

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0011 OF 2020

Smt. Murti Devi, (9780362953)
H. No. 33159, Street No. 1,
Partap Nagar, Bathinda-151005

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER :

The Complainant is absent in the court for today's hearing. However, a letter is received from the Complainant vide Diary No.3190, dated 17.02.2020 wherein she has informed that she has received the demanded information and is satisfied with the same. She further requests in the letter that the case be filed.

2. The information stands supplied and the Commission is not inclined to intervene further. Hence, **the case is closed and disposed**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0033 OF 2020

Sh. Amarjit, (9815272102)
Ex-Sarpanch,
Village Jansua, Tehsil Rajpura,
District Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Rajpura, District Patiala.

...Respondent

Present : Shri Amarjit, the Complainant, in person.
Smt. Harvinder Kaur, Superintendent, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER :

The Complainant appears in the court and states that no information has been supplied by the Respondents till date.

2. Smt. Harvinder Kaur, Superintendent, appears in the court along with the demanded information which is handed over to the Complainant.

3. The Complainant after going through the supplied information states that the provided information is incorrect.

4. At this juncture it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme of India rendered on 12-12-2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No. 32768-32769/2010) in para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

5. Keeping in view the above, **the case is closed and disposed.** Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0035 OF 2020

Shri Jasbir Singh, (9815397246)
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,
Village Jalal Khera, P.O. Sular,
Tehsil & District Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Block – A, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

...Respondent

Present : None on behalf of the Complainant.
Ms. Anju Rani, Clerk, Tehsil Patiala.

ORDER :

The complainant has filed his RTI on 27.11.2019 with the PIO o/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Patiala. When he did not receive the information from the PIO o/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Patiala, as enumerated in his application, from the O/o SDM, Patiala, he filed his Complaint with the Commission on 01.01.2020.

2. Ms. Anju Rani, Clerk, Tehsil Patiala, on behalf of the Respondents, appears in the court along with the demanded information to be handed over to the Complainant but he is today.

3. The Complainant is absent in the court. However, he emailed to the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing in the Commission because today he has to appear in some court case at Patiala. He further requests in the e-mail for adjournment. He is directed to appear in the court on the next date of hearing to follow up his case in the Commission.

4. Ms. Anju Rani, Clerk, o/o the Tehsildar, Patiala, is directed to send the demanded information by registered post to the Complainant under intimation to the Commission. The Respondent-PIO is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0037 OF 2020

Shri Baldev Kumar, (9417304320)
S/o Shri Surjit Ram,
H. No. 1789, College Colony, Near Shiv Mandir
Sector – 11, Dera Bassi-140507

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Local Government, Punjab,
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Present : Shri Baldev Kumar, the Complainant in person.
None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER :

The complainant has filed his RTI on 05.04.2019 with the PIO o/o The Director, Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh. When he did not receive the information from the PIO o/o The Director, Local Govt. Punjab, Chandigarh, as enumerated in his application, he filed his Complaint with the Commission on 01.01.2020.

2. The complainant appears in the court and states that the demanded information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.

3. The Respondent-PIO is absent today without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to be present **personally** in the court on the next date of hearing along with the relevant record for perusal of the Commission and the Complainant and in case of non-appearance, show cause notice shall be issued against him.

4. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PS : Shri Nitin Sood, Clerk, Shri Ranjit Singh, Stenographer of DLG Punjab and Shri Jaswinder Singh, Dera Bassi, appear on behalf of the Respondents after the court is over and they were apprised of the proceedings of the court in the case.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0040 OF 2020

Sh. Jagdish Raj,
S/o Sh. Prem Pal,
Near Shiv Mandir, Abrol Nagar,
Pathankot.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Education Officer (EE),
Pathankot.

...Respondent

Present : Shri Jagdish Raj, the Complainant, in person.
Shri Rajesh Sharma, Junior Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER :

The complainant has filed his RTI on 12.09.2019 with the PIO o/o The DEO(EE), Pathankot. When he did not receive the information from the PIO o/o The DEO(EE), Pathankot, as enumerated in his application, he filed his Complaint with the Commission on 01.01.2020.

2. The complainant appears in the court and states that the demanded information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.

3. Ms. Rajesh Sharma, Junior Assistant, appears in the court on behalf of the respondents along with the demanded information which is handed over to the Complainant.

4. The Complainant after going through the provided information states that is in complete and incorrect.

5. The RTI of the Complainant is discussed in the court. During discussion the representative of the Respondent-PIO satisfied the Complainant and the Complainant agrees with the contention of the representative of the Respondent-PIO.

6. As the information stands supplied to the satisfaction of the Complainant, **the case is closed and disposed.** Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 0041 OF 2020

Sh. Ranjit Kumar,
H. No. 235, Village Maloya,
Chandigarh.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Present : Shri Ranjit Kumar, the Complainant, in person
Shri Ashok Kumar, Superintendent-cum-PIO, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER :

The complainant has filed his RTI on 29.07.2017 with the PIO o/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Faridkot. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the PIO o/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Faridkot, he filed his Complaint with the Commission on 01.01.2020.

2. The Complainant is present in the court and states that he has not received any information from the Respondents till date.

3. The Respondent-PIO appears in the court along with the demanded information and hands over the same to the Complainant.

4. The Complainant after going through the provided information, expresses his satisfaction and requests the court to file the case.

5. Since the information has been supplied to the complainant to his satisfaction, **the case is closed and disposed.** Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0156 OF 2020

Sh. Jasbir Singh,(9888296107)
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road,
Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Local Government, Punjab,
Municipal Bhawan,
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Principal Secretary,
Local Government, Punjab,
Municipal Bhawan,
Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Present : Shri Jasbir Singh, the appellant.
Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector, DLG-Pb, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 15.07.2019 with the PIO o/o The Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respdnent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab on 16.08.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. The appellant appears in the court and states that the sought for information has not been provided by the Respondents till date.

3. Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Building Inspector, DLG-Pb, appears in the court on behalf of the Respondents and states that the RTI application of the Appellant has not been received in their office. The Appellant provides a copy of his RTI application to the Representative of the Respondents in the court itself.

4. The Representative of the Respondents is directed to supply the demanded information to the appellant by registered post under intimation to the Commission before the next date of hearing.

5. The appellant is directed to report discrepancies, if any, in the supplied information by registered post to the representative of the Respondents before the next date of hearing.

4. Adjourned and to come up on **08.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0163 OF 2020

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaitu, District Faridkot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Jaitu, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Jaitu, District Faridkot.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 24.06.2019 with the PIO o/o The SDM, Jaitu. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The SDM, Jaitu on 06.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent for today's hearing.
3. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the sought for information by registered post to the appellant before the next date of hearing under intimation to the Commission. He is further directed to be present in the court along with the demanded information on the next date of hearing.
4. The appellant is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.
5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0164 OF 2020

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Sub – Divisional Magistrate,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 07.10.2019 with the PIO o/o The SDM, Jaitu. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The SDM, Jaitu on 06.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent for today's hearing.
3. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the sought for information by registered post to the appellant before the next date of hearing under intimation to the Commission. He is further directed to be present in the court along with the demanded information on the next date of hearing.
4. The appellant is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.
5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0165 OF 2020

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Jaito,
District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Deputy Director,
Local Government,
Ferozepur.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Gurdas Singh, EO-cum-PIO, MC, Jaitu, the Respondents.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 07.10.2019 with the PIO o/o The Executive Officer, MC, Jaitu. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The EO, MC, Jaitu on 14.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing.

3. The respondent-PIO is present in the court and states that the Appellant more or less demands the same information in his every RTI. He requests the court to direct the Appellant to be specific while submitting the RTI to enable them to supply the demanded information accordingly.

4. It has also been observed by the court that the appellant is not specific for the demanded information. He is directed to be specific for the information sought in his RTI, in future. He is further directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0166 OF 2020

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Jaito,
District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Government,
Ferozepur.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Gurdas Singh, EO-cum-PIO, MC, Jaitu, the Respondents.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 25.10.2019 with the PIO o/o The Executive Officer, MC, Jaitu. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The EO, MC, Jaitu on 14.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing.

3. The respondent-PIO is present in the court and states that the Appellant more or less demands the same information in his every RTI. He requests the court to direct the Appellant to be specific while submitting the RTI to enable them to supply the demanded information accordingly.

4. It has been observed by the court that the appellant is not specific for the demanded information. He is directed to be specific for the information sought in his RTI, in future. He is further directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0167 OF 2020

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, District Faridkot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Bodies, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Bodies,
Ferozepur.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 25.04.2019 with the PIO o/o The Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Ferozepur. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Ferozepur, on 09.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 31.12.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent for today's hearing.
3. The respondent-PIO is directed to provide the demanded information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. He is further directed to be present in the court along with the relevant record / information for perusal of the Commission and the appellant.
4. The appellant is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing to following up his case.
5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 0196 OF 2020

Sh. Jasveer Singh,
S/o Sh. Mohinder Singh,
Awa Basti, Tapa Mandi,
District Barnala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Jaito,
District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Local Government,
Ferozepur.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.
Shri Gurdas Singh, EO-cum-PIO, Jaitu for the respondents.

ORDER :

The Appellant has filed his RTI on 26.10.2019 with the PIO o/o The Executive Officer-cum-PIO, Jaitu. When he did not receive the information as enumerated in his application from the Respondent-PIO, he filed his First Appeal with FAA O/o The Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Ferozepur, on 14.11.2019. On getting no information from PIO of FAA, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 03.01.2020.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing.

3. The respondent-PIO is present in the court and states that the Appellant more or less demands the same information in his every RTI. He requests the court to direct the Appellant to be specific while submitting the RTI to enable them to supply the demanded information accordingly.

4. It has been observed by the court that the appellant is not specific for the demanded information. He is directed to be specific for the information sought in his RTI, in future. He is further directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

5. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3513 OF 2019

Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill,
986, Near Dev Hotel,
Main Bazar, Moga.
District Moga.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The SDM-cum-DTO,
MOGA.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The SDM-cum-DTO,
MOGA.

...Respondents

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER :

This order be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2020.

2. The Respondent-PIO is absent for the 02nd time consecutively. He is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing along with all the relevant record relating to the remaining sought for information, if any, for perusal of the Commission and the Appellant, failing which proceedings under the provisions of the RTI 2005 shall be initiated against him.

3. The appellant is absent in the court for 03rd time consecutively without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to be present in the court on the next of hearing to follow up his case failing which the case will be decided in his absence on merits.

3. The Respondent-PIO is also directed to furnish an affidavit that no more information except the information has already been supplied, is available on public authority record.

4. Adjourned and to come up on **07.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3863 OF 2019

Shri Ravinder Singh Gill,(9417533942)
House No.986, Near Dev Hotel,
Main Bazar, Moga.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
MOGA.

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner,
MOGA.

.....Respondents

PRESENT : None on behalf of the Appellant.
Shri Rakesh Kumar, Kanugo, on behalf of the Respondents, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with the previous order dated 28.01.2020

2. The representative of the Respondents appears in the court along with an Affidavit on behalf of Shri Joginder Singh, DRO-cum-PIO, DC Office, Moga, stating therein that whatever information relating to the RTI application of the Appellant was available with them has already been supplied to him and no more information is available on Public Authority record.

2. The affidavit is kept in the case file as the appellant is absent for the 03rd time consecutively. He is directed to take the affidavit from the Commission on any working day.

3. In view of the above, the case is closed and disposed. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 989 OF 2019

Sh. Vipin Grover (Journalist),
Village Fatehgarh Panjtoor,
Moga-142043
Mo: 9877086735

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,
Moga.

...Respondent

Present : Shri Vipin Grover, the Complainant.
Shri Rakesh Kumar, Kanugo, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2020.

2. The Complainant is present today and states that no information been supplied by the Respondents till date.

3. Shri Rakesh Kumar, Kanugo, appears in the court along with the demanded information which he hands over the same to the Complainant.

3. The Complainant after going through the provided information expresses his satisfaction and requests the court to file the case.

4. The sought for information has been supplied and hence, **the case is closed and disposed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
19.02.2020

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner