



registered post.

Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.
C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Advocate,
Lawyer's Chamber No. 37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3556 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh. Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 28.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a letter of the PIO whereby the PIO had denied the information and asked the appellant to get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed by the State Govt. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing to plead his case and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh. Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh. Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib



registered post.

Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.
C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Advocate,
Lawyer's Chamber No.37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3572 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh.Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 28.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a letter of the PIO whereby the PIO had denied the information and asked the appellant to get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed by the State Govt. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing to plead his case and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh.Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh.Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib



registered post.

Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.
C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Advocate,
Lawyer's Chamber No=37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3606 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh. Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 28.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a letter of the PIO whereby the PIO had denied the information and asked the appellant to get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed by the State Govt. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear personally on the next date of hearing to plead his case and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh. Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh. Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib



Sh Shakti Bhushan, S/o Sh Krishan Kaushal,
Street Bhaini Sahib, Ward NO-8,
Dhanola, Distt Barnala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM-cum- Licensing & Registration Authority,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner,
Sector 17, Punjab, Chandigarh.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1977 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh. Rajinder Singh, Superintendent O/o SDM Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the
Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 08.11.2018 has sought information regarding registration of new Swaraj Tractors alongwith sale bills and other information concerning the office of SDM Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was denied the information by PIO vide letter dated 05.12.2018 stating that the information sought relates to the 3rd party after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 21.10.2019. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was last heard on **08.01.2020**. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3rd party, it cannot be provided and the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant was absent and vide email has sought exemption due to illness.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that there is no document which establishes that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest. The appellant was directed to appear and convince the Commission that there is a larger public interest involved in the disclosure of information.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through **video** conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is 3rd party, it cannot be provided. The appellant is absent nor has sent any documents which established that the disclosure of information has a larger public interest.

The appellant appeared late via phone and requested that he may be given one more opportunity to plead his case. The case is adjourned and the appellant is given one more opportunity to appear and plead his case.

To come up for further hearing **video** conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. on **13.07.2020 at 01.30 PM**. The appellant may appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jang Bahadur, S/o Sh Jai Chand,
R/o Mandi Aminganj, Roran wali,
Tehsil& Distt Fazilka. .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,
DS Circle, PSPCL,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/DS West Bathinda,
PSPCL, Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3195 of 2019

**PRESENT: Sh.Jang Bahadur as the Appellant on W/S
Sh.Taranpal Singh for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 22.05.2019 has sought information regarding action taken against the erring employees as per order of the Court of Sh.Subhash Chander, PPS dated 21.06.2016 and other information concerning the office of Dy Chief Engineer, DS Circle, PSPCL Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 04.07.2019 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 26.07.2019. On being not satisfied with the information, the appellant filed 2nd appeal in the Commission on 29.08.2019.

The case was last heard on **24.12.2019**. Both the parties were absent. Having gone through the file, the Commission observed that the information has been provided to the appellant on 26.07.2019. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies if any, in writing.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant pleaded that the information regarding point-2 is incomplete. The respondent pleaded that the available information has been provided and no further information is available in their record.

The PIO is directed to relook at the Point-2 and provide the information. If the information is not available, to give in writing on an affidavit.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,

C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate,
Lawyer's Chamber No.37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3542 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh.Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a reply of the PIO which was taken on the file of the Commission. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned. The appellant was directed to be present on the next date of hearing to pursue his case.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh.Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh.Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib



Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,

C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocaet,
Lawyer's Chamber No. 37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3543 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh.Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a reply of the PIO which was taken on the file of the Commission. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned. The appellant was directed to be present on the next date of hearing to pursue his case.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh.Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh.Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib



Sh Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Lal Singh,
Village Sotha, Now at Malot Mandi,

C/o Sh. Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocaet,
Lawyer's Chamber No. 37,
Distt Court Complex, Sri Mukatsar Sahib

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3544 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ram Shaminder Singh, Advocate for the Appellant
Sh.Sukhdev Singh, Kanoongo Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.01.2020. The respondent present submitted a reply of the PIO which was taken on the file of the Commission. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned. The appellant was directed to be present on the next date of hearing to pursue his case.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent pleaded that the appellant can get the information from the Sewa Kendra by depositing requisite fee as prescribed the State Govt. The respondent further informed that the record is in the custody of concerned DRO and Sadar Kanoongo, Sh.Chand Singh.

The counsel for the appellant pleaded that the information which they are seeking, is not complete in Sewa Kendra.

Hearing both the parties, I direct the concerned DRO to allow the inspection of the record to the appellant and provide the relevant information by charging requisite fee under the RTI Act. The appellant is directed to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO and get the relevant information by depositing requisite fee under the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. The Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to: 1. District Revenue Officer,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

2. Sh.Chand Singh, Sadar Kanoongo
Sri Mukatsar Sahib

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jagan Nath, S/o ShGanpat Ram,
Guru Angad Dev Nagar, K K Road,
Street o-13, H No-1836,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Tehsildar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4149 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Sukhpal Singh ,Jr Assistant O/o Tehsildar Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the
Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 19.06.2019 has sought information regarding replacing and shifting of old tehsil in the Deputy Commissioner office complex, arrest of Sh.Sher Singh Chauhan for misappropriation of funds and other information concerning the office of Tehsildar Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was denied the information by the PIO vide letter dated 11.07.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.08.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on **26.02.2020**. The respondent present informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 07.02.2020 and a copy of the same submitted to the Commission.

The appellant was absent nor had communicated any discrepancies. A copy of the information was attached with the order for the appellant. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies, if any to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The case has come up for hearing today **through video conference** facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission on 09.06.20 has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864114, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in



Smt. Kulwinder Kaur, W/o Late Sh Kulwant Singh,
C/o Sh Pawan Kumar S/o Sh Shiv Lal,
Village Rupana, Tehsil & Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IG, Police Zone,
Bathinda.

...Respondent

Appeal Case .No. 4235 of 2019

PRESENT: Smt.Kulwinder Kaur as the Appellant
Sh.Jasmeet Singh, DSP for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 13.08.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on application dated 30.05.2018 alongwith call details and other information concerning the office of SSP Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was last heard on 11.03.2020. The respondent present pleaded that they have already sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 05.09.2019 and 22.11.2019. The appellant claimed that she had not received the information.

The PIO was directed to resend the information to the appellant through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 15.06.2020:

The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the order of the Commission, they have resent the information to the appellant on 20.03.2020. The appellant pleaded that the information regarding call details and location has not been provided. The respondent pleaded that the available information has been provided and no further information is available in the record.

Having gone through the record and hearing both the parties, the Commission finds that the information has been provided to the best possible extent and no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 15.06.2020

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner