**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Surinder Kumar,

S/o Sh. Diwan Singh,

R/o Village Anadana, Tehsil:Moonak,

Sangrur. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Andana at Moonak through Tehsildar Moonak,

Distt:Sangrur.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,

Sangrur.

 ...Respondent

 **Complaint Case No. 97 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Surinder Kumar, the complainant.

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.05.2018.

 2. The complainant states that till today, no information has been provided to him by the respondent.

3. Neither the PIO is present for today’s hearing nor has filed any reply in response to the order showing cause, which shows that he has no regard to the orders of the Commission.

4. Despite of the repeated directions of the Commission, the PIO o/o BDPO, Andana at Moonak has not attended the hearings in the Commission personally. Therefore, a bailable warrant be issued to him for not complying the orders of the Commission.

5. Looking at the lackadaisical and highly irresponsible attitude of the respondent, **PIO o/o DDPO, Sangrur** is also directed to show cause as to why penalty @ 250/-per day be not imposed upon him under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act for not sending the information to the complainant within the statutorily period.

6. Both the PIOs are directed to file point-wise an affidavit in regard to show cause notice, before the next date of hearing, with a copy to the complainant.
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7. The PIOs may also make use of the next date of hearing for their personal hearing as well under the principles of natural justice and explain their conduct and status of the complaint to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in the matter.

8. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **24.07.2018 at 11.30AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

**BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION**

BEFORE PROF. VINEY KAPOOR MEHRA, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB

In case of Sh. Surinder Kumar v/s Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Andana at Moonak and PIO o/o DDPO, Sangrur.

Complaint/Appeal No. : CC : 97/2018

**UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.**

**NEXT DATE OF HEARING : 24.07.2018**

To

 **Senior Superintendent of Police,**

 **Sangrur.**

 Whereas the Public Information Officer, PIO o/o BDPO, Andana at Moonak and PIO o/o DDPO, Sangrur, Punjab has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of repeated notices/directions in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant on Public Information Officer O/o BDPO, Andana at Moonak and PIO o/o DDPO, Sangrur, Punjab to appear before Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra, SIC at o/o Red Cross Bhawan, Madhya Marg, Sector:16, Chandigarh on 24.07.2018 at 11.30AM.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Tarsem Lal Jindal,

Neeli Chatri Wala,

H.No.306, Aastha Colony,

Dhanola Road, Barnala. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Programme Officer,

Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director,

Social Security Women and Child

Development, Punjab, Sector:34-A,

Chandigarh.

 Respondent

 **Appeal** **Case No. 617 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii)Sh. Gulbahar Singh, PIO, the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing.

3. The respondent states that as per the directions of the Commission during the last hearing, he has brought an affidavit today in the Commission mentioning therein that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 07.02.2017 and 28.02.2018. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and perusing the record, it is ascertained that the information as per the office record stands supplied to the appellant. The respondent has also filed an affidavit in this regard. Copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant alongwith the orders of the Commission.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Appeal Case, which is hereby, **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

 **Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

 **19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

Distt: Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Election Officer,

o/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

**First appellate Authority**

o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,

Ludhiana (West).

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o State Election Commission, Punjab,

SCO:49, Sector:17/E, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 143 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Sr. Assistant, o/o SEC and Sh. Baljit Kaur, PIO, the respondent.

**ORDER:**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, complete information has not been provided to him by the respondent.

3. During the last hearing, the PIO o/o SDM (West) Ludhiana and PIO o/o State Election commission, Punjab were directed to file an affidavit that the information which has been sought by the appellant does not exist in their office record but at today’s hearing, none one has filed an affidavit.

4. Last opportunity is given to both the PIOs o/o SDM (West) Ludhiana and PIO o/o State Election Commission, Punjab to file an affidavit and should personally appear on the hearing, failing which penal action will be initiated against both the PIOs for non compliance, the orders of the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **24.07.2018 at 11.30AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

Distt: Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o State Election Commission, Punjab,

SCO:49, Sector:17/E, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

o/o State Election Commission, Punjab,

SCO:49, Sector:17/E, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 147 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Sr. Assistant, o/o SEC and Sh. Baljit Kaur, PIO, the respondent.

**ORDER:**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, complete information has not been provided to him by the respondent.

3. The PIO o/o State Election Commission, Punjab states that the information which has been sought by the appellant does not exist in their office record.

4. During the last hearing, the PIO o/o SDM (West) Ludhiana was directed to appear personally but at today’s hearing a letter has been received from him in the Commission by email that due to health problem he is unable to attend today’s hearing.

5. Last opportunity is given to both the PIOs o/o SDM (West) Ludhiana and PIO o/o State Election Commission, Punjab to file an affidavit and should personally appear, on the next date of hearing, failing which penal action will be initiated against both the PIOs for non compliance, the orders of the Commission.
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**Appeal CASE NO. 147 OF 2018**

6. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **24.07.2018 at 11.30AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

***Through registered post***

**CC: PIO o/o SDM (West), Ludhiana.**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

Distt: Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o State Election Commission, Punjab,

SCO:49, Sector:17/E, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

o/o State Election Commission, Punjab,

SCO:49, Sector:17/E, Chandigarh.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Additional Deputy commission(Development),

cum-Additional District Controller Officer,

Ludhiana.

Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 475 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant.

 (ii) Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Sr. Assistant, o/o SEC and Sh. Baljit Kaur, PIO, the respondent.

**ORDER:**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant states that till today, complete information has not been provided to him by the respondent.

3. The PIO o/o State Election Commission, Punjab states that the information which has been sought by the appellant does not exist in their office record.

4. During the last hearing, the PIO o/o ADC (D) Ludhiana stated that the SDM (West), Ludhiana is the competent authority to provide the information.

5. At today’s hearing neither the PIO o/o ADC (D), Ludhiana nor the PIO o/o SDM (West), Ludhiana has appeared.

6. Last opportunity is given to the PIOs o/o SDM (West) Ludhiana, PIO o/o State Election Commission, Punjab and PIO o/o ADC (D), Ludhiana to file an affidavit and should personally appear, on the next date of hearing, failing which
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penal action will be initiated against both the PIOs for non compliance, the orders of the Commission.

6. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **24.07.2018 at 11.30AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

***Through registered post***

**CC: PIO o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (West), Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Rajesh Kumar,

S/o Sh. Mehar Chand Sharma,

446-Diamond Avenue, Manjitha Road,

Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,

Amritsar.

**First Appellate authority**

o/o Police Commissioner,

Amritsar.

 Respondent

**Appeal CASE NO. 3736 OF 2017**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Rajesh Kumar, the appellant.

(ii) Sh. Surinder Singh, Dealing Hand and Sh. Hira Singh, ASI on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant states that he has received the information from the respondent.

3. The respondent states that he has brought an affidavit as directed by the Commission during the last hearing. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. On the written submission of the ld. counsel for withdrawal of this appeal, the instant Appeal Case is allowed to be withdrawn as per order 9 of Office Order dated 24.08.2012 of the Commission. The case is hereby **closed and disposed of.**

5. Announced in the open Court. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Sanjay Ranjan,

S/o Sh. H.L.Ranjan,

# 272, Cinema Chowk,

Dasuya, Distt:Hoshiarpur.

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Food Supply Controller,

Hoshiarpur.

Respondent

**Complaint CASE NO. 278 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Sanjay Ranjan, the appellant.

(ii) Smt. Rajneesh Kaur, DFSC, the respondent.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.05.2018.

2. The respondent states that she has brought the dispatch register today in the Commission, as directed by the Commission, during the last hearing.

3. The perusal of the dispatch register shows that the information has been supplied to the complainant well in time.

4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 titled Chief Information Commissioner and Another Vs. State of Manipur and Another (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) wherein it has been held that *while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. As per the above decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the Information Commission has a power to receive and enquire into the complaint of any person who has been refused access to any information requested under this Act (section 18 (1)(b)} or has been given incomplete, misleading or false information under the Act (Section 18(1)(e) or has not been given a response to a request for information or access to information within time limits specified under the Act (Section 18(1)(c))*.
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6. In the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the complainant has filed RTI on 04.07.2017 and the respondent-PIO has provided the information on 25.07.2017 within the stipulated period.

7. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Complaint Case, which is hereby, **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Sanjay Ranjan,

S/o Sh. H.L.Ranjan,

# 272, Cinema Chowk,

Dasuya, Distt:Hoshiarpur.

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Food Supply Controller,

Officer, Dasuya, Distt:Hoshiarpur.

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o SDO, PWD(B&R),

Dasuya, Distt:Hoshiarpur.

**REMAND BACK:**

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director,

Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Complaint CASE NO. 275 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Sanjay Ranjan, the appellant.

(ii) Smt. Rajneesh Kaur, DFSC, the respondent.

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.05.2018.

2. The complainant states that satisfactory reply has not been given to him by the respondent.

3. Smt. Rajneesh Kaur, DFSC files an affidavit in response to the show cause notice mentioning therein that the information was related with the SDO, PWD, B&R, Dasuya and they have provided the same to the complainant vide letter dated 22.03.2018.

4. The attention of the complainant is drawn to the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 titled Chief Information Commissioner and Another Vs. State of Manipur and Another (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) wherein it has been held that *while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. As per the above decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the*

*Contd..p-2*
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*Information Commission has a power to receive and enquire into the complaint of any person who has been refused access to any information requested under this Act (section 18 (1)(b)} or has been given incomplete, misleading or false information under the Act (Section 18(1)(e) or has not been given a response to a request for information or access to information within time limits specified under the Act (Section 18(1)(c))*.

5. In the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the complainant has filed RTI on 07.07.2017 and the respondent-PIO has provided the information on 04.08.2017 within the stipulated period. Keeping in view all the facts mentioned in the reply are found satisfactory. The show cause notice is hereby, dropped.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

 In view of the aforesaid, the instant matter is remanded to the FAA. The Commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the Complaint (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.

 The FAA is directed to peruse all the relevant documents during the hearing and examine whether the information provided by the PIO is complete, relevant and correct. Where the FAA is satisfied that the information provided by the PIO is as per the records, the First Appeal shall be disposed of. In the event, there are any deficiencies in the information provided by the PIO, the FAA shall direct the PIO to provide the complete information in reply to the RTI application dated 07.07.2017 to the Complainant.
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7. In view of the above, the complaint case is **remanded back to the First Appellate Authority**. Copies of the order are sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Encl: RTI Application.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla,

S/o Sh. Brij Lal, Ward No.16,

B /190, Sangrur Road, Dhuri,

Distt: Sangrur. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Deputy Registrar,

Cooperative Societies,

Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Deputy Registrar,

Cooperative Societies,

Sangrur.

 Respondent

 **Appeal** **Case No. 627 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, on behalf of the appellant.

 (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 05.04.2018.

2. The appellant has authorized Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan to appear on his behalf for today’s hearing. The representative of the appellant states that till today, no information has been supplied to the appellant.

3. During the last hearing, The PIO i.e Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sangrur was directed to appear personally ad file reply to the Notice of the Commission but at today’s hearing, neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today’s hearing, which shows that he has no regard to the orders of the Commission.

4. Despite of the repeated directions of the Commission, the PIO o/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sangrur has not attended the hearings in the Commission personally. Therefore, a bailable warrant be issued to him for not complying the orders of the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **25.07.2018 at 11.30AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

**BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION**

BEFORE PROF. VINEY KAPOOR MEHRA, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB

In case of Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla v/s Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sangrur.

Complaint/Appeal No. : AC : 627/2018

**UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.**

**NEXT DATE OF HEARING : 25.07.2018**

To

 **Senior Superintendent of Police,**

 **Sangrur.**

 Whereas the Public Information Officer, PIO o/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sangrur has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of repeated notices/directions in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant on Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Sangrur to appear before Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra, SIC at o/o Red Cross Bhawan, Madhya Marg, Sector:16, Chandigarh on 25.07.2018 at 11.30AM.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Popender Singh Grewal,

H.No.3117, Sector:35/D,

Chandigarh.

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o General Manger-cum-Mining Officer,

Industrial Department,

SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Respondent

**Complaint CASE NO. 120 OF 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Sh. Ashok Kumar Chauhan, Advocate alongwith Sh. Popender Singh Grewal, the complainant.

(ii) Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Functional Manager is present on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.05.2018.

2. The respondent files an affidavit in response to the show cause notice mentioning therein that the information has already been supplied to the complainant vide letter dated 21.11.2017 and again was sent on 06.04.2018.

3. The original copy of the affidavit is handed over to the complainant today in the Commission.

4. After going through an affidavit, the complainant states that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent.

5. Keeping in view all the facts mentioned in the reply are found satisfactory. The show cause notice issued to the respondent is hereby, dropped. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Complaint Case, which is hereby, **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Ms. Aarti Sharma,

# H-5/341, Alfa Lane,

Batala Road, Amritsar. …Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Controller,

Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,

Ram Tirath Road, Amritsar.

 ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 277 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) Ms. Aarti Sharma, the Complainant.

(ii) Sh. Satveer Singh, DFSC, the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.05.2018.

2. The complainant states that information regarding point no. 4 and 5 has not been supplied to him by the respondent till today.

3. The respondent has assured that the information which is available in their office record will be supplied to the complainant. He further states that most of the record is misplaced and they have written to the concerned SHO but he has refused to lodge the FIR stating that the record is old and no FIR can be lodged in this regard.

4. The respondent is directed to provide the complete information to the complainant, before the next date of hearing and inquire into the matter and fix the responsibility against the erring official, who is responsible for the loss of the record. The compliance report be submitted, on the next date of hearing in the Commission.

5. The matter to come up for further hearing now on **24.07.2018 at 11.30AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

 **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Tarsem Lal Jindal,

Neeli Chatri Wala,

H.No.306, Aastha Colony,

Dhanola Road, Barnala. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Deputy Director,

Social Security Women and Child

Welfare, Punjab, Sector:34-A,

Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o Director,

Social Security Women and Child

Welfare, Punjab, Sector:34-A,

Chandigarh.

 Respondent

 **Appeal** **Case No. 618 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant.

(ii)Smt. Nirmal Kumari, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated

2. The appellant is absent for today’s hearing.

3. The respondent files an affidavit that the information which has been sought by the appellant does not exist in their office record, it relates with all the districts of Punjab. Copy of the affidavit is taken on record.

4. The copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant alongwith the orders of the Commission.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Appeal Case, which is hereby, **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden**

**Sector:16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No.0172-2864116, Fax No.0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/); **Email.** **psic26@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Dalip Singh,

S/o Sh. Lachman Singh,

Village New Dhalla Rajputan,

P.O: Jagdev Khurd, Tehsil:Ajnala,

Distt:Amritsar.

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Food Supply Officer,

Amritsar.

Respondent

**Complaint CASE NO. 283 of 2018**

**PRESENT:** (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant.

(ii) Sh. Umesh Kmar, AFSO on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

 This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.05.2018.

2. The complainant is absent for today’s hearing.

3. The respondent states that as directed by the Commission during the last hearing, he has brought the dispatch register today in the Commission. He further states that the information has already been supplied to the complainant with which the complainant is satisfied and has given in writing his satisfaction. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. After going through the dispatch register, it is ascertained that the respondent has dealt with the RTI application in time and more over he has provided the information to the complainant with which the complainant is satisfied and has given in writing that he does not want to pursue the case.

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the instant Complaint Case, which is hereby, **disposed of and close**d. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Prof. Viney Kapoor Mehra)**

**19.06.2018 State Information Commissioner**