

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Kumar
S/o Sh.Bhagwan Dass Pandhi
Pardhan, Nagar Welfare Society
Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian,
District Gurdaspur(M-9463165327)

....Appellant

Versus

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian
District Gurdaspur.

(Regd. Post)First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case NO.1959 of 2018

**Present: The Appellant Sh.Surinder Kumar is present.
Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.**

ORDER

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk had come on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the requisite information had been supplied to the Appellant. The Appellant Shri Surinder Kumar had come present and had stated that incomplete information had been supplied to him. He had requested to clarify the facts regarding Point No.3 and to give proof of entry of the cess fee (Rs.19881/-) deposited with the Municipal Council by Shri Dheeraj Kumar which had been entered in the register.

After discussions with the PIO/Respondent and going through the case file, the PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information regarding proof of entry of cess fee (Rs.19881/-) deposited with the Municipal Council by Sh.Dheeraj Kumar, to the Appellant by registered post. He was also directed to appear in person or through his representative with postal receipt, failing which strict action would be taken.

...1/2

Appeal Case NO.1959 of 2018

Today, Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk comes present and hands over letter dated 12.04.2019 with a copy to the Commission. The letter contains the photocopy of register of Building Applications. The Appellant points out that it contains the entries of Malba fee, form/file fee and development charge about Sh.Dheeraj Kumar S/o Sh.Ashok Kumar and it also contains entry about Smt.Neelam Kumari W/o Sh.Surinder Kumar and Surinder Kumar S/o Sh.Bhagwan Dass Panthi, but it does not contain the entry of cess fee (Rs.19881/-) in respect of Sh.Dheeraj Kumar. On the asking of the Court, the representative of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Baldev Singh does not give any reasonable reply.

It is observed that information is being delay unnecessarily. As such, **PIO O/o The Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur will Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.**

In addition, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the complete information to the Appellant. As prayed for by the Appellant, he is also directed to produce the original register containing such entries before the Court. Shri Baldev Singh assures that copies of information certified by the Executive Officer will be supplied to the Appellant before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated:16.04.2019

SHOW CAUSE:

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer,

unicipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian. (ENCL. RTI APPLICATION)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Kumar
S/o Sh.Bhagwan Dass Pandhi
Pardhan, Nagar Welfare Society
Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian,
District Gurdaspur(M-9463165327)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian
District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case NO.1960 of 2018

**Present: The Appellant Sh.Surinder Kumar is present.
Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.**

ORDER

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk had come on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the requisite information had been supplied to the Appellant. He had also submitted a copy of letter dated 16.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Surinder Kumar had come present and had stated that incomplete information had been supplied to him. He had requested to clarify the facts regarding Point No.3 as no attested photocopies of the notices had been supplied to him.

After discussions with the PIO/Respondent and going through the case file, the PIO/Respondent was directed to supply attested copies of notices to the Appellant by registered post before next date of hearing. He was also directed to appear in person or through his representative along with the postal receipt vide which information had been sent, failing which strict action would be taken.

...1/2



Today Shri Baldev Singh, Clerk comes present on behalf of PIO/ Respondent and hands over letter dated 12.04.2019 to the Appellant with a copy to the Court, which is taken on record. He states that vide letter dated 07.01.2019, photocopies of documents (P-1 to P-12) have been provided and now, the map with the latest measurement is enclosed as per which the Park has been constructed on an area of 11 Kanal and 7 Marla i.e. the land of park relating to Municipal Council is complete and that there is no need to issue notices regarding the land of this park. It has further been stated therein that if the Appellant needs any other information, he can point out deficiencies and if the Appellant needs any other information relating to the land, he can establish contact with the Revenue Department and get the information.

As per the letter, the PIO/Respondent does not need to give notices relating to the land of park as its land of park 11K-7M is intact and that regarding any other information relating to land, the Appellant can contact the Revenue Department authorities. The Appellant is made clear this position. However, he is not agreeable with this and states that he also needs to know notices regarding other lands of Municipal Council. His contention is not accepted as the information sought by him does not indicate any particular land about which information is sought.

In view of these observations, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Dated:16.04.2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Kumar
S/o Sh.Bhagwan Dass Pandhi
Pardhan, Nagar Welfare Society
Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian,
District Gurdaspur(M-9463165327)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian
District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2806 of 2018

**Present: The Appellant Sh. Surinder Kumar is present.
Sh.Baldev Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.**

ORDER

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Sh. Baldev Singh, Clerk had come on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the requisite information had been supplied to the Appellant. The Appellant Shri Surinder Kumar had come present and had stated that incomplete information had been supplied to him.

After discussions with the PIO/Respondent and going through the case file, the Appellant was advised to go through information supplied and point out deficiencies in written, if any, to the PIO/Respondent with a copy to the Commission. The Appellant was further advised to appear in person or through his representative failing which case would be decided on merits.

It was also observed that the representative of PIO/Respondent was not well aware of the facts of this case. PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information after removing deficiencies, if any, by registered post before next date of hearing. PIO/Respondent was also directed to appear in person or through his representative along with the postal receipt vide which information had been sent, failing which strict action would be taken.

...1/2



Today, Shri Baldev Singh, Clerk comes present on behalf of PIO/Respondent and hands over letter dated 15.04.2019 to the Appellant wherein it has been stated that with reference to letter No.1040 dated 22.11.2017, the information has already been sent to the Appellant vide letter No.60 dated 21.08.2017, but the Appellant has stated that incomplete information has been provided to him. It has also been mentioned in the letter that Sh.Sanjeev Kumar S/o Sarup Lal vide letter No.49 dated 05.07.2017 had written that this information being third party, be not given to the Appellant as the Appellant personal grouse with him and has further asked not to give any detail of his property. Copy of the letter is taken on record.

On the asking of the Court, the Appellant points out that the letter does not contain reply to letter No.2547 dated 14.11.2017 as mentioned in letter dated 22.11.2017 and that he seeks information regarding reply to letter No.2547 dated 14.11.2017 vide which the RTI application has been transferred by the Deputy Commissioner, Gurdapsur to the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Batala, but SDM Batala has further transferred it to PIO, O/o Executive Officer, Fatehgarh Churian. He contends that this information has to be given by the S.D.M. Batala. On enquiry from the representative of PIO/Respondent, he is not aware of any action taken regarding letter No.2547 dated 14.11.2017 nor he has any such copy of letter with him.

In view of the above, the PIO Office of Sub Divisional Magistrate, Batala be impleaded as party respondent. PIO/Respondent O/o SDM Batala is directed to supply the information sought vide letter No.2547 dated 14.11.2017 to the Appellant by registered post with a copy to the Commission.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

All the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of orders be given to all the parties.

Dated:16.04.2019

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Copy to:

**By Regd. Post : The Public Information Officer (By name)
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Batala
District Gurdaspur.**

Encl:RTI Application

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh.Rajeev Kumar Nayyar,
126-M, Gali No.3, B/S Opp.Hari Mandir
Gopal Nagar, Majitha Road,
Amritsar-143001 (M-8146999602)

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Hans Raj Mahila Mahavidyalaya
Mahatma Hans Raj Marg, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal, Hans Raj Mahila Mahavidyalaya
Mahatma Hans Raj Marg, Jalandhar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.1713 of 2018

**Present: Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, Appellant is absent.
Shri Harshad Jain, is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent**

Present:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Rajeev Kumar had come present. He had stated that he was satisfied with the information supplied, but had requested for pending information and also for clarification as to under which Rules (existing or proposed rules), the seniority list had been prepared. Nobody had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, as such, no statement could be recorded.

After discussing with the Appellant and going through the case file, PIO/Respondent was directed to supply requisite information to the Appellant by giving clarification regarding the Rules (existing or proposed) under which the seniority list had been prepared. He was asked to send information by registered post before next date of hearing. The appellant was given last opportunity to clarify the facts of the case. He was advised to go through the information and point out deficiencies in written to the PIO/Respondent with a copy to the Commission. He was further advised to come in person on next date of hearing.

Today, Shri Harshad Jain, appears on behalf of PIO/Respondent and refers to letter No.697-B/10 dated 01.04.2019, copy of which is available in file. He states that as per e-mail received from the Appellant enclosed with the letter, **the Appellant has conveyed that he does not need this information and has withdrawn his Appeal No.1713.**

In view of the fact that the Appellant has withdrawn his e-mail as he does not need this information, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of orders be sent to both the parties.

Dated:16.04.2019

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh.Harpal Singh Sodhi

S/o Sh.Dasondha Singh Sodhi
H.No.15, Kalgidhar Enclave,
Baltana, Zirakpur, Distt. SAS Nagar
(M-9316127392)

.... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Technical Education &
Industrial Training, Punjab
Sector-36A, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Pb.
Department of Technical Education &
Industrial Training, Mini Sectt.
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

....Respondent

Complaint Case No.540 of 2018

**Present: The Appellant Shri Harpal Singh Sodhi is absent.
Sh.Rashpal Singh, Sr. Assistant, O/o Director, Tech.Edu. & Indl. Trg. Pb**

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Sh.Rashpal Singh, SA from O/o Directorate of Tech.Edu. & Indl. Trg. and Sh.Rajinder Singh, SA from O/o Principal Secretary, Tech. Edu. & Indl. Trg., Punjab had come present. Sh. Rajinder Singh had stated that information had been sent to the complainant by registered post vide letter dated 18.02.2019 and had submitted a copy to the Court which had been taken on record. The Complainant had come present and had stated that there was some problem in postal services in their area.

After discussing with the parties, the PIO/Respondent was advised to appear in person or through any of his representative along with the postal receipt vide which the information had been sent to the Complainant, on next date of hearing. The complainant was advised to go through the information and point out deficiencies, if any, to the Respondent/PIO with a copy to the Commission.

Today, Shri Harpal Singh Sodhi, Complainant is absent without any intimation. Shri Rashpal Singh, Sr.Asstt. from O/o Directorate of Tech.Edu. & Indl. Trg., Pb. comes present. On enquiring from him regarding the postal receipt for having sent the information by registered post, he states that all the letters are sent for dispatch by entering into a Peon Book as there is centralized system of dispatch.



Complaint Case No.540 of 2018

PIO/ Respondent is directed to produce photocopy of the entry in the Peon Book or a photocopy from the Despatch Section showing the supply of information by registered post. The representative of the PIO/Respondent assures to produce the proof on the next date of hearing. The Complainant is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, after the information has been received by him, to the PIO/Respondent before next date of hearing. The complainant is also advised to come present on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

All the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to all the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated:16.04.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,
Ward No 18, St. No -2, Kartar Nagar,
Amloh Road, Khanna-141401,
District Ludhiana.
M : 9417594553, 9464692553

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Sahnewal,
District Ludhiana.

First Appellant Authority,
O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Govt., 1st Floor, Mini Sectt.
Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3040 of 2018

Present:

The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia is present.

Sh.Jagpal, Asstt. Municipal Engr. is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. The Appellant Sh.Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Jagpal Singh, Asstt. Municipal Engineer alongwith Sh.Deepak Kumar, Junior Assistant had come present

After discussions with both the parties, it was decided that the Appellant would visit the office of PIO/Respondent on 01.03.2019 after contacting the APIO Sh.Jagpal Singh who had assured to supply the requisite sample as per RTI application on the said date.

Today, Shri Jagpal Singh, Assistant Municipal Engineer, representative of PIO/Respondent comes present and states that requisite information and samples have been supplied to the Appellant. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia who has come present, also confirms the receipt of information and the samples sought by him as per his RTI Application. He also gives in writing to the effect.

In view of the above, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be given to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated:16.04.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,
Ward No 18, St. No -2, Kartar Nagar,
Amloh Road, Khanna-141401,
District Ludhiana.
M : 9417594553, 9464692553

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Sahnewal,
District Ludhiana.

First Appellant Authority,
O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Govt., 1st Floor, Mini Sectt.
Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3041 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia is present.
Sh.Jagpal, Asstt. Municipal Engr. is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. The Appellant Sh.Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Jagpal Singh, Asstt. Municipal Engineer alongwith Sh.Deepak Kumar, Junior Assistant had come present

After discussions with both the parties, it was decided that the Appellant would visit the office of PIO/Resondent on 01.03.2019 after contacting the APIO Sh.Jagpal Singh who had assured to supply the requisite sample as per RTI application on the said date.

Today, Shri Jagpal Singh, Assistant Municipal Engineer, representative of PIO/Respondent comes present and states that requisite information and samples have been supplied to the Appellant. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia who has come present, also confirms the receipt of information and the samples sought by him as per his RTI Application. He also gives in writing to the effect.

In view of the above, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated:16.04.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,
Ward No 18, St. No -2, Kartar Nagar,
Amloh Road, Khanna-141401,
District Ludhiana.
M : 9417594553, 9464692553

...Appellant

Versus

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Khanna,
District Ludhiana.

(Regd. Post) First Appellant Authority,
O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Govt., 1st Floor, Mini Sectt.
Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No.768 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia is present.
None is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Surinder Kumar, Assistant Municipal Engineer along with Sh.Ajay Kumar, Junior Engineer had come present.

On the asking of the Court regarding the compensation and the proof of having deposited the penalty, Shri Surinder Kumar had assured that the compensation cheque/demand draft along with the proof of depositing penalty in Govt. treasury would be produced to the Commission within a week. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO.

After going through the case file, the request of the Respondent/PIO was accepted. He was also directed to comply with Commission's order dated 08.01.2019 by submitting proof of having paid the compensation and for depositing the penalty amount in the Govt. treasury, to the Commission within a week from the date of order through registered post failing which warrant would be issued in the name of PIO on the next date of hearing.

.....1/2



Appeal Case No.768 of 2018

The Respondent/PIO was further directed to supply the remaining information to the Appellant by registered post before next date of hearing. The Regional Deputy Director i.e. First Appellate Authority was directed to take disciplinary action against the PIO/ Respondent for non compliance of the order of the Commission.

This is a grave negligence on the part of PIO/Respondent in not depositing the penalty amount in the Govt. Treasury, not to pay the compensation cheque to the Appellant as also not to supply the requisite information to the Appellant. He is not coming present before the Bench at the time of hearing. So, a Bailable warrant of Sh. Ranbir Singh, PIO-cum-Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued through Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna for the appearance of Sh. Ranbir Singh on 20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

The PIO-cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is further directed that complete information be provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna through registered post to ensure the compliance of the above said order.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Copies of orders be given to both the parties.

Dated:16.04.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

(Regd. Post) The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.

BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

BEFORE SHRI SANJIV GARG, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB

AT CHANDIGARH.

IN THE CASE TITLED AS Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street No.2, Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, District Ludhiana Vs Public Information Officer, -cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 768 of 2018

UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

Next Date of hearing 20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

To

**The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.**

Whereas Sh. Ranbir Singh PIO-cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notices/summon in the above mentioned Appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bailable Warrant on Sh. Ranbir Singh to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh on 20.05.2019 at 11.00 A.M. to produce the record and give evidence pertaining to the RTI queries.

Dated: 16.04.2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,
Ward No 18, St. No -2, Kartar Nagar,
Amloh Road, Khanna-141401,
District Ludhiana.
M : 9417594553, 9464692553

...Appellant

Versus

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Khanna,
District Ludhiana.

(Regd. Post) First Appellant Authority,

O/o Regional Dy. Director,
Urban Local Govt., 1st Floor, Mini Sectt.
Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No.769 of 2018

Present:

The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia is present.
None is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 26.02.2019. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Surinder Kumar, Assistant Municipal Engineer along with Sh. Ajay Kumar, Junior Engineer had come present.

On the asking of the Court regarding the compensation and the proof of having deposited the penalty, Shri Surinder Kumar had assured that the compensation cheque/demand draft along with the proof of depositing penalty in Govt. treasury would be produced to the Commission within a week. The Commission took a serious view of this casual approach of the Respondent/ PIO.

After going through the case file, the request of the Respondent/PIO was accepted. He was also directed to comply with Commission's order dated 08.01.2019 by submitting proof of having paid the compensation and for depositing the penalty amount in the Govt. treasury, to the Commission within a week from the date of order through registered post failing which warrant would be issued in the name of PIO on the next date of hearing.

.....1/2



Appeal Case No.769 of 2018

The Respondent/PIO was further directed to supply the remaining information to the Appellant by registered post before next date of hearing. The Regional Deputy Director i.e. First Appellate Authority was directed to take disciplinary action against the PIO/ Respondent for non compliance of the order of the Commission.

This is a grave negligence on the part of PIO/Respondent in not depositing the penalty amount in the Govt. Treasury, not to pay the compensation cheque to the Appellant as also not to supply the requisite information to the Appellant. He is not coming present before the Bench at the time of hearing. So, a Bailable warrant of Sh. Ranbir Singh, PIO-cum-Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued through Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna for the appearance of Sh. Ranbir Singh on 20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

The PIO-cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is further directed that complete information be provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

A copy of this order be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna through registered post to ensure the compliance of the above said order.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Copies of orders be given to both the parties.

Dated:16.04.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

(Regd. Post) The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.

BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

BEFORE SHRI SANJIV GARG, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB

AT CHANDIGARH.

IN THE CASE TITLED AS Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street No.2, Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, District Ludhiana Vs Public Information Officer, -cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 769 of 2018

UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

Next Date of hearing 20.05.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

To

**The Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.**

Whereas Sh. Ranbir Singh PIO-cum- Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notices/summon in the above mentioned Appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bailable Warrant on Sh. Ranbir Singh to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh on 20.05.2019 at 11.00 A.M. to produce the record and give evidence pertaining to the RTI queries.

Dated: 16.04.2019

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab.**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Vikas Garg (RTI Activist)

S/o Sh. Jawahar Lal

#B-10-375, Near Old Gaushala

Barnala-148101(M-94176-77322).

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer

Municipal Council, Barnala

First Appellate Authority

Regional Deputy Director,

Local Govt. Deptt.. Patiala.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.1343 of 2018

**Present: The Appellant Shri Vikas Garg is absent.
Shri Rajesh Kumar Modi, Junior Assistant on behalf of PIO/Respondent**

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 27.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Vikas Garg had come present. Shri Parvinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Barnala, PIO had come present. The Appellant had stated that the information for the period 08.11.2004 to 23.10.2007 was missing. The PIO/ Respondent who was present, had stated that 2226 pages have already been given to the Appellant and there was no other information available with them. PIO was given one last date to supply this information if the same was available with them.

Today, the Appellant Shri Vikas Garg is absent without any intimation. On behalf of PIO/ Respondent, Shri Rajesh Kumar Modi, Junior Assistant comes present and produces authority letter. On the asking of the Court, he states that the information has been brought by him. PIO/Respondent is directed to supply this information to the Appellant by registered post and produce the postal receipt on the next date showing to have sent it by registered post.

The Appellant is also advised to come present on the next date in person. He is advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to the PIO/Respondent by registered post with a copy to the Commission.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab.**

Dated: 16.04.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Janak Singh, Late Shri Ujjagar Singh,
R/o Behlolpur, Block Majri, Tehsil &
Distt Mohali 160014.M 9417132157

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil & Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Development &
Panchayat Officer (DDPO), Mohali.

... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1272 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Janak Singh is present.
Sh.Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary and
Sh.Surinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary are present
on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 27.02.2019. The Appellant Sh. Janak Singh had come present. Sh.Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the previous Panchayat Secretary had gone on 10 days leave due to which information could not be supplied. His contention was not accepted as the previous Panchayat Secretary could have sent the information to the Appellant by post much earlier before proceeding on leave. It was observed that in spite of issuance of Show Cause Notice, the information had not been supplied to the Appellant and as such, a penalty of Rs.2500/- (Rupees two thousand five hundred only) was imposed on the concerned PIO/Respondent, o/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Majri, District Mohali to be deposited under the relevant Head of Account.

PIO/Respondent was also directed to submit the proof of having deposited the penalty on the next date of hearing and was further directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing to the appellant. Copy of this order was also ordered to be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.



Appeal Case No. 1272 of 2018

Sh. Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary and Sh. Surinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary come present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO. They state that the information has been supplied to the Appellant. On the asking of the Court, the Appellant confirms to have received the information to his satisfaction. He also gives in writing to this effect.

However, on enquiring by the Court in regard to the deposit of penalty of Rs.2500/- in the Govt. treasury, the representatives of PIO/Respondent state that the same could not be deposited as they have not been paid salary for the last several months. PIO/Respondent is directed to deposit the penalty of Rs.2500/- with the Treasury before the next date of hearing otherwise the case will not be closed. They assure to deposit penalty amount by next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Dated: 16.04.2019

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.
Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120



Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in **Visit us -** www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Daya Singh, S/o Sh. Pritam Singh,
R/o Village Majher (Nard),
Tehsil Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

....Applicant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development &
Panchayat Officer, Anandpur Sahib,
Distt. Roopnagar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Addl. Development Commissioner(Dev.),
Roopnagar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2018

Present: Sh. Daya Singh, the Appellant along with Advocate Sh. Harnek Singh.
Sh. Tejinderpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 27.02.2019. The Appellant Sh. Daya Singh alongwith Sh. Harnek Singh, Advocate had come present. Sh. Lakhbir Singh, Panchayat Secretary had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. He had produced letter dated 30.01.2014 from the PIO/Respondent, BDPO Sri Anandpur Sahib. As per this letter, Shri Lakhbir Singh had been directed to appear before the Commission and to supply the information to the Appellant.

From the perusal of the above letter, it was observed that the contents of the order dated 14.01.2019 had not been thoroughly perused. It was made clear that an amount of Rs.2000/- was to be deposited in the relevant head of account of the Govt. as mentioned in the order dated 14.01.2019, which had to be deducted from the salary of the PIO/Respondent. Apart from this, Rs.2000/- was to be paid to the Appellant as compensation by way of crossed cheque/demand draft to be paid from the bank account of the Public Authority.

PIO/Respondent was directed to deposit the amount of penalty imposed in the relevant Head of Account within 2-3 days as also to pay the compensation amount awarded in favour of the Appellant on the next date. He was also directed to supply the information sought by the Appellant by registered post and to produce postal receipt besides the proof of having deposited the penalty amount.

...1/2



Appeal Case No. 1392 of 2018

Today, Sh.Daya Singh, Appellant along with Sh.Harneek Singh, Advocate comes present. He states not to have received the information. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Tejinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary comes present and states that he has brought the record with him and he can show it to the Appellant to identify the information he has sought vide his RTI Application. On the asking of the court, the Appellant goes through the record brought by the representative of PIO/Respondent but, he on going through the record, states that nothing relevant has been found from the record. PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant as per his RTI Application before the next date of hearing. He is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

The Appellant is advised to go through the information supplied to him by the PIO/ Respondent and point out deficiencies, if any, to the PIO/Respondent by registered post with a copy to the Commission.

However, on enquiring by the Court in regard to the deposit of penalty of Rs.2500/- in the Govt. treasury, the representative of PIO/Respondent Sh.Tejinder Pal Singh states that the same could not be deposited as he has recently joined and moreover, they have not been paid salary for the last several months. PIO/Respondent is directed to deposit the penalty of Rs.2500/- with the Treasury before the next date of hearing otherwise the case will not be closed. Sh.Tejinder Pal Singh assures to deposit penalty amount by next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated: 16.04.2019

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Janak Singh Shri Ujjagar Singh,
R/o Behlolpur, Block Majri,
Tehsil & Distt Mohali 160014.
M 9417132157

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Majri,
Tehsil & Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Development &
Panchayat Officer(DDPO), Mohali.

.... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1278 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Janak Singh is present.
Sh.Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary and
Sh.Surinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary are present
on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 27.02.2019. The Appellant Sh. Janak Singh had come present. Sh.Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the previous Panchayat Secretary had gone on 10 days leave due to which information could not be supplied. His contention was not accepted as the previous Panchayat Secretary could have sent the information to the Appellant by post much earlier before proceeding on leave. It was observed that in spite of issuance of Show Cause Notice, the information had not been supplied to the Appellant and as such, a penalty of Rs.2500/- (Rupees two thousand five hundred only) was imposed on the concerned PIO/Respondent, o/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Majri, District Mohali to be deposited under the relevant Head of Account.

PIO/Respondent was also directed to submit the proof of having deposited the penalty on the next date of hearing and was further directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing to the appellant. Copy of this order was also ordered to be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.

Appeal Case No. 1278 of 2018

Sh. Yadwinder Singh, Panchayat Secretary and Sh. Surinder Pal Singh, Panchayat Secretary come present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO. They state that the information has been supplied to the Appellant. On the asking of the Court, the Appellant confirms to have received the information to his satisfaction. He also gives in writing to this effect.

However, on enquiring by the Court in regard to the deposit of penalty of Rs.2500/- in the Govt. treasury, the representatives of PIO/Respondent state that the same could not be deposited as they have not been paid salary for the last several months. PIO/Respondent is directed to deposit the penalty of Rs.2500/- with the Treasury before the next date of hearing otherwise the case will not be closed. They assure to deposit penalty amount by next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Both the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Dated: 16.04.2019