



Sh. Jasbir Kaur, S/o Sh. Surjeet Singh,
VPO Bhorachhi Rajputa Patii Jhiri Nangal,
Tehsil Baba Bakala, Distt. Amritsar.

..... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asst, XEN, PSPCL,
Butari (sub Division), Raiya Division,
Distt. Amritsar.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 332 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 27.08.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant. The complainant had received the information and was satisfied.

The Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay of 8 months in providing the information. The PIO was issued a **show cause** notice **under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply on** an affidavit.

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. The information had been provided. The respondent was absent nor had sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO was given one more opportunity to file a reply on the show cause notice and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will assume that the PIO has nothing to say in the matter, and will take appropriate action as per the provision under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

Information stands provided. However, the PIO is absent nor has sent any reply to the show cause notice. The PIO is given one last opportunity to file a reply to the show cause notice and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action against the PIO as per provisions of Section 20 of the RTI Act.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Ghanvinder Singh, S/o Sh Balvir Singh,
H No-728, Ward No-27, Khanna khurd,
Tehsil Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o EO, GLADA,
Ludhiana .

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 744 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 13.07.2017 has sought information regarding five storey building/shop being constructed near Bus Stand, Khanna and other information concerning the office of EO GLADA Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 20.08.2019.

The case was last heard on **18.12.2019**. The respondent present informed that there are so many shops being constructed near bus stand. The complainant has not specified for which shop the information is sought.

The complainant was directed to specify about the information that he is seeking and also to establish a larger public interest in seeking the information of 3rd party.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the complainant has not specified the information, which they had asked him to so that the public authority can provide the information. The complainant is absent nor has sent any reply.

Since the complainant has not provided the clarification, I see no further course of action in the case since at the given instance, the matter is between the complainant and the public authority.

The case is hereby **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Vikrant Sharma,
H No- 43, Gurmeet Nagar,
Mithapur Road, Model Town,
Jalandhar City.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,
Model Town Commercial,
Sub Division No-2, PSPCL Bootan Pind,
Jalandhar City.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 745 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Vikrant Sharma as the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 15.05.2019 has sought information regarding details of electricity units consumed for last 3 years and payment received relating to electric meter installed at the residence of Suraj Kant 43-A Gurmeet Nagar Mithapur Road, Jalandhar and other information concerning the office of SDO Model Town Commercial Sub Division No.2, PSPCL Bootan Pind, Jalandhar City. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 21.08.2019.

The case was last fixed for hearing on 18.12.2019. Since both the parties were absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity was granted and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The complainant claims that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent is absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the complainant has come to the commission without going to the First Appellate Authority. The case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to consider and dispose off the appeal within the prescribed period of 30 days.

The Commission further observes that there has been an enormous delay of nine months in attending to the RTI application. The respondent is also absent on 2nd consecutive hearing. The Commission has taken a serious note of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time.** He/she should file an affidavit in this regard. If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

To come up for further hearing on **24.03.2020 at 11.00 PM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Suresh Aggarwal, S/o ShPrem Chand,
R/o H NO-2585/2-A, Jagat Nagar,
BastiJodhwal,Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar (East),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Revenue Officer,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 173 of 2019

Present: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner. The respondent was absent. The appellant informed that he has received a letter from the office of TehsildarLudhiana(East) vide which a report of patwari was sent to him. The appellant was not satisfied and informed that a survey was conducted and some encroachment was removed but complete information has not been provided to him so far. The Tehsildar Ludhiana (West) was directed to submit a detailed status report.

The case was again heard on 09.04.2019 by Sh.S.S.Channay, Chief Information Commissioner. The respondents present informed that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was not satisfied with the information regarding point-1. The respondent was directed to provide the complete information before the next date of hearing.

The case again came up for hearing before this bench on **06.08.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the concerned Kanoongo had called the appellant to specify the area for which the information is being sought since the area of BudhaNala is spread in whole of the city.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide whatever document is available with them for the area of Budha Nala under the jurisdiction of Tehsildar (East) Ludhiana. Further since the appellant also asked for inspection of the file, the PIO was directed to allow the appellant to inspect the file on 20.08.2019 at 11.00 AM, if such file exists and provide the relevant information.

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The Commission observed that there has been an enormous delay in providing the information and **issued a show cause notice to the PIO under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time**. The PIO was again directed to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days.

Sh.Iqbal Singh Kanoongo, Mangat Area and Smt.Rajni Bala, Sr Assistant O/o Tehsildar (East) appeared late and assured to provide the information to the appellant within 10 days. They were informed about the status of the case.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

Both the parties are absent. The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **04.05.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Suresh Aggarwal, S/o ShPrem Chand,
R/o H NO-2585/2-A, Jagat Nagar,
BastiJodhwal,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Zone-D, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Head Office, Mata Rani Chowk,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 177 of 2019

Present: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **10.04.2019**. The respondent present from building branch Zone-A MC Ludhiana pleaded that the record relating to the information regarding points 1,3,5,6 & 7 is not available with them. The respondent present for PIO-cum-ATP Zone-D pleaded that the information regarding points 2,4 & 8 is not available with them and regarding points 3&5, the area comes under the jurisdiction of B & R Branch of Zone-B and Zone-A.

Since as per the respondents, the information was available with B & R Branch of MC Ludhiana, DRO and Irrigation department, the PIO-B&R Branch of MC, Ludhiana, PIO-DRO Ludhiana and PIO-O/o Irrigation department Ludhiana were impleaded in the case and directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information concerning before the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **11.06.2019**. The appellant claimed that despite order of the Commission, the information has not been provided by the concerned PIOs. The Commission received a letter on 30.04.2019 from the APIO-B&R Zone-B, MC Ludhiana stating that the information sought relates to their building branch. The respondent present from building branch of MC Ludhiana pleaded that the information concerning them has been provided to the appellant and remaining information has to be provided by the PIOs of A & D Zone.

The Commission observed that the file is moving from one desk to the other and no-one knows under whose custody the information lies. The Commissioner, MC Ludhiana was directed to look at the RTI application on urgent basis and get the information from the PIO under whose custody the information lies. After hearing both the parties, the following was concluded:

- Point-2 Partial information provided, remaining to be provided by the PIO, Zone-A, PIO- Zone-D, PIO-Drawing Branch, MC Ludhiana and PIO-Irrigation Department, Ludhiana

- Point-3 Partial information provided, remaining to be provided by PIO, Zone-A, PIO- Zone-D, PIO-Drawing Branch, MC Ludhiana
- Point-1 To be provided by PIO-Drawing Branch, MC Ludhiana
- Points-4,5&6 Available on website
- Point-7 To be provided by PIO-Zone-A, MC Ludhiana

The PIO-Zone-A, MC Ludhiana, PIO-Zone-D, MC Ludhiana, PIO-Drawing Branch, MC Ludhiana and PIO-Irrigation Department, Ludhiana were directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information concerning them to the appellant.

The case was again heard on **06.08.2019**. The respondents present informed that they have provided the information to the appellant concerning them and remaining information relates to the building branch Zone-D and PIO-Tehbazari.

The respondent from building branch, Zone-D and PIO-Tehbazari were absent. The Commission observed that partial information regarding point-2 has been provided and remaining pertains to the building branch Zone-D and Tehbazari. The PIO-Zone-D, and PIO-Tehbazari, MC Ludhiana were given one last opportunity to look at the RTI application and provide the information regarding point-2 to the appellant, within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action in terms of provisions of the RTI Act. Rest of the points stands settled.

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that they have already provided the available information concerning them and remaining information relates to Revenue/Irrigation department. The appellant claimed that the PIO is not providing the relevant information and using delaying tactics.

The Commission observes that the department is dilly dallying the information. Having gone through the background of the case, the Commission directed the Commissioner, MC Ludhiana to have a look at the entire RTI application and take adequate steps for the provision of the information. The Commissioner, MC Ludhiana was also directed to send a consolidated reply to the Commission on the matter at the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

Both the parties are absent. The earlier order stands. The case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **04.05.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: The Commissioner, MC Ludhiana

- **PIO-Building Branch, MC-Zone-D Ludhiana**
- **PIO-Superintendent, Tehbazari, MC Ludhiana**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Karamjeet Singh, S/o Sh. Maghar Singh,
1169, Khanna Nagar, Road Bye Pass,
Lehra Gaga, Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Managing Director, PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/ HRD,
PSPCL, Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1380 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh. Karamjeet Singh as the Appellant
Mrs. Kiran Bala, Dy Secretary O/o Dy. CE/Tech PSPCL for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 27.08.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.09.2018 and 01.10.2018. The appellant was not satisfied and stated that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, the Commission found that the First Appellant Authority had ordered the PIO to provide information on point-8 but the reply sent by the PIO was incomplete. The information on all other points' stands provided to the best possible extent. The PIO was directed to provide information on point-8 before the next date of hearing.

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information as per order of the Commission. The respondent present pleaded that the information regarding point-8 is personal information and cannot be provided.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that since the information regarding point-8 is personal information, it cannot be provided. However, the other information has already been provided.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that there is nothing on record which establishes that the disclosure of information to the appellant regarding point-8 has a larger public interest. The RTI application has been sufficiently answered.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Raj Singh, S/o Sh Ishar Singh,
R/o Village Todarwal, P.O Babarpur,
Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Patiala Range,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1635 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.RajSingh as the Appellant
Sh.Ramneek Singh-ASI RTI Branch O/o SSP Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 14.11.2019. The respondent present pleaded that the enquiry in both the cases has been completed and the reports alongwith complete files have been submitted in the courts of Sh.Randeep Kumar, Judicial Magistrate (1st Class) Patiala. The reply has been sent to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the appellant is satisfied with the reply and has agreed to get the information from the concerned court. The appellant has however, asked for supply of copy of FIR only which they will send to the appellant through registered post.

The appellant was absent and telephonically had asked for adjournment.

The case was last heard on **23.01.2020**. The respondent pleaded that the appellant be asked to inspect the record and get the relevant information. The appellant had agreed for the same. The appellant was directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time and get the relevant information. The PIO was directed to allow inspection and provide the information as per the RTI Act before the next date of hearing.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The appellant informed that despite visiting the office of PIO for three times, the PIO has not provided the record for inspection. The respondent present pleaded that since the enquiry has been completed and the report alongwith complete record has been presented in the court, the information cannot be provided.

The copy of FIR has been provided to the appellant during the hearing. The respondent is directed to send appropriate reply to the appellant that why information on other points is being denied. The reply be sent within a week.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **02.03.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Raj Singh, S/o Sh Ishar Singh,
Village Todarwal, P.O Babarpur,
Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Patiala Range,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1764 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Raj Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Ramneek Singh, ASI RTI Branch O/o SSP Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on 18.09.2019. The appellant through RTI application dated 26.11.2018 sought information regarding FIR No.34/2017 PS - enquiry report alongwith copies of zimnies from the office of SSP Patiala.

The respondent present submitted a letter dated 16.09.2019 from the PIO stating that since as per report of concerned police station, the challan has been presented in the court, thus information cannot be provided and the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was last heard on **10.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that since the investigation is complete and the challan alongwith the case file has been presented in the court, the information cannot be provided. The appellant was absent and vide email had sought adjournment.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that since the challan alongwith complete record has been presented in the court, the information cannot be provided.

The copy of FIR has been provided to the appellant during the hearing. The respondent is directed to send appropriate reply to the appellant that why information on other points is being denied.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **20.04.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
PO Ramgarh , Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2953 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Complainant
Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 07.05.2019 has sought information regarding details of colonies/plots constructed with the approval of GLADA from the year 2012 to April 2019 at Chandigarh Road, village Bholapur to Sahibana Ramgarh and other information concerning the office of GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 28.05.2019 stating that the information is in question form after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.06.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on **18.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information is being sent to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was absent and vide email had sought exemption. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any, in writing.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.02.2020 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
PO Ramgarh , Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2954 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Complainant
Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.05.2019 has sought information regarding details of colonies/plots constructed with the approval of GLADA from the year 2015 to April 2019 in village Budhewal, near Nankana Sahib Senior Secondary School and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrator GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.06.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on **18.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information is being sent to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was absent and vide email had sought exemption. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any, in writing.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.02.2020 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
PO Ramgarh , Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Administrator,
GLADA, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2957 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Complainant
Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.05.2019 has sought information regarding details of colonies/plots constructed with the approval of GLADA from the year 2015 to April 2019 in village Budhewal, near Nankana Sahib Senior Secondary School and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrator GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.06.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on **18.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information is being sent to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was absent and vide email had sought exemption. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any, in writing.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 13.02.2020 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Jasbir Singh,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
PO Ramgarh , Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2963 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Complainant
Sh.Ramneek Singh, ASI RTI Branch O/o SSP Patiala for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.05.2019 has sought information regarding status report of FIR No.88 dated 18.03.2014 – Police Station Tripti, Patiala alongwith copy of challan and other information concerning the office of SSP Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.06.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on **18.12.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that challan has already been presented in the court and the appellant has been sent reply vide letter dated 20.06.2019, hence the information cannot be provided. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The appellant was directed to send his observations in writing to the Commission.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that since the challan alongwith complete record has been presented in the court, the information cannot be provided.

The appellant claims that the PIO vide letter dated 09.07.2019 has denied the information stating that the information is 3rd party.

The PIO is directed to respond to the RTI application appropriately.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **24.03.2020 at 11.00 AM**.

Chandigarh
Dated 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Tejinder Singh,
R/o Village Bholapur, P.O Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oSDM,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appellant Case No. 4278 of 2018

Present: Sh.Tejinder Singh as for the Appellant
Sh.Piara Singh PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was first heard on **18.03.2019**. The respondent present pleaded that the information available with them has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 06.07.2018 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission. The respondent also provided the information regarding point-4 to the appellant at the hearing. The PIO in his letter further mentioned that the information regarding point 3 relates to the RTA Ferozepur and the information regarding point -7 relates to the office of STC Punjab, Chandigarh.

The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and the PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information concerning them. However, if the information is in the custody of any other department, the PIO-STC, Punjab Chandigarh was directed to procure the information from that department and send it to the appellant. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and the PIO-STC were also directed to appear personally or through their representative on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on **15.05.2019**. The representative present on behalf the appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were given one more opportunity and directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and appear personally on the next date of hearing.

The case was further heard on **17.07.2019**. The appellant was absent and vide email sought exemption. The respondent was also absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC Punjab, Chandigarh were given one last opportunity and directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and appear personally on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to take action as per the RTI Act.

Appellant Case No. 4278 of 2018

The case was again heard on **28.08.2019**. The appellant informed that the information regarding points 3,4& 7 is not received. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC were absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC were given one more opportunity to provide the information concerning them as per earlier order and appear personally on the next date of hearing. A copy of RTI application was enclosed with the order for PIO-RTA Ferozepur.

The case was last heard on **02.12.2019**. Both the parties were absent. The PIO-RTA Ferozepur and PIO-STC were given one last opportunity to comply with the order of the Commission and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the Commission will be constrained to issue a show cause notice under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 17.02.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 17.02.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : 1. PIO-Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur

2. PIO-State Transport Commissioner, Pb
Sector 17, Chandigarh.