Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ruipnder Singh, (8728055451) S/o Baldev Singh, H. No.14,

Street No 15/2, Indira Colony, Rohon Road, Ludhiana – 141007

Appellant

Versus Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Mini Secretariat , Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:2591 of 2019 Present: Sh. Rupinder Singh, the appellant in person.

For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.02.2020.
- 2. Appellant, Sh. Rupinder Singh states that requisite information respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that inquiry is under process so requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant. He submits a reply vide letter no. 143-D, dated 05.03.2020 signed by PIO-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, which is taken on record.
- 3. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant at present, so therefore no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed with the directions to the respondent to supply the requisite information after the completion of inquiry process.
- 4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rupipnder Singh, (8728055451) S/o Baldev Singh, H. No.14,

Street No 15/2, Indira Colony, Rohon Road, Ludhiana – 141007

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Mini Secretariat , Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana

Respondents

Appeal Case No.:2592 of 2019 Present: Sh. Rupinder Singh, the appellant in person.

Sh. Rupinder Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar.

<u>ORDER</u>

1.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.02.2020.

Versus

2. Appellant, Sh. Rupinder Singh states that requisite information respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that inquiry is under process so requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant. He submits a reply vide letter no. 143-D, dated 05.03.2020 signed

by PIO-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, which is taken on record.

3. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant at present, so therefore no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed** with the directions to the respondent to supply the requisite information after the completion of inquiry process.

4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.03.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Makhan Singh,

S/o Sh Inder Singh, R/o Village Mehmood Khane Ke (Bame Wala), Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka

Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat officer, Guruharashahai, Distt.- Ferozepur

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Ferozepur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.:2908 of 2019

Versus

Present: ORDER

Nobody present.

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.02.2020.
- 2. Both the parties are consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing. Both the parties were also absent on the previous hearing held on 17.02.2020.
- 3. After examining the case file, it is observed that appellant does not want to pursue this case further as he has not avail the opportunities to represent this case. Respondent PIO did not bothered to reply notice/orders of the Commission and shows his irresponsible behavior towards his duties as a Public servant. A copy of this order be sent to the DDPO, Ferozepur through registered post by name for his ready reference.
- 4. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.03.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

The District Development and Panchayat Officer (By Name) Ferozepur.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Hakam Singh, (9877915026)

S/o Sh. Darshan Singh, R/o Sadhir, Tehsil Gidarbaha, Distt Sri Mukatsar Shahib.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Gidderbaha, Dist. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Dist. Development and Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Respondents

Appeal Case No.:837 of 2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Ranjeet Singh (JRC).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ranjeet Singh submits an acknowledgement signed by the appellant dated 13.03.2020 stating that he has received the requisite information and does not want to pursue this case further.

3. Appellant, Sh. Hakam Singh is not present for today's hearing.

4. After examining the case file, it is observed that nobody was present from the respondent on the previous hearing held on 18.02.2020 but inadvertently Sh. Sewa Singh (Panchayat Secretary) was marked present, which may be read as nobody on behalf of the respondent.

5. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Baljinder Singh, (9417120740)

S/o Sh. Harbhajan Singh(Ex Namardar), R/o- Ward No 4, Vill Teona Pujariya, Tehsil – Talwandi Sabo, District- Bathinda.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Talwandi Sabo , Bhatinda.

Respondents

Complaint Case No.:358 of 2019 Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sewa Singh (Panchayat Secretary).

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.
- 2. Respondent, Sh. Sewa Singh submits an acknowledgement signed by the complainant dated 17.03.2020 stating that he has received the requisite information, which is taken on record.
- 3. Complainant, Sh. Baljinder Singh is not present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

S/o Sh. Kirpal Singh,

R/o Village Basti Aziz Wali, PO Sandhe Hasham,

Tehsil & Distt. Ferozpur-152004

Appellant

Versus

Sh. Khushwinder Singh (Public Information Officer)

Regd. Post O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,

Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,

Ferozepur. Respondents

Appeal Case No.: 2611 of 2018

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Khushwinder Singh (Panchayat Secretary).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Khushwinder Singh states that he joined office in January and no

charge has been received of the documents related with requisite information. He added

that earlier concerned official is Sh. Gurmail Singh, who is now transferred to BDPO Office,

Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot. He requested for an adjournment in this case. He submits a

reply vide letter no. 826 dated 17.03.2020 signed by him, which is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Sh. Gurcharan Singh is absent continuously second time without any

intimation to the Commission. A last and final opportunity was given to him on the previous

hearing held on 18.02.2020.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that the then

concerned official respondent PIO, Sh. Gurmail Singh (Panchayat Secretary) be called in

person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. A copy of this order be

sent to him through registered post at his present posting as Panchayat Secretary, O/o

BDPO, Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot.

5. Respondent, Sh. Khushwinder Singh is also directed to appear in person on the next

date of hearing.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.04.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.03.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Sh. Gurmail Singh (Panchayat Secretary)

Regd. Post O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,

Dhar Kalan, District Pathankot

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Raj Kumar, (9465812607)

S/o Sh. Duni Chand, R/o Village New Salemshah, Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

Appellant

Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,

Fazilka

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka

Appeal Case No.: 1157 of 2019

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar, the appellant in person.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.

2. Appellant, Sh. Raj Kumar states that requisite information is still pending from the

respondent PIO.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this

regard. A copy of previous order of the Commission dated 18.02.2020 was sent to him

through registered post to represent this case today but he failed to do so.

4. After hearing the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that

respondent PIO was also absent on the previous hearings held before the bench of Ld. SIC,

Sh. Yashvir Mahajan dated 28.05.2019 and 07.08.2019. A show cause notice was issued to

him vide order dated 07.08.2019.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the

appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the

view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Raj Kumar.

6. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 2,000/- (Two Thousand Only) to the

appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned

through cheque or demand draft in favor of Raj Kumar from the account of Public Authority

and not from the individual's account.

7. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the

next date of hearing.

1/2

Appeal Case No.: 1157 of 2019

8. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case along with reply to show cause notice which was issued to him vide order dated 07.08.2019, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. A

9. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.04.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

10. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.03.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Gurinder Pal Singh,

Valmeeki Chowk, Jandiala Guru, District Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2726 of 2019

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.
- 2. Both the parties are consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing. Both the parties were also absent on the previous hearing held on 18.02.2020.
- 3. After examining the case file, it is observed that appellant does not want to pursue this case further as he has not avail the opportunities to represent this case. Respondent PIO did not bothered to reply notice/orders of the Commission and shows his irresponsible behavior towards his duties as a Public servant. SSP, Amritsar (Rural) was assigned duty to appear on the previous hearing dated 18.02.2020 through letter no. 5508-09 dated 11.02.2020 signed by IGP, Border Range, Amritsar, which was received in the Commission vide diary no. 4805 dated 12.03.2020. A copy of this order be sent to the IGP, Border Range, Amritsar through registered post by name for his ready reference.
- 4. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

A. ...

Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
Dated: 18.03.2020 State Information Commissioner

Regd. Post:

Inspector General of Police (By Name) Border Range, Amritsar.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, #976 HIG, PHB Colony, Jamalpur Ludhiana.

Complainant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SHO, Polish Station Jamalpur,

Dist. Ludhiana. Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 816 of 2019

Present: Nobody on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: ASI, Shingara Singh.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.
- 2. Respondent, ASI, Shingara Singh states that reply has already been sent to the complainant through registered post dated 09.07.2019.
- 3. Complainant, Sh. Gurdeep Singh Kahlon is absent continuously third time without any intimation to the Commission. He was also absent on the previous hearings held on 24.10.2019 and 18.02.2020.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that complainant does not want to pursue this case further as he has not availed the opportunities to represent this case. It is presumed that he is satisfied with the supplied reply.
- 5. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, #976 HIG, PHB Colony, Jamalpur Ludhiana.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Addl. Commissioner OF P

O/o Addl. Commissioner OF Police-4, Ludhiana.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 817 of 2019

Present: Nobody present.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.
- 2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission. Complainant was also absent on the previous hearings held on 24.10.2019 and 18.02.2020.
- 3. After examining the case file, it is observed that a last and final opportunity was given to complainant to represent this case but he failed to do so. It is presumed that he is satisfied with the supplied reply and does not want to pursue this case further as he has not availed the opportunities to represent this case.
- 4. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Gurdev Kaur, (9872296470, 6280016984)

W/o Late Sh. Kehar Singh, Mohalla Sheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Duarewana Road, Kotakpura, Distt. Faridkot - 151204

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o Pradhan, The Scheduled Caste

Land Owning Society,

Kotakpura, Distt. Faridkot Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 608 of 2019

Present: Sh. Darshan Singh on behalf of the complainant.

Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.

2. Representative of the complainant, Sh. Darshan Singh is present for today's hearing.

3. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard. A copy of previous order of the Commission dated 18.02.2020 was sent to him

through registered post.

4. After hearing the representative of the complainant and examining the case file, it is

observed that order of the Commission dated 18.02.2020 returned to the Commission with

remarks "insufficient address" but address is correct as per the case file. A copy of this

order be sent to the respondent PIO by name through registered post to represent this case

in person on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 28.04.2020 at 11:00 A.M.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 18.03.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Pritam Singh Mahitto, S/o Sh.Jeeta Singh,

R/o Back Side Civil Hospital, Malerkotla-1, Distt Sangrur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Malerkotla-1, Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o O/o DDPO, Sangrur. Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3518 of 2018

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Dharam Singh (Panchayat Secretary).

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2020.

- 2. Respondent, Sh. Dharam Singh states that requisite information is not available in the official record.
- 3. Appellant, Sh. Pritam Singh is not present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, one more opportunity is given to respondent PIO to file an affidavit as per the previous order of the Commission dated 18.02.2020.
- 5. A last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.
- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 28.04.2020 at 11:00 A.M.
- 7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.