**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**
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**Sh. Manoj Kumar**

C/o Sh. Pankaj Garg

#844, Phase No. 7, Sector-61,

Mohali. (9888004488) Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Registrar Societies and Firms Punjab,

Building 17 Bays, 3rd floor, Room No. 12,

Sector-17, Chandigarh Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1065 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Pankaj Garg the reprenstative of complainant.

Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Pankaj Garg the reprenstative of complainant states that no information has been provided till date as assured by respondent PIO on previous hearing dated 05.12.2018.
2. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he/she files any reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the representative of appellant and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the requisite information to the complainant by the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on next hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the requisite information and also a copy of it to the Commission failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.03.2018 at 11.30 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**Ms. Amandeep Bhumla**

Chamber No. 80, Civil Court Complex,

Abohar, District Fazilka Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Vice Chancellor,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1259 of 2017**

**Present:** Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.

Adv. R.S. Bajaj (98144-10064) along with Ms. Neetu Saru present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Appellant is not present for today’s but on previous hearing dated 29.11.2017 the appellant had submitted a **written reply** containing reference of **Central Information Commissioner Smt. Annapurna Dixit in case no. CIC/AD/A/2012/00056** mentioning therein that “Education Qualification cannot be considered personal in nature”. Appellant also quoted reference of similar case of Jasmeet Kaur vs State of Uttrakhand **(Writ Petition M/s No. 2489 of 2016),** in which as per appellant, the Hon’ble High Court of Uttrakhand says where such type of information is not protected under any exemption given under section 8 of RTI Act. On these grounds she claimed that the respondent has wrongfully denied to supply required information because as a wife, the appellant is not a third party and providing demanding information is not invasion of privacy. She also requested exemption for today’s hearing.
2. Adv. R.S. Bajaj present for today’s hearing states and submitted the judgments of **Hon’able State Information Commissioner Ms. Jaspal Kaur** **has given decision in similar cases with case no. CC No. 796 of 2012 dated 13.09.2012 and CC No. 797 of 2012 dated 13.09.2012 in** which there was a matrimonial dispute between the appellant and the third party and a divorce case was going on in the court. In that case also the third party denied her disclosing information. These judgments are taken on record.
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**Appeal Case No. 1259 of 2017**

1. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, I am of the view that important legal issue is involved in this matter and hence to decide the matter judgment for this appeal case is **reserved.**
2. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**Sh. Prem Singh (94782-86495)**

S/o Sh. Bant Singh

R/o Village Nandgarh, Tehsil Rajpura

District Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SDO, Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Rajpura

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation,

Sub Division, Rajpura Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2847 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Prem Singh the appellant in person along with Sh. Mukesh Singla.

Sh. Adarsh Nirmal, SDO along with Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch handed over the information to the appellant during the hearing.
2. Sh. Prem Singh the appellant states that he is satisfied with the information that relates with point no. 1 and 4 but pointed out deficiencies in point no. 2, 3, 5 & 6 during the hearing.
3. Sh. Adarsh Nirmal, SDO states that information relates with the Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch and requested for his exemption for further hearings.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is directed to provide the pending requisite information that relates with point no. 2, 3, 5 & 6 to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the pending information and also a copy of it to the Commission failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
5. On the request of Sh. Adarsh Nirmal, SDO he as a respondent is exempted for further hearings till any further notification to respondent’s office but he has to make sure that Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sarpanch represent this case on next date of hearing and provide the pending information as directed above to the appellant.
6. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.03.2018 at 11.30 AM**.
7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**Sh. Sukhchain Singh (94635-18565)**

S/o Sh. Ajit Singh Sanam Mohalla,

W/No.11, Fatehgarh Churian,

Tehsil Batala, Gurdaspur Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies,

Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Local Government

Department, Punjab, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2849 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sukhchain Singh the appellant in person.

Sh. Baldev Singh, Clerk, (96468-28186) present from the office of Nagar Council, Fatehgarh Churian on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Baldev Singh, Clerk, states that available requisite information has already been provided to the appellant.
2. Sh. Sukhchain Singh the appellant states that he satisfied with point no. 2 of RTI application and point no. 1 is incomplete.
3. On this, Sh. Baldev Singh, Clerk states that point no. 1 relates with the PIO office of Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Amritsar.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, **the respondent PIO office of Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Amritsar is directed to appear in person** along with directions to provide the pending requisite information that relates with point no. 1 to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the pending information and also a copy of it to the Commission failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
5. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.03.2018 at 11.30 AM**.
6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**Sh. Malkeet Singh (98556-51212)**

S/o Sh. Jaswant Singh

R/o Vishawkarma Diesel Service

Abohar Road, Near Street No. 5,

Tehsil & District Sri Muktsar Sahib Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Divisional Engineer, Punjab

Water Resources Management

Corporation, Division No.3, Malout,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Superintendent Engineer,

Lining Circle No.1, PWRM&DC Ltd.,

Faridkot Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2852 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 12.10.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Malkeet Singh the appellant in person.

Sh. Avtaar Singh, SDO, (98766-43799) present.

**ORDER**

1. A letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 1016 dated 12.01.2018 from the appellant pointing out deficiencies therein in point no. 6, 8, 10 & 11 in the information received. This letter along with copy of information with this is taken on record.
2. Sh. Malkeet Singh the appellant point out deficiencies in point no. 6, 8, 10 & 11 of RTI application in the information received.
3. Sh. Avtaar Singh, SDO on the behalf of respondent PIO stated that requisite information has already been provide to the appellant and clarified it point-wise to the appellant during the hearing.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that the point-wise requisite information has already been provided to the appellant and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**
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**Sh. Gulshan Rai (88472-08779)**

House No. C-202, Aastha Apartments,

Phase-2, Nabha Pabhat Road,

Zirakpur-140603 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt.

Mini Secretariat, Punjab

Sector-9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt.

Mini Secretariat, Punjab

Sector-9, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2867 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Gulshan Rai the appellant in person.

Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Building Inspector, present from the office of Nagar Council, Fatehgarh Churian on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Gulshan Rai the appellant states that he is satisfied with information that relates with point no.1 of RTI application but point no. 2 is irrelevant and incomplete.
2. On this, Sh. Baldev Singh, Clerk states that point no. 2 relates with the PIO office of Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation, Zirakpur.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, **PIO office of Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation, Zirakpur is impeaded as necessary party in this case** therethe respondent PIO office of Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation, Zirakpur is directed to appear in person along with directions to provide the pending requisite information or file a clear written reply satisfying point no. 2 of the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the pending information and also a copy of it to the Commission failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.03.2018 at 11.30 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Executive Officer,

Municipal Corporation

Zirakpur, Punjab

**Encl. RTI Request**
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**Sh. Bhupinder Singh**

S/o Sh. Gurjail Singh,

Secretary District Congress Committee

VPO Bahmna, Ravi Dass Basti

Tehsil Samana, District Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation

Department, Samana District Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation

Department, Patiala Division,

Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2876 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 16.10.2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

**ORDER**

1. Respondent PIO is absent for today’s hearing but two emails have been received in the Commission from respondent PIO from email id jaila38744@gmail.com both dated 13.02.2018 mentioning therein that requisite information has been provided to the appellant in first email and letter of satisfaction from the appellant in second email respectively. These mails have been taken on record.
2. After examining the case file, it is found that the requisite information has already been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with it and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
3. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Surinder Pal Sondhi**

S/o Sh. Chaman Lal Sondhi

House No. 116, Tagore Nagar

Near Shenai Palace, Jaladhar Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Punjab Institute of Textile Technology,

Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal, Punjab Institute of Textile Technology,

Amritsar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2913 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Surinder Pal Sondhi the appellant in person.

Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Lecturer (94177-51919) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Surinder Pal Sondhi the appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him. He pointed out deficiencies mentioning that information of year 2011-12 like Pay Slip Salary record, Earned leaves, Causal Leaves, Medical leaves etc. or copy of attendance register has not been provided to him till date.
2. Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Lecturer requested for an adjournment to provide the information.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, the respondent PIO is given last opportunity along with directions to provide the pending requisite information point out by the appellant as mentioned in point no. 1 of this order during the hearing to the appellant by the next date of hearing, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO. The appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies in written to the respondent PIO after receiving the pending information and also a copy of it to the Commission failing to which the case would be considered as for non-pursuance by the appellant.
4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **19.03.2018 at 11.30 AM**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Ravinder Singh**

House No. 986, Near Dev Hotel,

Main Bazar, Moga Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Tehsildar, Moga

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,

Moga Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2950 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 25.10.2017**

**Present:** Nobody present.

Sh. Mangaljit Singh, Clerk on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Two similar letters have been received in the Commission vide diary no. 353 dated 04.01.2018 and 354 dated 04.01.2018 respectively from the appellant mentioning therein in both that respondent PIO has not provided the requisite information till date. He is absent for today’s hearing without any intimation to the Commission for today’s hearing.
2. Sh. Mangaljit Singh, Clerk states that the appellant has already been intimated that Farad’s and Jamabandi’s requisite in RTI application can be taken from Sewa Kender’s and/or Farad Kender’s in Moga after paying the subscribed fee by the government.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that the available requisite information has already been provided to the appellant and the appellant may take Farad’s and Jamabandi’s requisite in RTI application can be taken from Sewa Kender’s and/or Farad Kender’s in Moga after paying the subscribed fee by the government, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**
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**Ms. Kadambini**

House No. 4125,

Abohar Road, Muktsar. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation

Department, Division No. 2,

Kotkapura Road, Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation

Department, Division No. 2,

Kotkapura Road, Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2952 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 25.10.2017**

**Present:** Ms. Kamdambini the appellant in person.

Sh. Umesh Kumar, Clerk on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Ms. Kamdambini the appellant states that the respondent PIO and DC office has different and contradictory reply in same RTI application filed to their respective offices
2. Sh. Umesh Kumar, Clerk states that the respondent PIO XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Division No. 2, Kotkapura Road is concerned with RTI application filed to their office and they have provided the requisite information to the appellant available as per their records.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that the available requisite information has already been provided to the appellant as per RTI application filed to respondent PIO, therefore no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Next to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jarnail Singh S/o Sh. Thakur Singh**

R/o 23/14, Anand Nagar B,

Tripuri Patiala-147001. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary,

Local Govt. Punjab,

Sector-35, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Additional Chief Secretary,

Local Govt. Punjab,

Sector-35, Chandigarh Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2964 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jarnail Singh the appellant in person.

Sh. Maanvir Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

**ORDER**

1. Sh. Jarnail Singh the appellant states that requisite information has not been provided to him till date.

2. Sh. Maanvir Singh the representative of the respondent PIO states that requisite information relates with PIO O/o – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala and in that regard the RTI application has already been transferred to the above said PIO O/o – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala to retrieve the information but no information has been provided to them till date and requested to implead as necessary party in this case.

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that the requisite information relates with the PIO O/o – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala, therefore **PIO O/o – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala be impleaded as necessary party in this case** and copy of RTI application be sent to the said office along with this order through registered post for their ready reference along with directions as last opportunity to provide the requisite information to the appellant and to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI would be initiated against the concerned respondent PIO.

4. The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **12.03.2018 at 11.30 AM.**

1. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 13.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Patiala.

**Encl: RTI Request.**