



Sh Gurdev Singh. S/o Sh Jarnail Singh,
R/o Ward NO-12, Makhu, Tehsil Zira,
Distt Ferozpur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Ferozpur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o ADC,
Ferozpur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5041 of 2021

RESENT: Sh.Gurdev Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Parampal Singh, Naib Sadar Kanugo-1 for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 19.01.2021, has sought a copy of the documents attached with the letter vide which approval was sent for case No.8 dated 18.02.1977 filed against Gurdev Singh – a copy of approval dated 08.10.1997 – the number of patwaris/kanugos /employees arrested red-handed by the vigilance bureau from 1990 to 2000 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DC Ferozpur.

The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.03.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 15.04.2021, to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case last came up for hearing on 08.06.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ferozpur. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent sought some more time and assured to provide the information within 15 days.

The PIO's request was allowed and the PIO was directed to reconcile the case within the sought time of 15 days.

Hearing dated 20.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozpur. As per the respondent, the available information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant claims that the PIO has not been supplied the information.

It may be noted that during the course of this hearing, the respondent informed this bench that a copy of the information had also been sent to the Commission via an email, whereas as per record, before starting the proceedings of the day, no email had been received from the PIO.

During the course of the same hearing, the respondent was overheard instructing one of the employees accompanying him to send an email to the Commission. I find this as an attempt to mislead the court, first by lying and then by being cheeky. Such kind of disrespect, lying in court and behaviour needs to be punished so that such occurrences are not repeated.

I am marking this to the Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur, to initiate proceedings against the erring official under section 20(2) of the RTI Act and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Further, the PIO is directed to provide information to the appellant immediately and file an affidavit that the information that has been provided is true complete and no document that has been sought is missing.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **26.12.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Sandeep Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
R/o Street NO-2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DM, PUNSUP,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DM, PUNSUP,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 5733 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sandeep Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Jaswinder Singh, APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.01.2021, has sought information regarding the inspectors working in different circles of the District upto 20.01.2021 – the details of their postings at godowns – date of joining and post of inspectors –previous departments held by the inspectors and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DM-PUNSUP Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.03.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 17.03.2021, to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case last came up for hearing on 08.06.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per the appellant, the PIO had denied the information vide letter dated 09.03.2021 stating that the information is 3rd party information.

The respondent was absent.

Having gone through the RTI application's reply, the Commission overruled the PIO's view and since there was prima-facie evidence of the PIO not adhering to the provisions of the RTI Act while tending to the RTI application by blatantly denying the information. There also has been an enormous delay in providing the information. The PIO was served a **show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO was also directed to provide information to the appellant if it exists in the record within ten days of receiving the order.

Hearing dated 20.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. As per the respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant stated that he has received the information but with a delay of more than one and half year.

The PIO has not filed a reply to the show cause notice.

Appeal Case No. 5733 of 2021

Since the responsibility to ensure the timely transmission of the information to the appellant lies on the PIO, the PIO O/o DM, PUNSUP, Sri Mukatsar Sahib is hereby held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, which is within 30 days of the receipt of the request. The PIO is also held guilty of repeated defiance of the orders of the Punjab State Information Commission to provide the information. Moreover, the PIO has chosen not to reply to the show cause, which can be inferred that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter.

Hence, given the above facts a penalty of **Rs.10,000/-** is imposed on the PIO O/o DM, PUNSUP, Sri Mukatsar Sahib, which will be deposited in the Govt. Treasury. The PIO is directed to duly inform the Commission about the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan as evidence of depositing the penalty in the Govt Treasury.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **13.12.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Karnail Singh, S/o ShChhanga Singh,
New Azad Nagar,
Ferozepur City.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot,
Distt Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 113 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Karnail Singh for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER: This order should be read in continuation to the previous order.

The complainant through the RTI application dated 02.12.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 26.02.2020(Diary No.421 dt.27.02.2020) relating to providing false documents – present status of the application and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM Mamdot which transferred the same to Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot Distt.Ferozepur on 09.12.2020 under section 6(3) of the RTI Act. on 09.12.2020. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 15.01.2021.

On the date of first hearing on 28.06.2021, the appellant informed that he had filed an RTI application with the office of SDM (Civil) Ferozepur, which transferred the same to Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot on 09.12.2020 but the Naib Tehsildar has not provided any information.

The respondent was absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence. Since there has been an enormous delay of more than six months in attending to the RTI application and the Naib Tehsildar had not responded to the RTI application transferred by SDM Ferozepur on 09.12.2020, the PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on an affidavit.** The PIO-Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot was again directed to provide whatever information exists in the record and action taken on the complaint of the appellant.

On the date of hearing on **25.08.2021**, as per the appellant, the information was not supplied by the PIO. The respondent was absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor had filed a reply to the show cause notice as well as not provided the information. The PIO was given one last opportunity to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing and file a reply to the **show-cause notice** otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO has nothing to say in the matter and the decision will be taken ex-party.

On the date of the hearing on **27.10.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information. The respondent was absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor had sent any reply to the show-cause notice.

Since the responsibility to ensure the timely transmission of correct information to the appellant lies on the PIO, the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot was held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, and repeatedly defying the orders of the Commission. Further, since the appellant had to suffer undue inconvenience to get the information, the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot was directed to pay an **amount of Rs.5000/-** via demand draft as compensation to the appellant and submit proof of having compensated the appellant.

The decision on show cause was to be taken on the next date of hearing.

On the date of I hearing on **01.12.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information nor had paid any compensation as per the order of the Commission.

That Smt.Jaswinder Kaur, Naib Tehsildar Mamdot appeared and informed that she had just joined as Naib Tehsildar on 29.11.2021 and the delay had occurred on the part of the earlier PIO. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and provide information and pay the compensation amount to the appellant by way of a demand draft. The PIO was also directed to send details of **all the PIOs deputed** at Mamdot (Name of PIO/Date of posting/date of relieving charge/present posting) from the date of filing of RTI application till date.

On the date of **hearing on 04.04.2022**, the appellant informed that the PIO has neither supplied the information nor has paid compensation as per order of the Commission.

The PIO also did not file reply to the show cause notice. Smt.Jaswinder Kaur, Naib Tehsildar Mamdot informed that Sh.Neeraj Kumar was the PIO when the RTI application was filed and at the time of issue of show cause notice till 19.07.2021 (show cause was issued on 28.06.2021) and Sh.Neeraj Kumar has now been transferred and posted at Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot.

Since the responsibility to ensure the timely transmission of correct information to the appellant lies on the PIO, and as per information, Sh.Neeraj Kumar was the PIO when the RTI application was filed and at the time of issue of show cause notice, Sh.Neeraj Kumar-PIO-Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot (now transferred and posted as Naib Tehsildar Dhar Kalan, Distt. Pathankot) was held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, and repeatedly defying the orders of the Commission.

Further Sh.Neeraj Kumar, PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot also failed to provide information in complaint case No.114 of 2021 as well as not filed reply to the show cause notice even providing sufficient opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice, which implied that that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter.

Hence, a penalty of **Rs.25,000/-** was imposed on the Sh.Neeraj Kumar, PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot (Now transferred and posted as Naib Tehsildar Dhar Kalan, Distt.Pathankot) in both complaint cases(CC-113 & CC-114 of 2021) with the direction to deposit the same in Govt. Treasury and submit a copy of the challan as evidence of depositing the penalty in the Govt Treasury.

The present PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot was also given one last opportunity to comply the earlier order of the Commission and provide compensation amount to the complainant by way of a demand draft otherwise the Commission will be constrained to mark the case to the higher authority for disciplinary action against the PIO under section 20(2) of the RTI Act 2005.

On the date of last hearing on **28.06.2022**, both the parties were absent. Reply to the show-cause had been sent after the pronouncement of the order. The opportunity to reply to the show-cause was not availed in spite of repeated opportunities. Plea was rejected. The PIO was directed to come up for compliance.

Hearing dated 20.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The appellant claims that the PIO has neither provided the information nor has paid the compensation amount.

The respondent is absent nor is represented. The Commission has received a letter alongwith a copy of challan through email from the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot that the compensation amount has been deposited in the Govt. Treasury and has sent a copy of challan to the Commission.

Complaint Case No. 113 of 2021

The PIO has wrongly deposited the amount of Rs.5000/- in the treasury since as per order of the Commission, the compensation was to be paid to the complainant by way of a demand draft. The PIO is directed to pay the compensation amount to the complainant by way of a demand draft to be issued from the account of public authority and send a copy of same to the Commission.

Sh.Neeraj Kumar-Naib Tehsildar Dhar Kalan, Distt.Pathankot (Earlier PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot) is also absent nor has deposited the amount of penalty since no proof of having deposited the penalty in the Govt. Treasury has been received.

Sh.Neeraj Kumar (earlier PIO-Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot) is given one last opportunity to comply with the order of the Commission, and deposit the penalty amount in the Govt. Treasury and send a copy of challan as proof of having depositing the same. If not, the commission will mark this order to the Financial Commissioner, Revenue for further proceedings.

To come up for compliance on **15.11.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

Chandigarh
Dated :20.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to :1. Sh.Neeraj Kumar, Naib Tehsildar,
DC Office Ferozepur.



Sh. Karnail Singh, S/o ShChhanga Singh,
New Azad Nagar,
Ferozpur City.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot,
Distt. Ferozpur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 114 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 26.11.2020 has sought information regarding the name of officers present during the demarcation of the land of village Lakha Singh Wala bearing khewat No.393 by revenue department on 07.08.2020 relating to unauthorized mining – a copy of rule under which patwari signed the demarcation report and submitted to Mining Officer Ferozpur – a copy of demarcation report of village Lakha Singh Wala from 01.01.2020 to 01.11.2020 along with maps – a copy of movement register - name & address of the owners of land present during demarcation and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Tehsildar Ferozpur which transferred the same to Naib Tehsildar Mamdot, Distt. Ferozpur u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 15.01.2021.

On the date of the first hearing on 28.06.2021, the complainant claimed that the PIO had not provided the information.

The respondent was absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence. Since there has been an enormous delay of more than seven months in attending to the RTI application and the Tehsildar had not responded to the RTI application, the PIO was issued a **show-cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file a reply on an affidavit.** The PIO-Naib Tehsildar, Mamdot was again directed to provide whatever information is available on record.

On the date of hearing on **25.08.2021**, as per the appellant, the information was not provided by the PIO. The respondent was absent on 2nd consecutive hearing nor had filed a reply to the show-cause notice as well as not provided the information.

Since the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot, District Ferozpur was flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously and not appearing before the commission despite various orders, to secure a nerring PIO's presence before the commission,ailable warrants of the PI-Naib Tahsildar, Mamdot were issued Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act through Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozpur for his presence before the Commission on 27.10.2021.

On the date of the hearing on **27.10.2021**, the appellant informed that he had filed an RTI application on 26.11.2020 with the office of Tehsildar Ferozpur who transferred the same to Naib Tehsildar Mamdot. The Naib Tehsildar Mamdot further marked the same to Kanoongo on 03.12.2020. The Kanoongo called the appellant on 22.12.2020 and informed that the RTI had been lost and asked for a fresh copy of the RTI application which was again handed over to the Kanoongo Sh.Santokh Singh. However, no information has yet been provided by the PIO.

The respondent was again absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice as well as not provided the information.

Complaint Case No. 114 of 2021

Since the responsibility to ensure the timely transmission of correct information to the appellant lies on the PIO, the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot was held guilty for not providing the information on time as prescribed under section 7, and repeatedly defying the orders of the Commission to provide the information. Further, since the appellant had to suffer undue inconvenience to get the information, the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot was directed to pay an amount of **Rs.10,000/-** via demand draft through Govt. Treasury as compensation to the appellant and submit proof of having compensated the appellant. The decision on show cause was to be taken on the next date of hearing.

On the date of hearing on **01.12.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information nor had paid any compensation as per the order of the Commission.

The respondent present pleaded that she had just joined as Naib Tehsildar Mamdot on 29.11.2021 and the delay had occurred on the part of the earlier PIO. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and provide information and pay the compensation amount to the appellant by way of a demand draft. The PIO was also directed to send details of all PIOs deputed at Mamdot (Name of PIO/Date of posting/date of relieving charge/present posting) from the date of filing of RTI application to date.

On the date of the hearing on 04.04.2022, the complainant informed that the PIO has neither supplied the information nor has paid the compensation amount as per order of the Commission.

The PIO also did not file reply to the show cause notice. Smt.Jaswinder Kaur, Naib Tehsildar Mamdot informed that Sh.Neeraj Kumar was the PIO when the RTI application was filed and at the time of issue of show cause notice till 19.07.2021 (show cause was issued on 28.06.2021) and Sh.Neeraj Kumar has now been transferred and posted at Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot.

Since Sh.Neeraj Kumar, PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot also failed to provide information in complaint case No.113 of 2021 as well as not filed a reply to the show cause notice even after being provided sufficient opportunity, Sh.Neeraj Kumar, PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot (Now transferred and posted as Naib Tehsildar Dhar Kalan, Distt. Pathankot) was being penalized in both complaint cases(CC-113 & CC-114 of 2021).

However, since the present PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot failed to pay the compensation amount to the complainant, the present PIO was given one last opportunity to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide the compensation amount to the complainant by way of a demand draft otherwise the Commission will be constrained to mark the case to the higher authority for disciplinary action against the PIO under section 20(2) of the RTI Act 2005.

On the date of last hearing on **28.06.2022**, both the parties were absent. Reply to the show-cause had been sent after the pronouncement of the order. The opportunity to reply to the show-cause was not availed in spite of repeated opportunities. Plea was rejected.

Earlier order stands. The case was to come up for compliance.

Hearing dated 20.09.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The appellant claims that the PIO has neither provided the information nor has paid the compensation amount.

Complaint Case No. 114 of 2021

The respondent is absent nor is represented. The Commission has received a letter alongwith a copy of challan through email from the PIO-Naib Tehsildar Mamdot that the compensation amount has been deposited in the Govt. Treasury and has sent a copy of the challan to the Commission.

The PIO has wrongly deposited the amount of Rs.10,000/- in the treasury, since, as per the order of the Commission, the compensation was to be paid to the complainant by way of a demand draft. The PIO is directed to pay the compensation amount to the complainant by way of a demand draft to be issued from account of public authority and send a copy of same to the Commission.

To come up for compliance on **15.11.2022 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ferozepur.

Chandigarh
Dated :20.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to :1. Sh.Neeraj Kumar, Naib Tehsildar,
DC Office, Ferozepur.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com	
---	--

Advocate Ravinder Singh,(9317561575)
R/o H No. 16, Shaheed Nagar,
Chhehratta, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Trust Engineer,
Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

First Appellate Authority
O/o Town Improvement Trust,
Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 0923 OF 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Jeewan Bansal, EO-cum-PIO and Sh.Ravinder Kumar, Xen for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 14.10.2020 has sought information on 06 points regarding the development work allotted to Ambar Enterprises by Improvement Trust from 01.01.2017 to 14.10.2020 - a copy of the work order – approval of the work allotted – a copy of the agreement with the contractor – the details of bank guarantee provided by the contractor – a copy of bills passed for payment as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Trust Engineer, Town Improvement Trust, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.11.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.Heminder Singh, State Information Commissioner on 01.06.2021. The advocate on behalf of the appellant informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent. The PO was directed to file written submission to the notice of the Commission and present the case personally on the next date of hearing.

On the date of the next hearing on 17.08.2021, the respondent was again absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of hearing on 27.10.2021, the respondent was absent nor had supplied the information. Viewing the delaying attitude of the respondent-PIO, a **show cause was issued under section 20 of the RTI Act** with the direction to file a reply on an affidavit.

The case last came up for **hearing before this Bench on 04.07.2022** through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The appellant vide email sought exemption. The appellant in the said mail also informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent for 3rd consecutive hearing nor had supplied the information as well has not replied to the show-cause notice.

Further, the respondent-PIO was also not appearing before the Commission in other appeal cases(AC-928/2021, AC-929/2021, AC-930/2021, 932/2021, 933/2021, 934/2021, 936/2021 & 937/2021) despite the order of the Commission.

Since the PIO, Improvement Trust, Amritsar was flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously and not appearing before the commission despite various orders of the Commission, and as per information, Sh.Jatinder Singh, EO Improvement Trust Amritsar was the PIO from the date of filing of the RTI application, to secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission, a bailable Warrant of the Sh.Jatinder Singh, EO Improvement Trust Amritsar was issued Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act. through Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar for his presence before the Commission on **20.09.2022**.

Hearing dated 20.09.2022:

Sh.Jeewan Bansal, EO-cum-PIO and Sh.Ravinder Kumar, Xen are present and informed that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information but with a delay of more than one year and nine months after the issue of warrants by the Commission.

Sh.Jeewan Bansal, EO-cum-PIO pleaded that he has just joined as EO-cum-PIO, and the delay has occurred on the part of the earlier PIO Sh.Jatinder Singh ,who, has since transferred and posted as EO-Improvement Trust, Sangrur.

The Commission observes that the show cause was issued to the PIO on 27.10.2021. However, from the date till now ,the PIO to whom the show cause was issued, did not appear nor reply to the show cause notice after which a warrant was issued. As per the details furnished by the respondent, Sh.Jatinder Singh was the PIO when the show cause was issued and remained PIO till 15.07.2022. Moreover, it was during this tenure that the subsequent orders were issued to the PIO to provide the information.

Sh.Jatinder Singh is continuously absent on all the hearings, nor has replied to the show cause notice. Sh.Jatinder Singh has also not filed reply to the show cause notice in other appeal cases (AC-928/2021, AC-929/2021, AC-930/2021, 932/2021, 933/2021, 934/2021, 936/2021 & 937/2021).

It is clear that the PIO has not availed the opportunity to file a reply to the show cause, which in turn means that the PIO has nothing to say on the matter.

Hence, given the above facts, all the appeal cases(AC-923/2021,AC-928/2021, AC-929/2021, AC-930/2021, 932/2021, 933/2021, 934/2021, 936/2021 & 937/2021) are clubbed and a penalty of **Rs.25,000/-** is imposed on the Sh.Jatinder Singh-EO-Cum-PIO, Improvement Trust, Amritsar (Now Transferred and posted as EO-Improvement Trust, Sangrur) in this case (as well as which will be deposited in the Govt. Treasury. Sh.Jatinder Singh-EO-Cum-PIO, Improvement Trust, Amritsar (Now Transferred and posted as EO-Improvement Trust, Sangrur) is directed to duly inform the Commission about the compliance of the orders by producing a copy of the challan as evidence of depositing the penalty in the Govt Treasury.

To come up for further hearing on **16.11.2022 at 11.00 AM through** vide conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. **Sh.Jatinder** Singh, EO-Improvement Trust, Sangrur (Earlier PIO-Improvement Trust, Amritsar) to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.09.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to:Sh.Jatinder Singh,
EO-Improvement Trust,
Sangrur.