

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sec-125, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board,  
Regional Office-IV, MC Building,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Block –C, Gill Road, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Punjab Pollution Control Board,  
Regional Office-IV, MC Building,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Block –C, Gill Road, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3822 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Manjinder Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Joginder Singh, Sr.Assistant, Regional Office-III for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.07.2019 has sought information regarding water extraction by dyeing industries in Tajpur Road area, Ludhiana and other information concerning the office of Punjab Pollution Control Board, Regional Office-IV, MC Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Block –C, Gill Road, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.08.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 31.10.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied with the information regarding point-3 and willing to inspect the record and to take relevant information.

The appellant is directed to visit the office of the PIO by fixing a mutually convenient date and time and inspect the record. The PIO is directed to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee as per the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **07.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ranjeet Singh,  
H No-95, Maharaja Yadvindra Enclave,  
Nabha Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SE, Material Services,  
PSPCL, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Engineer, TA & I,  
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3869 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Dharamvir Kamal, Sr.Xen-cum-APIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.11.2018 has sought information 13 points regarding material services wing from 01.01.2014 to 30.09.2018 – cases failed during joint verification, material accepted after imposing financial burden, disciplinary action taken, and other information concerning the office of SE Material Services, PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.12.2018 which disposed off the appeal 10.01.2019 and the order was sent to the appellant on 15.01.2019. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply after which he has come to the second appeal.

In the appeal, the appellant has stated that during the hearing before the First Appellate Authority, it was ensured by the PIO that complete information will be provided but no information has been provided to him. The respondent says that they have sent the complete information on 17.02.2020.

The Commission observes that the information has been provided after more than one year of the order of the First appellate Authority and there is an enormous delay in providing the information. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Ranjeet Singh,  
H No-95, Maharaja Yadvindra Enclave,  
Nabha Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,  
Technical audit (Electrical), PSPCL,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Engineer,  
Technical Audit & Inspection,  
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3870 of 2019**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Dharamvir Kamal, Sr.Xen-cum-APIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.11.2018 has sought information regarding technical audit wing – documents containing order issued to Technical Audit wing by CE Technical Audit and inspection for the period of 01.01.2014 to 30.09.2018, record of checking of sanctioned estimates and other information concerning the office of Deputy Chief Engineer, Technical audit (Electrical), PSPCL, Patiala.. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

After first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 01.02.2019 stating that the information is not available in their record.

The appellant is absent. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that the information that has been sent by the PIO is incomplete and vague. The respondent present pleaded that the information is not available in their record and the reply has been sent to the appellant on 01.02.2019.

If there is no information available, the PIO is directed to give this in writing on an affidavit.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

Sh Pawan Kalia,  
# 18, Adarsh Nagar,  
Kapurthala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Chief Administrator,  
JDA, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Administrator,  
JDA, Jalandhar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3874 of 2019 and 3875 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Pawan Kalia as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Sanjeev Sharma-PIO-JDA for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 05.02.2019 has sought information regarding certified/legible copies of document of the file pertaining to application No.129697 deposited for registration for regularization of unauthorized plot at new court complete village Kadupur in Kapurthla and other information concerning the office of JDA Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.04.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since the information was in the custody of APIO-O/o Dy Chief Administrator, JDA Jalandhar, the RTI application was transferred to the APIO which further transferred the same to the APIO-cum-Superintendent(R) O/o Estate Officer(R) JDA Jalandhar on 05.04.2019 since the record was transferred again to the office of Estate Officer (R)-JDA Jalandhar. However, even after sending reminders, the APIO-Estate Officer (R) has not provided the information.

The respondent further pleaded that in another appeal case No.3875/2019, wherein the appellant vide RTI application dated 08.03.2019 has sought information regarding status report of his application dated 14.02.2019 for issuance of NOC, the information is in the custody of Sh.Ashok Kumar, Superintendent-cum-APIO, Estate Officer (R) JDA Jalandhar and the RTI application has been transferred to them on 29.04.2019 by APIO-O/o Dy Chief Administrator JDA Jalandhar.

Given the above circumstances, it is clear that in both the cases, even though the information sought is different, but it is in the same file and in the custody of Sh.Ashok Kumar, Superintendent-cum-APIO, Estate Officer (R) JDA Jalandhar. However, in both the cases, the APIO has not provided the information even after issuance of reminders by the PIO-JDA Jalandhar.

**Appeal Case No. 3874 of 2019**

So both the cases are clubbed together and Sh.Ashok Kumar, Superintendent-cum-APIO, Estate Officer (R) JDA Jalandhar is hereby directed to to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

Sh.Ashok Kumar, Superintendent-cum-APIO, Estate Officer (R) JDA Jalandhar is also directed to provide the information within a week and be present personally on the next date of hearing.

To come up for further hearing on **18.03.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh  
Dated 19.02.2020

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Kesar Singh,  
Flat No-104/B-5, Purab Premium Apartment,  
Sec-88, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3895 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Kesar Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gagandeep Singh, Clerk-RTI Branch O/o GMADA Mohali for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 15.04.2019 has sought information regarding maintenance account and corpus fund account of Purab Premium Apartment Mohali from 01.07.2016 to 12.04.2019 and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO has provided the information only on point-2 and has denied the information on points 1, 3 & 4.

The appellant further stated that the fund collected by the GMADA was meant to be transferred to the society which was never done and they are keen to know how the funds are being utilized and spent. The appellant further stated that as per policy, money has been collected from the public and it is with the public authority and absolutely within their right to access the information as per provisions of the RTI Act. The PIO has denied the information on points 1,3 & 4 on the ground that it pertains to personal information.

The PIO is directed to give detailed reasons that why the information has been denied and what section has been invoked to deny the information.

To come up for further hearing on **07.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Kesar Singh,  
Flat No-104/B-5, Purab Premium Apartment,  
Sec-88, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3896 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Kesar Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gagandeep Singh, Clerk-RTI Branch O/o GMADA Mohali for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 12.07.2019 has sought information regarding maintenance of fund account of Purab Premium Apartment Mohali – purpose of maintenance, funds collected, bank statement and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 02.08.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.09.2019 which disposed off the appeal on 07.10.2019

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO has provided the information only on point-a & b and has denied the information on other points.

The appellant further stated that the fund collected by the GMADA was meant to be transferred to the society which was never done and they are keen to know how the funds are being utilized and spent. The appellant further stated that as per policy, money has been collected from the public and it is with the public authority.

The PIO is directed to give detailed reasons that why the information has been denied and what section has been invoked to deny the information.

To come up for further hearing on **07.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Kesar Singh,  
Flat No-104/B-5, Purab Premium Apartment,  
Sec-88, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3897 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Kesar Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gagandeep Singh, Clerk-RTI Branch O/o GMADA Mohali for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 12.07.2019 has sought information regarding maintenance fund account of Purab Premium Apartment Mohali – purpose of maintenance, funds collected, bank statement and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 09.08.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that since the information sought in this appeal case is similar to the information as sought in RTI appeal case No.3896/2019, the appeal case is dismissed and closed.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Shangara Singh, S/o Sh Tulsa Singh,  
R/o HO-66-C, Urban Estate,  
Phase-3, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o PDA,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Administrator,  
PDA, Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3926 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Shangara Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Vinod Kumar, Jr Assistant-RTI Branch, PDA Patiala for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.05.2019 has sought information regarding unauthorized gates constructed in Urban Estate Phase-1 to 3, notices issued to the allottees for removal of unauthorized gates, action taken for not following the rules and other information concerning the office of PIO-PDA Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 22.07.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.08.2019 which disposed off the appeal on 29.08.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant on 22.07.2019 and again on 29.08.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied with the information regarding point-1. The respondent stated that since no survey was conducted for demolition of the gates, there is not record available.

Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to reconsider point-1. And if there is any information and if any survey was conducted for unauthorized gates, it be provided. If no record is available and no such survey has been conducted, the same be provided on an affidavit.

To come up for further hearing on **29.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Kawal Nain Singh,  
# 62, Model Town,  
Ambala City.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o GMADA,  
Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o EO, GMADA,  
Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3956 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Kawal Nain Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Gagandeep Singh, Clerk-RTI Branch O/o GMADA Mohali for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 09.07.2019 has sought information regarding allotment of religious sites to religious bodies – allotment of sites to Dera Radha Swami Satsang Beas in SAS Nagar Mohali alongwith a copy of map of sector 76 to 80 as on 21.02.2000 and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 02.08.2019 whereby the PIO informed the appellant that since the information is voluminous, the appellant to inspect the record on any working day and get the relevant information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information is voluminous and the PIO is on leave due to illness and has sought adjournment.

The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide point-wise information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The First Appellate Authority is also directed to ensure that the information is provided on all points.

To come up for further hearing on **20.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Madan Lal, S/o Sh Om Parkash,  
Jain Niwas, MCB Zone-2, H No-10803,  
Street NO-18, Parinda Road 18,  
Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Court of Additional,  
Civil Judge (Sr Division),  
Gidderbaha, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Court of Additional,  
Civil Judge (Sr Division),  
Gidderbaha, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4138 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Madan Lal as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Arun Kumar, Record Keeper, O/o ACJ, Malout for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.11.2019 has sought information regarding petition filed by Arpna, her counsels in CRM/114/2019, affidavit filed, zimni orders, and other information concerning the office of Court of Additional, Civil Judge (Sr Division), Gidderbaha, Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.11.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **dispose off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Madan Lal, S/o Sh Om Parkash,  
Jain Niwas, MCB Zone-2, H No-10803,  
Street NO-18, Parinda Road 18,  
Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o IGP,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4222 of 2019**

**PRESENT: Sh.Madan Lal as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Harjit Singh, ASI for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.11.2019 has sought information regarding conditional warrants received from Ld.Court of Addl Civil Judge(Sr. Div) Gidderbaba in connection with case files CRM/114/2019 Arpna v/s Arvind Gupta and other information concerning the office of SSP Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.11.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that all the information has been provided except copy of warrant which shall be provided within a day. The appellant has agreed and is satisfied with the reply of the PIO.

With the above, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 19.02.2020**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**