

Sh. Kuldeep Singh, S/o Lt ShHarjit Singh, R/o Flat No-1506, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Housefed Complex, Banur, Punjab.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Engineer, Operation South, PSPCL Office, Near Phatak No-23, Leela Shiekh Vihar, Shed No-D-2, Patiala, Punjab

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Chief Engineer, Operation South, PSPCL Office, Near Phatak No-23, Leela Shiekh Vihar, Shed No-D-2, Patiala, Punjab.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 710 of 2019

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Navjot Singh EE Banur for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.12.2018 has sought information regarding difference of electric units supplied through main electric meter of PSPCL to Housefed complex and units consumed as per electric meter of Housefed complex as well as individual meters of flats of allottees and other information from the office of Chief Engineer, Operation South, PSPCL Office, Near Phatak No-23, LeelaShiekhVihar, Shed No-D-2, Patiala. The PIO-cum-Nodel Officer PSPCL Patiala transferred the RTI application to the PIO-Dy Chief Engineer, Operations, PSPCL Mohali vide letter dated 20.12.2018. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since the appellant has not specified the time period of information, the appellant was asked vide letter dated 25.01.2019 to deposit requisite fee for 774 number of pages for the information from 25.11.2014 to 18.01.2019 but he has not come forward to deposit the requisite fee.

The appellant is absent. The appellant is directed to specify the period of information. Since the PIO has not raised the fee within the time prescribed under the RTI Act, the PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant within a week free of cost, not exceeding 300 pages once the appellant specifies the time of the information.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on 21.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Bhagwan Singh, S/o Shlqbal Singh, Street No-7, Radha Swami Colony, Fazilka

Versus

... Appellant

### Public Information Officer,

O/o Addl/SE/DS, Division, PSPCL, Fazilka.

### First appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer/ DS, West Zone, PSPCL, Bathinda.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 718 of 2019

### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Smt.Anita Rani, Superintendent, O/o PSPCL Fazilka

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 01.12.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding details of pension amount and arrears sent to bank from 01.08.2008 to 30.11.2018 and other information from the office of Addl. SE/DS, Division, PSPCL, Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 28.12.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 20.02.2019 and again vide letter dated 21.02.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is absent to point out the discrepancies, if any.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, H No-78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Tehsil Dhuri ,DisttSangrur.

Versus

... Appellant

### Public Information Officer,

O/o Addl, SE, Distribution Division, Dhanola Road, PSPCL, Barnala...

# First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chairman, PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 722 of 2019

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Lakhvir Singh, AEE-cum-APIO Bhadaur for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.10.2018 has sought information regarding details of electric connection to the farmers owning land more than 2.5 acres and other information from the office of Addl. SE, Distribution Division, PSPCL, Barnala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 12.11.2018 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has also been informed that the information is available on the website of the department.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the appellant and find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is disposed off and closed.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Himmat Singh, VPO MauliBaidwan, Sector-80, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 733 of 2019

### PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh as the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.10.2018 has sought information regarding break up of award of Rs.1,36,36,364/- as land compensation per acre and other information from the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.06.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied with the reply of the PIO regarding points d & e.

Having gone through the information provided by the PIO and after hearing both the parties, I find that the information on points a to c has been provided and the PIO has denied the information on points d & e stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission makes it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though it is sought in question form, should be provided. The PIO is hereby directed to provide the information on points d & e within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on 21.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Sharvan Kumar, VPO Kular, Tehsil Abohar, Distt.Fazilka.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o DC, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o DC, Fazilka.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 742 of 2019

### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Ms.Gurpreet Kaur, Panchayat Secretary, O/o DC Fazilka for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.10.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complaint registered on 25.09.2015 with DC Fazilka against Ex-sarpanch and then BDPO for misuse of their positions and other information from the office of DC Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that since the complaint of the appellant was not found in their record, the appellant was asked vide letter dated 29.04.2019 to appear and clarify the information but he did not turn up. The appellant was again called telephonically on 13.06.2019 when he appeared and informed that in his complaint, inadvertently, he had mentioned the date as 25.09.2015 instead of 25.09.2018 and sought action taken report on the complaint. The respondent further pleaded that they have sent the complaint to the BDPO Fazilka for investigation and the BDPO has been asked vide letter dated 13.06.2019 to complete the report within two days. The information will be provided to the appellant on receipt of investigation report.

The appellant is absent and vide email has requested for hearing through video conference facility available in the office of DC Fazilka.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.09.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of **Deputy Commissioner**, **Fazilka**. The order be sent to both the parties through registered post.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh.Himmat Singh, # 872, Sector-65, Phase-11, Mohali.

Versus

... Appellant

**Public Information Officer,** O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 856 of 2019

### PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh as the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

# ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.11.2018 has sought information regarding compensation for the land acquired and other information from the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 18.12.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Sucha Singh, S/o Lt Sh.Nasib Singh, VPO MauliBaidwan,HethalMohalla, MualiBaidwan, Mohali.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

First Appellate authority,

O/o GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

...Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 893 of 2019

# PRESENT: Sh.Himmat Singh representative of Sh.Sucha Singh for the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.12.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 26.11.2018 for issue of TDS certificate alongwith calculation sheet of tax computed on income chargeable to collector land acquisition GMADA and other information from the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 31.01.2019 stating that the information sought is in question form and that the information regarding point-3 is not available in their record, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The Commission observes that the PIO has denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission makes it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though the information sought is question form, should be provided. The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **21.08.2019 at 11.00 AM.** 

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Baljit Singh, S/o Lt ShNasib Singh, VPO MauliBaidwan, Sec-80, Mohali.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o GMADA, Sec-62, Mohali.

First Appellate authority,

O/o GMADA, Sec-62, Mohali

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 901 of 2019

### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.10.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 26.11.2018 for issue of TDS certificate alongwith calculation sheet of tax computed on income chargeable to collector land acquisition GMADA and other information from the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 31.01.2019 stating that the information sought is in question form and that the information regarding point-3 is not available in their record, after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The Commission observes that the PIO has denied the information stating that the information sought is in question form. The Commission makes it clear that the information that exists under the custody of the public authority, even though the information sought is question form, should be provided. The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant within a week and send a compliance report to the Commission.

The case is adjourned. To come up for compliance on **21.08.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Harjit Singh, S/o ShTarsem Singh, R/o Rose Avenue Chamiari Road, Ajnala, Distt Amritsar.

Versus

... Appellant

### Public Information Officer,

O/o Addl, SE, Operational, PSPCL, Division, Ajnala, Distt Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, Border Zone, Amritsar.

### ...Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 909 of 2019

### PRESENT: Sh.Harjit Singh as the Appellant Sh.jarnail Singh, SDO, PSPCL Ajnala for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.11.2018 has sought information regarding MCO issued for domestic connection No.A11SA440328X in name of Kulwant Singh alongwith copies of ledger bill from Dec.2017 to Feb 2018 and other information from the office of Addl. SE, Operational, PSPCL Division, Ajnala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.12.2018 which took no decision on the appeal. Thereafter, the PIO sent information to the appellant vide letter dated 13.02.2019. On having not satisfied with the information, the appellant file second appeal with the Commission on 06.03.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that regarding point-1, he has sought copies of MCO and SCO issued for the said electric connections. The respondent pleaded that the record stands destroyed being more than 20 years old. The Commission however, will not consider the record missing or destroyed until an enquiry is conducted which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed and the responsibility is fixed. The PIO is hereby directed to enquire into the matter and submit a complete enquiry report which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed as per the procedure.

The information regarding point-2 & 6 stands provided. Regarding information on point-3, the PIO is directed to get it from the Computer Cell and provide to the appellant. The PIO is also directed to provide information on point-4 and sort out the anomaly regarding point-5.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **03.09.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of **Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar**. The copies of the order be sent to both the parties through registered post.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. MishatGirdhar, S/o ShMadanLal, R/o Adarsh Nagar, Street No-2, Fazilka.

Versus

... Appellant

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o SSP, Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o IGP, Bathinda Zone, Bathinda.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 940 of 2019

### PRESENT: Sh.Mishat Girdhar as the Appellant Sh.Dalip Singh, ASI for the Respondent

### **ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 25.12.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 13.10.2017 filed with AAP Fazilka and other information from the office of SSP Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal. Thereafter the PIO vide letter dated 15.02.2019 denied the information citing section 8(1) stating that the enquiry is pending. On having denied the information, the appellant filed second appeal with the Commission on 07.03.2019.

The case has come up for hearing today and the SSP has filed reply that the enquiry is pending and the information cannot be provided. The Commission accepts the plea of the SSP. However, the Commission observes that since there is an inordinate delay in the investigation, the Commission recommends that the investigation be completed at the earliest and the information be supplied to the appellant.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. Gurpal Singh, S/o Sh Jang Singh, R/o Village Kharoura, Tehsil and DisttFatehgarh Sahib.

Versus

... Appellant

...Respondent

Public Information Officer,

O/o SDO, PSPCL, Sub Division, Chaurwala, ShriFatehgarh Sahib..

First Appellate Authority,

O/o XEN, PSPCL, ShriFatehgarh Sahib.

# <u>Appeal Case No. 943 of 20</u>19

### PRESENT: Sh.Gurpal Singh as the Appellant Sh.Nirmal Singh, AEE, PSPCL Chaurwala for the Respondent

### **ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.09.2018 has sought information regarding connection order bearing No.K56KS210809L and K56KS210873H in the name of Gurpal Singh s/o Jang Singh and other information from the office of SDO PSPCL Sub Division Chaurwala, Sri Fatehgarh Sahib. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 15.10.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.01.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present stated that the information is not available in their record since due to collapse of building, the record stands destroyed. The Commission however, will not consider the record missing or destroyed until an enquiry is conducted which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed and the responsibility is fixed. The PIO is hereby directed to enquire into the matter and submit a complete enquiry report which establishes that the record is missing or destroyed due to collapse of building.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.09.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019



Sh. KishanLal, S/o ShBephati Ram, VPO Nawan Pind, Mehta Road, Amritsar.

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o SE, Operational, City Circle, PSPCL, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o CE, Operational, Border Zone, PSPCL, Amritsar.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 949 of 2019

### PRESENT: Sh.Krishan Lal as the Appellant Sh.Mohinder Pal, AEE, PSPCL Amritsar for the Respondent

# **ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information on 11 points regarding order of giving charge of IE of 11KV feeder to Krishan Lal and other information concerning the office of SE, Operational City Circle, PSPCL, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 10.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent has brought some information and handed over to the appellant. However, since the RTI is not legible, there is no way that the Commission can scrutinize the information sought and the information provided. The appellant is also not aware of the manner in which the information has been sought by some counsel. The appellant is directed to bring a legible copy of the RTI application.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.09.2019 at 11.00 AM.** 

Chandigarh Dated 18.06.2019