

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Inderjit Kumar (7889262766)

Sh. Karnail Ram
Chamber No. 02, Civil Court,
Phagwara

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 171 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Inderjit Kumar, complainant.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on working day after coordinating with ASI, Ramesh Kumar and inspect the official record as per RTI application. Appellant agreed and contact number of respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000) is given to the appellant during the hearing. Respondent PIO is directed to make sure appellant has inspected the record as per his RTI application and supply the identified documents as per RTI Act, 2005. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Inderjit Kumar states that incomplete information has been supplied to him.
3. On this, respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that partial information could not be supplied as it relates with third party and point-wise reply/information as per official record has already been supplied to the complainant. He added that an email dated 13.10.2020 in this regard also been sent to the Commission.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gagndeeep Singla (7973472395)

34/1000, Diary Complex Haibowal,
Ludhiana

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DCP, Ludhiana

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 248 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Gagndeeep Singla, complainant.
For the respondent: ASI, Ramesh Kumar (9915603000)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which ASI, Ramesh Kumar stated that complainant mentioned regarding 09 applications in his RTI application and required information comprising of approximately 600 pages. He requested for an adjournment in this case.

Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 27.08.2020 and inspect the official record as per RTI application. Appellant agreed and Respondent PIO was directed to make sure appellant has inspected the record as per his RTI application and supply the identified documents as per RTI Act, 2005. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Gagndeeep Singla states that information in connection with UID NO. 1539615 dated 12.04.2019 is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, ASI, Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information as per RTI application relates with UID Numbers 1352988, 1393980, 1404487, 1539614, 1576266, 1577560, 1588241, 1653766, 1672558) has already been supplied to the complainant on his visit. He added that information relates with UID NO. 1539615 dated 12.04.2019 could not be supplied to the appellant and reply has already been supplied to the complainant. He further states that an email dated 13.10.2020 was also been sent to the Commission.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed complainant mentioned 9 UID numbers in RTI application and complainant has not mentioned regarding UID NO. 1539615 dated 12.04.2019 in RTI application, in spite of it, respondent supplied status of the UID no. 1539615 dated 12.04.2019 along with requisite information, which is sufficient because matter under investigation could not be supplied as per Section 8 (1) (g) and 8 (1) (h) per RTI Act 2005. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case.

5. In wake of above, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed** accordingly at the Commission's end.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Amrinder Singh (9779217689)
#126, Sector-15, Dashmesh Nagar,
Kharar, District Mohali

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o MC, Kharar,
District Mohali

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 253 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Amrinder Singh, complainant.
For the respondent: Sh. Jaswinder Singh (Draftsman)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which Complainant, Sh. Amrinder Singh stated that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. He added that he met EO, Sh. Sanjeet Kumar (Contact Number 9646060901) many times but till date no response regarding the required information.

Respondent was absent without any intimation and Respondent, Sh. Sanjeet Kumar (EO) was directed to supply the reply/information to the complainant, as per queries in RTI application along with directions to appear in person on the next date of hearing and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Amrinder Singh states that he is not satisfied with the supplied information relates with point no. 4.

3. On this, respondent, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that concerned colony is not a passed colony so record is not available in this regard. He added that reply has already been supplied to the complainant as per RTI application.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sukhjinder Singh (9872826100)
(Regd. Post) HC/1578, Police Station,
Anaj Mandi, Patiala
C/o SSP, Patiala

Encl:- 02 pages

(Letter no. 1939 dated 13.10.2020 and letter no. 7519 dated 12.10.2020.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DGP, Punjab,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o DGP, Punjab,
Chandigarh

Sh. Gaurav Garg (PIO-cum-AIG, Personnel-2)
O/o Punjab Police Head Quarter, Sector-9,
Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 656 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Bhupti (IPS, Personnel-2)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which .Respondent, Ms. Paramjeet Kaur stated that requisite information was denied as matter is under investigation.

Appellant, Sh. Sukhjinder Singh stated that there is no investigation because my letter is regarding to appear before the Home Department. He added that my application dated 10.09.2019 was forwarded from SSP to IG and then to DGP. He added that department could either accept or reject his application and till date no reply has been received from the respondent PIO. He requested the Commission to supply the information as he wants to appear before the Home Department.

Respondent PIO was directed to supply the reply as discussed during the hearing about his letter regarding his appearance before the Home Department before the next date of hearing and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

A copy of previous order was sent to PIO, AIG, Personnel-2, Sh. Gaurav Garg through registered post to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Bhupti states that Sh. Gaurav Garg is unable to appear in person as he was directed by the Hon'ble Commission vide order dated 26.08.2020 due to other office engagements. He added that approval has been given to the appellant to appear before the Home Department and it has been sent to the office of IG, Patiala for intimation. He also mentioned that reply has also been sent to the Commission in this regard yesterday i.e. 13.10.2020.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Sukhjinder Singh is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the documents placed on record, it is observed that a letter no. 1939 dated 13.10.2020 signed by IGP, Punjab, Chandigarh along with copy of letter no. 7519 dated 12.10.2020 were received in the Commission vide diary no. 13803 dated 13.10.2020 through which it is clear that the RTI application has been sufficiently dealt by the respondent as per the previous Order of the Commission dated 26.08.2020 and no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Note: After the hearing was over, appellant intimated the undersigned bench that he was unable to attend hearing through CISCO WEBEX today and no reply has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO till date. He was apprised with the proceedings of this hearing.

A copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** along with copy of reply received from the respondent vide diary no. 13803 dated 13.10.2020 (letter no. 1939 dated 13.10.2020 signed by IGP, Punjab, Chandigarh along with copy of letter no. 7519 dated 12.10.2020) for his ready reference.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Ms. Payal Dogra (8968184334)
W/o Capt. Arvind Dogra
#4A-CAW(Circular Avenue West),
Nangal Township, District Ropar,
Punjab-140124

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SSP, Roopnagar

First Appellate Authority
O/o IGP, Roopnagar Range,
Roopnagar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 752 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: ASI, Balkrishan (O/o SSP, Ropar-9417407264) along with Head Constable, Gurnam Singh (O/o SSP, Mohali-9465657651)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which respondent, Head Constable, Gurnam Singh stated that complete file was inspected by the appellant during the hearing in another appeal case number 4421 of 2019 on 30.01.2020 and 98 pages were identified by the appellant. He added that attested copy of 98 pages were supplied to her during the hearing, before the bench of Ld. SIC, Sh. Avtar Singh Kaler and case was disposed of & closed on 30.01.2020.

Appellant was absent and one more opportunity was given to the appellant to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent Gurnam Singh explained as he did earlier on the previous hearing held on 26.08.2020 that information was already been supplied to the appellant in appeal case number 4421 of 2019.

3. Appellant, Ms. Payal Dogra is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that no correspondence has been received from the appellant, which means she does not want to pursue this case further and as per respondent requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant. Therefore, no further course of action is required. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Darshan Modi (9815332442)

S/o Sh. Rattan Lal Modi
R/o House NO. 3044/9,
Near BDPO Office, Sirhind,
Tehsil & District Fatehgarh Sahib

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, MC, Sirhind,
District Fatehgarh Sahib

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 839 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Darshan Modi, appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Gurpal Singh (EO) (9646140215)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which . Appellant stated that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO. Respondent, Sh. Gurpal Singh stated that appellant was called by FAA but he was not present and information has already been supplied to him and no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till date

Appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on working day after coordinating with respondent, Sh. Gurpal Singh and inspect the official record as per RTI application. Appellant agreed and contact number of respondent, Sh. Gurpal Singh (9646140215) is given to the appellant during the hearing. Respondent PIO is directed to make sure appellant has inspected the record as per his RTI application and supply the identified documents as per RTI Act, 2005. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, Sh. Gurpal Singh states that appellant had not visited the respondent's office as per the order of the Commission dated 26.08.2020. He added that information through post was sent to the appellant dated 09.09.2020 but appellant again sent a letter dated 19.09.2020 stating that incomplete information has been supplied to him.

3. On this, appellant, Sh. Darshan Modi states that he was unable to visit the respondent's office and he has received information only in connection with point no. 1, 6 and 7.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, respondent, Sh. Gurpal Singh explained point-wise supplied reply/information during the hearing. He added that point no. 8, 9 and 10 does not relate with the respondent's office as it relate with office of Punjab W/S & Sanitation which is a separate Public Authority.

It is observed that the RTI application has been sufficiently dealt by the respondent as per the previous Order of the Commission dated 26.08.2020 and no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the advice to the appellant to file a fresh RTI to the concerned public authority to collect the information relates with point no. 8, 9 and 10.

5. In wake of above, no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surjit Singh (9872019658)

S/O Sh. Bishan Singh
Kothi No. 2, Urban Estate Phase-2,
Patiala

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Punjab Waqf Board,
SCO 1062-63, Sector-22 B,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Punjab Waqf Board,
SCO 1062-63, Sector-22 B, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 792 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Surjit Singh, appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Tayyab Hasan Falahi (PIO) (9782023876)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which, Appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh stated that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

Respondent PIO was not present for today's hearing but an email dated 17.08.2020 from respondent PIO, Sh. Tayyad Hasan Falahi from email ID ceo.pwb@gmail.com and a letter dated 17.08.2020 (which has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 10235 dated 19.08.2020) were received by the undersigned bench stating that letter dated 04.10.2019 has not been received by his office and requested to supply this letter so that requisite information could be supplied to the appellant. Respondent PIO also mentioned that a letter (Number E.O/PWB/PTA/D.NO. 1241) is also sent to the appellant to supply the letter dated 04.10.2019.

A copy of previous order along with copy of letter no. 04.10.2019 of the appellant was sent to the respondent PIO to represent this case on the next date of hearing positively along with directions to supply the reply/information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, failing to which stern action as per RTI Act will be initiated against him.

Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Surjit Singh states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, Sh. Tayyab Hasan Falahi states that reply along with copy of notice has already been supplied to the appellant and matter is under investigation, which could not be supplied to the appellant.

4. **In view of above, it is transpired that when investigations are in progress, documents cannot be disclosed as per Section 8(1)(g) and 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005.** The replies of respondent are upheld. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed accordingly at Commission's end.**

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Surinder Pal Goyal (9646050760)

House No. 7, New Bishan Nagar,
Patiala

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o MC, Mohali

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1198 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Surinder Pal Goyal, appellant at PSIC Office.

For the respondent: Sh. Ranjeev Kumar (Secretary-cum-PIO) (9814377099)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.08.2020 vide which Respondent, Sh. Ranjeev Kumar stated that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and nothing is left to be supplied. He further stated that requisite information was supplied to the appellant before the First Appellate Authority.

Appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Goyal stated that supplied information is incomplete and unattested. He also mentioned that rules have not been supplied to him till date by the respondent PIO. He added that First Appellate Authority also directed the PIO to supply the requisite information.

Respondent PIO was directed to go through the RTI application once again and accordingly, supply the information if available as appellant pointed out during the hearing or supply an affidavit in original to the appellant as per queries raised by the appellant in his RTI application along with a copy of the same to the Commission.

Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 14.10.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Surinder Pal Goyal states that information in connection with rules are still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, Sh. Ranjeev Kumar states that reply has already been sent to the appellant stating that rules are not available in the official record and nothing is left to be supplied.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further course of action is required. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh

Dated: 14.10.2020

(Dr.Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner