PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sunil Mallan Advocate (98140-96353)

& Kamal Kant,

Booth No. 14-B,

Sector-47-C, Chandigarh-160047. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Regional Transport Authority,

SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Commissioner,

SAS Nagar. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3292 of 2017**

Present: Shri Sunil Mallan, appellant, in person.

Shri Dharminder Singh, Steno, from RTA office on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of respondents says that the sought information has already been supplied. The appellant says that the provided information is incomplete.

3. The RTI application is discussed point-wise. The representative of respondents does not put a satisfactory explanation to the information sought by the appellant. The appellant further says that the PIO of office of Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar, may also be directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing, as he has sought information from that office.

4. After discussion, the respondents are directed to supply the complete and correct information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO of Secretary, RTA, Mohali, is directed to attend the court personally or to depute an official who has full background of the case. Adjourned.

5. The matter to come up on **31-05-2018 at 11:00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

CC: Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar, District Administrative Complex, Sector-76, SAS Nagar.

STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (Regd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, … Respondents

O/O Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**Appeal Case No. 1243 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Pawandeep Singh, ASI, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. Though the appellant is not present, a telephonic message has been received in the Commission office in he has sought exemption from personal appearance has sought adjournment.

3. The representative of respondents states in the court that the information has already been supplied on 16-02-2017. As the appellant is not present, the respondents are directed to send the information again by registered post. Adjourned.

4. To come up for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11:00 AM**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sanjay Kumar (90413-50530),

s/o Shri Gori Shankar,

R/o Garh Mohalla, Shahid Chowk, Samana,

Tehsil & Distt. Patiala. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Chief Engineer, Punjab PWD,

(B&R), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Chief Engineer, Punjab PWD,

(B&R), Patiala. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 161 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Harmilap Singh, JE, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

On the last date of hearing on 01-03-2018, the respondents had stated that the information has already been supplied. The appellant had stated the supplied information has not been attested.

2. Today, the representative of respondents says that the information, duly attested, has been supplied to the appellant by registered post on 04-04-2018. As the appellant is not present, he is directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

3. To come up on **06-06-2018 at 11:00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PS: After the hearing is over, Shri Sanjay Kumar, appellant, appears in the court. He is apprised of the court proceedings.

2. He says that the provided information is still incomplete.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in) **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (REgd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Local Government, Punjab,

Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1245 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant, office of Director Local Government on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. The representative of respondents states that, as directed on the last date of hearing, the appellant has provided the copy of complaint. He submits copies of letters dated 16-03-2018, 02-04-2018 and 10-04-2018 written by the PIO of office of Director Local Government, to the address of Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala. In these letters the concerned Deputy Director has been asked to supply his report/ comments in the case immediately. He further says that the report is still awaited and requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. The appellant is absent. However, a telephonic message has been received from the appellant seeking exemption from personal appearance and has sought adjournment.

4. The PIO of office of Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Department of Local Government, was also directed to be present in the court, but none has appeared on his behalf. He is again directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (Regd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, … Respondents

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Local Government, Punjab,

Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No. 1237 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant, office of Director Local Government on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. The representative of respondents states that, as directed on the last date of hearing, the appellant has provided the copy of complaint. He submits copies of letters dated 16-03-2018, 02-04-2018 and 10-04-2018 written by the PIO of office of Director Local Government, to the address of Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala. In these letters the concerned Deputy Director has been asked to supply his report/ comments in the case immediately. He further says that the report is still awaited and requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. The appellant is absent. However, a telephonic message has been received from the appellant seeking exemption from personal appearance and has sought adjournment.

4. The PIO of office of Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Department of Local Government, was also directed to be present in the court, but none has appeared on his behalf. He is again directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Narinder Kumar Bitta, (88725-97800),

S/o Shri Rai Sahib,

House No. 2438 A, Street No. 2,

Shri Guru Nanak Nagar, Near Acre, Barnala. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Deputy Commissioner,

Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Commissioner,

Jalandhar. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 89 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf appellant.

Shri Paramjeet Singh, APIO, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. Today, the APIO of office of Director, Information & Public Relations Department, Punjab, Chandigarh appears in the court, who says that the sought information has already been supplied on 05-04-2018 by registered post.

3. The appellant is not present in the court. He is contacted on phone. He admits the he has received the information and is satisfied with the supplied information. He requests the court to close the case.

4. As the information stands supplied, the case is ordered to be **closed and disposed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

CC: Director, Information & Public Relations Department, Punjab,

5th floor, Punjab Civil Sectt.-1, Chandigarh.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in) **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (REgd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Local Government, Punjab,

Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1244 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant, office of Director Local Government on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. The representative of respondents states that, as directed on the last date of hearing, the appellant has provided the copy of complaint. He submits copies of letters dated 16-03-2018, 02-04-2018 and 10-04-2018 written by the PIO of office of Director Local Government, to the address of Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala. In these letters the concerned Deputy Director has been asked to supply his report/ comments in the case immediately. He further says that the report is still awaited and requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. The appellant is absent. However, a telephonic message has been received from the appellant seeking exemption from personal appearance and has sought adjournment.

4. The PIO of office of Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Department of Local Government, was also directed to be present in the court, but none has appeared on his behalf. He is again directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in) **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (REgd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Local Government, Punjab,

Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1239 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant, office of Director Local Government on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. The representative of respondents states that, as directed on the last date of hearing, the appellant has provided the copy of complaint. He submits copies of letters dated 16-03-2018, 02-04-2018 and 10-04-2018 written by the PIO of office of Director Local Government, to the address of Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala. In these letters the concerned Deputy Director has been asked to supply his report/ comments in the case immediately. He further says that the report is still awaited and requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. The appellant is absent. However, a telephonic message has been received from the appellant seeking exemption from personal appearance and has sought adjournment.

4. The PIO of office of Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Department of Local Government, was also directed to be present in the court, but none has appeared on his behalf. He is again directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Ms. Kavita Nayyar,

B-11, MCH-751/2, Kamalpur,

Jalandhar Road, Hoshiarpur- 146001. Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Municipal Corporation, Hoshiarpur. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 774 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Kuldeep Singh, SDO on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. As directed on 19-09-2017, the SDO appearing on behalf of respondents submits affidavit in the court. As the complainant is absent on the third time consecutively, the respondents are directed to send the affidavit to the complainant by registered post. The complainant is directed to be present on the next date of hearing. In case of non appearance, it will be assumed that the complainant is satisfied with the provided information and the case will be decided on merit. Adjourned.

3. The matter to come up now on **06-06-2018 at 11:00AM.**

4. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in) **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Singla,

Voice Organisation (REgd),

Opp. Tehsil Office, Lehragaga-148031,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab,

Department of Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar

Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

o/o Director Local Government, Punjab,

Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35, Chandigarh. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1238 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Sandeep Kumar, Senior Assistant, office of Director Local Government on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018.

2. The representative of respondents states that, as directed on the last date of hearing, the appellant has provided the copy of complaint. He submits copies of letters dated 16-03-2018, 02-04-2018 and 10-04-2018 written by the PIO of office of Director Local Government, to the address of Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala. In these letters the concerned Deputy Director has been asked to supply his report/ comments in the case immediately. He further says that the report is still awaited and requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. The appellant is absent. However, a telephonic message has been received from the appellant seeking exemption from personal appearance and has sought adjournment.

4. The PIO of office of Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Department of Local Government, was also directed to be present in the court, but none has appeared on his behalf. He is again directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

5. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018 State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail [– psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Gurdeep Singh (98782-62216),

S/o Late Shri Nachattar Singh,   
House No. 2722, Street No. 5,

Rajesh Nagar, Haibowal Kalan-141001,

Ludhiana. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Guru Angad Dev Veterinary University,

Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Registrar, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary University,

Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 113 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

SHri Pardeep Kumar, Assistant Registrar-cum-APIO and Shri Jarnail Singh, Clerk on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. The respondents bring information with them to supply the same to the appellant in the court and submit one copy of the same for the record of the Commission. The same is placed in the case file.

3. The appellant is not present. The respondents are, therefore, directed to send the information by registered post before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

4. To come up for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma,

H.No. 585, Phase-2, SAS Nagar. Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Municipal Committee, Banur,

Distt. SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Director, Local Govt.,

Patiala. Respondents.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 513 of 2017**

Present: Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma, appellant, in person.

Shri Jasbir Singh, SDO and Shri Hardeep Singh, APIO, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The appellant says the supplied information is incomplete. The respondents say that the information, as available on the record, has been supplied and no more information is there on the record of public authority.

3. After this, the RTI application is discussed point wise. After discussion, it comes out that the information relating to points No. 1, 3 and 4 has been received by the appellant. The information relating to points No. 2 and 5 is incomplete. Therefore, the respondents are directed to provide the complete and correct information, duly attested, to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO will also submit a duly attested affidavit stating therein clearly that the information, as available on the record of public authority, has been supplied and no more information is there on the record.

4. The appellant submits that he has applied for the information on 20-08-2016 and the respondent-PIO took almost 20 months to supply the information which is still not complete. He submits that the respondent-PIO may be imposed penalty and he may be compensated for the loss and detriment suffered by him during this period of 20 months.

5. In brief, the facts of this case are that the appellant filed an RTI application with the PIO on 20-08-2016. The respondent-PIO supplied information on 23-09-2016. Not satisfied with the supplied information, the appellant filed a first appeal with the first appellate authority on 25-11-2016. The first appellate authority passed an order on 09-01-2017 that keeping in view the information supplied by the PIO, the appeal is disposed of with the strict warning to the PIO to provide the information to the appellant within the time schedule as prescribed under the RTI Act, 2005, with the condition that if the appellant is not satisfied with the decision of first appellate authority, he may approach the State Information
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Commission. Not satisfied with the decision of first appellate authority, the appellant filed a second appeal dated 14-02-2017 with the Commission. Accordingly, a notice of hearing was issued to the parties 21-03-2017.

6. On 21-03-2017, Shri Hardeep Singh, JE, appearing on behalf of respondent-PIO stated in the court that the information has already been supplied on 16-12-2016. As the appellant was not present in the court, the case was adjourned for 10-04-2017. On 10-04-2017, the appellant stated that the supplied information is incorrect and incomplete except point No. 7. The respondents were directed to remove the deficiencies, as pointed out by the appellant, and to provide complete information and case was adjourned to 16-05-2017. On 16-05-2017, the respondents did not attend the court and the case was adjourned to 13-06-2017.

7. On 13-06-2017, the respondents submitted the information to the appellant in the court. The appellant pointed out that the provided information is unattested and the case was adjourned for 11-07-2017. On 11-07-2017 and 08-08-2017, the respondents did not attend the court. However, an e-mail was received in the Commission office seeking adjournment. On 19-09-2017, respondents did not attend the court. Therefore, a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, was issued to the respondent-PIO to explain reasons as to why a penalty be not imposed upon the PIO for the delay in the supply of information.

However, after the hearing was over, Shri Manvir Singh Gill, Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Banur appeared in the Commission office and he submitted a self attested affidavit dated 19-09-2017 from himself, which has been placed in the case file, stating therein that the information, as available on the record has been supplied.

8. On 11-01-2018, both the parties were absent. The respondent-PIO neither attend the court nor submitted written submission to the show cause notice issued on 19-09-2017. The case was adjourned for 06-03-2018. On 06-03-2018, the respondents were again absent. Taking the defiant view of the PIO in view, bailable warrants, through the SSP, SAS Nagar, were issued to be served upon Shri Manvir Singh Gill, E.O. Nagar Council, Banur to be present in the court on 18-04-2018.

9. On 18-04-2018, despite the issuance of bailable warrants, the Shri Manvir Singh Gill, E.O. neither attended the court nor submitted any written explanation to the show cause notice issued to him. Rather he deputed another employees of the Council to attend the court, who told that the E.O. Shri Gill has been transferred from Banur to Zirakpur.

10. Taking the above facts and circumstances in view, the court comes to a logical conclusion that it is a fit case for the imposition of penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- ( Rupees Ten thousand only ) on the then respondent-PIO, Shri Manvir Singh Gill, Executive Officer, Nagar Counci, Banur. The Drawing and Disbursing Officer of the Nagar Council, Zirakpur, is directed to deduct the
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amount of penalty of Rs. 10,000/- from the salary of Shri Manvir Singh Gill, for the month of May, 2018 to be paid in the month of June, 2018 and to deposit the same in the State Treasury under the following Head of Account and to report its compliance to the Commission :-

**"0070- Other Administrative Services**

**60- Other Services**

**800- Other Receipts**

**86- Fee under the RTI Act, 2005. "**

11 The appellant submits that he submitted his RTI application on 20-08--2016 and a period of 18 months has lapsed but complete information has not been provided as yet. He requests that he may be compensated suitably for the loss and detriment suffered by him during this long period. In view of the loss and detriment suffered by the appellant during this long period of 18 months, I find full justification in awarding him a suitable compensation. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 19(8)(b) of the RTI Act, 2005, a compensation of Rs. 5,000/- ( Rupees Five thousand only ) is awarded to

Shri Pawan Kumar Sharma, appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. Nagar Council, Banur through a Bank Draft, within 30 days and a confirmation to this effect will be furnished to the Commission before the next date of hearing.

12. To come up for further hearing on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

13 Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

**CC: (1) Shri Manvir Singh Gill, PIO-cum-Executive Officer,**

**Nagar Council, Banur, now at Nagar Council, Zirakpur, Distt. SAS Nagar.**

**(2) Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Patiala.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Balbir Singh s/o Sh. Inder Singh,

Ward No. 11, near old Thana, Lehragaga,

Distt. Sangrur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Rajpura,

Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintending Engineer,

Water Supply & Sanitation circle, Patiala. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 744 of 2017**

Present: Shri Balbir Singh, appellant in person.

Shri Gurmeet Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. The representative of respondents submits the information in the court which is handed over to the appellant. Shri Gurmeet Singh submits a letter dated 17-04-2018 from the PIO in which it is stated that the complete information has been provided to the appellant. After going through the provided information, the appellant points out that the information is incomplete.

3. The respondents are directed to submit a duly attested affidavit stating therein that the information, as available on the record, has been supplied and no more information is there on the record of public authority. Adjourned.

4. To come up on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Karam Singh s/o Sh. Ujagar Singh,

VPO: Kotla Samshpur, Distt. Ludhiana. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Director,

Rural Development & Panchayats Department,

Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Director,

Rural Development & Panchayats Department,

Vikas Bhawan, Sector-62, SAS Nagar. …Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 737 of 2017**

Present: Shri Karam Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ishwar Singh, Steno on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of respondents says that the case is fixed for hearing today with the Director. After the hearing is over, the outcome of the hearing will be supplied to the appellant. He requests the court to adjourn the case.

3. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on **06-06-2018 at 11:00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Parveen Kumar Kataria, (98883-22285),

Advocate.

H.No. 258, Sector-4, MDC,

Panchkula. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer,

Construction Division No.1, PWD (B&R) Branch,

Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Executive Engineer, Construction Division Np.1,

PWD (B&R) Branch, Amritsar. ….. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3288 of 2017**

Present: Shri Mukesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of appellant.

Shri Shamsher Singh, Work Munshi, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 06-03-2018 and adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The Advocate of the appellant says that the supplied information is incomplete. The representative of respondents does not have the complete knowledge of the case. Therefore, the respondents are directed to depute an official, with complete knowledge of the case. Adjourned.

3. To come up for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11:00 AM.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** [psic28@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic28@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Kuldeep Raj Kaila,

196/10, Kainthan, Dasuya- 144205,

Distt. Hoshiarpur. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),

Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),

Jalandhar. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2043 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

Shri Ashwani Kumar, ASI, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 01-03-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. The representative of respondents submits that the information running into 54 pages has already been supplied to the appellant by registered post on 18-08-2017.

3. As the appellant is absent for the second time consecutively, he is afforded another opportunity to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

4. To come up for further hearing on **07-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 18-04-2018. State Information Commissioner