Shri Harinderpal Singh Palne, (M: 98158-43719), H.No.2545, Sector-69, Mohali-160062.



..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Labour Department, Punjab Model Welfare Centre, Labour Bhawan, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Labour Department, Punjab Model Welfare Centre, Labour Bhawan, Mohali.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1559 of 2019

Present:- Shri Harinderpal Singh Palne, appellant is present. Shri Barinder Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Vimal Kumar Setia, PIO-cum-Labour Commissioner, Mohali.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 16.07.2019 passed by the Bench and in compliance to the Order the PIO has sent the information to the appellant through letter no.18534 dated 18.07.2019. A copy of the said letter was sent by the PIO to the Commission, which was received on 19.07.2019 and diarized vide no.13827.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 17.12.2018 filed by the appellant and discussed about the sought for information with the appellant and the respondent and also discussed about the information sent by the PIO.

The information regarding point no.3 of the RTI application was denied being personal information and the PIO was directed to provide the information regarding point nos. 1 and 2 of the RTI application. The information/reply was sent by the PIO to the appellant through letter mentioned above.

Through point no.1 of the RTI application the appellant sought "List/Names of Labour Enforcement Officers Grade-1 (Technical) appointed in the department of Labour, Govt. of Punjab in response to advertisement no.5 of 2015 published by the S.S.S. Board Punjab whose pay has been protected as per Notification No. 7/204/2012-4FP1/66 dated 15.01.2015

Contd..p2..

Govt. of Punjab, Department of Finance (Finance Personnel-1 Branch), Chandigarh". The reply to point no.1 given by the PIO is as follows "The Govt. is the competent authority to protect the pay of Labour Enforcement Officers Grade-1 and no pay was protected by the office of the PIO".

Through point no.2 of the RTI application the appellant sought "Name of previous Organization/Dept./Corporation/Banks/Cooperative Institutions of the above said Labour Enforcement Officers Grade-1 (Technical) in your Department". The reply to point no.2 given by the PIO is as follows "Information is nil in connection with the reply to point no.1".

The information which was sought by the appellant through point nos.1 and 2 of the RTI application, the PIO denied such information in the office of the PIO and further submitted that the Govt. is the competent authority and in the office of the PIO the salary/pay has not been protected for the post of Labour Enforcement Officers Grade-1 (Technical) who was selected vide advertisement no.5 of 2015 published by the S.S.S. Board Punjab.

As per the reply/information sent by the PIO the information sought by the appellant is not available in the office of the PIO and he is unable to provide it to the appellant. So, as per the reply sent by the PIO, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.08.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Navdeep Gupta, Kothi No.455, Gillco Valley, Kharar, Distt. Mohali. The Information

..... Appellant

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Puttin Punjab

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Asstt. Inspector General of Police (Crime), PB. Punjab Police Hqrs. Sector 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Asstt. Inspector General of Police (Crime), PB. Punjab Police Hqrs. Sector 9, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 3836 of 2018

Present:- Shri Navdeep Gupta, appellant is absent. Inspector Sarabjeet Sngh Cheema, SHO, Police Station, City Kharar is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

I have gone through the RTI application dated 22.06.2018 filed by the appellant through which he sought action taken report on his complaint dated 04.06.2018.

I have gone through the Order dated 16.07.2019 passed by the Bench and in compliance to the Order the PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant on 19.07.2019. The appellant after receiving the information made a noting "received documents" and put his signatures upon the document on 19.07.2019.

Inspector Sarabjeet Singh Cheema submitted a copy of report dated 05.03.2019 to the Bench, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

The information was received by the appellant, Shri Navdeep Gupta on 19.07.2019. About 25 days has been passed from the receipt of documents by the appellant, but he has not brought any deficiencies to the notice of the PIO or the Commission. The appellant is not coming present neither he sent any mail.

### Appeal Case No. 3836 of 2018

As per the facts mentioned above it seems that the appellant is satisfied with the documents provided to him by the respondents. Even then the respondents are directed that in case any information regarding report upon the complaint dated 04.06.2018 is pending with the office of the PIO that might be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 14.08.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

for further necessary action.

Copy to: The DSP, Kharar, District SAS Nagar –

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Navdeep Gupta, (8054098586) Kothi No. 455, Gilco Valley, Kharar, Distt. Mohali-140301 ALT BUT ATT ATT AND UNISSING

..... Complainant

٧s

Public Information Officer, Asstt. IGP (Personnel-2), O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

#### .....Respondent

### Complaint Case No. 715 of 2018

Present:- Shri Navdeep Gupta, complainant/applicant is absent. Inspector Sarabjeet Sngh Cheema, SHO, Police Station, City Kharar is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 16.07.2019 passed by the Bench and in compliance to the Order the PIO has provided the remaining information regarding point nos. A, C and E of the RTI application to the appellant on 19.07.2019. The appellant after receiving the information made a noting "received documents" and put his signatures upon the document on 19.07.2019.

Inspector Sarabjeet Singh Cheema submitted a copy of letter no.47 dated 22.06.2018, copy of report dated 30.08.2018 and photocopy of Regret Memo duly signed by Shri Navdeep Gupta. All the papers are taken in the file of the Commission.

The information was received by the appellant, Shri Navdeep Gupta on 19.07.2019. About 25 days has been passed from the receipt of documents by the appellant, but he has not brought any deficiencies to the notice of the PIO or the Commission. The appellant is not coming present neither he sent any mail.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO vide Order dated 20.06.2019, but the PIO has not filed any reply to the Show Cause Notice.

So, the PIO is directed that he should come present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him. In case the PIO would not come present then it will be assumed that the PIO do not want to reply to the Show Cause Notice and the Show Cause Notice will be decided on the basis of papers available in the file.

Be come present on **27.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for filing reply to the Show Cause Notice and for hearing upon Show Cause Notice.



Shri Davinder Singh, S/o Shri Jagir Singh, C/o R.I.V.T. Badal, Village Badal, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

..... Complainant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, R.I.V.T. Badal, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

#### Complaint Case No. 825 of 2018

Present:- Shri Davinder Singh, Complainant/applicant is present. Shri Kamalpreet Singh, Instructor is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o, R.I.V.T. Badal,Tehsil Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

ORDER

Shri Davinder Singh, Complainant/applicant has filed RTI application dated 07.06.2018 and sought some information from office of the PIO.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the Principal, R.I.V.T. Badal, which is a copy of letter no.105 dated 13.08.2019. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the Principal that the PIO is on leave due to sudden death of his brother and he further requested for some time to provide information to the complainant/applicant.

So, the PIO, O/o Principal, R.I.V.T. Badal, Tehsil Malout, Distt. Muktsar Sahib is directed that he should personally come present before the Commission on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, a Show Cause Notice will be issued to the PIO on the next date of hearing and strict action will be taken against him under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **03.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** 

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Punjab State Information

Shri Harbans Singh Bhatti, GM, Workshop/Admn. Block, PRTC, Barnala

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Chief Accounts Officer, Head Office, PRTC, Patiala.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 307 of 2019

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Harbans Singh Bhatti, appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Shri Narender Kumar, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Prem Lal, PIO-cum-GM (Admn.), O/o PEPSU Road Transport Corporation, Patiala.

### ORDER

Shri Harbans Singh Bhatti, appellant is absent today without any intimation to the Commission. He was also absent on the last dates of hearings.

I have gone through the Orders of the previous dates and the appellant was directed to submit the list of 5 persons against whom he is seeking information regarding the complaints and action taken upon them.

The respondent who is present today on behalf of the PIO stated that the appellant has not submitted the names of 5 persons. The respondent is not familiar with the facts of the case.

So the present case is adjourned for **27.08.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for further hearing of the appeal case with a direction to the PIO to file reply accordingly

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Ajmer Singh, (M:84377-90966), H.No.2193, Jal Vayu Vihar, Sector 67, Mohali-160062.

..... Appellant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1138 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ajmer Singh, appellant is present. HC Amarjeet Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of Shri Gursharandeep Singh, PIO-cum-SSP, Khanna.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 17.07.2019 and the appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019 at 10:00 A.M.

The appellant stated that he visited the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019, but no information was provided to him by the PIO.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter no.1108 dated 12.08.2019 annexed with a copy of letter no.1083 dated 24.07.2019. I have gone through the said letter and the PIO requested for exemption from appearance before the Commission and requested for adjournment. It is further submitted that point wise information was provided to the appellant. On the other hand the appellant stated that he has not received any information.

So, the appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 21.08.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO is further directed that he should provide the sought for information to the appelant.

Both the parties should personally come present on **10.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for further hearing of the appeal case.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ajmer Singh, (M:84377-90966), H.No.2193, Jal Vayu Vihar, Sector 67, Mohali-160062.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1137 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ajmer Singh, appellant is present. HC Amarjeet Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of Shri Gursharandeep Singh, PIO-cum-SSP, Khanna.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 17.07.2019 and the appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019 at 10:00 A.M.

The appellant stated that he visited the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019, but no information was provided to him by the PIO.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter no.1108 dated 12.08.2019 annexed with a copy of letter no.1082 dated 24.07.2019. I have gone through the said letter and the PIO requested for exemption from appearance before the Commission and requested for adjournment. It is further submitted that point wise information was provided to the appellant. On the other hand the appellant stated that he has not received any information.

So, the appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 21.08.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO is further directed that he should provide the sought for information to the appelant.

Both the parties should personally come present on **10.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for further hearing of the appeal case.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ajmer Singh, (M:84377-90966), H.No.2193, Jal Vayu Vihar, Sector 67, Mohali-160062.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1136 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ajmer Singh, appellant is present. HC Amarjeet Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of Shri Gursharandeep Singh, PIO-cum-SSP, Khanna.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 17.07.2019 and the appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019 at 10:00 A.M.

The appellant stated that he visited the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019, but no information was provided to him by the PIO.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter no.1108 dated 12.08.2019 annexed with a copy of letter no.1081 dated 24.07.2019. I have gone through the said letter and the PIO requested for exemption from appearance before the Commission and requested for adjournment. It is further submitted that point wise information was provided to the appellant. On the other hand the appellant stated that he has not received any information.

So, the appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 21.08.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO is further directed that he should provide the sought for information to the appelant.

Both the parties should personally come present on **10.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for further hearing of the appeal case.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ajmer Singh, (M:84377-90966), H.No.2193, Jal Vayu Vihar, Sector 67, Mohali-160062.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1135 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ajmer Singh, appellant is present. HC Amarjeet Singh-415/Khanna is present on behalf of Shri Gursharandeep Singh, PIO-cum-SSP, Khanna.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 17.07.2019 and the appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019 at 10:00 A.M.

The appellant stated that he visited the office of the PIO on 22.07.2019, but no information was provided to him by the PIO.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter no.1108 dated 12.08.2019 annexed with a copy of letter no.1080 dated 24.07.2019. I have gone through the said letter and the PIO requested for exemption from appearance before the Commission and requested for adjournment. It is further submitted that point wise information was provided to the appellant. On the other hand the appellant stated that he has not received any information.

So, the appellant is directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 21.08.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO is further directed that he should provide the sought for information to the appelant.

Both the parties should personally come present on **10.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M.** for further hearing of the appeal case.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri S.P. Goyal, (M: 98202-39110), # 2-C, Sarabha Nagar, Nav Durga Mandir/Gurudwara Road, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Hon'ble Chief Justice, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Joint Registrar (Rules), Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 1148 of 2019

Present:- Shri S.P. Goyal, appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Shri Satish Kumar Wig, PIO-cum-Joint Registrar, O/o Hon'ble Chief Justice, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh is present.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 17.07.2019 passed by the Bench and the PIO was directed to send reply/information to the appellant, specifically para-wise, through registered post within 10 days.

In compliance to the Order the PIO sent the reply/information to the appellant vide letter no.1103 dated 24.07.2019. A period of 3 weeks has been passed. The appellant has not come present neither he sent any mail nor he sent any deficiencies to the notice of the PIO as stated by the PIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 29.10.2018. The appellant sought information through point no.1 of the RTI application regarding copy of note sheet in connection with Annexure-B and at that time the complaint was pending.

So far as point no.2 of the RTI application is concerned the complaint of the appellant was forwarded to the District & Session Judge, Ludhiana for necessary action with direction to do the needful. As stated by the PIO that when the appellant filed RTI application no action was taken on his complaint.

Contd..p2..

The PIO has to provide the information to the appellant till the date of application. So, the view taken by the PIO is right. On the day of application no information was liable to provide as there was no information on that date.

So, the present case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that in case the appellant requires any file note sheet upon his said complaint then he should file fresh RTI application.

Dated: 14.08.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Sanjeev Kumar, S/o Shri Joginder Singh, R/o Village Rahimapur, PO Mahingarwal, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Divisional Forest Officer,

First Appellate Authority, O/o Conservator of Forests, North Circle, Hoshiarpur.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 510 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sanjeev Kumar, appellant is absent. Shri Naresh Mahajan, PIO-cum-DFO, Hoshiarpur is present.

ORDER

Hoshiarpur.

I have gone through the Order dated 22.07.2019. The information had already been provided to the appellant and the appellant after receiving the information executed a duly signed receipt dated 20.07.2019 that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to disposed of his appeal case.

A Show Cause was issued to Shri Naresh Mahajan, PIO-cum-DFO, Hoshiarpur that why you have not provided the information to the appellant within the stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Today Shri Naresh Mahajan, PIO-cum-DFO, Hoshiarpur has come present and he filed an affidavit dated 13.08.2019 duly signed by him as reply to the Show Cause Notice. The said affidavit is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and also heard to the PIO on the Show Cause Notice. Shri Naresh Mahajan, PIO submitted that information sought by the appellant was very vast and huge and the office of the PIO has to collect the information from various offices that is why some additional time was spent in collecting and providing the information to the appellant. He further submitted that he has done his best to provide the sought for information to the appellant. Further the PIO tenders apology and assured that in future the information will be provided to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

Contd..p2..



Vs

### Appeal Case No. 510 of 2019

I have heard the PIO and came to the conclusion that the PIO is not personally liable as the RTI application was filed on 27.02.2018 whereas Shri Naresh Mahajan joined as PIO-cum-DFO, Hoshiarpur on 03.07.2018. It means the RTI application was filed when Shri Naresh Mahajan was not the PIO. So he is not personally liable in the delay in providing the information to the appellant within the stipulated period. Hence, the Show Cause Notice issued to him is hereby dropped and filed.

With this observation the present appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Dated: 14.08.2019.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri D.R. Arora, (M: 98761-88678), # 1167/50-B, Progressive Enclave, Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Council, Zirakpur.

...Respondent

...Complainant

### Complaint Case No. 59 of 2019

Present:- Shri D.R. Arora, complainant/applicant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Shri Lakhvir Singh, Building Inspector is present on behalf of Shri Girish Verma, PIO-cum-E.O. O/o Municipal Council, Zirakpur.

#### ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 29.07.2019 passed by the Bench and in compliance to the Order the PIO has sent the sought for information comprising of 21 pages of attested information to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 26.07.2019 vide letter no.1998.

Shri D.R. Arora, complainant/applicant was absent on 02.07.2019, 29.07.2019 and today is his third absence without any intimation to the Commission. Neither the complainant/applicant sent any mail to the Commission.

On the other hand the PIO has sent the sought for information comprising of 21 attested pages to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 26.07.2019.

The PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the complainant/applicant through registered post. So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab