**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Multanpur,

District: Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

O/o DGP, Punjab Police,

Sector:09, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o DGP, Punjab Police,

Sector:09, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

o/o SSP, Ludhiana (Rural). -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3628 of 2016**

Present:- Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, appellant, in person.

Shri Raghbir Singh, ASI, office of SSP Ludhiana(Rural), on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 20.12.2017, when the appellant submitted that no inspection had been allowed to him so far. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the present status of the case, the PIO was directed to get the record inspected by the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the same to him on the spot. Besides, the PIO was directed to bring the original record on the next date of hearing for perusal of the Commission. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

Contd…….p/2
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2. Today, the appellant informs that the inspection of the record has not been allowed to him as yet. Also, the representative of the respondents has not brought original record for the perusal of the Commission. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the PIO to get the record inspected by the appellant to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the same to him on the spot, before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission.

3. To come up on **16.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

r/o 8/237, Jagraon Road,

Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana. ……..Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Ladhowal,

District Ludhiana-141101.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2837 of 2017**

Present: Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Appellant, in person.

Shri Manjinder Singh, Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Appellant, vide his RTI application dated 28.06.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information under Section 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 including certified copies of complaints, FIR, DDR, Bad Character report, Habitual criminal report, History sheet of Shri Baljeet Singh S/o Shri Mewa Singh resident of Village Salempur, PO: Noorpur Bet, Police Station Ladhowal, District: Ludhiana. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 09.09.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission vide letter dated 11.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on 12.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the parties for 25.10.2017, which was

Contd…….p/2
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postponed to 01.11.2017 and then to 20.12.2017, due to certain administrative reasons.

2. On 20.12.2017, the appellant informed that no information had been supplied to him so far. None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondents and non-supply of information to the appellant, seriously, the PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing and explain the factual position of the case, in person, on the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, the appellant states that he wants a copy of Rojnamcha alongwith point-wise reply as per his RTI application.

4. The representative of the respondents has brought a letter, addressed to the Commission, containing detailed reply. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter, it is directed that a photo copy of Rojnamcha along with the said letter to be addressed to the appellant on the letter-head, be supplied to the appellant. The respondent assures to do the needful as per the orders of the Commission.

5. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.04.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Raj Jatinder Singh

s/o Shri Rashpal Singh,

Village Narru, Block Khanaur,

Tehsil Rajpura, Distt. Patiala. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Ghanaur, Block: Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1002 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Madan Lal Sharma, VDO, office of BDPO, Ghanaur, District: Patiala, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 26.12.2017, when the appellant informed that the requisite information had been supplied to him but that was not attested. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to provide duly attested information. The respondent assured to do the needful. The appellant further submits that the information had been supplied after about 7 months and he had suffered a lot of detriment and loss during this long period. He requested that he might be compensated suitably. In view of the loss and detriment suffered by the appellant during this long period of 7 months, a

compensation of Rs. 1000/-(Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Raj

Contd……p/2
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Jatinder Singh, Appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. office of BDPO Ghanaur, Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala through a Bank Draft, within 30 days. The case was adjourned for confirmation of compliance of orders to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, the representative o the respondent informs that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- has been paid to the complainant vide Draft No. 882746, dated 25.01.2018. He submits a copy of receipt taken from the complainant, which is taken on record.

3. Since the information stands provided and the orders of the Commission have been complied with, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Raj Jatinder Singh

s/o Shri Rashpal Singh,

Village Narru, Block Khanaur,

Tehsil Rajpura, Distt. Patiala. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Ghanaur, Block: Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1003 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Madan Lal Sharma, VDO, office of BDPO, Ghanaur, District: Patiala, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 26.12.2017, when the appellant informed that the requisite information had been supplied to him but that was not attested. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to provide duly attested information. The respondent assured to do the needful. The appellant further submits that the information had been supplied after about 7 months and he had suffered a lot of detriment and loss during this long period. He requested that he might be compensated suitably. In view of the loss and detriment suffered by the appellant during this long period of 7 months, a

compensation of Rs. 1000/-(Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Raj

Contd……p/2

**CC - 1003 of 2017 -2-**

Jatinder Singh, Appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. office of BDPO Ghanaur, Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala through a Bank Draft, within 30 days. The case was adjourned for confirmation of compliance of orders to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, the representative o the respondent informs that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- has been paid to the complainant vide Draft No. 882747, dated 25.01.2018. He submits a copy of receipt taken from the complainant, which is taken on record.

3. Since the information stands provided and the orders of the Commission have been complied with, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Raj Jatinder Singh

s/o Shri Rashpal Singh,

Village Narru, Block Khanaur,

Tehsil Rajpura, Distt. Patiala. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Ghanaur, Block: Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1004 of 2017**

Present:- None for the complainant.

Shri Madan Lal Sharma, VDO, office of BDPO, Ghanaur, District: Patiala, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 26.12.2017, when the appellant informed that the requisite information had been supplied to him but that was not attested. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to provide duly attested information. The respondent assured to do the needful. The appellant further submits that the information had been supplied after about 7 months and he had suffered a lot of detriment and loss during this long period. He requested that he might be compensated suitably. In view of the loss and detriment suffered by the appellant during this long period of 7 months, a

compensation of Rs. 1000/-(Rupees one thousand only) was awarded to Shri Raj

Contd……p/2

**CC - 1004 of 2017 -2-**

Jatinder Singh, Appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. office of BDPO Ghanaur, Tehsil: Rajpura, District: Patiala through a Bank Draft, within 30 days. The case was adjourned for confirmation of compliance of orders to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, the representative o the respondent informs that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- has been paid to the complainant vide Draft No. 882748, dated 25.01.2018. He submits a copy of receipt taken from the complainant, which is taken on record.

3. Since the information stands provided and the orders of the Commission have been complied with, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rohit Sabharwal,

Kundan Bhawan, 126,

Model Gram, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Development),

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,

Ludhiana – 1. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2693 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 26.12.2017, when again, the appellant was not present. The respondent informed that the information had been sent to the appellant by registered post as per the orders of the Commission and no observations had been received from him. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information, failing which case would be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.
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2. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 12.03.2018 has been received from Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, representative of the appellant expressing inability to attend the hearing. She has requested to issue Show-Cause Notice to the PIO under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act for malafidely withholding the information for 8 months.

3. The representative of the respondents informs that the information has been sent to the appellant by registered post on 10.11.2017. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **16.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Vishnu Dev s/o Shri Krishan Lal,

H.No.2159/4-02, Gali No.4,

Basant Nagar, Shivpuri, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Education Officer,(SE),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2684 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Smt. Savita Rani, Clerk, office of D.E.O.(SE), Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Vishnu Dev, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 10.04.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information in respect of Sacred Heard School, G.M.T. School, Greenland School, Kundan Vidya Mandir School, B.C.M. School, D.A.V. School, Indo-Canadian Saint Joseph School, Shifali Public School, International Public School, Saint G.D.S. Convent School situated in Ludhiana City. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 28.06.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal

Contd……p/2
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vide letter dated 26.09.2017, which was received in the Commission on 27.09.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 13.11.2017.

2. On 13.11.2017, the appellant was not present without any intimation. The representative of the respondents submitted a copy of letter No. G-3/2017/5388-90, dated 09.11.2017 from PIO-cum-Deputy D.E.O.(SE), Ludhiana vide which the requisite information/reply was sent to the appellant. After going through the provided information and discussing the matter at length, PIO-cum-Deputy D.E.O.(SE) Ludhiana was directed to be present in person along with relevant record and a detailed reply so that complete information to the satisfaction of the appellant could be supplied to the him without any further delay. The case was adjourned to 26.12.2017.

3. On 26.12.2017, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, a telephonic message was received from the respondents conveying their inability to attend the hearing due to an urgent meeting. They requested to adjourn the case to some other date. Accordingly, the PIO-cum-Deputy D.E.O.(SE) Ludhiana was again directed to be present in person along with relevant record and a detailed reply on the next date of hearing so that complete information could be supplied to the appellant without any further delay. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

4. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 13.03.2018

Contd……p/3
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has been received from him requesting for adjournment of the case.

5. The representative of the respondents informs that the requisite information has already been sent to the appellant on 09.11.2017. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing, failing which case will be decided on merit on the basis of documents placed on record.

6. To come up on **09.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Vishnu Dev s/o Shri Krishan Lal,

H.No.2159/4-02, Gali No.4,

Basant Nagar, Shivpuri,

Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer-cum-

Sub - Registrar(West),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2683 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Vishnu Dev, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 10.04.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought copy of Register having entries of persons visiting Control Room of Registrar West, Ludhiana along with the particulars of officer allowing their entry. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 28.06.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 26.09.2017, which was received in the Commission on 27.09.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the
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concerned parties for 13.11.2017.

2. On 13.11.2017, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as

the respondents, without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondents

seriously, the PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing and submit a status report on the next date of hearing. He was also directed to submit reasons for delay in the supply of information along with reasons for today’s absence. The case was adjourned to 26.12.2017.

3. On 26.12.2017 again, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the defying attitude of the PIO seriously, one last opportunity was afforded to the respondent to provide requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing and explain reasons for delay vis-à-vis the absence during two consecutive hearings, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 would be initiated against him. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

4. Today again, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 13.03.2018 has been received from him requesting for adjournment of the case.

5. Also, none is present on behalf of the respondents, without any intimation. Viewing the callous and lackadaisical attitude of the PIO seriously, a Show-Cause Notice is issued to Shri Mandeep Singh Dhillon, Tehsildar (West) Ludhiana to explain

Contd……p/3
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reasons as to why a penalty at the rate of Rs. 250/- per day, subject to a maximum of Rs. 25,000/- be not imposed upon him for the delay in the supply of information. Besides, an opportunity of personal hearing is afforded to him on the next date of hearing before imposing the penalty, in the interest of natural justice.

6. To come up on **09.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Shri Mandeep Singh Dhillon, REGISTRAR**

**Sub-Registrar(West),**

**Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Vishnu Dev s/o Shri Krishan Lal,

H.No.2159/4-02, Gali No.4,

Basant Nagar, Shivpuri, Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Education Officer,(SE),

Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Education Officer,(Primary),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2682 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Smt. Savita Rani, Clerk, office of D.E.O.(SE), Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 26.12.2017, when none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, the respondents conveyed on telephone their inability to attend the hearing due to an urgent meeting and requested to adjourn the case to some other date. Accordingly, the PIO-cum-Deputy D.E.O.(SE) Ludhiana was again directed to be present in person along with relevant record and a detailed reply on the next date of hearing so that complete
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information could be supplied to the appellant without any further delay. The case was

adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 13.03.2018 has been received from him requesting for adjournment of the case.

3. Smt. Savita Rani, Clerk, office of D.E.O.(SE), Ludhiana, appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that the information regarding Points No. 1 and 3 has been supplied to the appellant. Regarding Point No. 2, she states that it relates to DEO(Primary) Ludhiana and therefore, the RTI application has been transferred to them for supplying the information to the appellant. Accordingly, the PIO of the office of D.E.O.(Primary) Ludhiana is impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he is directed to supply the requisite information regarding Point No. 2 to the appellant before the next date of hearing, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **09.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Vicky Bajaj s/o Shri K.L. Bajaj,

r/o 51, Vikas Vihar, Phase-1, Ferozepur. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2667 of 2017**

Present: Shri Vicky Bajaj, Appellant, in person.

Shri Ashwani Kumar, Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Vicky Bajaj, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 01.05.2017, addressed to the PIO, sought certain information in respect of news paper ‘ Rojana Pehredar’. On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide letter dated 01.08.017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated 26.09.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 13.11.2017.

2. On 13.11.2017 and 26.12.2017, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents, without any intimation. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the PIO to provide requisite information to the appellant
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before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission, failing which

punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 would be initiated against him. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents hands over information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission, which is taken on record. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to send his observations, if any, on the provided information to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission.

4. To come up on **16.04.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Vikramjit Singh Nanda

s/o Shri Tilak Raj Nanda,

H.No.683/13, Nikki Mandi,

Patti, District Tarntaran. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Tarn-Taran. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2666 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Nirmal Singh, Head Constable, office of SSP Tarn-Taran, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Vikramjit Singh Nanda, appellant, vide his RTI application dated 26.06.2017, addressed to the PIO of the office of Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Chandigarh, sought following information:-

*“ Kindly provide me three set of certified copies of complaint dated*
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*05.04.2005(Diary No. 769, dated 05.04.2005 - CM Residence Punjab) which was filed by my father late Shri Tilak Raj Nanda to Hon’ble Captain*

*Amrinder Singh, Chief Minister of Punjab, Chandigarh, on which Hon’ble C.M. directed D.C. Amritsar to enquire the whole matter & send report to*

*his office within twenty days and also provide me three set of certified copies of all the enquiry reports sent by D.C. Amritsar and also provide me three set of certified photocopies of our Visitor Pass to CM residence and Visitor Register of CM residence which shows that the complainant late Shri Tilak Raj Nanda met with Hon’ble CM Shri Amrinder Singh Ji in his residence office dated 05.04.2005. Also provide me three set of certified copies of Diary Register page containing Diary No. 769 dated 05.04.2005.*

*Kindly provide me three set of certified copies of complaint dated 17.02.2004(Diary No. 104 – CM residence) which was filed by my father late Shri Tilak Raj Nanda to Hon’ble Shri Amrinder Singh Ji, Chief Minister of Punjab, Chandigarh, on which Hon’ble CM directed S.S.P. Tarn-Taran to enquire the whole matter and send report to his office within 15 days and also provide me three set of certified copies of all the enquiry reports sent by S.S.P. Tarn-Taran and also provide me three set of certified copies of our Visitor Passes to CM residence & Visitor Register of CM residence which shows that complainant late Shri Tilak Raj Nanda met*

*with Hon’ble CM Captain Amrinder Sigh Ji at their residence office on*

*17.02.2004. Also provide me three set of certified copies of Diary Register page containing Diary No. 104 dated 17.02.2004.”*

On receiving no information, he filed an appeal with the First Appellate Authority and
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subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal vide letter dated

25.09.2017, which was received in the Commission on 26.09.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 13.11.2017.

2. On 13.11.2017, Shri Gurpreet Singh, Superintendent-cum-PIO, Follow-Up Section in the C.M. Office, submitted a copy of Memo. No. CMO/FUS/SAS1/2017/E-158/1038451/1, dated 07.08.2017 vide which the appellant had been informed that the Despatch/Diary Registers of 2004-05 has been weeded out and the record relating to Entry Passes relating to the year 2004-05 is also not available and hence the copy of this record cannot be provided.

3. Regarding the supply of inquiry reports of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn-Taran, the PIOs of the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn-Taran were impleaded as necessary party in this case and they were directed to supply requisite reports to the appellant before the next date of hearing and file status report on the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 26.12.2017.

4. On 26.12.2017, a letter No. 3091-92-PRTI, dated 21.12.2017 was received from SSP-cum-PIO, Tarn-Taran vide which it has been informed that the record relating to the period from 1988 to 31.12.2010 has been weeded out vide order No. 42275-78/B, dated 25.10.2013 and the record relating to the period from 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2014 has been weeded out vide order No. 25535-38/B, dated 23.06.2017 due
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to which the sought information relating to the period 2004-2005 cannot be provided to the appellant.

5. Since the reply from C.M. Office and of the office of SSP Tarn-Taran had been received, they were exempted from further appearance in this case. One last opportunity was afforded to the PIO of the office of SSP, Amritsar to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today, due to certain administrative reasons.

6. A letter No. RTI/nHeH$2017$627$35, dated 11.01.2018, enclosing a copy of provided information, has been received from PIO-cum-DRO, Amritsar informing that requisite information/report has been sent to the appellant vide letter No. RTI/2017/627/30, dated 10.01.2018 stating that the relevant record has been destroyed with the approval of the competent authority.

7. Today, the representative of the respondents states that the sought information cannot be supplied as the relevant record is not available as the same has been destroyed with the approval of the competent authority.

8. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 13.03.2018 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Arshdeep Sharma,

S/o Shri Rmesh Kumar,

R/o H.No. 1524/1, Gali No. 21,

Guru Nanak Colony, Block – A,

Dugri, Ludhiana. …..Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer

O/o Chairman Recruitment Cell,

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

Sector: 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

Sector: 9, Chandigarh. ….Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 3120 of 2017**

Present: Shri Arshdeep Sharma, Appellant, in person.

Shri Parshotam Kumar, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, Shri Arshdeep Sharma, Appellant, vide an RTI application dated 15.09.2017, addressed to PIO, sought a copy of recruitment form submitted for recruitment in the Punjab Police, under Section 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005. Failing to get any information, he filed first appeal with the First Appellate Authority vide application dated 29.09.2017 and subsequently approached the Commission in Second Appeal

vide application dated 07.11.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the

concerned parties for 30.11.2017, which was postponed to 26.12.2017 due to certain administrative reasons.
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2. On 26.12.2017, Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant, appearing on behalf of the respondents, sought some more time to enable them to supply the requisite information to the appellant, which was granted with the directions that requisite information be supplied to the appellant and a detailed reply narrating status of the case be filed with the Commission. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the requisite form available online has been supplied to the appellant and no other document is available in any shape. Accordingly, it is directed that a written submission to this effect be provided to the appellant. The respondent assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

4. On the assurance given by the respondent, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dates: 13-03-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Ashok Kapoor,

H.No. 8-C, KitchluNagar,

Ludhiana – 141001. ……..…..Appellant

**Versus**

Public Information Officer

O/o Tehsildar/Sub-Registrar,

Ludhiana(West).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub-Divisional Magistrate,

Ludhiana(West). ………….Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1647 of 2017**

*(Through Video Conference)*

Present: Shri Ashok Kapoor, Appellant, in person.

Shri Mandeep Dhillon, Tehsildar(West) Ludhiana on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, during hearing on 25.09.2017, none was present on behalf of the respondents as the PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana(West) had to appear in the Civil Court of Shri Jagjit Singh, Civil Judge , Junior Division, Ludhiana and he requested to adjourn the case to some other date. The appellant informed that he had furnished the deficiencies in the provided information to the PIO. Accordingly, the respondent PIO was directed to supply complete and correct information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies, pointed out by him, before the next date of Hearing. under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 31.10.2017,
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which was further postponed to 19.12.2017 to be held through Video Conference Facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

2. On 19.12.2017, none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, letters were received from them requesting for adjournment of the case to some other date. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the PIO to supply complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies pointed out by him, before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today to be held through Video Conference Facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

3. Today, Shri Mandeep Dhillon, Tehsildar(West) Ludhiana, appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that a latest report in the matter, received from the field staff, has been sent to the appellant vide letter No. 98-99/RTI, dated 09.03.2018, with a copy to the Commission and the appellant confirms having received the same.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated: 13-03-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Surjit Singh s/o Shri Gokal Singh,

Village Jarg, District Ludhiana. ---------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Station House Officer,

Police Station, Jamalpur,

District Ludhiana. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1149 of 2017**

Present: Shri Surjit Singh, complainant, in person.

None for the respondent.

**ORDER**

Surjit Singh, Complainant, vide an RTI application dated 21.09.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copies of statements of Smt. Jasvir Kaur, Harpreet Singh and others. On receiving no information he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 21.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on23.10.2017. Accordingly, a Notice of Hearing was issued to the concerned parties for 03.01.2018.

2. On 03.01.2018, the complainant informed that no information had been supplied to him so far. None was present on behalf of the respondent without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondent seriously, the PIO was directed to file a detailed reply to the Commission in the matter and supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing, with a copy to the
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Commission. The case was adjourned to 30.01.2018, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today again, none is present on behalf of the respondent PIO without any intimation nor any reply has been filed by him. Viewing the callous and defying attitude of the PIO seriously, a Show-Cause Notice is issued to Shri Avtar Singh, SHO, Police Station, Jamalpur, Ludhiana to explain reasons through an affidavit as to why a penalty at the rate of Rs. 250/- per day, subject to a maximum of Rs. 25,000/- be not imposed upon him for the delay in the supply of information. Besides, an opportunity of personal hearing is afforded to him before imposition of penalty in the interest of natural justice.

4. To come up on **16.04.2018** **at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings**

**Sd/-**

**Dates: 13-03-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**

**CC: Shri Avtar Singh, REGISTERED**

**SHO, Police Station,**

**Jamalpur, Ludhiana.**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)**, Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Surjit Singh s/o Shri Gokal Singh,

Village Jarg, District Ludhiana. ---------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o President, District Bar Association,

Ludhiana. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1145 of 2017**

Present: Shri Surjit Singh, complainant, in person.

None for the respondent.

**ORDER**

Shri Surjit Singh, Complainant, vide an RTI application dated 17.08.2017, addressed to PIO, sought certified copy of experience certificate of Shri Harpreet Singh, Advocate. On receiving no information he filed a complaint with the Commission vide letter dated 21.10.2017, which was received in the Commission on 23.10.2017. . 2. Today, during hearing it is observed that the respondent in this case viz. President, District Bar Association, Ludhiana is not a public authority under the RTI Act, 2005 and therefore it is not obligatory under the provisions of the said Act on their part to provide any information to the complainant.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dates: 13-03-2018 (S. S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner**

**Punjab**