(Regd. Post) Sh. Prince Khanna (98551-36504) S/o Lajaya Ram Khanna EN – 55, Rasta Mohalla, Ward No. 54, Jalandhar -144001.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC (Building Dept), Jalandhar.

Complaint Case No.: 445 of 2019 Date of Institution: 10.05.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019 Nobody present, on the behalf of complainant.

Present:

Sh. Nirmaljeet Verma Building Inspector (98725-01826) present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

 This order may be read with the reference of previous hearing dated 15.10.2019, where final opportunity was given to both the parties to represent this case to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

It has also been observed that registered post of notice for hearing dated 10.09.2019 and similarly registered post of order for hearing dated 10.09.2019 in this case addressed to complainant has returned to the Commission vide diary no. 16461 dated 28.08.2019, 18661 dated 26.09.2019 and respectively both with remarks "Left without address" which are already taken on record.

Similarly an ordinary post of order for hearing dated 15.09.2019 has also returned to the Commission vide diary no. 16461 dated 28.08.2019 with same remarks "Left without address" which is also already taken on record.

- 2. Sh. Nirmaljeet Verma Building Inspector stated that requisite information (i.e. point 1, 2 & 5) relates with the third party Sh. Dinesh Joshi, who in his consent, had denied providing his personal information to the complainant, and/or questionnaire form (i.e. point 6) and written reply filed (i.e. point 3 & 4) which is acknowledged to the complainant by their office. He further prayed the Commission to close this case as their office has already done their job satisfying RTI Act 2005.
- 3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is also been observed that the complainant has neither updated his address in Commission for it to communicate with him nor he at his part bothered to communicate with Commission by any means, despite orders being already updated on official website of Commission i.e. <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>. The complainant has also not responded/received to telephonic call from Commission on his no. 98551-36504 mentioned in case file, which show complainant has lost interest in this case.
- 4. On considering all above, I am of the considered view that no further action is required in this case; therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed** on merit basis.
- 5. Send copy of orders to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondent

THE HER LING

(Regd. Post) Sh. Prince Khanna (98551-36504) S/o Lajaya Ram Khanna EN – 55, Rasta Mohalla, Ward No. 54, Jalandhar -144001.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC (Building Dept), Jalandhar.

Complaint Case No.: 446 of 2019 Date of Institution: 10.05.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019 Nobody present, on the behalf of complainant.

Present:

Sh. Nirmaljeet Verma, Building Inspector (98725-01826) present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

 This order may be read with the reference of previous hearing dated 15.10.2019, where final opportunity was given to both the parties to represent this case to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

It has also been observed that registered post of notice for hearing dated 10.09.2019 and similarly registered post of order for hearing dated 10.09.2019 in this case, addressed to complainant has returned to the Commission vide diary no. 16461 dated 28.08.2019, 18661 dated 26.09.2019 and respectively both with remarks "Left without address" which are already taken on record.

Similarly an ordinary post of order for hearing dated 15.09.2019 has also returned to the Commission vide diary no. 16461 dated 28.08.2019 with same remarks "Left without address" which is also already taken on record.

- 2. Sh. Nirmaljeet Verma Building Inspector stated that requisite information (i.e. point 1, 2 & 5) relates with the third party Sh. Dinesh Joshi, who in his consent, had denied providing his personal information to the complainant, and/or questionnaire form (i.e. point 6) and written reply filed (i.e. point 3 & 4) which is acknowledged to the complainant. He further prayed the Commission to close this case as their office has already done their job satisfying RTI Act 2005.
- 3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is also been observed that the complainant has neither updated his address in Commission for it to communicate with him nor he, at his part, bothered to communicate with Commission by any means, despite orders being already updated on official website of Commission i.e. <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>. The complainant has also not responded/received to telephonic call from Commission on his no. 98551-36504 mentioned in case file, which show complainant has lost interest in this case.
- 4. On considering all above, I am of the considered view that no further action is required in this case; therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed** on merit basis.
- 5. Send copy of orders to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.11.2019 Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondent

A BERT ART ART AND UNISSIL

THE PSIC PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Informatio Sh. Jasbir Singh (98882-96107) (Regd. Post) Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Appellant P.O. Ramgarh, District Ludhiana. Versus Dr. Jitender Virk, (Regd. Post) Food Safety Officer cum PIO, Moga. Sh. Abhinav Kumar, (Regd. Post) Food Safety Officer cum PIO, Barnala. (Ex – FSO, Moga) Sh. Arwinder Gill (Regd. Post) **First Appellate Authority** Civil Surgeon, Moga. Copy to: (for reference only) Ms. Harpreet Kaur, (Regd. Post) Assistant Commissioner, Food District Moga. Respondent Appeal Case No.: 2826 of 2018 Date of Institution: 27.08.2018

Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

Dr. Jitender Virk, FSO is present along with Sh. Kamal Sethi Clerk as respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.10.2019, where the case was pending in continuation to compliance of orders dated 07.10.2019 to provide compensation amount of Rs 2000/- (Two thousand only) awarded to appellant and submit the evidence to the Commission.
- Appellant is absent for today's hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission from appellant vide diary no. 21929 dated 14.11.2019 mentioning therein that he has not received compensation amount till date. This letter is taken on record.
- 3. The concerned respondent PIO, Dr. Jitender Virk, FSO, stated that file is under process at their departmental level, to provide compensation to the appellant and submitted a communication letter addressed to Assistant Commissioner Food O/o Civil Surgeon, Moga in this regard which is taken on record. He further assured the Commission that related documentary proofs would be submitted in the Commission once compensation awarded is paid to appellant and prayed to close this case.
- 4. On examining the case file and considering the prayer of Dr. Jitender Virk, FSO cum concerned PIO that compensation will be provided to the appellant (as mentioned above), I am of the considered view that no further action is required at this stage and hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**. Whereas, the appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if he does not get the compensation amount awarded to him from the respondent PIO.
- 5. Send the copy of the order to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 18.11.2019



Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha, # 30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. (98142-28629)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Joint Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 1553 of 2019 Date of Institution: 24.04.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

PresentNobody present on the behalf of appellant.
Sh. Nirmaljit Verma, Building Inspector, (98765-01826) present as respondent.

- <u>ORDER</u>
- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. Sh. Nirmaljit Verma, Building Inspector states and submits a reply signed by PIO, MTP Department, MC, Jalandhar addressed to appellant vide their office memo no. 3177/MTP/RTI dated 15.11.2019, mentioning therein that information sought for point no. II cannot be provided as time period information required is not specified. Further, it is also mentioned that, information sought for point no. I, III, IV, V VI, VII & VIII cannot be provided. Sh. Nirmaljit Verma verbally specified that Point VIII is incorrectly mentioned as VII in reply as a clerical mistake by and it is also assured by him that a revised written reply after rectification will be send through registered post to the appellant and requested the Commission to close this case.
- 3. The appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission which shows he has lost interest in this case.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that written reply satisfying the RTI application is supplied to the appellant and is assured by Sh. Nirmaljit Verma to provide revised reply after rectification regarding point VIII (as mentioned above).
- 5. In the view of above, no further action is required at this stage and hence instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 6. Send the copy of order to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 18.11.2019 Respondent



Sh. Harnam Dass House No. 3165 D, Sector 40 D Chandigarh.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o BDPO, Block Nadala, District Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Block Nadala, District Kapurthala.

Appeal Case No.: 1839 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but two similar emails have received in the Commission from same email id <u>er.inderjeetsingh1988@gmail.com</u> on 16.11.2019 and 17.11.2019 respectively. In both emails Sh. Yosaf Masih, PIO cum Panchayat Secretary Block Nadala District Kapurthala requested for his exemption on today's hearing. These emails are considered and taken on record
- 3. Appellant is absent continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he file any reply in this regard. He was also absent on 15.10.2019.
- 4. After going through the documents placed on record, one more opportunity is given to respondent PIO to send requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant through registered post by the next date of hearing, and present the copy of said registered post before the Commission. He is also given directions to represent this case in person or through his/her representative, failing to which the action under section 20 (i) of RTI Act 2005 would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 5. Appellant is also advised to represent this case on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case may be decided on merit basis.
- 6. The matter is adjourned to 11.12.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 7. Send the copies order to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 18.11.2019



Sh. Sewa Ram S/o Sh. Uggar Sain House No. 351, Model Town, Phase II, Bathinda -151001 (70098-27603)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Bathinda.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1886 of 2019

Present: Sh. Madan Lal, (98723-67068) the representative of appellant is present. Sh. Maghi Ram, SA (93571-48079) is present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. Sh. Maghi Ram, SA states that information sought in this case which is similar to appeal case no. AC 1377 of 2019 in which information has already been supplied to appellant is disposed of by the bench of undersigned. He also submitted the copy of information to the Commission, which has supplied to appellant in appeal case no. AC 1377 of 2019, which is taken on record.
- 3. Sh. Madan Lal, the representative of appellant states that information sought for in this case is different from information sought in appeal case no. AC 1377 of 2019.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, one opportunity is given to respondent PIO to present the copy of RTI application of appeal case no. AC 1377 of 2019 and other related documents if any to justify his submission for denying information as mentioned above.
- 5. The matter is adjourned to **26.11.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.
- 6. Send the copies order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.11.2019



Sh. Manjit Singh S/o Sohan Singh, House No. 388/3, Dhandholian Road, Patiala. (76962-82813)

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o State Information Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority O/o State Information Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.: 2048 of 2019 Date of Institution: 10.06.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Present Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Sudhir Kumar, SO (98765-01826) is present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- The appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission which shows he has lost interest in this case.
- 3. Sh. Sudhir Kumar, SO is present with copy of requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to hand it over to the appellant. However, as appellant is absent he assured the Commission, that it will sent through registered post to the appellant and requested the Commission to close this case.
- 4. On the assurance of respondent (as mentioned above) and going through the documents placed on record, it is assumed that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application will be send to appellant. In the view of above, the instant appeal case is disposed of and closed. Whereas, the appellant is at liberty to approach the Commission if does not get the information as assured above by the respondent.
- 5. Send the copy of order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.09.2019



Sh. Palwinder Singh Riar (Maths Master) S/o Sh. Fauja Singh #56, Street No. 2, Gurlal Bazar, New Partap Nagar, G.T.Road, Amritsar-143010 (98554-65890)

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,

SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Appeal Case No.: 2223 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Nobody is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. Both the parties are absent for today' hearing continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission. They were also absent on previous hearing dated 15.10.2019
- After going through the documents placed on record, one more opportunity is given to both the parties to represent this case on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case may be decided on merit basis.
- 4. The matter is adjourned to **11.12.2019 at 11.30 AM** for further hearing.
- 5. Send the copies order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.11.2019



Sh. Dilbag Chand (Naib Subedar Retd.) S/o Sh. Ramji Das, Village Hayatpur, PO Habowal, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana. (98144-14473 & 94632-62669)

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o DDPO Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 2263 of 2019

Present: Sh. Dilbag Chand the appellant is present. Nobody present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. Sh. Dilbag Chand the appellant states that requisite information is still pending.
- Respondent is absent for today' hearing continuously second time without any intimation to the Commission, which shows no regard to the notice of the Commission. He/she was also absent on previous hearing dated 15.10.2019.
- 4. After hearing the appellant and going through the documents placed on record, last opportunity is given to respondent PIO to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing. He/she is also directed to represent this case on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to any of the above, may lead the Commission to take action under section 20 (1) of RTI Act 2005.
- 5. The matter is adjourned to 17.12.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 6. Send the copies order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.11.2019



Sh. Beant Singh S/o Gurnam Singh, Village Chanaarthal Khurd, **(94630-85344)** Tehsil & District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o BDPO, Block Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Public Information Officer

O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Chanaarthal Khurd, Tehsil & District Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DDPO, Fatehgarh Sahib.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2275 of 2019 Date of Institution: 27.06.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Present Nobody present on the behalf of appellant. Sh. Iqbal Chand, APIO is present as respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 15.10.2019.
- 2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing.
- 3. Sh. Iqbal Chand, APIO states and submits a letter signed by the appellant mentioning therein

that he (appellant) has received the requisite information and requested the Commission to close this case. This letter is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and**

closed.

5. Send the copy of order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.09.2019



Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja (96465-46613) S/o Sh. Om Parkash, R/o - Gali No. 2, Near Shani Dev Mandir, Patel Nagar, Malout, District Shri Muktsar Sahib

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o Deputy Commissioner, Excise & Taxation, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Appeal Case No.: 2393 of 2019

Present:Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.
Sh. Ram Singh, SA (94174-81377) present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.10.2019.
- 2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing.
- 3. Sh. Ram Singh, SA repeated as mentioned in order dated 16.10.2019 that RTI application has been transferred to PIO O/o Deputy Commissioner Excise & Taxation, Ferozepur under section 6 (3) of RTI Act 2005. An email from email id aetcrtihopta@gmail.com on 05.11.2019 and a letter in this regard signed by Assistant Commissioner, State Taxation cum PIO, Head office Patiala vide diary no. 21974 dated 15.11.2019 has also been received in the Commission respectively. This email and letter are taken on record.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is observed that date of today's hearing was inadvertently not mentioned in previous order dated 16.10.2019 as a clerical mistake. The concerned respondent PIO from O/o Deputy Commissioner Excise & Taxation, Ferozepur is given last opportunity with directions to represent this case on next date of hearing along with directions to provide requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application, failing to which, action under section 20 (1) of RTI Act may be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO. The appellant is also directed to represent this case to next date of hearing failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken.
- 5. The matter is adjourned to 17.12.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing
- 6. Send copy of order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.11.2019

Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (89680-84100) V&PO Madhopur Cantt, Distrcit Pathankot - 154024.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Director Enforcement, Mobile Wing, 3rd Floor, Excise and Taxation Bhawan, Sector 69, Mohali.

Public Information Officer

O/o Assistant Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Mobile Wing, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Ropar Division, Ropar.

Appeal Case No.: 2490 of 2019

Present: Sh. Sandeep Sharma present on the behalf of appellant. Sh. Yadwinder Singh, State Tax officer (STO) is present with Ms. Daljeet Kaur, Excise and Taxation Inspector as respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 16.10.2019.
- 2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma states that requisite information has not been received till date.
- 3. Sh. Yadwinder Singh, STO states that requisite information is a third party information and appellant has not justified the public interest in it as mentioned in previous order dated 16.10.2019.

Sh. Sandoon Sharma on this sh

- 4. Sh. Sandeep Sharma on this shows his dissatisfaction that log book in point no. c) does not relates to any third party and is a public document which the respondent cannot deny.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is directed to provide information that that relates with point no. c) only by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20 (1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
- 6. The matter is adjourned to 17.12.2019 at 11.30 AM for further hearing.
- 7. Send copy of order to the parties.

Sd/-(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 18.11.2019 THE PSIC usissifut Punjab State Information Colling

Respondent

Appellant



Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar.

Public Information Officer

Cum Superintendent O/o Land Acquisition Branch, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Land Acquisition Collector, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 2498 of 2019 Date of Institution: 10.07.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Ranjeet Singh, SA is present on the behalf of respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The case may be read with the reference of previous order dated 21.10.2019.
- Appellant is absent for today's hearing but an email has been received in the Commission from email id <u>sahilkumar336666@gmail.com</u> on 15.11.2019 pointing out deficiencies therein and requesting for an adjournment. This email is taken on record.
- 3. A letter addressed to Commission was received by the bench of undersigned from PIO cum Superintendent Land Acquisition Branch, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar vide diary no. 19886 dated 14.10.2019 in which point wise information and/or satisfactory reply was given. An email similar to said letter has also been received in the Commission from email id <u>vineetagg79@gmail.com</u> on 17.10.2019. This letter and email are taken on record.
- 4. Another letter addressed to Commission was received by the bench of undersigned from PIO cum Superintendent Land Acquisition Branch, Nagar Improvement Trust, Amritsar vide diary no. 21683 dated 13.11.2019 in which copy of information send to appellant is attached as directed in previous order dated 21.10.2019. This letter along with documents are taken on record.
- 5. Sh. Ranjeet Singh, SA states that point wise requisite information or written reply satisfying the RTI application has already been sent through speed post to the appellant (as mentioned in point no. 4 of this order) and no information is left pending to be provided.

Respondent

Appellant

Page 1 of 2





Appeal Case No.: 2498 of 2019 Date of Institution: 10.07.2019 Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

- 6. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and written reply satisfying the RTI application is supplied to the appellant and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
- 7. Send the copy of order to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.11.2019