**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, near Rose Garden Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph. No. 0172-2864119 Fax No. 0172-2864125E-mail -psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Smt. Nirmala Devi c/o Nav Yug Public School Preet Vihar,

V 326 Rohtak 124001 Correspondence Address

Nirmla Devi wife of Sant Ram Nehra Retd ETO

near Nehra Park, Jagdish Colony, Rohtak.124001. ….Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,

Ferozepur.

FAA- o/o State Transport Commissioner,

SCO 117-178, Ist, 2nd 3rd, Floor, Sector 17,

Chandigarh. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1398 of 2017**

Present::- Smt. Nirmala Devi, appellant is absent.

Shri Ashok Kumar. Clerk is present on behalf of Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon, PIO,

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Smt. Nirmala Devi, appellant is absent, however she has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission.

On the last date of hearing Shri Sant Ram, husband of the appellant, Smt. Nirmala Devi was present and he was directed to bring the deficiencies to the notice of the PIO in writing and in compliance to the Order, he has brought the deficiencies to the notice of the PIO in writing.

Shri Ashok Kumar. Clerk has come present today before the Commission alongwith the remaining information connecting with the deficiencies which were brought to the notice of the PIO by Shri Sant Ram, but the appellant is absent today.

So, the PIO, Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon is directed that remaining information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and come present on the next date of hearing alongwith copy of information and copy of postal receipt.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO that why the information has not been provided to the appellant within stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized.
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So, the PIO, Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon is directed to come personally present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018 State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, near Rose Garden Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph. No. 0172-2864119 Fax No. 0172-2864125E-mail -psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Pargat Singh, S/o Shri Balwant Singh,

R/o Village Mandi Kalan, PO Bija,

Tehsil Khanna,

Distt. Ludhiana (M: 9814664484) ….. … Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Managing Director,

Punjab State Water Resources and Development Corporation,

Sector 26, Chandigarh. ………Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 686 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Pargat Singh, complainant/applicant is present.

Shri Rajesh Sharma, SDO is present on behalf of Shri Ajay Sharma, PIO-cum-

XEN, Divisional Engineer, O/o Punjab State Water Resources and Development

Corporation, Sector 26, Chandigarh.

ORDER

Shri Pargat Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.03.2018 and he sought certified copies of Seniority List of Senior Clerk and Junior Assistant for the period 01.01.1986 to 31.12.2000. The complainant/applicant stated that till today the PIO has not provided him the sought for information.

The PIO has sent the reply through letter dated 01.05.2018 and provided the list as was sought by the complainant/applicant, but the complainant/applicant is not satisfied with the reply/information submitted by the PIO.

So, the PIO is directed that complete information as was sought by the complainant/applicant through his RTI application be provided to him and in case the information is not available in his office, then the PIO should submit a duly attested affidavit that the information is not available in his office and he is unable to provide it to the complainant/applicant.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018 State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Smt. Amarjit Kaur, W/o Late Shri Mewa Singh,

R/o H.No.2345, G.F., Sector 66,

Mohali. ……….Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Estate Officer (H), GMADA,

Mohali. ………Respondent

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Estate Officer (H), GMADA,

Mohali.

A**ppeal Case No.1624 of 2018**

Present:- Smt. Amarjit Kaur, appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

Smt. Suman Bala, PIO-cum-Asstt. Estate Officer, GMADA is present.

O R D E R

Smt. Amarjit Kaur, appellant is absent today. She was also absent on 05.07.2018, 25.07.2018 and today is her third absence without any intimation to the Commission.

Smt. Suman Bala, PIO-cum-Asstt. Estate Officer, GMADA was present on every date of hearing and today she has again come present.

On the last date of hearing the PIO has submitted a letter issued by HDFC (Housing Development Finance Corporation Limited) dated 13.07.2018. The said letter is on the file of the Commission and through this letter the authorities of HDFC have brought to the notice of the PIO that an amount of Rs.8,60,000/- is recoverable towards House No. 2345, so till the repayment no NOC (No Due Certificate) will be issued.

The appellant is not coming present to pursue her case, so the present case is dismissed in default due to non-pursuing on the part of the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018. State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sawinder Singh, S/o Shri Bal Singh,

PO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran, Distt Patiala. 147105.

M : 9417924772. ………Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o BDPO, Patran, Distt Patiala. ……. Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 118 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Sawinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

None present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala (Shri

Karamjit Singh).

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 25.07.2018 and on that date Shri Balwan Gagat, Superintendent was present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala. But today no one has come present.

So, a direction is issued to the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing alongwith reply to the complaint filed by Shri Sawinder Singh, Complainant/applicant, otherwise strict action will be taken against him under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018 State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sawinder Singh, S/o Shri Bal Singh,

PO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran, Distt Patiala. 147105.

M : 9417924772 ………Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o BDPO, Patran, Distt Patiala. ……. Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 138 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Sawinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

None present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala (Shri

Karamjit Singh).

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 25.07.2018 and on that date Shri Balwan Gagat, Superintendent was present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala. But today no one has come present.

So, a direction is issued to the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing alongwith reply to the complaint filed by Shri Sawinder Singh, Complainant/applicant, otherwise strict action will be taken against him under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018. State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sawinder Singh S/o Sh.Bal Singh

VPO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran, Distt.Patiala. ………Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o BDPO Patran, District Patiala. ……. Respondent

**Complaint No.291 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Sawinder Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

None present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala (Shri

Karamjit Singh).

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 25.07.2018 and on that date Shri Balwan Gagat, Superintendent was present on behalf of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran, District Patiala. But today no one has come present.

So, a direction is issued to the PIO-cum-BDPO, Patran that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing alongwith reply to the complaint filed by Shri Sawinder Singh, Complainant/applicant, otherwise strict action will be taken against him under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018. State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB** **STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**

**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 FAX. No. - 0172-2864125** E-mail [– psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:–%20psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Tehal Singh son of Shri Mohan Singh,

Village Agaul,Tehsil Nabha, Distt Patiala.  
M :9855472044 …..Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o BDPO, Nabha,

First Appellate Authority,

O/o DDPO, Patiala. …….Respondent.

**Appeal Case No. 2987 of 2017**

**Present:-** Shri Tehal Singh, appellant is present.

Shri Deepak Jindal, Junior Assisstant is present on behalf of Shri Sukhwinder

Singh Tiwana, PIO-cum-BDPO, Nabha.

**O R D E R**

The information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 20.06.2017 was provided to him on the last date of hearing. The appellant was satisfied with the information regarding point 1 of the RTI application, but he was not satisfied with the information regarding point Nos. 2 and 3 of the RTI application.

So, the PIO is directed that information regarding point Nos. 2 and 3 of the RTI application be provided to the appellant within 7 days.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **30.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018. State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Varinder Mahajan,

#198, Tilak Nagar, Professor Colony,

Amritsar. ……….Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer-

- cum Legal Advisor, PSPCL, Head Office,

Patiala. ………Respondent

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, H.R.D., PSPCL,

Patiala.

**Appeal Case No.1565 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Varinder Mahajan, appellant is present.

Shri Saravjit Sharma, APIO-cum-Additional SE, Shri S.P. Singh, APIO-cum-

Deputy Secretary, Shri Rajinder Dhiman, Deputy Secretary and Shri Anant

Goyal, Nodal Officer, RTI are present.

O R D E R

Shri Varinder Mahajan, appellant filed RTI application on 19.11.2017 and sought information from the PIO- cum-Legal Advisor, PSPCL, Head Office, Patiala. The information was provided, but being not satisfied the aggrieved appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.12.2017. The First Appeal was heard by the First Appellate Authority and the Appeal was disposed of vide Order dated 19.04.2018. I have gone through the Order of the First Appellate Authority. The appellant was absent before the First Appellate Authority and the PIO was present. The PIO stated before the First Appellate Authority that he had sent the information to the appellant vide Memo No. 919-21 dated 19.04.2018.

The appellant was not satisfied with the Order of the First Appellate Authority and he filed Second Appeal before Punjab State Information Commission. The Appeal was allocated to this Bench and Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for 20.06.2018. On first hearing i.e. 20.06.2018, the appellant was absent, but the respondents were present. Then on 01.08.2018 both the parties were present. I heard both the parties on that date and the respondents stated that information sought by the appellant was sent to him through registered
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post on 09.02.2018 and then on 14.03.2018. The appellant was asked to bring the deficiencies to the notice of the PIO. The deficiencies were brought to the notice of the PIO and the PIO was directed to provide the information regarding the deficiencies within 10 days to the appellant.

The respondents stated that the information handed over to the appellant on 30.07.2018 vide letter No. 1287/89 and after the last date of hearing i.e. 01.08.2018 another letter bearing Memo No. 57875 dated 06.08.2018 was provided to the appellant.

Today both the parties are present. I have heard the appellant and the respondent APIOs on the RTI application dated 19.11.2017 and discussed each para of the RTI application with both the parties. During the hearing, the appellant stated that he is not satisfied with the information which was provided to him, whereas about 115 pages of information were provided to the appellant by the PIO. Shri Saravjit Sharma, APIO-cum-Additional SE stated that he has come present alongwith relevant entire Record Files and the appellant can inspect the Record Files and whichever pages he will claim will be provided to him before the Commission and at this stage the Bench ordered the appellant to make an inspection of the Record Files and he has been given a time of One Hour to inspect the Record Files and the Bench ordered the respondent APIOs that whichever record the appellant claim within the purview of his RTI application must be provided with due attestation.

After One and Half Hour I have again heard both the parties. The respondents stated that they have provided the entire Record Files to the appellant for inspection and the appellant made inspection of the Record files.

I have asked the appellant that whether he has inspected the Record Files, the appellant replied that he has inspected the Record Files. Then I asked whether he wants any document from these files which he claims through his RTI application, the appellant has not replied clearly to the question put up to him and he is not co-operating to the Bench.

On the other hand, the respondents put up the entire Record Files for the inspection of the appellant. The appellant made an inspection of the Record Files and he is trying to delay the matter with one excuse or the other.

The respondents provided the para wise information to the appellant through letter No. 12387/89 dated 30.07.2018. I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the above said letter through which the information from Page 1 to 113 has been provided to the appellant.
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The respondents submitted a duly attested affidavit dated 13.08.2018 of Shri Pardeep Kumar Saini, PIO-cum-Deputy Chief Engineer, which is taken on the file of the Commision. It is submitted in the affidavit that the complete information which was sought by the appellant, Shri Varinder Mahajan was provided to him and it is further submitted that the concerned file was provided to the appellant for inspection on 03.08.2018. Further it is also submitted in the affidavit that photocopies of entire sought for information were provided to the appellant. The attested photocopy of the affidavit is handed over to the appellant today before the Commission.

I have heard both the parties at length and gone through the RTI application, replies submitted through letter No. 12387/89 dated 30.07.2018 and also gone through the other papers on the file. I am satisfied that the respondent PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and provided the attested copies of about 115 pages to the appellant and also provided the entire Record File for inspection to the appellant today before the Commission and the appellant made inspection for about One and Half Hour of the Record Files provided to him by the respondent APIOs. The appellant has not claimed any paper which were not provided to him by the respondents.

Inspite of all above said matter, the appellant is not satisfied and neither he is claiming any other document from the Record Files. The conduct of the appellant is simply to delaying the case. So, I am of the view that the respondent department has provided the information which was available in the files of the department. So, the present appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**

**Dated: 14.08.2018. State Information Commissioner, Punjab**