

Ms. Rajbir Kaur (9592476814)

W/o Sh. Sukhpreet Singh, Near Civil Hospital, VPO Attari, Distt. Amritsar.

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o SCERT, Punjab, Chandigarh

Complaint Case No.: 934 of 2018 Date of Institution:29.08.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Ms. Indu Batra (Superintendent) along with Sh. Gurdeep Singh (Clerk) (88721-90247).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Indu Batra states that pending information relates with point no. 4 has already been sent to the complainant through registered post. She submits a letter dated 20.02.2019, which is taken on record.

3. Neither the complainant Ms. Rajbir Kaur is present for today's hearing nor did she file any reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that complainant,

Ms. Rajbir Kaur was absent on the previous hearings held on 18.12.2018 and 23.01.2019. It is presumed that complainant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Dr. Sandeep Kumar Gupta (9992931181) House No. 1722, Sector-14,

Hisar-125001.

Versus

Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o Addl. Chief Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry, Fisheries and Daily Department, Punjab, Chandigarh

Complaint Case No.: 964 of 2018 Date of Institution:11.09.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant. For the respondent: Sh. K.P.S. Pasricha (APIO)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019.

2. Complainant, Dr. Sandeep Kumar Gupta is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 15.02.2019 has been received from the complainant by the Bench of Undersigned stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and he is not satisfied with the supplied reply. This mail is taken on record

3. Respondent, Sh. K.P.S. Pasricha states that requisite information relates with third party and cannot be supplied to the complainant as ACR details, Service Record etc. comes under the demanded information. He submits a reply vide letter no. 388 dated 23.01.2019 signed by the Superintendent Grade-1-cum-PIO, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that personal information cannot be supplied as per the RTI Act. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

Sh. Harminder Singh (81465-00277)

S/o Sh. Jaspal Singh Q. No. H./ 3 A, District Jail, Nabha

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o New District Jail, Nabha-147201

Complaint Case No.: 1004 of 2018 Date of Institution:20.09.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Sh. Harminder Singh, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Randhir Singh (Warden) (96461-02463)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Complainant, Sh. Harminder Singh states that requisite information has been received from

the respondent PIO.

- 3. Respondent, Sh. Randhir Singh is present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no further cause of action is required in this

case. Hence, the instant complaint case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Respondent

Complainant



Ms. Ramandeep Kaur (95927-11487)

W/o Sh. Ajitpal Singh (S/o Sh.Ganda Singh) R/o Dashmesh Nagar, Bhawanigarh, **District Sangrur**

Public Information Officer

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

O/o Principal, S.U.S. Adarsh School, Bald Khurd, District Sangrur

Complaint Case No.: 1045 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajit Pal Singh on behalf of the complainant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019. 1

2. Representative of the Complainant, Sh. Ajit Pal Singh states that no information has been received from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

After hearing the representative of the complainant, Sh. Ajit Pal Singh and examining the 4. case file, it is observed that on the last hearing held on 22.01.2019 respondent PIO was absent without any intimation to the Commission. Another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the reply/information to the complainant along with directions to represent this case. A copy of previous order was sent to him through registered post and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.02.2019 i.e. today but the respondent PIO failed to do so.

5. A last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 22.01.2019 along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order to be sent to him by name through registered post for his ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 15.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o Principal, S.U.S. Adarsh School, Bald Khurd, District Sangrur



Sh. Sanjeev Goyal (98141-97689)

S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, Kothi No. 148, Model Town Phase-1, Near T.V. Tower, Bathinda-151001

Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar

Complaint Case No.: 1052 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Complainant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 20.02.2019 has been received from the complainant by the Bench of Undersigned stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requisite information has not been provided to him. This mail is taken on record.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the last hearing held on 22.01.2019 respondent PIO was absent without any intimation to the Commission. Another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to supply the reply/information to the complainant along with directions to represent this case. A copy of previous order was sent to him through registered post and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.02.2019 i.e. today but the respondent PIO failed to do so.

A last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 22.01.2019 along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him by name through **registered post** for his ready reference.</u>

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar

Sh. Gurmeet Singh S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh, Chowk No. 3, Bathinda Road, Jaiton.

Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, MC, Jaiton.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Director, Local Government, Mini Secretariat, Ferozpur.

Appeal Case No. 1051 of 2018

Versus

Present:Sh. Gurmeet Singh, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Komal Sharma (Court Clerk). (79861-80955).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019.

2. Complainant, Sh. Gurmeet Singh states that incomplete information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Komal Sharma states that concerned official EO is unable to attend today's hearing.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 11.07.2018, 13.08.2018 and 26.09.2018. On the last hearing held on 23.01.2019, Sh. Rajnish Sood, EO-cum-PIO appeared and stated that he has brought the point-wise requisite information and he was directed to send it through registered post to the appellant.

In today's hearing, it is observed that Sh. Komal Sharma does not aware about the facts of this case which cause wastage of precious time and resources of the Public as well as of the Commission.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Gurmeet Singh.

6. Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Rupees Only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Gurmeet Singh from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

7. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.

The PSIC ussiller

Appellant

Respondents



Appeal Case No. 1051 of 2018

8. Respondent PIO is also directed to supply the pending information to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy</u>

of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.04.2019 at 11:00 AM.**

10. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Executive Officer, MC, Jaiton.



Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu (94630-20780)

Ward No. 25, Mohalla Radharka, Mansa-151505, Punjab

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Punjabi University, Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o Vice Chancellor, Punjabi University,Patiala

Appeal Case No.: 2347 of 2018

Present: Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Advocate, Sh. Vikrant Sharma.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Appellant, Dr. Jaskaran Singh Sidhu states that he is not satisfied with the reply of the respondent PIO. He requested the respondent to supply the Order of the High Court through which

respondent cannot be supply the requisite information

3. On this, respondent, Advocate, Sh. Vikrant Sharma states that supplying information can be harmful so requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant.

4. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that Punjabi University, Patiala is my Parent Office and both the parties relate to Punjabi University, Patiala. It will be in fitness of things if this case is re-allocated to some other Bench. Therefore, this case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to re-allocate this case to the other Bench to decide the matter.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to:

The Deputy Registrar (Alongwith Case File)

Appellant

Respondent



Ms. Manjit Kaur

Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, Bhillowal, PO. Preet Nagar, Distt. Amritsar-143110

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec School Lopoke, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO(SE), Cantt. Area Near Ranjit Avenue, Distt. Amritsar-143001

Appeal Case No.: 3363 of 2018 Date of Institution:03.10.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Satraj Bir (JA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Satraj Bir states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant twice through registered post dated 15.01.2019 and 30.01.2019.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did she file any reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was

also absent on the previous hearing held on 22.01.2019 without any intimation to the Commission. A copy of previous order was sent to her though registered post along with advice to point out the deficiency in the supplied information but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant and the appellant also failed to represent this case today. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Ms. Manjit Kaur

Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, Bhillowal, PO. Preet Nagar, Distt. Amritsar-143110

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3364 of 2018 Date of Institution:03.10.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 22.01.2019,

Sh. Vishal Kumar was appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO and stated that requisite information was sent to the appellant at address of Amritsar. He also mentioned that appellant provided her address of Solan and requisite information was again sent to her at the address of Solan.

Appellant was also absent without any intimation to the Commission and a copy of previous order was sent to her though registered post along with advice to point out the deficiency in the supplied information but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant and the appellant also failed to represent this case today. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Sh. Jaspal Singh S/o Sh. Avtar Singh, House No. 263 A/13, Gali No. 8, Hussainpura East, Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Superintending Engineer (O & M), O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 3401 of 2018 Date of Institution:04.10.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019 Sh. Amrit Pal Singh on behalf of the appellant.

Present: Sh. Amrit Pal Singh on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (JA).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Amrit Pal Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Balwinder Singh handed over the requisite information to the representative of the appellant, Sh. Amrit Pal Singh during the hearing. He submits a copy of the supplied information vide letter no. 41 dated 18.02.2019 signed by PIO-cum-Executive Engineer (O & M), West Block, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar along with copy of letter no. 738 dated 29.11.2018, which are taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that supplied information fulfills all the aspects of the RTI application. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Sh. Jaspal Singh

S/o Sh. Avtar Singh, House No. 263 A/13, Gali No. 8, Hussainpura East, Amritsar

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

(Regd. Post) Superintending Engineer (O & M), O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Respondent

Present: Sh. Amrit Pal Singh on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (JA).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.01.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Amrit Pal Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

Appeal Case No.: 3404 of 2018

3. Respondent, Sh. Balwinder Singh states that RTI application has not been received in their office and demanded the RTI application so that requisite information could be supplied to the appellant.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, copy of RTI application is handed over to the respondent, Sh. Balwinder Singh during the hearing. Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, who is Superintendent Engineer (O & M), Office of Commissioner, MC, Amritsar to supply the reply/information to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively along with advice to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) who is well aware about the case, on the next date of hearing positively. A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.04.2019 at 11:00 AM.**

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Ms. Bano Devi W/o Sh. Tahal Singh Vilage Theh Banhera, Tehsil Guhla, District Kaithal.

Public Information Officer

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

O/o Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 3058 of 2018 Date of Institution:07.09.2018 Date of Decision: 20.02.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Manjit Ram (Record Keeper).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Manjit Ram states that requisite information has already been supplied to

the appellant twice.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did she file any reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that appellant was also absent on the previous hearings held on 18.12.2018 and 23.01.2019 without any intimation to the Commission. On the last hearing held on 23.01.2019, another opportunity was given to her to represent this case to clear the facts and point out deficiency in the supplied information but till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant and the appellant also failed to represent this case today. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019



Appellant

Sh. Harinder Pal Singh (98153-44250) (Regd. Post) Sr. Vice President, Private School Association, Nabha-147201.

Versus

Public Information Officer (Regd. Post) O/o DEO (SE), Patiala

First Appellate Authority (Regd. Post) O/o DPI, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.: 3098 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 23.01.2019, both the parties were absent. Another opportunity was given to both the parties and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.02.2019 i.e. today but both the parties are failed to represent this case.

4. After examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 18.12.2018 along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. A last and final opportunity is also given to the appellant to represent this case in person to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided in his absence.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

Chandigarh Date: 20.02.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent