Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Monika Rani

W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka. Versus

#### Complaint Case No.: 1131 of 2018

Present:Sh. Rajesh Kumar on behalf of the complainant.<br/>For the respondent: Sh. Captain Singh (Lab Attendant)

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Captain Singh states that requisite information has already been sent to the complainant through registered post.

He submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2138 dated 16.08.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018, which is taken on record. He also submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2121 dated 24.07.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018 along with supporting documents, which is taken on record

3. On this, representative of the complainant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar states that only two pages have been received from the respondent PIO and presented before the Commission during the hearing.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, It is also observed that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 18.02.2019, 25.03.2019 and 01.05.2019. On hearing held on 01.05.2019, Show Cause notice was issued to the respondent PIO and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.06.2019.

On hearing held on 19.06.2019, Sh. Brij Mohan (Deputy DEO) was appeared and stated that no Public Information Officer has been appointed in the office of DEO (SE), Fazilka. Respondent was advised to supply the requisite information to the complainant and compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/- was awarded to the complainant vide order dated 19.06.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 29.07.2019.

On hearing 29.07.2019, last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to appear in person to clear the facts of this case but respondent PIO failed to represent this case today.

It is observed that respondent, Sh. Captain Singh does not aware about the facts of this case.

Complainant

5. In view of the defying attitude of the respondent PIO neither attending the court nor submitting any explanation for the delay in supplying the information within the time schedule as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005, the court comes to a logical conclusion that it is a fit case for the imposition of penalty amounting to Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) on the PIO. Therefore, taking a lenient view, I impose a penalty of **Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) on the concerned respondent PIO, Office of DEO (SE), Fazilka.** The Drawing and Disbursing Officer of the office of the DEO (SE), Fazilka is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 5,000/- from the salary of the concerned respondent PIO and deposited in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission:-

"0070-Other Administrative Services

60 other Services

800-Other Receipts

86-Fee under the RTI Act, 2005"

6. The perusal of the file also shows that respondent PIO has no regard for the Notice and Orders issued by the Commission. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through **registered post** for his ready reference. A copy of this order be sent to Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi (Deputy DEO), who is the concerned respondent PIO as per respondent, Sh. Captain Singh for his ready reference.

7. <u>Respondent PIO is also directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before</u> the next date of hearing positively.

8. <u>A copy of the this order be sent to the DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali by name through **registered post** to look into the matter and for the compliance of this order and make sure the concerned respondent PIO to be present on the next date of hearing</u>

9. It is also observed that Appeal Case No. 1131 of 2018 was inadvertently mentioned as Appeal Case No. 1131 of 2019 in the orders passed by the Commission dated 01.05.2019 and 29.07.2019.

10. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

11. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered post.

#### Chandigarh 19.08.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

## **Regd. Post:**

**Director of Public Instructions (SE) Punjab, (By Name)** 4<sup>TH</sup> Floor, PSEB, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi** (Deputy DEO-Cum-PIO), O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Monika Rani

W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka. Versus

Complainant

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 1132 of 2018

Present:Sh. Rajesh Kumar on behalf of the complainant.<br/>For the respondent: Sh. Captain Singh (Lab Attendant)

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Captain Singh states that reply has already been sent to the complainant through registered post.

He submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2138 dated 16.08.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018, which is taken on record in original in Complaint Case No. 1131 of 2018. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case. He also submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2121 dated 24.07.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018along with supporting documents, which is taken on record in original in Complaint Case No. 1131 of 2018. A copy of the same is taken on record in original in Complaint Case No. 1131 of 2018. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case.

3. On this, representative of the complainant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar states that respondent PIO denied to supply the requisite information under Section 8 (1) (h) and requested the Commission to supply the requisite information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, It is also observed that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 18.02.2019, 25.03.2019 and 01.05.2019. On hearing held on 01.05.2019, Show Cause notice was issued to the respondent PIO and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.06.2019.

On hearing held on 19.06.2019, Sh. Brij Mohan (Deputy DEO) was appeared and stated that no Public Information Officer has been appointed in the office of DEO (SE), Fazilka. Respondent was advised to supply the requisite information to the complainant and compensation amounting Rs. 1,500/- was awarded to the complainant vide order dated 19.06.2019 and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 29.07.2019.

On hearing 29.07.2019, last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to appear in person to clear the facts of this case but respondent PIO failed to represent this case today. It is observed that respondent, Sh. Captain Singh does not aware about the facts of this case.

5. In view of the defying attitude of the respondent PIO neither attending the court nor submitting any explanation for the delay in supplying the information within the time schedule as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005, the court comes to a logical conclusion that it is a fit case for the imposition of penalty amounting to Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) on the PIO. Therefore, taking a lenient view, I impose a penalty of **Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) on the concerned respondent PIO, Office of DEO (SE), Fazilka.** The Drawing and Disbursing Officer of the office of the DEO (SE), Fazilka is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 5,000/- from the salary of the concerned respondent PIO and deposited in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission:-

"0070-Other Administrative Services

60 other Services

800-Other Receipts

86-Fee under the RTI Act, 2005"

6. The perusal of the file also shows that respondent PIO has no regard for the Notice and Orders issued by the Commission. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through <u>registered</u> **post** for his ready reference. A copy of this order be sent to Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi (Deputy DEO), who is the concerned respondent PIO as per respondent, Sh. Captain Singh for his ready reference.

7. <u>Respondent PIO is also directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before</u> the next date of hearing positively as it is not a third party and not exempted under Section 8 of the <u>RTI Act, 2005.</u>

8. <u>A copy of the this order be sent to the DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali by name through **registered post** to look into the matter and for the compliance of this order and make sure the concerned respondent PIO to be present on the next date of hearing</u>

9. It is also observed that Appeal Case No. 1132 of 2018 was inadvertently mentioned as Appeal Case No. 1132 of 2019 in the orders passed by the Commission dated 01.05.2019 and 29.07.2019.

10. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

11. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

# Chandigarh 19.08.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

## Regd. Post:

**Director of Public Instructions (SE) (By Name)** 4<sup>TH</sup> Floor, PSEB, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi** (Deputy DEO-Cum-PIO), O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka

TH HUR RUNA A SHARE 7formatic

#### Smt. Monika Rani

W/o Sh. Naresh Gumber, # B-1864, Street No. 4 E, Kailash Nagar Tehsil & District, Fazilka 152123.

Versus

Complainant

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

#### Appeal Case No.: 3473 of 2018

Present:	Sh. Rajesh Kumar on behalf of the appellant.
	For the respondent: Sh. Captain Singh (Lab Attendant)
<u>ORDER</u>	

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Captain Singh states that information has already been sent to the

appellant.

He submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2138 dated 16.08.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018, which is taken on record in original in Complaint Case No. 1131 of 2018. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case. He also submits a reply vide letter no. RTI/FZK/2019-2121 dated 24.07.2019 signed by the First Appellate Authority, O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka in connection with Appeal Case No. 1131, 1132 and 3473 of 2018along with supporting documents, which is taken on record in original in Complaint Case No. 1131 of 2018. A copy of the same is taken on record in this case.

3. On this, representative of the appellant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar states that there are deficiencies in the supplied information and states that he is not satisfied with the supplied information in connection with point no. 1, 2 and 4.

4. After discussing with both the parties, respondent PIO is directed to supply the proper reply in connection with point no. 1 and 2 and he is also directed to supply the Service Book (Sewa Patri) in connection with point no. 4 to the appellant as per the queries raised by the appellant in RTI application before the next date of hearing positively.

5. A copy of this order be sent to Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi (Deputy DEO), who is the concerned respondent PIO as per respondent, Sh. Captain Singh for his ready reference.

6. A copy of the this order be sent to the DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali by name through registered post to look into the matter and for the compliance of this order and make sure the concerned respondent PIO to be present on the next date of hearing

7. It is also observed that Appeal Case No. 3473 of 2018 was inadvertently mentioned as

Appeal Case No. 3473 of 2019 in the order passed by the Commission dated 29.07.2019.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

## Chandigarh 19.08.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

**Regd. Post:** 

**Director of Public Instructions (SE) (By Name)** 4<sup>TH</sup> Floor, PSEB, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**Sh. Brij Mohan Singh Bedi** (Deputy DEO-Cum-PIO), O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka

**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)** R/o Village Bholapur, PO-Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Informatic

म मुण्ठ त

**Public Information Officer** O/o MC, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, respondents (Sh. Vishal-Nodal PIO and Sh. Varinder Mohan-ATP-cum-PIO) who were present on the previous hearings held on 24.07.2019 are directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively. <u>A copy of this order be sent to them by</u>

name through registered post for their ready reference.

4. On the previous hearing Sh. Varinder Mohan was directed to deposit the penalty amount in the Government treasury account as per the order of the Commission dated 10.06.2019. A copy of previous order was sent to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through registered post to comply of order dated 24.07.2019.

5. <u>A copy of this order be sent to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar through</u>

## registered post to comply of this order.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

## Chandigarh 19.08.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Regd. Post

**The Commissioner** (By Name) Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

**Sh. Vishal (Nodal PIO)** O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

**Sh. Varinder Mohan (ATP-cum-PIO)** O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

 I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar
Versus
Public Information Officer
O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar
(Regd. Post)
First Appellate Authority
O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

Sh. Rakesh Sharma (98884-01973)

R/o 182/12, Main Bazar, Katra Parja,

Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

## <u>ORDER</u>

(Regd. Post)

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

3. After examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to

comply with the previous order dated 12.06.2019

4. Another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma to represent this case in

person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively.

5. <u>A copy of the this order be sent to the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar</u>

by name through registered post for the compliance of this order.

- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post.**

## Chandigarh 19.08.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post) O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar



Sh. Kuldip Singh (94788-68756) S/o Sh. Raghunath Dass Bazar Vakilan, Hoshiarpur - 146001

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur.

## Appeal Case No.: 3687 of 2018

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

Present: For the respondent: Ms. Manjeet Kaur (Superintendent-cum-PIO) (99155-24445) ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019. 1.

2. Respondent, Ms. Manjeet Kaur states that information relates with point no. 1 and 2 has not been received from the concerned respondent PIO and same has been intimated to the appellant. She added that no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till date.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Kuldip Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed either to collect the pending information from the concerned department and supply it to the appellant before the next date of hearing or file an affidavit on the next date of hearing.

5. A last and final opportunity is also given to the appellant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 19.08.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Gian Chand, H. No. 23, St. No. 2, Aman Avenue, Majitha Road, Amritsar. Versus

Appellant

(Regd. Post) O/o Assistant Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** (Regd. Post) O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Respondent

## Appeal Case No.: 3942 of 2018

Present: Nobody present. ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Major Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 19.08.2019 has been received by the bench of undersigned stating that 'very urgent work please extend the next date' in the subject line. This mail is taken on record.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO was also absent on the previous hearing on 24.07.2019 penalty amounting Rs. 10,000/- was imposed on the respondent PIO, O/o Assistant Commissioner, MC, Amritsar. A copy of previous order was sent to the respondent PIO, O/o Assistant Commissioner, MC, Amritsar by name through registered post, which returned to the Commission vide diary no. 15425 dated 13.08.2019 with remarks 'no such position in this office'.

5. <u>A copy of the this order be sent to the Commissioner, MC, Amritsar by name through</u> **registered post** to make sure that concerned respondent PIO to be present in this case on the next date of hearing and for the compliance of previous order dated 24.07.2019.

6. Another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Major Singh to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively.

7. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

8. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through **registered post**.

Chandigarh 19.08.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

The Commissioner (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o MC, Amritsar



(Regd. Post)	<b>Sh. Pankaj Garg (98880-04488)</b> # 3231, Sector 40 D, Chandigarh.	Appellant		
	Versus			
	Public Information Officer			
(Regd. Post)	O/o DPI (SE), PB, Chandigarh.	Respondent		
Complaint Case No.: 163 of 2019				

Present:Sh. Pankaj Garg, the complainant in person.<br/>Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019.

2. Complainant, Sh. Pankaj Garg states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the complainant and examining the case file, It is also observed that respondent PIO was absent on the previous hearings held on 30.04.2019, 19.06.2019 and 29.07.2019. On hearing held on 29.07.2019, Show Cause notice was issued to the respondent PIO and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 19.08.2019 i.e. today but the respondent PIO failed to represent this case.

5. In view of the defying attitude of the respondent PIO neither attending the court nor submitting any explanation for the delay in supplying the information within the time schedule as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005, the court comes to a logical conclusion that it is a fit case for the imposition of penalty amounting to Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand only) on the PIO. Therefore, taking a lenient view, I impose a penalty of **Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) on the concerned respondent PIO, Office of DPI (SE), Punjab, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali).** The Drawing and Disbursing Officer of the office of the DPI (SE), Punjab, Chandigarh is directed to deduct the amount of penalty of Rs. 5,000/- from the salary of the concerned respondent PIO and deposited in the State Treasury in the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission:-

"0070-Other Administrative Services 60 other Services 800-Other Receipts 86-Fee under the RTI Act, 2005"

#### Complaint Case No.: 163 of 2019

6. The perusal of the file also shows that respondent PIO has no regard for the Notice and Orders issued by the Commission. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through **registered post** for his ready reference.

7. <u>Respondent PIO is also directed to supply the requisite information to the complainant before</u> the next date of hearing positively.

8. <u>A copy of the this order be sent to the DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali by name through **registered post** to look into the matter and for the compliance of this order and make sure the concerned respondent PIO to be present on the next date of hearing</u>

9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

10. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

#### Chandigarh 19.08.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)	<b>Director of Public Instructions (SE) Punjab, (By Name)</b> 4 <sup>TH</sup> Floor, PSEB, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali)
(Regd. Post)	<b>Public Information Officer (By Name)</b> O/o DPI (SE), PB, Forest Complex, Phase-8,

SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jaswinder Singh (75890-31809) S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh Shaheed Balwinder Singh Nagar, St. No. 2, Sadik Road, District Faridkot.

Versus

Complainant

**Public Information Officer** O/o DEO (SE), Faridkot

#### Complaint Case No.: 278 of 2019 Date of Institution: 18.03.2019 Date of Decision: 19.08.2019

Sh. Jaswinder Singh, the complainant in person. **Present:** For the respondent: Ms. Paramjeet Kaur (JA).

## ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.07.2019.

2. Complainant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the

respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Ms. Paramjeet Kaur states that record relates with RTI application is not traceable and reply along with copy of FIR has already been supplied to the complainant.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, I am of the

considered view that supplied reply is satisfactory and no cause of action is left in this case.

Therefore, the instant complaint case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh 19.08.2019

# (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



**Sh. Mukesh Kumar (98150-80521)** S/o Sh. Manohar Lal, Computer 'N' Care, C/o Sonu Guest House, Opp. Onkar Cinema, Nurmahal Road, Nakodar -144040.

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o DPI (SE), Mohali, Punjab.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DGSE, Punjab.

#### Appeal Case No.: 638 of 2019

Respondent

Present:Nobody on behalf of the behalf of the appellant.For the respondent: Sh. Aman Sharma (JA) (81465-25952)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Aman Sharma states that requisite information relates with the Office of DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali and same has already been intimated to the appellant. He submits a reply vide letter no. 237809 dated 16.08.2019 signed by PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Ferozepur along with supporting documents, which are taken on record.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Mukesh Kumar is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that information relates with the office of DEO (SE), Kapurthala has already been supplied to the appellant. Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Ferozepur to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Another opportunity is given to the appellant, Sh. Mukesh Kumar to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing positively.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh 19.08.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

**Sh. Jasvir Singh (94630-12607)** House No. 36, Street No. 6, Mohalla SAS Nagar, Old Tanda Road, Hoshiarpur.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Director, Education Department (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Director, Education Department (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.: 677 of 2019 Date of Institution: 12.02.2019 Date of Decision: 19.08.2019

Present: Nobody present.

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.07.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Jasvir Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 10.08.2019

has been received by the bench of undersigned stating that he has received the requisite infomrtaion and requested to close this case. This mail is taken on record.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file any reply in this regard.

4. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the appellant, no cause of action is left in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.** 

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh 19.08.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondent

A HID A HIDA USSIL

	JNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>	THE PSIC usis
(Regd. Post)	<b>Sh. Sukrit Sharda (98881-20963)</b> #50/186, Old Shahpur Road, Pathankot-145001	Appellant
(Regd. Post)	Versus <b>Public Information Officer</b> O/o Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001	
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Controller (F & A), Improvement Trust, Amritsar-143001	
(Regd. Post)	First Appellate Authority O/o Chairman, Improvement Trust, C-Block, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar-143001 Appeal Case No.: 1152 of 2019	Respondent
Present: ORDER	Nobody present.	
1. This or	rder may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.07	7.2019.

2. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but a letter no. 3387 dated 01.08.2019 signed by the Nodal Officer (RTI)-Cum-Town Planner, Improvement Trust, Amritsar addressed to PIO-Cum-Deputy Controller (F & A), Improvement Trust, Amritsar assigning duty to represent this case today but he failed to represent this case.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Sukrit Sharda is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that both the parties were also absent on the previous hearing held on 23.07.2019 and respondent sent an email and letter stating that requisite information is bulk in nature and appellant may visit the respondent's office on any working day to inspect the record. One opportunity was given to the appellant to visit the respondent's office and inspect the official record and matter was adjourned for further hearing today.

5. A last and final opportunity is given to both the parties to comply with the previous order of the Commission dated 23.07.2019 along with directions to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **04.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post.</u>

Chandigarh 19.08.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant

**Sh. Mohinder Singh** Assistant Director Fisheries (Retd.), #48, Lane No. 2, G.S. Colony, Tarn Taran Road, Amritsar-143001.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Animal Husbandry Fisheries and Dairy Development, Punjab, Chandigarh

## First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Animal Husbandry Fisheries and Dairy Development, Punjab, Chandigarh <u>Appeal Case No.: 1209 of 2019</u>

Respondent

#### **Present:** Sh. Mohinder Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Randeep Sharma (SA) (94642-91897)

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.07.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Randeep Sharma handed over requisite information comprising 127 pages

to the appellant during the hearing.

3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant, Sh. Mohinder Singh handed over a

letter dated 19.08.2019 regarding deficiency in the supplied information to the respondent during the

hearing. A copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to go

through the letter regarding deficiency and RTI application once again and remove the deficiency as

per the RTI application and supply it to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively.

5. Both the parties are advised/directed to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

Chandigarh 19.08.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner