

Sh. Om Parkash (Retd.Supdt.) (94632-32537) S/o Sh. Jattu Ram Damri House No. 2163 Street No. 4-D, Kailash Nagar, Fazilka

Complainant

Respondent

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer/APIO** O/o District Treasury Officer, Ferozepur

### Complaint Case No.: 498 of 2018

**Present:** Sh. Om Parkash, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Roopa Ram (Superintendent) (94631-07864).

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Roopa Ram handed an affidavit to the complainant during the hearing. He also

submits an affidavit signed by Sh. Tejinder Singh, District Treasury Officer, Ferozepur dated 05.02.2019.

3. On this, complainant shows his dissatisfaction with the supplied affidavit and demanded the proper

reply/information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, I am of the

considered view that submitted reply in the shape of an affidavit is not satisfactory. Last opportunity is given

to the respondent PIO to file an affidavit as per queries raised by the complainant in his RTI application. A

copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post along with a copy of RTI request for his

ready reference. He is also directed to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing.

5. Appellant, Sh. Om Parkash is also advised to be present on the next date of hearing positively.

- 6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 29.04.2019 at 11:00 AM
- 7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

## Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Regd. Post

**Public Information Officer (By Name)** O/o District Treasury Officer, Ferozepur

Encl. RTI Request.

**Sh. Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Birbal**, Tilak Nagar, Street No. 8, Sri Muktsar Sahib (62803-57764).

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO** O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka .

#### Appeal Case No.: 1957 of 2018

Respondents

Appellant

**Present:** Sh. Gurpreet Singh on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.02.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Gurpreet Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO as respondent informed the Commission on the previous hearing held on 11.02.2019 as no school has sent any information to the appellant. He also mentioned that compensation cheque amounting Rs. 2,000/- has been received from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but three emails dated 15.03.2019 have been received from the respondent from email ID <u>rti.deosefzk11@gmail.com</u> stating that requisite information has been already sent to the appellant through registered post. In emails respondent also sent a copy of dispatch register along with copy of cheque, which is on record.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant, Sh. Gurpreet Singh and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to bring proper reply/information as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. <u>A copy of this order be sent to</u>

### him by name through registered post.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

### Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka.





**Ms. Harleen Kaur** House No. 79, Near Kalgidhar Market, Ropar-14001

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o DPI (SS) SAS Nagar (Mohali)

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DPI (SS) SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Appeal Case No.: 2356 of 2018

Sh. Surendera M. Bhanot on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Jyoti Chawla (Assistant Director, O/o DPI (SE)).

### <u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 27.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Ms. Jyoti Chawla states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through registered post dated 18.05.2018 and reply was again sent to the appellant dated 15.03.2019. She presented a copy of the supplied information during the hearing before the Commission. She also brought the dispatch register and other relevant documents in original during the heraing.

3. On this, Representative of the appellant, Sh. Surendera M. Bhanot shows his dissatisfaction and demanded the requisite information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and only compensation cheque is pending from the respondent PIO, which was awarded to the appellant vide order dated 28.01.2019 but the representative of the appellant, Sh. Surendera M. Bhanot is not satisfied with the supplied information. I am of the considered view that representative of the appellant has no faith on the Bench of undersigned. Therefore, **this case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner to re-allocate this case to the other Bench to decide the matter.** 

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Copy to:

The Deputy Registrar (Alongwith Case File)

Appellant

Respondent



**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)** R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o MC, Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority cum

O/o Commissioner, MC, Hoshiarpur.

#### Appeal Case No.: 2584 of 2018 Date of Institution: 01.08.2018 Date of Decision: 18.03.2019

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Sunil Kumar (Assistant Town Planner)

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.02.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information has been

received from the respondent PIO and requested to close this case.

3. Respondent, Sh. Sunil Kumar is present for today's hearing.

4. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the representative of the appellant, no

cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019



**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)** R/o Village Bholapur, PO – Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana -141123.

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Registrar, Jalandhar – 1, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority cum** O/o SDM -1, Jalandhar.

#### Appeal Case No.: 2715 of 2018 Date of Institution: 01.08.2018 Date of Decision: 18.03.2019

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh on behalf of the appellant.

For the respondent: Sh. Amrik Chand (Clerk) along with Sh. Joga Singh (Clerk).

### ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.02.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information has been

received from the respondent PIO and requested to close this case.

3. Respondent, Sh. Sh. Amrik Chand and Sh. Joga Singh is present for today's hearing.

4. As the information stands supplied and on the request of the representative of the appellant, no

cause of action is left. Therefore, the instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019



**Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)** R/o Village Bholapur, PO-Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

Respondent

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.02.2019.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information is still pending

from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO was also absent on the previous hearings held on 05.12.2018, 07.01.2019 and 11.02.2019. On hearing held on 11.02.2019 Show Cause notice was issued to the concerned respondent PIO. A copy of previous order dated 11.02.2019 along with RTI request was sent to the concerned respondent PIO by name through registered post and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.03.2019 but the respondent PIO failed to represent this case.

5. I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh.

6. Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 1,500/- (Fifteen Hundred Only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Tejinder Singh from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.

7. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.



### Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

8. Respondent PIO is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant by or on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case, failing to which action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him through registered post.

9. A last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him by name through **registered post**.</u>

10. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

11. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

## Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post)

**Public Information Officer (By Name)** O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



(Regd. Post)	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-63290) (Anti Corruption Wing)	
,	Samrala, Ludhiana	Appellant
	Versus	
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	Respondent
	Appeal Case No.: 3267 of 2018	
Present:	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, the appellant in person.	
	For the respondent: Sh. Ajit Singh (SA).	
ORDER		

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that appellant has inspected the official record and requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant as it is confidential.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang states that no record has been inspected by him on his visit to the respondent's office and demanded the requisite information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively to

clear the facts of this case.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019



(Regd. Post)	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-69290) (Anti Corruption Wing)	
	Samrala, Ludhiana	Appellant
	Versus	
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	Respondent
	Appeal Case No.: 3269 of 2018	
Present:	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, the appellant in person.	
	For the respondent: Sh. Ajit Singh (SA).	
<u>ORDER</u>		

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh states that incomplete and unattested information has been supplied to him.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

It is also observed that on the previous hearing held on 06.02.2019, case number was mentioned as Appeal Case No. 3268 of 2018 instead of Appeal Case No. 3269 of 2018 inadvertently.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered post.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019



(Regd. Post)	<b>Sh. Surjit Singh Saini</b> S/o Sh. Bishan Singh, R/o 263, Khuria Kingra, Backside Punjab Sweet Shop, Nakodar Road, Jalandhar	Appellant
	Versus	
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o District Revenue Office, Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar	
(Regd. Post)	<b>First Appellate Authority</b> O/o District Revenue Office, Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar	Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 3282 of 2018

Present: Nobody resent.

#### ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Both the parties are absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing

3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 06.02.2019, respondent, Sh. Prabhjot Singh, JA stated and submitted copy of letter no. 35 dated 04.01.2019 along with supporting documents, which were taken on record.

On this, Sh. Surjit Singh Saini, the appellant stated and submitted a letter dated 01.02.2019 along with supporting documents mentioning therein that the PIO refused to provide the copy of appointment letter of Sh. Rajinder Kumar, clerk to the appellant considering it as personal information whereas provided the appointment letter as well as Bank account details etc. of the appellant to the Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Supt. vide office letter no. 2953/EA dated 15.06.2018, which is entirely personal in nature and that too without taking the consent of appellant, neither written or orally. He further prayed before the Commission to instruct PIO to deal similar case with same approach and provide information to him without any delay. This letter along with supporting documents were considered and taken on record

After hearing the both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO was directed to deal both cases with same approach and provide the requisite information to the appellant by the next date of hearing positively and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 18.03.2019 but both the parties are failed to do so.



### Appeal Case No.: 3282 of 2018

4. A last and final opportunity is granted to both the parties to represent this case in person to

clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

- 5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**
- 6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019



(Regd. Post)	<b>Sh. Rakesh Sharma (98884-01973)</b> R/o 182/12, Main Bazar, Katra Parja, I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar Versus	Appellant
(Regd. Post)	<b>Public Information Officer</b> O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar	
(Regd. Post)	<b>First Appellate Authority</b> O/o Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar	Respondent

#### Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma is not present for today's hearing 17.03.2019 has been received in connection with present case i.e. AC:3298 of 2018 and AC: 3299 of 2018 stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. This mail is taken on record.

3. Respondent PIO is absent consecutively third time without any intimation to the Commission.

4. After examining the documents placed on record, respondent PIO is wasting the precious time and resources of the Commission as well as of Public. Respondent PIO even not filed any reply to the Notice of hearing and previous orders of the Commission dated 02.01.2019 and 06.02.2019.

5. <u>In view of the above, the then respondent PIO, O/o XEN, Water Supply and Sanitation, Division</u> <u>No. 1, Bathinda will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed</u> <u>upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation</u> <u>be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.</u>

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. <u>A copy of this order to be sent to him by name through registered post along with RTI request</u>.

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.



### Appeal Case No.: 3298 of 2018

7. Request of the appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Sharma is granted; one more opportunity is given to him to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

8. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered post.

## Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

#### (Regd. Post) Public Information Officer (By Name) O/o Nagar Nigam, Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar

Encl. RTI Request.

### PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: .in



i: 0172-2864115, En	nail: - psic25	@punja	bmail.gov.
Visit us: - ww	w.infocomm	punjab.	com

. ..

	Sh. Rakesh Sharma (98884-01973)	
(Regd. Post)	R/o 182/12, Main Bazar, Katra Parja,	
	I/s Hathi Gate, Amritsar	Appellant
	Versus	
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Director, Local Govt. Department,	
	Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3,	
	Sector- 35 A, Chandigarh	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Director, Local Govt. Department,	
(	Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3,	
	Sector- 35 A, Chandigarh	
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Nagar Nigam, Amritsar	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Nagar Nigam, Amritsar	Respondent
(		. sopenaent
Appeal Case No.: 3299 of 2018		

Present: Nobody present.

#### Appeal Case No.: 3299 of 2018

#### ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Sharma is not present for today's hearing 17.03.2019 has been received in connection with present case i.e. AC:3298 of 2018 and AC: 3299 of 2018 stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. This mail is taken on record.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that a letter no. 11779 dated 07.03.2019 has been received in the Commission signed by PIO-cum-Superintendent, Grade-I addressed to First Appellate Authority, Nagar Nigam, Amritsar with the directions to supply the requisite information to the appellant and to represent this case in person today but the respondent PIO failed to do so.

A last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to him by name through registered post.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.



Appeal Case No.: 3299 of 2018

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Public Information Officer (By Name)

(Regd. Post) O/o Director, Local Govt. Department, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector- 35 A, Chandigarh



(Regd. Post)	Dr. K. K. Jindal (94172-87581, 88473-10898) House No. 25883/14089, Very Near Gole Chakkar, Ganesha Basti, Bathinda-151001 Versus	Appellant
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,	
(	SAS Nagar (Mohali)	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,	
	SAS Nagar (Mohali)	Respondent
Appeal Case No.: 3330 of 2018		
Present:	Dr. K.K. Jindal, the appellant in person.	
	For the respondent: Sh. Tejinder Singh (Senior Assistant) (98156-60845).	

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he has brought the requisite information so that required information could be supplied to the appellant.

3. After going through the brought information, the appellant, Dr. K.K. Jindal states that requisite information is not available in the brought information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent, Sh. Tejinder Singh does not aware about the facts of this case. A last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. <u>A copy of this order be sent to the concerned respondent PIO, who is Sh. Rattan Singh (Amla Officer), O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar, Mohali by name through **registered post** to look into the matter and submits an inquiry report on the next date of hearing to put before the Commission.</u>

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 22.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

### Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post) Sh. Rattan Singh (PIO cum Amla Officer) O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)



(Regd. Post)	<b>Dr. K. K. Jindal (94172-87581, 88473-10898)</b> House No. 25883/14089, Very Near Gole Chakkar, Ganesha Basti, Bathinda-151001	Appellant
	Versus	
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)	
(Regd. Post)	First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)	Respondent
Present:	Appeal Case No.: 3331 of 2018 Dr. K.K. Jindal, the appellant in person.	
	For the respondent: Sh. Tejinder Singh (Senior Assistant) (98156-60845).	

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Tejinder Singh states that he has brought the requisite information so that required information could be supplied to the appellant.

3. After going through the brought information, the appellant, Dr. K.K. Jindal states that requisite information is not available in the brought information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent, Sh. Tejinder Singh does not aware about the facts of this case. A last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. <u>A copy of this order be sent to the concerned respondent PIO, who is Sh. Rattan Singh (Amla Officer), O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar, Mohali by name through **registered post** to look into the matter and submits an inquiry report on the next date of hearing to put before the Commission.</u>

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 22.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through <u>registered post</u>.

### Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

(Regd. Post) Sh. Rattan Singh (PIO cum Amla Officer) O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



(Regd. Post)	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-69290) (Anti Corruption Wing)	
	Samrala, Ludhiana	Appellant
	Versus	
	Public Information Officer	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	
	First Appellate Authority	
(Regd. Post)	O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),	
	Punjab Govt., Chandigarh	Respondent
	Appeal Case No.: 3399 of 2018	
Present:	Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, the appellant in person.	
	For the respondent: Sh. Ajit Singh (SA).	
ORDER		

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.02.2019.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ajit Singh states that appellant has inspected the official record and requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant as it is confidential.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang states that no record has been inspected by him on his visit to the respondent's office and demanded the requisite information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, a last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person along with directions to supply the reply/information to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action will be initiated against him.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively to

clear the facts of this case.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties through registered post.

Chandigarh Date: 18.03.2019