

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1060 of 2018

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 8th consecutive times dated: 29.05.2018, 17.07.2018, 26.09.2018, 14.11.2018, 19.12.2018, 22.01.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 128-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observation has been received from him in response to the provided information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 1060 of 2018

4. The reply of the show cause notice has been submitted by the respondent vide diary no. 12965, dated: 05.07.2019, explaining therein the reasons in detail for the delay in supplying the information. I agree with the plea put forth by the PIO and therefore show cause notice is, hereby, dropped.

5. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 8th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh
18.07.2019**

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: -

- 1) **The Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),**
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.
- 2) **Ms. Sushma Rani,**
Reader –cum- PIO
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1061 of 2018

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 8th consecutive times dated: 29.05.2018, 17.07.2018, 26.09.2018, 14.11.2018, 19.12.2018, 22.01.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 130-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observation has been received from him in response to the provided information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 1061 of 2018

4. The reply of the show cause notice has been submitted by the respondent vide diary no. 12966, dated: 05.07.2019, explaining therein the reasons in detail for the delay in supplying the information. I agree with the plea put forth by the PIO and therefore show cause notice is, hereby, dropped.

5. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 8th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh
18.07.2019**

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: -

- 1) **The Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),**
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.
- 2) **Ms. Sushma Rani,**
Reader –cum- PIO
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1062 of 2018

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Court of Hon'ble Shri Rajesh Ahluwalia (ACJSD),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 8th consecutive times dated: 29.05.2018, 17.07.2018, 26.09.2018, 14.11.2018, 19.12.2018, 22.01.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 132-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 1062 of 2018

4. The reply of the show cause notice has been submitted by the respondent vide diary no. 12967, dated: 05.07.2019, explaining therein the reasons in detail for the delay in supplying the information. I agree with the plea put forth by the PIO and therefore show cause notice is, hereby, dropped.

5. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 8th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh
18.07.2019**

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: -

- 1) **The Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),**
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.
- 2) **Ms. Sushma Rani,**
Reader –cum- PIO
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Div.),
Judicial Court Complex, Nakodar,
District Jalandhar.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3549 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 116-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3550 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 118-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3553 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
-cum- Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 120-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3554 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 122-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3555 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 124-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3556 of 2017

Shri Kuldip Raj Kaila (98726-80922),
R/o 196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya-144205,
District Hoshiarpur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/O Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Judicial Court Complex,
Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.
Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
29.05.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 7th consecutive times dated: 20.02.2018, 17.05.2018, 04.07.2018, 04.12.2018, 14.02.2019, 02.04.2019 and 29.05.2019.

3. Ms. Sushma Rani, Reader –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant vide Endst. No. 126-C1, dated: 12.04.2019 and no other information is pending relating to instant RTI application. She further submits that no observations have been received from him in response to the provided information.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant by the Respondent – PIO vide dated: 12.04.2019 but the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consequently 7th times entailing thereby that he does not want to follow up his case further. As the Appellant is again absent and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. No further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3957 OF 2018

Sh. Ajay Jindal S/o Sh. Mohan Lal,
Lakhi Colony, Street No. 7,
Barnala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,
Water Supply & Sanitation Board,
Barnala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Engineer/XEN,
Water Supply & Sewerage Board,
Sangrur.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Ajay Jindal, Appellant.

Sh. Rajinder Kumar Garg, S.D.O. –cum- APIO is present on behalf of
the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
30.05.2019.

2. The appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Sh. Rajinder Kumar Garg, S.D.O. appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the information has been provided to the Appellant.

4. The Appellant further states that the unattested information supplied to him, which is returned to the Respondent – PIO during the hearing.

5. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and provide the remaining information as discussed in the Commission today duly attested to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

6. The case is adjourned to **08.08.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2157 OF 2019

Sh. Loven Singla,
S/o Sh. Suresh Singla,
Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street,
Near Post Office, Mansa-151505.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District & Session Judge,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority
O/o District & Session Judge,
Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Loven Singla, Appellant.
Sh. Harpreet Singh, Leave Reserve Clerk/Bill Clerk is present
on behalf of the Respondent– PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
09.07.2019.

2. The appellant states that he has received the information point no. 1 to 5 except 6,7,8 of his RTI application.

3. Sh. Harpreet Singh, Leave Reserve Clerk/Bill Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the information has been provided to the Appellant. He further states that the First Appellate Authority called to the Appellant for personal hearing for providing the remaining information but he did not turn up.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and provide the remaining information relating to point no. 6,7,8 of his RTI application dated: 12.06.2019, within a week to the Appellant, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **25.07.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2308 OF 2019

Sh. Suresh Kumar,
183, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street,
Near Post Office, Mansa-151505.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District & Session Judge,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority
O/o District & Session Judge,
Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Suresh Kumar, Appellant alongwith his son Sh. Loven Singla.
Sh. Harpreet Singh, Leave Reserve Clerk/Bill Clerk is present
on behalf of the Respondent– PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:
09.07.2019.

2. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him by
the Respondent – PIO till date.

3. Sh. Harpreet Singh, Leave Reserve Clerk/Bill Clerk appears on behalf
of the Respondent – PIO and states that and states that the Appellant has sought
the third party information, the same cannot be supplied to him. He further states that
the First Appellate Authority called the Appellant for personal hearing but he did not
turn up.

4. After examining the case file, it is ascertained that information sought
by the Appellant is related with his own Son and not with the third party. Therefore,
the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and provide the complete
information as per his RTI application dated: 26.06.2019, within a week to the
Appellant, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of
the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **25.07.2019 at 11:30 AM**. Copies of the order
be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.07.2019

Sd/-
(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner