PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill,

House No. 986, Near Dev Hotel,

Main Bazar, Moga. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Additional Director General of Prisons,

Punjab, Sector-17A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Director General of Prisons,

Punjab, Sector-17A, Chandigarh. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 25 of 2018**

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri D.K. Sidhu, PIO and Shri Ranbir Singh, Senior Assistant on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

 Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. The appellant is absent for the second time consecutively. However, a letter dated 06-04-2018 is received in the Commission office from the appellant wherein he has written that the PIO has not supplied the information till date.

3. The respondent-PIO says that though the Inquiry report had been received in the Department, but the Inquiry Officer had gone beyond the terms of reference within which he was asked to conduct the inquiry. Therefore, the Inquiry Officer has been asked to conduct the inquiry afresh within the terms of reference of inquiry. He further says that whenever the report is received, the sought information will be provided to the appellant and seeks adjournment.

4. Accordingly, the case is adjourned and fixed for further hearing on 27-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Gurmeet Singh (95014-21959),

S/o Shri Surmukh Singh, Zila President

Anti Corruption Manch 2072,

Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar,

Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O XEN, Construction Division PWD (B&R),

Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O SE, Construction Circle,

PWD (B&R), Sangrur. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 48 of 2018**

Present: Shri Gurmeet Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ramesh Chopra, SDO on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The appellant states that no information has been supplied till date. The representative of respondents states that the appellant has not deposited the fee for obtaining the information as yet.

3. After hearing both the parties, the appellant is directed to go to the office of respondent-PIO for inspection of record and to identify the documents which he wants. The respondent-PIO is directed to make available the record and to supply the information, as indentified by the appellant, free of cost and the case is adjourned.

4. To come up for further hearing on **31-05-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Ashok Kumar Sharma, (98880-00319)

House No. 2356/1, Mohalla Lal Bagh,

Patiala. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O DCFA, Head Office,

PRTC, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Chief Accounts Officer, Head Office,

PRTC, Patiala. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 20 of 2018**

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar Sharma, appellant, in person.

Shri Gian Chand, Senior Assistant on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

 Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of the respondents brings the information with him which is handed over to the appellant in the court.

3. After going through the provided information, the appellant expresses satisfaction with the supplied information and requests the court to close the case.

4. As the sought information stands supplied, the case is ordered to be **closed and disposed.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Smt. Sarbjit Kaur,

22-B, Defence Enclave,

Patiala Road, Lohgarh,

Zirzkpur, Distt-Mohali. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Chief Engineer, Punjab,

PWD (B&R), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Chief Engineer, Punjab,

PWD (B&R), Patiala. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 01 of 2018**

Present: Ms. Sarabjeet Kaur, appellant, in person.

Shri Vishav Sagar, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

 Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The appellant says that no information has been supplied till date.

3. The representative of respondents says that sought information is very old and the relevant record is not traceable in the record of public authority.

4. However, a perusal of case file shows that the office of Chief Engineer, PWD (B&R), Patiala wrote two letters to the appellant, Ms.Sarabjeet Kaur, dated 19-03-2018 and 26-03-2018, asking the appellant to let the office know the father's name and date of retirement of Shri Balbir Singh, XEN, about whom the appellant has sought information, before 17-04-2018 so that the sought information can be supplied to the appellant.

5. In view of the above facts, the court takes a serious view of two different versions of respondent-PIO in the case. Therefore, the respondent-PIO is directed to be present, in person, in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

6. To come up for further hearing on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

7. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

 Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector-16, Chandigarh. Phone. No. i) 0172-2864118 & FAX ii) 0172- 2864125 E-mail – psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Harpreet Singh (94175-33325),

S/o Late Shri Bishan Singh,

R/o House No. 367/7, Anadpura Street,

Patiala-147001. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Managing Director, PRTC,

Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Managing Director, PRTC,

Patiala.

Public Information Officer

O/O General Manager-cum-PIO PRTC, Depot,

Sirhandi Gate, Patiala. ... Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 53 of 2018**

Present: Shri Harpreet Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ramesh Bhatti, Superintendent and Shri Gian Chand, Senior Assistant on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

 Last heard on 01-03-2018.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of the respondents says that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant. The appellant says that the supplied information is incomplete and incorrect.

3. The RTI application is discussed point-wise. After discussion, the respondents are directed to supply the complete and correct information, point-wise as discussed, before the next date of hearing.

4. The case is adjourned for further hearing on **27-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Yogesh Mahajan s/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,

Municipal Market, Mission Road,

Pathankot. …Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer, PWD(B&R),

Provincial Division, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintending Engineer,

Construction Circle, PWD(B&R), Ferozepur. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 944 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

 Shri Inderpreet Singh, AE, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. The representative of respondents says that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24-01-2018, a copy of which is also available in the record file. The appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 16-04-2018 is received in the Commission from the advocate of appellant. He has written that no information has been provided till date and has sought exemption from appearance in the court.

3. The respondents are directed to provide the complete and correct information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

4. To come up on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5.Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Manjit Singh,

H. No. 388/3, Bahera Road,

Patiala. ……. Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,

Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,

SCO No. 177-78, Sector 17C, Chandigarh. ..…Respondents

  **Appeal Case No. 2611 of 2017**

Present: Shri Manjit Singh, appellant, in person.

 Shri Parmod Kumar, Data Entry Operator on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of respondents supplies the information, along with pen-drive has already been supplied to the appellant. The appellant says that the provided information is incomplete.

3. The RTI application is discussed point-wise. After discussion, the appellant requests the court that the respondents may be directed to provide information relating to point No. 11 only. However, the representative of respondents says that, information as available on the record, has already been supplied and no more information is there on the record of public authority.

4. After discussion, the respondents are directed to supply the information relating to point No. 11 only. If there is no more information on the record, an affidavit from the respondent-PIO be produced in the court that the available information has been supplied and no more information is there on the record of public authority. Adjourned.

5. To come up on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. H.S. Hundal, Advocate

Chamber No. 82, District Courts,

Sector 76, SAS Nagar (Mohali). ….Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Deputy Commissioner,

Moga.

Public Information Officer,

O/O Tehsildar, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Deputy Commissioner,

Moga. …Respondents.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2000 of 2016**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

 Shri Gurjeet Singh, Clerk along with Shri Anoop Singh, Advocate, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018.

2. Though the appellant is not present in the court but he has given in writing that his presence may be exempted.

3. The representatives of respondents submit a letter dated 16-04-2018 from Tehsildar-cum-PIO, Moga in which it is written as under :-

 " nghb e/; BzL 2000 nkc 2017 ftu ;qh gtB e[wko-sfj;hbdko-ew-ghHnkJhHUHw'rk B{z wkB:'r ndkbs tb'A i[owkBk ehsk frnk ;h fi; dh o;hd T[BQK tb'A fJ; dcso B{z tko-tko gZso fbyD T[gozs th BjhA fdZsh rJh. fJ; bJh fBwosk ;fjs p/Bsh j? fe T[es nfXekoh B{z f;ZX/ s"o go wkB:'r ndkbs tb'A jh sbp ehs/ ikD dh y/ub ehsh ikt/ ih. ;jh$- sfj;hbdko-ew-ghHnkJhHUH w'rk "

4. The brief facts of this case are that Shri H.S.Hundal, appellant filed an RTI application dated 28-03-2016 with the PIO of office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga and after getting no response from the PIO he filed a first appeal with the first appellate authority on 29-04-2016. The first appellate authority wrote a letter to the appellant on 03-05-2016 to supply copy of RTI application, as the same has not been received with his appeal, which he filed through on-line procedure.

Contd…p/2

Appeal Case No. 2000 of 2016 -2-

As the appellant did not supply the copy of RTI application up to 17-05-2016, the first appellate authority disposed of the case in the absence of RTI application.

5. However, the PIO of office of D.C.Moga supplied some information to the appellant on 18-11-2016 and for the rest of the information, the respondent transferred the RTI application to the Tehsildar, Moga on 07-04-2016 for supplying the information relating to his office. The PIO of office of Tehsildar Moga supplied the information, running into 73 pages, on 04-09-2017 and also wrote that apart from this information, no other information is available in the record of his office. However, not satisfied with the supplied information the appellant filed a second appeal with the Commission on 06-06-2016. Accordingly, first hearing was fixed for 12-10-2016.

6. A perusal of case file shows that on 24-05-2017, a show cause notice under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, was issued to the respondent-PIO, Shri Pawan Kumar, Tehsildar-cum-PIO, Moga to explain the reasons for not providing the information to the appellant within the stipulated time of 30 days. The respondent-PIO was also afforded an opportunity to be present in person on the next date of hearing. However, on 02-08-2017, the PIO did not attend the court. He deputed a Naib Tehsildar to appear on his behalf.

7. Taking the defying attitude of the PIO in view, the court imposed a penalty amounting to Rs. 25,000/- on Shri Pawan Kumar, the then respondent-PIO, Moga to be deducted from his pay and to report compliance of the orders before the next date of hearing. The orders of the court has not been complied with till date. Shri Pawan Kumar, the then Tehsildar-cum-PIO has taken the plea that he has been transferred from Moga and has relinquished the charge of Tehsildar, Moga on 24-05-2017.

8. The present Tehsildar-cum-PIO, Moga was asked to furnish the period of say of Shri Pawan Kumar as Tehsildar, Moga. The PIO has stated vide letter dated 13-12-2017 that Shri Pawan Kumar remained posted at Moga from 07-06-2016 to 24-05-2017.

9. On the last date of hearing on 28-02-2018 had submitted a letter dated 26-02-2018 from Tehsildar-cum-PIO, Moga along with a copy of order 06-10-2017 issued by Hon'ble Judge, Shri Rajan Gupta, of Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 22783 of 2017 staying the imposition of penality. However, the appellant had stated that the stay has been granted in another case. Therefore, the respondents were directed to produce an evidence that the stay has been granted in this very case.

Contd…p/3

Appeal Case No. 2000 of 2016 -3-

10. Today, the respondents do not produce any evidence of stay orders granted in this case. Taking all the foregoing events in view, Shri Pawan Kumar, the then Tehsildar-cum-PIO, Moga, now Tehsildar, Guru Har Sahai, is directed to comply with the orders dated 02-08-2017 before the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

11. To come up for further hearing on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

12. Copies of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, (Hem Inder Singh)

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 CC: Shri Pawan Kumar, Tehsildar, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur,

 With the direction to comply with the orders dated 02-08-2017.

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Rajinder Singh Sital (98189-71089),

296, MIG Phase-1, Dugri,

Ludhiana. ….Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Director, Technical Education, Punjab,

Sector -36 A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Director, Technical Education, Punjab,

Sector -36 A, Chandigarh. …Respondents.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2298 of 2016**

Present: Sh. Rajinder Singh Sital, appellant, in person.

 Shri Rachhpal Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The representative of the respondents states in the court that the information, as identified by the appellant during the inspection of the record, has been supplied to him. He further says that an affidavit has also been provided to the appellant stating therein that the information as available on the record of public authority has been supplied and no more information is there on the record.

3. As the information stands supplied, the case is **closed and disposed.**

4. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Shekhar Arvind Lonkar,

Z-1, Megha Terrace, near Wireless colony,

Aundh, Pune- 411067. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Local Registrar,

Births & Deaths, Municipal Corporation,

Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Additional Municipal Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Public Information Officer

O/O Municipal Council, Adampur,

District-Jalandhar. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2679 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of both the parties.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018.

2. Both the parties are absent for the third time consecutively. However, an e-mail received from the PIO office of Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar reveals that the information pertaining to the Corporation has already been supplied to the appellant. The rest of the information pertaining to Death and Birth certificates is to be provided by the Municipal Council, Adampur Doaba, District Jalandhar. The PIO of Municipal Corporation has transferred the RTI application to that office.

3. On the last date of hearing, the PIO of office of Municipal Council, Adampur was directed to be present in the court today, but none is present on his behalf and no information has been received from him. Therefore, the respondents are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

4. To come up on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Sh. Bikramjeet Bansal s/o Sh. Des Raj,

R/o Land Mortage Bank Street,

Arora Mohalla, Distt. Mansa. ….Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/O Headmistress S.S. Jain High School,

Mansa, District-Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Education Officer (S),

Mansa. . …Respondents.

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2640 of 2016**

Present: Shri S.C. Jindal, Advocate, on behalf of the appellant.

 Shri Gaurav Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018.

2. Today, the advocates on behalf of appellant as well as respondents are present in the court. The RTI application is discussed point-wise.

3. After discussion, the respondents are directed to provide information relating to point No. 2. With regard to point No. 3, the respondent-PIO will submit a duly attested affidavit that the information, as available, has been supplied and no more information is there on the record of public authority. Adjourned.

4. To come up for further hearing on **03-07-2018 at 12.30 PM.**

5. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Gurmeet Singh s/o Sh. Surmukh Singh,

Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar,

Sri Muktsar Sahib-152026. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer,

Construction Division No. 2,

PWD(B&R) Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintending Engineer,

Construction Circle No. 1, PWD(B&R),

Jalandhar. …Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1768 of 2017**

Present: Shri Gurmeet Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Jatinder Singh, J.E. on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER**:

 This case was last heard on 28-02-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Today, both the parties are present. The appellant says that he has received the information and requests the court to close the case.

3. As the sought information stands supplied, the case is **closed and disposed.**

4. Copy of orders be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

 PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Gurmeet Singh s/o Sh. Surmukh Singh,

2072, Bhai Mastan Singh Nagar,

Sri Muktsar Sahib- 152026. …Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Executive Engineer,

Construction Division, PWD(B&R),

Gidderbaha, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Superintending Engineer,

Construction Circle, PWD (B&R),

Faridkot. … Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2625 of 2017**

Present: Shri Gurmeet Singh, appellant in person.

 None on behalf the respondents.

**ORDER:**

 This case was last heard on 28-02-2018 and was adjourned for today.

2. Though none is present on behalf of respondents, however, a letter dated 19-03-2018 is received in the Commission from the respondent-PIO stating therein that the complete information running into 46 pages has been supplied to the appellant.

3. The appellant says that though the respondent-PIO has supplied the information but the information relating to point NO. 1 is incomplete. He requests the court to direct the respondents to supply the complete information.

4. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing along with the complete information to be supplied to the appellant in the court. Adjourned.

5. To come up for further hearing on **31-05-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, CHANDIGARH

( **Telephone No**. 0172-2864118, Fax-2864125-**Email:-** psic28@punjabmail.gov.in

 **Website :-** www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha,

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar, Jalandhar. …Appellant

 Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Joint Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar. …Respondents

 **Appeal Case No. 2894 of 2017**

Present: None on behalf of appellant.

 Shri Prem Gill, APIO, on behalf of respondents.

**ORDER:**

This case was last heard on 28-02-2018.

2. The representative of respondents says that the information has already been supplied to the appellant on 20-02-2018.

3. The appellant is absent. However, an e-mail message is received in the Commission office from the appellant. He says that the supplied information is incorrect and incomplete.

4. Therefore, the respondents are directed to supply the correct and complete information to the appellant and the appellant is also directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing. Adjourned.

5. To come up for further hearing on **06-06-2018 at 11.00 AM.**

6. Copy of order be sent to the parties.

 Sd/-

Place: Chandigarh, ( Hem Inder Singh )

Dated: 17-04-2018. State Information Commissioner