**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan (98722-20039)**

**(Regd. Post)** House No. 78/8, Park Road,

New Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o State Information Commission,

Punjab, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o State Information Commission,

Punjab, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3191 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Sudhir Kumar SO cum PIO (94643-95148) as a respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.07.2018.
2. Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant states that inspection is still pending as per RTI application. He also states that no information has been supplied by the respondent PIO.
3. Sh. Sudhir Kumar, the respondent PIO states that the appellant may visit their office to inspect the records and physical inspection equipment is denied under RTI Act. He further states that the appellant may inspect the bills of grass cutting machine and may also inspect the stock register. He further added that dining table as requisite in RTI application has not been purchased therefore there are no grounds of providing its bill, and/or maintaining its record in stock register and so on.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the view that as far as the request of the appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan related to inspection of furniture like grass cutting machine, dining table etc is concerned, the same is not permissible under the RTI Act and is thus cannot be allowed as already mentioned in order dated 16.07.2018. Respondent PIO is directed to make sure that appellant has inspected the copies of bills and stock register and provide the copy of concerned bills and/or stock register. He is also directed to file written reply to the appellant regarding his verbal submissions (as mentioned in Para 3 of this order) satisfying all the aspects of RTI application and a copy of it to the Commission.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan**

House No. 78/8, Park Road,

New Mandi, Dhuri,

District Sangrur.(98722-20039) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, Nagar Council

Dhuri, District Sangrur,

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director,

Local Govt. Patiala. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 3203 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 08.11.2017**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.

Sh. Lal Singh, JE (98145051078) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.07.2018
2. Sh. Lal Singh, JE states that information regarding point no. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 has already been provided; regarding point no. 6, he states that no works has been done and it has also been provided in written to the appellant; regarding point no. 7 there were verbal orders and it has also been provided in written to the appellant. He handed over information regarding point no. 1 to the appellant during the hearing and also states that one page is missing inadvertently in the information supplied and assures that he will provide it to the appellant. He further added that information regarding point no. 9 & 10 is pending and assures that he will provide it to the appellant.
3. Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant states that he will mutually co-ordinate with the respondent and retrieve the pending information as stated by the respondent (as mentioned in Para 2 of this order). He also requested the Commission to close this case.
4. On the mutual consent of both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the view, that no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan**

House No. 78/8, Park Road,

New Mandi, Dhuri,

District Sangrur.(98722-20039) Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDM, Dhuri

District Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SDM, Dhuri,

District Sangrur. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 3528 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 05.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. An email has been received in the Commission from email-id pkrattan55@gmail.com on 10.08.2018 from the appellant mentioning therein that he is satisfied with information provided and/or reply by the respondent and also requesting therein to close this case. The email is taken on record.
2. Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant states as above.
3. On the request of appellant and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it, that no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**

***Note:***  *Sh. Gurmail Singh, Clerk from SDM office appeared on the behalf of respondent PIO and was apprised of the above said order.*

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla**

S/o Sh. Brij Lal, Ward No. 16,

B/190, Sangrur Road, Dhuri,

District Sangrur **(80540-20388)** Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Sub Tehsil,

Baliawali, District Bathinda

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o SDM,

Maur, District Bathinda. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3524 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 05.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Rajeev Kumar, JA (81468-05737) present on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.07.2018.
2. Sh. Rajeev Kumar, JA states and submits a forwarding letter with their office reference signed by mentioning therein that information regarding point no. 1 has already been provided twice to the appellant and written reply regarding point no. 2 requesting therein appellant to provide details like file no. and date of information required so that may be provided has been sent to the appellant through registered post (respondent also present the copy of receipt of said registered post before the Commission during the hearing). It is also mentioned regarding record of *‘Jamabandi’ with ‘Khewat’* no 215-216-217-655-656-657-116 is/are a public document(s) and can be received by paying government fee at *‘Farad Kender’.* It is further added that available requisite information is again sent to the appellant through registered post along with this forwarding letter. The copy of the said forwarding letter is taken on record.
3. Sh. Rajeev Kumar, JA further added that he will provide the said *‘Jamabandi’ with ‘Khewat’* no 215-216-217-655-656-657-116 to the appellant free of cost.
4. Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant states that he will mutually co-ordinate with the respondent and retrieve the pending information as stated by the respondent (as mentioned in this order). He also requested the Commission to close this case.
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**Appeal Case No. 3524 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 05.12.2017**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

1. On the mutual consent of both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the view, that no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sanjeev Sharma (President) (9569190007)**

R/O House No. 47, Chouhan Nagar,

Dugri, Ludhiana. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**O/o** Directorate Local Bodies Dept.,

Punjab, Chandigarh. Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 335 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 14.03.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557) present along with Sh. Mandeep Kamboj, SA

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is continuously absent second time without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he files any reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the notice of Commission. He was also absent on previous hearing dated 11.07.2018. He was given an opportunity to clear the facts of this case and failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken but he failed to do so.
2. Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA states and submits two replies towards the appellant with following details:
3. Memo no. 15/2/2018-3ਸਸ1/108 dated 12.01.2018 signed by Superintendent cum PIO mentioning therein that requisite information is not specific therefore their department is unable to provide requisite information and also requested the appellant to provide the letter number(s) and date(s) so that related information may be provided to the appellant
4. Memo no. 15/2/2018-3ਸਸ1/557/2 dated 12.03.2018 signed by Superintendent cum PIO (with reference to continuation to previous letter with Memo no. 15/2/2018-3ਸਸ1/108 dated 12.01.2018 signed by Superintendent cum PIO) mentioning therein that requisite information with letter of appellant dated 05.03.2018 it has been observed that letter and Subject are different and does not relates with each other therefore the appellant was requested to clearly specify the information required so that it may be provided.

The above said both letters are taken on record.
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**Complaint Case No. 335 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 14.03.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

1. After going through the documents placed on records, both the parties are given one opportunity along with directions/advice to represent this case in person or through any of their representative(s) on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case failing to which ex-pate decision may be taken.
2. On the request of respondent and examining the case file, it is found that appellant failed to grab the opportunity provided to him to pursue this case further, therefore, In the view of above it is assumed that either the appellant lost interest in this case and/or not clear about what is actually required by him and hence that no further action is required in this case and this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
3. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**

Page 2 of 2

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Gurnam Singh S/o Shri. Atma Ram,**

R/o House No. 12, New Green Park Colony,

Jhill Road, Patiala (9878026878). Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**O/o** Chief Secretary, Punjab Government,

Local Bodies Development, Punjab,Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

**O/o** Chief Secretary, Punjab Government,

Local Bodies Development, Punjab,

Chandigarh Respondents

**Complaint Case No. 340 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 14.03.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA (81467-93557) present along with Sh. Mandeep Kamboj, SA

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is continuously absent second time without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he files any reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the notice/order of Commission for hearings dated 11.07.2018 and 13.08.2018. He was given an opportunity to clear the facts of this case and failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken but he failed to do so.
2. Sh. Naveen Sharma, SA states and submits two replies towards the Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Patiala with following details:
3. Memo no. 15/49/2018-5ਸਸ1/228 dated 31.05.2018 signed by Superintendent cum PIO mentioning therein that requisite information relates with the office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Patiala along with a copy of RTI application so that the concerned department so that needful may be done. A copy of this letter was also sent to the appellant also.
4. Memo no. 13/77/17-5ਸਸ1/558 dated 30.03.2017 signed by Superintendent cum PIO mentioning therein that requisite information relates with the office of Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Patiala along with a copy of letter number 10106/ਵਬ/ਐਸ 6 dated 21.03.2017 along with a copy of complaint of the complainant Sh. Gurnam Singh dated 27.02.2017 so that needful may be done. A copy of this letter was also sent to the appellant also to co-ordinate with concerned Nagar Nigam.
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**Complaint Case No. 340 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 14.03.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

1. The above said both letters are taken on record (as mentioned in Para 2 of this order).
2. On the request of respondent and examining the case file, it is found that appellant failed to grab the opportunity provided to him to pursue this case further, therefore, In the view of above it is assumed that the appellant lost interest in this case and hence that no further action is required in this case and this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
3. Further, the appellant is also advised to co-ordinate with the O/o Commissioner Nagar as submission filed by the respondent (as mentioned in Para 2 of this order) or by filling a fresh RTI to the concerned O/o Nagar Nigam and is free to approach Commission through proper channel of RTI act.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh, (98882-96107)**

Village Bholapur Jhabewal,

PO Ramgarh, District Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDM, Licensing Authority

& Registration Authority,

Bathinda - 151005.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DC, Bathinda - 151005. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 691 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 19.02.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant in person.

Sh. Harman Singh, Patwari (94173-03280) on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Harman Singh, Patwari handed over the requisite information to the appellant during the hearing.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant is satisfied with the information received.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information stands supplied and appellant is satisfied with it and therefore no further action is required in this case and this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Jasbir Singh, (98882-96107)**

**(Regd. Post)** Village Bholapur Jhabewal,

PO Ramgarh, District Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o SDM, Licensing Authority

& Registration Authority, Moga -142001.

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DC, Moga -142001. Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 725 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Himmat Singh, JA (9646641829) on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Himmat Singh, JA states that appellant, states that the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh has also filed a similar case (AC: 1631 of 2018). On previous hearing also Sh. Himmat Singh, JA submitted a written reply vide letter no. 103 dated 13.07.2018 signed by PIO, O/o SDM, Moga along with supporting documents, which was taken on record and today he handed over a copy of it to the appellant during the hearing.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant pointed out deficiencies during the hearing stating that the attachments along with reply in forwarding letter with letter no. 103 dated 13.07.2018 signed by PIO, O/o SDM, Moga does not match and justified his statement during the hearing. Secondly he also stated that no other similar case has been filed in the Commission as said above by the respondent (as mentioned in Para 1 of this order)
3. Sh. Himmat Singh, JA failed to prove the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh has also filed a similar case (AC: 1631 of 2018) and is not aware of the reply filed by PIO, O/o SDM, Moga, as said above by the respondent (as mentioned in Para 1 of this order)
4. On the request of appellant and examining the case and examining the case file, I am of the view that due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh.
5. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of Jasbir Singh from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
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**Appeal Case No.: 725 of 2018**

1. After hearing both the parties and examining the documents placed on record, the respondent is directed to appear in person on next date of hearing along with directions to provide relevant requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application, failing to which further action under section 20(1) of RTI would taken against the respondent PIO.
2. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing.
3. A copy of this order to be sent to PIO, O/o SDM, Licensing Authority & Registration Authority, Moga through **registered post**.
4. A copy of this order to be sent to FAA O/o DC, Moga through **registered post for the compliance of this order**.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **29.08.2018 at 11:00 A.M.**
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Date: 13.08**.**2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Vineet Maheshwari (9810120304)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Late Shri Mahendra Prakash Daga,

SCO- 147, First Floor, Feroze Gandhi Market,

Ludhiana. PIN: 141001 Appellant

**Encl: (Affidavit in Original Supplied by the respondent)**

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**O/o** SDM, Moga.

**First Appellate Authority**

**O/o** DC, Moga Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1004 of 2018**

**Date of Institution: 19.03.2018**

**Date of Decision: 13.08.2018**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Sh. Himmat Singh, JA (9646641829) on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 11.07.2018, the respondent PIO was directed to file an affidavit that regarding information not being available in their records and send it to the appellant through registered post and also present a copy of it before the Commission on next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent.
2. Sh. Himmat Singh, JA has brought the affidavit in Original to hand it over to the appellant during the hearing but as the appellant is absent therefore he submitted original and copy of it to the Commission during the hearing. The copy of the said affidavit along with covering letter signed by PIO O/o SDE Moga is taken on record.
3. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that affidavit as directed on previous hearing dated 11.07.2018 to the respondent is submitted to the Commission and as the appellant is absent the Original affidavit be sent to the appellant through registered post along with this order. As the information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been filed by the respondent as directed, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sukhvinder Singh (9814380382)**

S/o Shri Surjit Singh, R/o New Dharam Nagri,

**(Regd. Post)** Abohar Hall Abaad, Street No. 15 B,

Backside, Dr. Kamlesh Hospital,

Abohar, Distt: Fazilka Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**O/o** SDE, Water Supply and Sewerage,

**(Regd. Post)** Sub Division, Abohar, Teh: Abohar,

Distt: Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority**

**O/o** XEN, Punjab Water and Sewerage Board,

Ferozepur, Division, Ferozepur. Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1035 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhvinder Singh, theappellant in person.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent.

**ORDER**

1. On previous hearing dated 11.07.2018, Sh. Mandeep Singh, SA stated that point wise reply has already been provided to the appellant and no deficiencies have been pointed out till then.
2. Respondent PIO is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she file any reply in this regard.
3. Sh. Sukhvinder Singh, theappellant submitted a copy of deficiencies pointed out in written to the respondent PIO to the Commission during the hearing, which is taken on record. He further added that the respondent PIO has not filed any reply till date with regards to deficiencies pointed out by him.
4. After hearing the respondent and going through the documents placed on records, the respondent PIO is given one opportunity along with directions to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the appellant **and also appear in person** on next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.08.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Gurmeet Singh**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh, Chowk No. 3,

Bathinda Road, Jaiton. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Executive Officer, MC, Jaiton.

**First Appellate Authority**

**O/o** Deputy Director, Local Government,

Mini Secretariat, Ferozpur. Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1051 of 2018**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. The appellant absent for today’s hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission from the appellant viding diary no. 16326 dated 10.08.2018 stating therein that incomplete information has been provided to him by the respondent and requesting therein for exemption on today’s hearing, which is considered and taken on record.
2. Respondent PIO is absent continuously second time for hearing and nor did he/she filed any reply in this regard, which shows no regard to the notice/order of Commission. He was also absent on previous hearing dated 11.07.2018.
3. After going through the documents placed on records, the respondent is given last opportunity along with directions to supply requisite information and/or file a written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant through registered post and present the receipt of the said registered post before the Commission, on next date of hearing, along with directions to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also given last opportunity with an advice to point out deficiencies specifically in written, if any, in the information received to the respondent, along with advice to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) to clear the facts of this case, failing to which ex-parte decision may be taken.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.08.2018 at 11.00 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** **psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**

**Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh (98724-86992)**

S/o Davinder Singh, M/s Ranbir Electronics,

Shri Guru Nanak Dev Ji Market, Backside Singh Studio,

Bhucho Mandi, Distt., Bathinda. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Adesh University, National Highway-7,

Barnala Road, Bhocho Kalla, Zila Bathinda (151001).

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Adesh University, National Highway-7,

Barnala Road, Bhocho Kalla, Zila Bathinda (151001). Respondents

**Appeal Case No.: 1544 of 2018**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh, the appellant in person.

Advocate S.S. Brar (9814427660) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Sukhjeet Pal Singh, the appellant stated that requisite information has not been provided till date.
2. Advocate S.S. Brar states and submits a written reply as directed on previous hearing dated 03.07.2018 and hand it over to the appellant during the hearing and also a copy of it to the Commission which is taken on record.
3. After hearing both the parties going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is given one opportunity along with an advice to point out deficiencies in written, if any in the information/reply received during the hearing as said above in Para 2 of this order, failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant**.**
4. The matter is adjourned to **24.09.2018 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings**.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh**  **(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.08.2018 State Information Commissioner**