineering & Technology, Chhapianwali, Malout ) is a Public Authority and also to disclose what Public Interest is involved in this case if the required information is disclosed to him, failing to which case will be decided in his absence.
5. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Manjit Singh**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Sh. Gurnam Singh

R/o Village Dhurkot

District Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Panchayat Secretary,

Gram Panchayat, Dhurkot,

District Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o BDPO, Pakhowal,

District Ludhiana Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3022 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Satnam Singh (Panchayat Secretary).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Satnam Singh states that he has brought the requisite information to hand it over to the applicant as per the last order of the Commission dated 17.01.2018 but he is absent.
2. Applicant, Sh. Manjit Singh is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 17.01.2018. A copy of previous order was sent to him through registered post. Another opportunity was given to him to represent this case in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so.
3. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the applicant, Sh. Manjit Singh through registered post and bring the copy of postal receipt on the next date of hearing positively.
4. Last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Manjit Singh is advised to go through the information once he has received the requisite information from the respondent PIO and point out deficiency, if any, in written to the respondent PIO along with a copy to the Commission. He is also advised to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be closed.
5. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Yogesh Mahajan**

**(Regd. Post)** Anti Corruption Council,

Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market,

Mission Road, Pathankot Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SDE, Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division Narot Jamal Singh

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SE, Water Supply and

Sanitation Circle, Gurdaspur Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3062 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent PIO is not present for today’s hearing but an email has been received from the respondent in the Commission dated 21.02.2018 in connection with Appeal Case No. 3058 of 2017 stating that he is unable to attend today’s hearing due to strike of buses as mentioned in the subject along with letter no. 127 dated 21.02.2018 in connection with Appeal Case No. 3062 of 2017 signed by SDE, W/S & Sanitation, Sub Division, N.J. Singh at Pathankot, which are taken on record.
2. He also intimated the Commission through telephonic message that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant and same has been sent to the Commission dated 08.02.2018. He also mentioned an email has been sent to the Commission dated 15.02.2018 in this regard. He also informed that Ms. Seema who works in the office of the applicant, received the requisite information on behalf of the applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan.
3. Applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
4. After examining the case file, it is found that an email has been received from the respondent, Sh. Rajinder Kumar, SDE, W/S & Sanitation Sub Div. N.J. Singh at Pathankot in the Commission dated 15.02.2018 stating that record related to above mentioned cases (appeal case no. 3058/2017 and appeal case 3062/17) has been received by the applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan on dated 18.01.2018 as same receipts has been sent to your office on dated 08.02.2018 along with supporting documents, which are taken on record. A letter dated 08.02.2018 received from the respondent vide diary no. 2840 dated 08.02.2018 along with supporting documents are also on record.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3062 of 2017**

It is observed that receiving receipt signed by Ms. Seema not by the applicant or there is no evidence on record which shows Ms. Seema is the authorized person on behalf of the applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan.

1. On the last hearing held on 17.01.2018, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan was advised to point out the deficiency, if any, once he received the requisite information through post. He was also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so.
2. Last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Yogesh Mahajan to point out the deficiency, if any, in the supplied information. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which the case will be closed.
3. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sanjeev Thakur**

**(Regd. Post)** Village Gudha Khurd,

PO Madhopur Cantt.-145024 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO,

Sujjanpur

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

Pathankot Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3066 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s heraing.
2. On the last hearing held on 17.01.2018, applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur was not present for today’s hearing but a letter was received from the applicant in the Commission vide diary no. 994 dated 12.01.2018 stating that wrong information had been received from the PIO till date. It is taken on record.
3. A copy of this order along with a copy of letter received from the applicant in Commission was sent to respondent PIO through registered post to go through and remove the deficiencies pointed out by the applicant before the next date of hearing i.e. today but no response from the respondent PIO.
4. Another opportunity was given to the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so.
5. Last opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Thankur to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which the case will be closed. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
6. Respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person to on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Vinod Kumar**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Sh. Sri Ram,

R/o Village Bishanpura, Tehsil Abohar

District Fazilka Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO, Abhohar,

District Fazilka

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

Fazilka Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3073 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sushil Kumar (Panchayat Secretary)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Sushil Kumar is present or today’s hearing.
2. Applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 17.01.2018.
3. After examining the case file, it is found that on the last hearing held on 17.01.2018, applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar was absent and Sh. Mohinder Kumar (Sewadar) appeared from the respondent side stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant. Another opportunity was given to the applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so. A copy of this order was sent to the applicant through **registered post** for his ready reference.
4. It is also found that previous order of the hearing dated 17.01.2018 which was sent to the applicant through registered post received back to the Commission with remarks “wrong address” but after checking the case file, it is found notice of hearing, postponement notice of change of date of hearing, were also sent on the same address which had not received back to the Commission.
5. It is also observed that address of the applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar mentioned as, Sh. Vinod Kumar, S/o Sh. Sri Ram, R/o Village Bishanpur, Tehsil Amloh, District Fazilka in the corresponding of the Commission issued to the applicant instead of Sh. Vinod Kumar, S/o Sh. Sri Ram, R/o Village Bishnapura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3073 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order be sent to the applicant through **registered post** at the correct address, which is Sh. Vinod Kumar, S/o Sh. Sri Ram, R/o Village Bishnapura, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka for his ready reference.
2. Another opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. Vinod Kumar to represent his case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be closed in his absence.
3. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. P.K. Gupta (94173-42111)**

**(Regd. Post)** #277, Industrial Area A,

Ludhiana-141003 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, Patiala Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3138 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Harmesh Singh (Senior Assistant) (99142-77700)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Harmesh Singh is present for today’s hearing.
2. Applicant, Sh. P.K. Gupta is not present or today’s hearing but an email along with supporting documents have been received from the applicant, Sh. P. K. Gupta in the Commission dated 19.02.2018 stating that

“Reference to order dated 15th Jan 2018, submit as follows:

Myself Nephew of Late Sh. Dharam Paul Gupta and working in Plot no. 277, Industrial area A, Ludhiana since 1977. Mr. Dharam Paul Gupta My uncle donated the said property to Lord then it came under the Trust named Lala Lahori Ram Gupta charitable trust and rent collected from the shops outside the plot. In 1997 he took sanyas, renounced the world. Again in 2007 gifted that property to other nephew’s not to his son’s. Now if that donation is not cancelled to Lord then how it came under the Trust or if under Trust it can not be gifted as individual capacity. This is illegal transaction; to safe guard my interest in running the business”

In that letter he also mentioned that he is unable to attend today’s hearing, which is taken on record.

1. After examining the case file, copy of the covering page (of an email sent by the applicant, Sh. P. K. Gupta) handed over to the respondent, Sh. Harmesh Singh during the hearing with the directions to file a written reply in this regard on the next date of hearing. A copy of this order be sent to respondent PIO through **registered post** for his ready reference.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3138 of 2017**

1. Request of the applicant is granted; another opportunity is given to the applicant, Sh. P.K. Gupta to represent his case in person or through any of his representative (s) on the next date of hearing positively. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jai Gopal Dhiman (94634-37037)**

Post Box Number 10,

Head Post Office, Hoshiarpur-146001,

District Hoshiarpur, Punjab Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o DPI (Colleges), Punjab,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI (Colleges), Punjab,

Chandigarh Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3143 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jai Gopal Dhiman, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Ms. Surinder Kaur (Superintendent) along with Sh. Netra Singh (Senior Assistant).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Netra Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant.
2. On this, applicant, Sh. Jai Gopal Dhiman states that information in connection with point no. 8 and 9 is still pending from the respondent.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 2959 dated 12.02.2018 addressed to the applicant, Sh. Jai Gopal Dhiman stating the point wise reply to the applicant as per his RTI application, which shows that no further cause of action is required in this case as whatever information is available on the official website of the department, applicant can retrieve from the website but applicant, Sh. Jai Gopal Dhiman is not satisfied with the supplied information and demanded the attested copies of information in connection with point no. 8 and 9.
4. Respondent PIO is directed either to provide pending information in connection with point no. 8 and 9 to the applicant or file a proper written reply on the next date of hearing in this regard.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **02.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh**

R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Municipal Corporation,

Moga Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3126 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the complainant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Inderjeet Singh (Head Draftsman)

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO as per the last order of the Commission dated 15.01.2018.
2. On this, respondent, Sh. Inderjeet Singh states that applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh has not specified particular naksha number etc.
3. After hearing the respondent and discussing with applicant, applicant mentioned that photographs have already been supplied to the respondent PIO in this regard. Applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh also states that respondent PIO deliberately not providing the requisite information. He also supplied the photographs to the respondent during the hearing.
4. After hearing both the parties, respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the applicant before the next date of hearing positively; failing to which action under Section 20 (1) will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Tejinder Singh**

**(Regd. Post)** R/o Village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SHO, Police Station, Civil Lines,

Bathinda Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1235 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Tejinder Singh, the complainant in person.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Complainant, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO till date.
2. Respondent PIO intimated the Commisison through telephonic message that he has sent an email for today’s hearing in this case.
3. After hearing the applicant and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case positively. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.04.2018 at 11:00 AM.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing was over in this case, an email has been received from the respondent dated 21.02.2018 consisting of copies of last order of the Commission dated 15.01.2018 and letter no. 307/5A dated 21.02.2018 signed by Chief Officer, Police Station, Bathinda stating that

“ਤਜਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਭੋਲਾਪੁਰਾ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਰੋਡ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਕੱਤ ਕੰਪਲੇਟ ਕੋਸ ਦਾਇਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਮੁੱਕਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ 154 ਮਿਤੀ 27-07-2017ਅ-ਧ 419.420.465.467.468.471.472.120 ਬੀ IPC ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਵਲ
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**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1235 of 2017**

ਲਾਈਨ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੰਪਲੀਟ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਪ੍ਰੰਤੂ ਇਹ ਉਕੱਤ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਥਾਣਾ ਸਿਵਲ

ਲਾਈਨ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਦਰਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਬਾਰੇ ਪੂਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਸਿਵਲ ਲਾਈਨ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਹੀ ਦੇ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਪ੍ਰੰਤੂ ਥਾਣਾ ਕੋਤਵਾਲੀ ਬਠਿੰਡਾ ਪਾਸ ਮਿਸਲ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਤਫਤੀਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮਾਰਕ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਮੁਕਦ**ਮਾ ਜੇਰੇ ਤਫਤੀਸ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1) ਗ ਤਹਿਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣੀ ਸਭੰਵ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ ਕਿਉਕਿ ਇਸ ਨਾਲ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਉਕੱਤ ਦੀ ਤਫਤੀਸ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵਿਤ ਹੋ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ। ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਯੋਗ ਹੁਕਮ ਲਈ ਪੇਸ਼ ਹੈ ਜੀ।“,** Which are taken on record.

A copy of this order be sent to applicant, Sh. Tejinder Singh through **registered post** for his ready reference.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu,**

Ward No. 25, Mohalla Radharka,

Mansa – 151505 (94630-20780) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Punjabi University, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Vice - Chancellor,

Punjabi University, Patiala. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2597 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 18.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Advocate, Vikrant Sharma.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Advocate, Vikrant Sharma clarified regarding point no. 1 of the order of the Commission dated 16.01.2018 that matter regarding the requisite information is under process and reply was supplied to the applicant not the available information.
2. Neither the applicant, Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu is present or today’s hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that on the first hearing held on 27.11.2017, applicant was not present but an email has been received from the applicant, Sh. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu in the Commission vide diary no. 26881 dated 27.11.2017 stated that the applicant is unable to attend hearing and requested for an adjournment. It was taken on record.

On the request of the applicant, another opportunity was given to the applicant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be considered for non-pursuance by the applicant. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 16.01.2018 at 11.30 AM.

1. On hearing held on 16.01.2018, Advocate Jatin Arora was appeared on the behalf of appellant requested for an adjournment as relevant documents were not available with him.

One more opportunity was given to the applicant to clear the facts of this case and represent this case in person or through his representative(s) with proper written reply, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant. On the request of the representative of the appellant the matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.02.2018 at 11:30 AM i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so.
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**Appeal Case No. 2597 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 18.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 21.02.2018**

1. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that applicant; Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu has either lost interest in this case or satisfied with the supplied reply as no reply/response received from the applicant.
2. As per the respondent, Advocate, Vikrant Sharma matter, regarding the requisite information is under process, due to this requisite information could not be supplied to the applicant.
3. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 21.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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