# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0013 OF 2020

Versus

Sh. Surjit Singh Aujla, (9876757790) Village Aujla Dhak, Post Office Lidar Kalan, District Jalandhar.

...Appellant

**Public Information Officer**, O/o XEN,

Water Supply and Sanitation Division,

Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Gurdaspur.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Nitin Dhiman, Junior Engineer, on behalf of the Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Nitin Dhiman, Junior Engineer, appears in the court on behalf of the Respondents and states that the sought for information has been supplied to the Appellant vide their office letter No.443, dated 15.01.2020 and the appellant has acknowledged the receipt by signing on the letter itself. The letter is taken on record.

- 2. The appellant is absent today. However, he is contacted over phone and he states that he has received the information to his satisfaction. He further requests the court to file the case.
- 3. The information stands supplied and the Commission is not inclined to take any more action. **Hence, the case is closed and disposed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0054 OF 2020

Sh. Joginder Singh,(9914216045) S/o Sh. Dayal Singh, H. No. B-IV/922, Old Radha Swami Street, Barnala-148101

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Barnala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Barnala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

Smt. Surinderpal Kaur, Sr. Assistant, O/o DEO, Barnala and Shri Krishan Garg,

Accountant O/o BPEO Sehna, on behalf of the Respondents.

### ORDER:

Smt. Surinderpal Kaur, Sr. Assistant, O/o DEO, Barnala and Shri Krishan Garg, Accountant O/o BPEO, Sehna, appear on behalf of the Respondents and submit letter No.A-1/202061704, dated 17.02.2020 enclosing therein letter No.202059695, dated 14.02.2020 stating that the sought for information has been supplied to the Appellant and the appellant has acknowledged the receipt by signing on the letter itself. The letter is taken on record.

- 2. The appellant is absent today. He has acknowledged the receipt of demanded information by signing on the letter itself
- 3. The information stands supplied and it is assumed that he has received the demanded information to his satisfaction. **Hence, the case is closed and disposed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0067 OF 2020

Smt. Harjit Kaur, (9041062481) W/o Sh. Gurdeep Singh, Ward No. 2, House No. 107, Anaz Mandi, Kurali, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Patiala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Smt. Harjit Kaur, the Appellant, in person.

Shri Daljit Singh, SDE, on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

Smt. Harjit Kaur has filed her RTI application with the PIO o/o XEN, WSS Division, Sri Fatehgarh Sahib on 24.07.2019 seeking information as enumerated in the RTI application and on not receipt of the same from PIO she has filed her first appeal on 05.09.2019 with FAA O/o of SE, WSS Circle, Patiala. When she did not receive the information from the FAA, she has filed her second appeal with the Commission on 24.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is present for today's hearing in the Commission and states that the respondents have not supplied the information till date.
- 3. Shri Daljit Singh, SDE, appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that the appellant has not enclosed the proper proof of ID, so the demanded information has not been supplied to the appellant.
- 4. The appellant shows her 'adhaar card' in the court. The RTI of the appellant is discussed point-wise in the court. After discussion, the representative of the respondents is directed to send the information duly attested relating to point No.2 and 4 by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to Commission.
- 5. Both the parties are directed to be present on the next date of hearing.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

3.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0083 OF 2020

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan, (9814354649) S/o Kuldeep Raj Mahajan, President of Anti Corruption Council, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o The XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Rajpura, District Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Patiala.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of both the parties.

### ORDER:

Shri Yogesh Mahajan has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the XEN, WSS Division, Rajpura on 01.10.2019 seeking information as enumerated in the RTI application and on not receipt of the same from PIO he has filed his first appeal on 04.11.2019 with FAA O/o of the SE, WSS Circle, Patiala. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Today neither the Appellant nor his representative is present for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. The Respondent-PIO is absent today without any intimation to the Commission. He is directed to supply the demanded information to the appellant duly attested by registered post before the next date of hearing. He is also directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing along with the demanded information.
- 4. The Appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission. He is also directed to point out deficiencies, if any, in the supplied information by registered post to the Respondent-PIO under intimation to the Commission before the next date of hearing.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

5.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0089 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Jindal, (8968567300) S/o Sh. Braham Prakash, # 9628, Gali No. 9-C, Mini Secretariat Road, Bathinda-151001

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Jindal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 16.08.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 16.09.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- 3. The representative of the appellant after going through the supplied information states that the same is incomplete.
- 4. The RTI application of the appellant is discussed in the court. After discussion, the representatives of the respondents are directed to send the remaining information by registered post to the appellant before the next date of hearing under intimation to the Commission.
- 5. Both the parties are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0128 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Jindal, (8968567300) S/o Sh. Braham Prakash, # 9628, Gali No. 9-C, Mini Secretariat Road, Bathinda-151001

...Appellant

...Respondents

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

**PRESENT:** Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Jindal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 16.08.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 16.09.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- 3. The representative of the appellant after going through the supplied information states that the same is incomplete.
- 4. The RTI application of the appellant is discussed in the court. After discussion both the parties mutually agree for inspection and identification of record. They will fix the date and time telephonically for the above said purpose.
- 5. The representative of the Appellant is directed to visit the o/o the Respondents on the mutually agreed date and time for inspection and identification of the record and get the duly attested information on the spot.
- 6. The Representatives of the Respondents are directed for necessary compliance of the order and supply the identified information duly attested on the spot to the appellant under intimation to the Commission
- 7. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0090 OF 2020

Sh. Pankaj Kumar,(9988241285) S/o Sh. Nabh Singh, # MCB Z6 03225, Gali No. 1-B, Surakhpeer Road, Bathinda-151001

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT**: Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Pankaj Kumar has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 14.08.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 16.09.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- 3. The representative of the appellant after going through the supplied information states that the same is incomplete.
- 4. The RTI application of the appellant is discussed in the court. After discussion both the parties mutually agree for inspection and identification of record. They will fix the date and time telephonically for the above said purpose.
- 5. The representative of the Appellant is directed to visit the o/o the Respondents on the mutually agreed date and time for inspection and identification of the record and get the duly attested information on the spot.
- 6. The Representatives of the Respondents are directed for necessary compliance of the order and supply the identified information duly attested on the spot to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Sd/-

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0091 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal,(9814197689) S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, H. No. 148 Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda-151001

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Municipal Council, Rampura Phul, District Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT**: Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

None on behalf of the Respondents.

### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Goyal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Rampuraphul on 11.09.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 22.10.2019 with FAA O/o the EO, MC, Rampuraphul. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. The Appellant appears in the court and states that no information has been supplied by the Respondent-PIO till date.
- 3. The Respondent-PIO is absent without and intimation to the Commission. He is directed to supply the sought for information duly attested to the Appellant by registered post before the next date of hearing under intimation to the Commission. He is further directed to be present **personally** alongwith the demanded information in the court on the next date of hearing failing which strict action under the provisions of the RTI Act 2005 shall be taken against him.
- 4. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0092 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, H. No. 148 Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda-151001 (9814197689)

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Goyal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 09.09.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 22.10.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- 3. The appellant after going through the supplied information states that the same is incomplete.
- 4. The RTI application of the appellant is discussed point-wise in the court. After discussion, the representatives of the Respondents state that no record is available regarding the information in respect of point No.1 to 9 and information regarding point no.10 shall be sent to the appellant shortly.
- 5. The representatives of the Respondents are directed to supply the information relating to Point No.10, duly attested, by registered post to the Appellant before the next date of hearing under intimation to the Commission.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020** at **11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0093 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal,(9814197689) S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, H. No. 148 Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda-151001

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Goyal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 14.08.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 16.09.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- 3. The representative of the appellant after going through the supplied information states that the same is incomplete.
- 4. The RTI application of the appellant is discussed in the court. After discussion both the parties mutually agree for inspection and identification of record. They will fix the date and time telephonically for the above said purpose.
- 5. The representative of the Appellant is directed to visit the o/o the Respondents on the mutually agreed date and time for inspection and identification of the record and get the duly attested information on the spot.
- 6. The Representatives of the Respondents are directed for necessary compliance of the order and supply the identified information duly attested on the spot to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
18.02.2020 State In

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 0094 OF 2020

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, H. No. 148 Model Town, Phase-I, Bathinda-151001 (9814197689)

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Shri Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Jaswinder Singh, and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors on behalf of the

Respondents.

#### ORDER:

Shri Sanjeev Goyal has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the MC, Bathinda on 14.08.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 16.09.2019 with FAA O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. Shri Jaswinder Singh and Shri Suman Kumar, Sanitary Inspectors O/o the Commissioner, MC, Bathinda, appear on behalf of the Respondents along with the demanded information which they hand over the same to the representative of the appellant.
- The representative of the appellant after going through the supplied information states 3. that the same is incomplete.
- The RTI application of the appellant is discussed in the court. After discussion both the parties mutually agree for inspection and identification of record. They will fix the date and time telephonically for the above said purpose.
- The representative of the Appellant is directed to visit the o/o the Respondents on the mutually agreed date and time for inspection and identification of the record and get the duly attested information on the spot.
- The Representatives of the Respondents are directed for necessary compliance of the order and supply the identified information duly attested on the spot to the appellant under intimation to the Commission.
- 7. The case is adjourned to 01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## APPEAL CASE NO. 0109 OF 2020

Sh. Sarabjeet Singh Gill, (9888490031) H. No. 60-35-P 376-1, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, P.O. Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana-141014

...Appellant

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

### First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

Shri Harjit Singh Superintendent-PIO and Shri Balwinder Pal, APIO on behalf of the

Respondents.

### ORDER:

Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill has filed his RTI application with the PIO o/o the Principal Secretary, Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh, on 30.09.2019 seeking information as enumerated in his RTI application and on not receipt of the same from the PIO, he has filed his first appeal on 31.10.2019 with FAA O/o the Principal Secretary, Local Bodies, Punjab, Chandigarh. When he did not receive the information from the FAA, he has filed his second appeal with the Commission on 30.12.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. Shri Harjit Singh Superintendent-PIO and Shri Balwinder Pal, APIO appear in the court on behalf of the Respondents and state that they have not received the RTI application of the Appellant. Copy of the same is provided in the court to the Representatives of the Respondents. They are directed to supply the information to the Appellant, duly attested, by Registered Post before the next date of hearing.
- 4. The Appellant is directed to be present in the Commission on the next date of hearing failing which orders will be passed in his absence.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **01.04.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 178 of 2019

Versus

Shri Hardeep Singh S/o Sh. Harnam Singh Mandi Hazoor Singh, Teshil & Distt. Fazilka.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o The Bock Development & Panchayat Officer,

FAZILKA.

First Appellate Authority, O/o The Bock Development & Panchayat Officer, FAZILKA.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Shri Hardeep Singh, the Appellant, in person

None on behalf of the Respondents.

**ORDER:** 

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.01.2020.

- 2. The appellant is present today. He states that the information supplied is incomplete and the same is unattested. He further reiterates his earlier submission that the BDPO Fazilka has not made the payment of compensation amount of Rs.4000/- till date.
- 3. Today i.e. on 18.02.2020, the appellant is present whereas Shri Parvesh Singh, BDPO-cum-PIO, is absent. He was also absent on 28.01.2020. Thus judging the defying attitude of the Respondent-PIO, a Show Cause Notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, was issued to him to be present in the court on 18.02.2020 along with his written submission. The PIO did not bother to attend the court in person or to depute any other official, on his behalf.
- 4. It also transpires that the sought information has also not been supplied and the compensation amount of Rs.4000/- has not been paid to the Appellant till date. It is clear that he does not have any respect for the statutory institution. Therefore, the Commission cannot take it lying down. The matter has to be taken to a logical end. While exercising its authority under Section 18(3)(a) of the RTI Act, 2005, a bailable warrant is issued against Shri Parvesh Singh, BDPO-cum-PIO with the direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to serve it on him to appear along with entire relevant record before the Commission on 01.04.2020 at 11.30 AM, so that the requisite information can be arranged to be furnished to the information seeker and appropriate action is taken on the show cause notice issued to him.
- 5. In view of the above, I order to enhance the compensation amount Rs.4000/- to Rs.5000/- awarded to the Appellant Shri Hardeep Singh. The Respondent-PIO is directed to make the payment of compensation amount of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) through cheque / demand draft issued from the Funds of the Public Authority within 15 days and copy of the same cheque/demand draft be sent to the Commission for record.
- 6. Adjourned and to come up on **01.04.2020 at 11.30AM**. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Hem Inder Singh)
18.02.2020 State Information Commissioner

Copy for information and Necessary Action to : (Regd)

The Senior Superintendent of Police

Fazilka District Fazilka.

Copy for necessary Compliance (Registered) to :-

Shri Parvesh Siungh Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka.

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **REGISTERED**

#### BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

BEFORE SHRI HEM INDER SINGH, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

In case of Shri Hardeep Singh son of Shri Harnam Singh, resident of Mandi Hazoor Singh Tehsil & District Fazilka (Punjab) Versus PIO, O/O BDPO, Fazilka in Appeal case No.178 of 2019.

### UNDER SECTION 18(3)(a) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 178 OF 2019** 

**NEXT DATE OF HEARING: 01.04.2020 at 11.30AM** 

То

The Senior Superintendent of Police, FAZILKA.

Whereas Shri Parvesh Singh, Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notice/ summon in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant on Shri Parvesh Singh, Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Fazilka, to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab, at Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh, on **01.04.2020** at **11.30** AM.

Dated, this 18th day of February 2020.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



... Appellant

<u>APPEAL CASE NO. 4139 OF 2019</u> (9463459338)

Sh. Hunardeep Singh Sohal, House No. 298,

Village & Post Office Vallah, Amritsar-143501.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o The Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Shri Kirpal Singh on behalf of the Appellant.

Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.01.2020.

- 2. Shri Kirpal Singh appears in the court on behalf of the Appellant and states that the sought for information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.
- 3. Ms. Kulwinder Kaur, Sr. Assistant, appears on behalf of the Respondents and submits a letter No.Branch4/DLG(TSC)2020/8533, dated 12.02.2020 stating therein that the Directorate has constituted a Committee of three members vide their office letter PA/DLG/2020/298, dated 24.01.2020 to take decision in this case. She further requests the court to give some more time to furnish the sought for information to the appellant and adjourn the case.
- 4. Shri Kirpal Singh representative of the appellant agrees to the above and requests the court to direct the respondent-PIO to provided the demanded information at the earliest possible.
- 5. Shri Kulwinder Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO, DLG(TSC) is directed to be present **Personally** on the next date of hearing alongwith the demanded information/record for the perusal of the Commission and the appellant and in case of non-appearance, **show cause notice** shall be issued under RTI Act 21005.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **05.05.2020 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 18.02.2020 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy for necessary compliance (Registered) to:-Shri Kulwinder Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO(STC)

Local Self Government, Punjab Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan Sector 35-A, CHANDIGARH.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

PSIC VARIANT PUNIOR OF THE PRINTS OF THE PRI

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com