**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Navdeep Gupta,

Kothi No.455, Gillco Valley,

Kharar, District: SAS Nagar. --------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Inspector General, Punjab Police,

Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 677 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Sandhu, S.P. Investigation Khanna; Shri Roor Singh, ASI, Incharge RTI Khanna and Shri Amarjit Singh, H.C., on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Shri Navdeep Gupta, vide his RTI application dated 05.03.2017, addressed to CPIO, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi, sought certified copies of rules and regulations relating to announcement of cash reward by police. On receiving no information, he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 02.07.2017, which was received in the Commission on 10.07.2017. Accordingly, a Hearing Notice was issued to the parties for 16.08.2017.

2. On 16.08.2017, Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Sandhu, S.P. Investigation Khanna, appearing on behalf of the respondent PIO, submitted detailed reply vide letter No. 1966/RTI, dated 12.08.2017. Since the complainant was not present, the
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representative of the respondent PIO was directed to send a copy of the reply to the complainant by registered post and the complainant was directed to furnish his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Sandhu, S.P. Investigation Khanna, appearing on behalf of the respondent PIO, informs that as per the orders of the Commission passed on the last date of hearing, a copy of reply has been sent to the complainant. The complainant asserts that he wants only a copy of Rules/Instructions in vogue on the subject. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter, the representative of the respondent PIO is directed to supply a copy of Rules/Instructions on the subject, if available in their record, to the complainant or submit the factual position in the matter, on the next date of hearing.

4. To come up on **23.10.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

  **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Ms. Rajni d/o Shri Raghbir Singh,

R/O D-2/246, Ekta Nagar

c/o Dr. Narinder Kumar, Chhota Haripur,

Amritsar-143003. ----Complainant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Social Security Officer,

Amritsar. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 722 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Smt. Kanwaljit Kaur, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 In this case, Ms. Rajni, vide her RTI application dated 22.05.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought Action Taken Report on the application of Divangan dated 11.05.2016 and on her e-mail dated 21.05.2016 requesting for disability pension. On receiving no information, she filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 14.07.2017, which was received in the Commission on 17.07.2017. Accordingly, a Hearing Notice was issued to the parties for 16.08.2017.
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2. On 16.08.2017, Smt. Nirmal Kumari, Senior Assistant, Directorate of Social Security and Women & Child Development , Chandigarh, appearing on behalf of the respondent, submitted a letter No. RTI Act(SS)-2017/870, dated 14.08.2017 from Deputy Director-cum-PIO vide which it was informed that the RTI application of the complainant had been transferred under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to Shri Narinder Singh Pannu, District Social Security Officer, Amritsar vide letter No. RTI Act(SS)-2017/774, dated 07.07.2017 for supplying requisite information to the complainant. Accordingly, District Social Security Officer, Amritsar was impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he was directed to furnish requisite Action Taken Report to the complainant, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the complainant is not present. However, a letter dated 15.09.2017 has been received from her informing that she is unable to attend hearing being 100% handicapped. She has further informed that she is not willing to submit fresh Application Form as she has uploaded her application on the Web Site of the Government as per Law.

4. Smt. Kanwaljit Kaur, Superintendent, submits a letter No. DSSO/2-17/2291, dated 18.09.2017 from District Social Security Officer, Amritsar vide which it has been informed that the application of the complainant for disability pension is not traceable in the absence of application number and date. The applicant has been

Contd……p/3

**CC - 722 of 2017 -3-**

contacted to provide the number and date of her application which she refused to provide. She was also requested to submit fresh application form but she did not agree.

5 After hearing the representative of the respondent PIO and going through the documents placed on record, District Social Security Officer, Amritsar is directed to make a written submission on the next date of hearing certifying as to whether the application form of the applicant has been received in their office or not.

6. To come up on **11.10.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Narinder Singh Pannu, REGISTERED**

 **District Social Security Officer,**

 **Amritsar**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Rakesh Kumar

s/o Shri Ranjit Singh,

r/o H.No.5805, Gali No.15,

Guru Angad Dev Colony, Ati Road,

Ludhiana. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Daba, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1942 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Harmeet Singh, ASI and Shri Jarnail Singh, H.C. Police Station Daba, Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 16.08.2017, when the appellant submitted that no information had been supplied to him so far since 29.07.2016 and he had suffered a lot of hardship since then mentally as well as financially. He requested that the requisite information might be provided to him and he might be suitably compensated for the loss and detriment suffered by him. Accordingly, the PIO was
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directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Besides, a compensation of Rs. 2000/- was awarded to the appellant to be paid through a Bank Draft by the Public Authority for the loss and detriment suffered by him. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant, which has been duly received by him. He submits a copy of receipt taken from the appellant, which is taken on record. However, compensation amount has not been paid to the appellant so far by the respondent PIO. Accordingly, it is directed that compensation amount of Rs. 2,000/- be paid to the appellant by the Public Authority through a Bank Draft before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission.

3. To come up on **23.10.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for confirmation of compliance of orders.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Gurvinder Singh, REGISTERED**

 **Inspector/SHO,**

 **PoliceStation, Daba,**

 **Ludhiana.**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Gagandeep Singh Janjua,

Village Tura, P.O. Kumbh,

Tehsil Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib-147301. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Financial Commissioner to Govt. of Punjab ,

Department of Revenue, Punjab Civil Secretariat,

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Financial Commissioner to Govt. of Punjab ,

Department of Revenue, Punjab Civil Secretariat,

Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1977 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Gagandeep Singh, appellant, in person.

Smt. Santosh Rani, Superintendent-cum-APIO, office of FCR; Smt. Gurmeet Kaur, Senior Assistant and Shri Jatinder Kumar, Sales Clerk, office of Tehsildar Nawan-Shahr, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 16.08.2017, when the representative of the respondents, appearing on behalf of Tehsildar Nawan-Shahr, sought some more time to enable them to supply the requisite information to the appellant, which was granted. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representatives of the respondents inform that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant, who expresses satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Jaspal Singh

s/o Shri Ramesh Arora,

H.No.319/3, Gurdeep Nagar,

Jagraon, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Governor of Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Governor of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Principal Secretary Local Government, Punjab,

(LG-3 Branch) Municipal Bhawan,

Sector:35(Near Kissan Bhawan), Chandigarh. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1987 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh, Appellant, in person.

Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Superintendent, LG-3 Branch and Smt. Reva, Senior Assistant, Punjab Raj Bhawan, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 16.08.2017, when a letter No. PRB/1/4/16-2G/4317-A, dated 11.08.2017 was received from Shri Jagpal Singh, Superintendent-cum-APIO, Governor House, Punjab, Chandigarh vide which reply had been furnished from the PIO stating that the RTI application of the appellant had been transferred, in original, to the Principal Secretary to Government, Punjab, Local Government
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Department, for supplying the complete information to the appellant. Accordingly, the PIO of the office of Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab, Local Government Department(LG-3 Branch) was impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Shri Sanjeev Kumar, Superintendent, LG-3 Branch, appearing on behalf of the respondents, informs that the RTI application of the appellant has been sent to Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Government Department, for conducting an inquiry into the matter and send a report. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter, it is directed that a status report be furnished to the appellant as well as to the Commission within a week. It is also directed that as and when the inquiry is complete, a copy of the Inquiry Report be provided to the appellant. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma,

Advocate, Chamber No.7022/2,

District Courts, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Incharge, Police Post,

Kochhar Market, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Division No.5,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1938 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Bhupinder Singh, H.C., on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 30.06.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information under Section 7 of the RTI Act, 2005 regarding arrest of five accused persons mentioned in FIR No. 83, dated 28.0-3.2017 U/S 466, 467, 468, 469, 471, 472, 420, 120B IPC at Police Station, Daba, Ludhiana. On receiving no information, he filed First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority-cum-S.H.O. of Police Station Division No. 5, Ludhiana and subsequently approached the Commission vide letter dated 19.07.2017, which was received in the Commission on 19.07.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for 16.08.2017.
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2. On 16.08.2017, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents, without any intimation. Taking a lenient view, one last opportunity was afforded to the PIO to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. He was also directed to explain reasons for non-supply of information and also for his absence, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 would be initiated against him. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 19.09.2017 has been received from him through e-mail informing that he is unable to attend hearing. He has further informed that complete information has not been supplied to him as yet. He has requested for adjournment to a short date.

4. On the request of the appellant, the case is adjourned to **26.09.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Dharamvir s/o Shri Ratu Ram,

r/o House No. 2479, Sector 39C,

Chandigarh --------Complainant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

O/o GMADA Mohali.

First Appellate Authority

O/o GMADA Mohali. -------Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 1565 of 2016**

Present:- Shri Dharamvir, complainant, in person.

Shri Sanjeev Gupta, Divisional Engineer ( C), PUDA, Patiala; Shri Balwinder Singh, Advocate, on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Gupta and Shri Devinder Kumar, Sub Divisional Engineer, GMADA, Mohali, on behalf of the respondent-public authority.

**ORDER**

 In this case, during hearing on 17.07.2017, the respondent-PIO was directed to trace the missing record or reconstruct the record so that the requisite information could be supplied to the complainant. He was further directed to submit reply to the Show Cause notice issued to him and avail the opportunity of personal hearing. The case was adjourned to 17.08.2017.

2. On 17.08.2017, in compliance to the previous order, Shri Sanjeev Gupta, XEN-Cum-PIO appeared and informed that concerned offices had reverted back to

Contd……p/2

**CC - 1565 of 2016 -2-**

inform that missing record was not traceable. However, he did not file any reply to the show cause notice issued to him on 14.6.2017. Accordingly, the respondent-PIO was directed to file appropriate reply to show cause notice issued to him on 14.6.2017 and also submit inquiry report fixing responsibility of the erring officials, responsible for misplacing the record. He was further directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant after reconstructing the record. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, Shri Balwinder Singh, Ld. Counsel for Shri Sanjeev Gupta, XEN(Civil), Patiala Development Authority, PUDA Office, Patiala, the then PIO GMADA, Mohali, submits reply to the Show-Cause Notice from Shri Sanjeev Gupta. Ld. Counsel inter-alia further submits that the demanded information is more than 20 years old, which is not liable to be supplied to the applicant. He also submits that Shri Sanjeev Gupta, XEN has never been appointed as PIO in the instant case by GMADA authorities. He requests that Shri Sanjeev Gupta, XEN, may be exempted from personal appearance during future hearings as he would appear on his behalf. Consequently, Shri Sanjeev Gupta is exempted from personal appearance till that time his presence is not required.

4. After hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, Ld. Counsel for Shri Sanjeev Gupta is directed to provide a copy of the reply to the complainant as well as to the present PIO of the office of GMADA, Mohali for submitting their observations, if any, on the next date of hearing.
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5. A copy each of the order is forwarded to Chief Administrator, PUDA and GMADA, Mohali to file a reply in his personal capacity to apprise the Commission as to who was the PIO at the time of submission of instant RTI application by the complainant, to facilitate the Commission to arrive at a logical conclusion while deciding this case.

6. To come up on **23.10.2017** **at** **11.30 A.M.** **for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab.**

**CC: 1. Shri Ravi Bhagat, IAS,**

 **Chief Administrator,**

 **PUDA, PUDA Bhawan,**

 **Mohali.**

 **2. Shri Ravi Bhagat, IAS,**

 **Chief Administrator,**

 **GMADA, PUDA Bhawan,**

 **Mohali.**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Paramveer Singh

s/o Shri Manjeet Singh, H.No.107,

Mohalla Rampura, Dalhousie Road,

Pathankot-145001. --------Appellant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Director General School Education (SE), Punjab,

Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director General School Education (SE), Punjab,

Mohali. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1389 of 2017**

Present:- Shri N. K. Vadehra, Advocate, on behalf of the appellant.

 Ms. Vidhu, Assistant Manager Finance. on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 17.08.2017, when the representative of the respondent informed that the appellant visited their office to inspect the record just one day before the date of hearing and asked for voluminous information, which was not possible to provide. Accordingly, the respondent was directed to get the record inspected by the appellant to identify the documents required by him and supply him maximum 20 pages, free of cost. In case any information was not available or any document could not be supplied, then PIO was directed to pass a Speaking Order in this regard. The case was adjourned for today.
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2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the record has not been inspected by the appellant as yet. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to inspect the record and identify the documents running into maximum 20 pages, which will be supplied to him by the respondent PIO, free of cost. The representative of the respondents assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

3. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and**

**closed.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab.**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Ranjit Singh, Advocate,

Chamber No.122, Mahatma Gandhi Complex,

District Courts, Patiala. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Sangrur. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1003 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ranjit Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ram Pal, Inspector, Vigilance Bureau; Shri Prem Masih, ASI ; Shri Jeet Sharma, ASI and Shri Jaspinder Singh, Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 17.08.2017, when Shri Prem Masih, ASI appearing on behalf of the respondents informed that no letter dated 25.5.2015 was received in their office. A serious view was taken as no responsible officer was present from three Public Authorities. The respondents are directed to file formal replies in this behalf before the next date of hearing. They were further directed to expedite the supply of information. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the application
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of the appellant has been traced, which is pending with the PIO of the office of S.S.P. Sangrur. Accordingly, the PIO of the office of S.S.P. Sangrur is impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he is directed to submit status report of the case on the next date of hearing. Other Public Authorities, involved in this case, are exempted from further appearance, till the time they are not required.

3. To come up on **23.10.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma

Advocate, H.No.258, Sector 4,

MDC, Panchkula. --------Appellant

 **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Police Station, Zirakpur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Police Station, Zirakpur. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 852 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma, appellant, in person.

 Shri Nirbhey Singh, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 17.08.2017, when the appellant informed that the provided information was incomplete. Accordingly, he was advised to furnish deficiencies in the provided information to the PIO and the respondent-PIO was directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the remaining record is not available. Accordingly, the PIO is directed to trace the missing record and supply complete information to the appellant and in case it does not become available, then a written submission to this effect be made on the next date of hearing. Besides, it is also directed that some responsible officer, who is well conversant with the facts of the case, be deputed to present the case.

3. To come up on **23.10.2017** at **11.30 A.M.** **for further proceedings.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab.**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

 **Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

 **Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

 **Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** **scic@punjabmail.gov.in**

Shri Gulshan Mehta, Advocate,

Kothi No.58, Green Enclave, Zirakpur,

District SAS Nagar. --------Complainant

  **Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Sub Tehsildar, Dera Bassi,

SAS Nagar. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1751 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the complainant as well as the respondent.

**ORDER**

 The case was last heard on 17.08.2017, when the appellant appeared before the Commission before the hearing in the case started. He places his observations on the record of the case file and sought permission to leave for Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, as he had to attend an urgent case there. He was allowed to leave the Commission.

2. Later, the respondent-PIO appeared and informed hat the complainant was requested to visit their office and get the copies of the documents after identification of record but he did not turn up. Accordingly, a copy of the observations placed on record by the complainant, was handed over to the respondent-PIO with the direction to send his reply/available information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. Last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to visit office of
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respondent-PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to inspect the record to identify the documents required by him and the respondent-PIO was directed to supply the identified documents, on the spot. It was also directed to pass a Speaking Order in case the sought information was not available on the record of the respondent-PIO. The case was adjourned for today.

3. Today, None is present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, a letter dated 19.09.2017 has been received from the appellant, through e-mail, informing that he is unable to attend the hearing. He has further informed that deficient/remaining information has not been supplied to him by the respondent-PIO. Accordingly, the appellant is again directed to visit the office of the PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to inspect the record to identify the documents still required by him, which will be supplied to him by the PIO, on the spot, under intimation to the Commission.

4. To come up on **23.10.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for confirmation of compliance of orders.**

 **Sd/-**

**Dated : 19.09.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

 **Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**