# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 160 of 2019

Versus

Shri Hakam Singh S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,

Village: Madhir, Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Appellant

**Public Information Officer** 

O/O District Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

**Public Information Officer** 

O/O Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,

O/O Divisional Deputy Director, Rural Development & Panchayats, Ferozepur.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Sukhjit Singh, Samiti Patwari on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission and has also not attended the last hearings dated 07.03.2019, 10.04.2019, 03.06.2019 and 24.07.2019.

3. Sh. Sukhjit Singh, Samiti Patwari appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that the complete information has been supplied to the Appellant vide letter no. 3954, dated: 31.07.2019 through registered post dated: 20.08.2019.

4. The perusal of the file indicates that the remaining information has been provided to the Appellant by the Respondent and the Appellant has not pointed out any deficiency in the information provided. The Appellant has not attended the Commission consecutively 5<sup>th</sup> times entailing thereby that he is satisfied with the provided information and does not want to follow up his case further. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 228 of 2019

Shri Bakhshish Singh S/o Sh. Labh Singh, Village: Lodhipur, PO: Khunda, Tehsil & District: Gurdaspur.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer,**

O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur.

...Respondents

**PRESENT**: None for the Parties.

#### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he has also not attended the last hearings 4<sup>th</sup> consecutive times dated: 12.03.2019, 11.04.2019, 04.06.2019 and 25.07.2019.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 4. The perusal of the case reveals that respondent has not provided the required information to the Appellant after the lapse of 17 months period.
- 5. In view of the above, a <u>SHOW CAUSE NOTICE</u> under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 is issued to the PIO O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur as to why penalty should not be imposed upon him and also why compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) for wilful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant.
- 6. The Respondent PIO is directed to file an affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice and appear personally alongwith the complete information, on the next date fixed, otherwise it will be presumed that he/she has nothing to say and the Commission shall initiate ex-parte proceeding.
- 7. An opportunity is given to the Appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken on merits.
- 8. The case is adjourned to **22.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Sd/-

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

### Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: - (Regd. Post)

- 1) Sh. Vipul Ujjwal, IAS Deputy Commissioner, Gurdaspur.
- 2) Public Information Officer (BY NAME), O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,

Overdance of the velopine of the contract of t

Gurdaspur.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 478 of 2019

Master Avtar Singh, SBS Nagar, Ward No. 21, Phool Town, Rampura Phul, Distt. Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer (By Name)** 

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Rampura Phul, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Bathinda.

...Respondents

**PRESENT**: Master Avtar Singh, Appellant.

None is present on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing and he was also absent on the last hearings dated: 26.03.2019, 16.04.2019, 04.06.2019 and 25.07.2019. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 4. The perusal of the case reveals that respondent has not provided the required information to the Appellant after the lapse of 30 months period.
- 4. After examination the case file, during the hearing dated: 25.07.2019, a show cause notice was issued to the PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Rampura Phul, Distt. Bathinda for the delay in supply the information, but till today no reply has been filed by the PIO in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him. Today, the PIO is again absent without any intimation to the Commission, which shows that he has no regard to the orders of the Commission.
- 5. Hence, bailable warrant be issued to the Executive Officer –cum- PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Rampura Phul, Distt. Bathinda through Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda so that he should appear before the Commission to represent his case personally and file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him vide order dated: 25.07.2019 in the shape of Affidavit, failing which penalty provisions will be initiated against him under section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **22.10.2019** at **12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-I**nder Sina** 

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **COMPLAINT CASE NO. 522 OF 2019**

Sh. P.D. Bansal, # 94, City Homes Colony, Near Spring Dale School, Khanna-141401

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Vigilance Officer, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

**Public Information Officer.** 

O/o Town Planner, Town Planning Wing, Local Government, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Sh. P.D. Bansal, Complainant.

Sh. Sanjay Tandon, Sr. Vigilance Officer –cum- PIO alongwith Sh. Karanjit Singh, Building Inspector O/o Town Planner, Town Planning Wing, Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. The Complainant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. Sh. Karanjit Singh, Building Inspector O/o Town Planner, Town Planning Wing, Local Government, Punjab appears and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Complainant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Complainant as per the RTI Act, 2005 and same is conveyed to him.
- 4. As the enquiry is not completed till date, the case is **closed and disposed off** with the directions to the Respondent PIO that whenever the enquiry is completed, report of the same should be given to the Appellant within 15 days from the date of the completion of the enquiry positively, under intimation to the Commission. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 575 of 2019

Advocate Anil Kumar Singh, Office B-1/0002, B-1/0003, Bus Stand, VPO Rahon, Tehsil Nawanshahar.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** 

O/o Municipal Council, Rahon, District Nawanshahar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Jalandhar.

...Respondents

**PRESENT**: None on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant on behalf of the Respondent – PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Raman Manro, Accountant appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO appears and states that the demanded information is ready and he brought the same for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant, but he is absent. He further states that the Appellant is called on the Mobile No. 9463767583 to receive the information from the office, but he did not turn up.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent is directed to bring this information again in the Commission for the Appellant, failing which action will be initiated against the PIO as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. Last opportunity is given to the Appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken in his absence.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **22.10.2019** at **12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Hem Inder Singh)
12.09.2019 State Information Commissioner

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 775 of 2017

Shri Jaswant Singh Dhillon, House 22, New Dashmesh Avenue, Opp Khalsa College, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** 

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO No.177-178, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Sh. Jaswant Singh Dhillon, Appellant.

Sh. Kanwaljit Singh, PCS, ACA O/o JDA, Jalandhar; Sh. Wassan Singh, Jr. Assistant O/o RTA, Amritsar.

#### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.07.2019.

- 2. Sh. Kanwaljit Singh, PCS, ACA O/o JDA, Jalandhar appears in person (then PIO) and files an Affidavit mentioning therein that information sought by the Appellant has been provided to him and in reply to the Show Cause Notice, there is no intentional delay in supplying the information to the Appellant and requests that Show Cause Notice may be dropped.
- 3. Sh. Wassan Singh, Jr. Assistant O/o RTA, Amritsar appears on behalf of the Respondent and states that requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and requests for adjournment to supply an Affidavit.
- 4. The Appellant states that he is not satisfied with the provided information.
- 5. The reply of the show cause notice is submitted by the respondent, explaining therein the reasons in detail for the delay in supplying the information. I agree with the plea put forth by the then PIO and therefore show cause notice is, hereby, dropped.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

**SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.** 

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 775 OF 2017

<u>PS</u>

1. After the hearing was over, Sh. Darbara Singh, P.C.S. Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar came present and filed an Affidavit mentioning therein that the available information has been supplied to the Appellant as per official record and no other information is left to be supplied. The same is taken on record.

2. The perusal of the case file reveals that the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant and the Respondent – PIO files an Affidavit today in the Commission in this regard. The Affidavit is taken on record. The Appellant is at liberty to collect original affidavit from the Commission office, on any working day.

3. Keeping in view of the above, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action: -

Sh. Kamaljit Singh, P.C.S. A.C.A. Jalandhar Development Authority, Opp. Tehsil Office, Jalandhar.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 972 OF 2019

Sh. Pargat Masih S/o Sh. Balkar Masih, C/o Shri Ankit Kapur, House No. 6, Partap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana-141002.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director, Education Department (Elementary), Punjab S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Punjab Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Pargat Masih, Appellant.

Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent & Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of

the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 31.07.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent

- PIO.

3. Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent appears on behalf of the Respondents and requests that some more time be given to him to provide the information to the Appellant.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the file, the Respondent – PIO is directed to bring the complete information, before the Commission and should appear personally, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive and stringent provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 could be invoked against him.

5. The case is adjourned to **05.11.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 12.09. 2019

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **APPEAL CASE NO. 1931 OF 2019**

Versus

Sh. Pargat Masih S/o Sh. Balkar Masih, C/o Sh. Ankit Kapur, H. No. 6, Pratap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana-141002.

...Appellant

## **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director,

Punjab Education Department (Elementary),

S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Punjab Education Department, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Sh. Pargat Masih, Appellant.

Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent & Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of

the Respondents.

#### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO.
- 3. Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent appears on behalf of the Respondents and requests that some more time be given to him to provide the information to the Appellant.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the file, the Respondent PIO is directed to bring the complete information, before the Commission and should appear personally, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive and stringent provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 could be invoked against him..
- 5. The case is adjourned to **05.11.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh (Hem Inder Singh)
12.09.2019 State Information Commissioner

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **APPEAL CASE NO. 1932 OF 2019**

Versus

Sh. Pargat Masih S/o Sh. Balkar Masih, C/o Sh. Ankit Kapur, H. No. 6, Pratap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana-141002.

...Appellant

## **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director,

Punjab Education Department (Elementary),

S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Punjab Education Department, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Sh. Pargat Masih, Appellant.

Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent & Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of

the Respondents.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

- The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the RespondentPIO.
- 3. Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent appears on behalf of the Respondents and requests that some more time be given to him to provide the information to the Appellant.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the file, the Respondent PIO is directed to bring the complete information, before the Commission and should appear personally, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive and stringent provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 could be invoked against him.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **05.11.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **APPEAL CASE NO. 1933 OF 2019**

Versus

Sh. Pargat Masih S/o Sh. Balkar Masih, C/o Sh. Ankit Kapur, H. No. 6, Pratap Colony, Model Gram, Ludhiana-141002.

...Appellant

### **Public Information Officer,**

O/o Director,

Punjab Education Department (Elementary),

S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Punjab Education Department, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Sh. Pargat Masih, Appellant. PRESENT:

Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent & Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of

the Respondents.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent - PIO.
- 3. Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent appears on behalf of the Respondents and requests that some more time be given to him to provide the information to the Appellant.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the file, the Respondent - PIO is directed to bring the complete information, before the Commission and should appear personally, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive and stringent provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 could be invoked against him.
- 5. The case is adjourned to 05.11.2019 at 12:30 PM. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh) **State Information Commissioner** 

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 1966 OF 2019**

Advocate Pawan Kumar Sharma, Kothi No. 585, Phase-2, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Appellant

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer.**

O/o Superintending Engineer, Department of Water Supply & Sanitation, Punjab SCO No. 158-159, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh-160022.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintending Engineer, Department of Water Supply & Sanitation, Punjab SCO No. 158-159, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh-160022.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Advocate Pawan Kumar Sharma, Appellant.

Sh. Kamal Kishore, XEN -cum- PIO & Sh. Manjit Singh, S.D.E.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that he has received the complete information and wants to close the case.
- 3. Sh. Kamal Kishore, XEN –cum- PIO appears in person and states that information has been supplied to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same in writing.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above, the Respondent has supplied the requisite information to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action:-

Sh. Kamal Kishore,

Executive Engineer –cum- PIO, Department of Water Supply & Sanitation, Punjab Water Supply & Sanitation, Mandal No. 1, Industrial Area, Phase-I, Near Ranbaxy, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 3861 of 2018**

Advocate Pawan Kumar Sharma, Kothi No. 585, Phase-2, Mohali.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o District Treasury Officer, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

### **Public Information Officer**

O/o Director, Industries & Commerce, Punjab, Udyog Bhavan, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

### First Appellate Authority,

O/O District Treasury Officer, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** Adv Pawan Kumar Sharma, Appellant.

Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Sr Assistant O/o Industries & Commerce, Punjab on behalf of

the Respondents.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 20.08.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that he has received the Affidavit as per directions of the Commission on the last date of hearing and now wants to close the case.
- 3. Ms.Sukhjinder Kaur, Sr Assistant O/o Industries & Commerce, Punjab appears and states that Affidavit has been sent to the Appellant through post and he has acknowledged the same.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above, the Respondent has supplied the requisite information to the Appellant and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: -

Sh. Dharampal Singh,
Deputy Director –cum- PIO
O/o Industries and Commerce, Punjab,
Sector-17, Chandigarh.

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 1975 OF 2019**

Sh. Surjit Singh, S/o Sh. Gokul Singh, Village & Post Office Jarg, Tehsil Payal, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director, Punjab Education Department (Elementary), S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Punjab Education Department, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent & Sh. Jatinder Singh, Sr. Assistant on behalf of

the Respondents.

### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Santokh Singh, Superintendent appears on behalf of the Respondents and orally submits that information sought by the Appellant has already been provided to him in the Appeal Case Nos. 2192/2019 and 2199/2019. He further requests to club the all three cases on the same date i.e. 26.09.2019.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent is directed to bring the information supplied to Appellant, before the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which punitive and stringent provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 could be invoked against him.
- 5. On the request of the Respondents, the case is adjourned to **26.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### **APPEAL CASE NO. 2064 of 2018**

Shri Ranjit Singh S/o Shri Charan Singh, # 491, Phase-2, Urban Estate, Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation, Sub Division No.8, Phase-5, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o SE, Water Supply & Sanitation, SCO -158-159, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

**PRESENT:** None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Harvir Singh, S.D.E. on behalf of the Respondent-PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.07.2019.

- 2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Harvir Singh, S.D.E. appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that the remaining information has been supplied to the Appellant through registered post on 27.08.2019 and after that no observation has been received from him.
- 4. In view of the above, the Appellant is advised to point out the deficiencies in writing to the Respondent PIO within a week, under intimation to the Commission and the Respondent PIO is directed to remove the same, after receiving the discrepancies from the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action would be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. Last & final opportunity is given to the Appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which, it is assumed that he is satisfied with the provided information.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **22.10.2019** at **12:30 PM**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### COMPLAINT CASE NO. 108 of 2019

Ms. Meena Kumari w/o Sh. Jodh Bir Sharma, House No. 615/15, Sharma Cottage, Guru Nanak Colony, Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

...Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o District Treasury Officer, Tarn Taran.

...Respondent

## Heard through Video Conference

**PRESENT**: Sh. Jodhveer Sharma on behalf of the Complainant.

Sh. Sakattar Singh, District Treasury Officer -cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.07.2019.

- 2. Sh. Jodhveer Sharma states that the complete information has been provided to the Complainant and she want to close the case.
- 3. Sh. Sakattar Singh, District Treasury Officer –cum- PIO appears in person and states that the complete information has been provided to the Complainant and she has acknowledged the same.
- 4. Keeping in view of the above, the Respondent PIO has supplied the requisite information to the Complainant and the Complainant has acknowledged the same and the representative of the Complainant expressed his willingness to close the case. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Complaint Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action: -

1) Sh. Sakattar Singh,
District Treasury Officer –cum- PIO,
Tarn Taran.

# RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 3665 OF 2018

Sh. Tarun Goyal, House No. 19208, Street No. 7, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, (Recruitment Cell Branch), Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

### Heard through Video Conference

**PRESENT:** Sh. Tarun Goyal, Appellant.

Sh. Jasbir Singh Dhanoa, Superintendent -cum- PIO O/o D.L.G. Branch-III, Punjab.

#### ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondents.
- 3. Sh. Jasbir Singh Dhanoa, Superintendent –cum- PIO O/o Local Government, Punjab Branch-3 appears in person and bring the information for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant.
- 4. After going through the information, the Appellant states that irrelevant information has shown to him.
- 5. The Respondent PIO further states that he retrieved the information from the Recruitment Cell Branch of the Local Government Office.
- 6. Since, the original information is available with Sh. Surmukh Singh & Sh. Rajmal, Superintendents –cum- PIO O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Recruitment Cell Branch. I, therefore, order that PIOs O/o o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Recruitment Cell Branch be impleaded as necessary party as Respondent No. 2.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### APPEAL CASE NO. 3665 OF 2018

- 7. I further direct to Sh. Surmukh Singh & Sh. Rajmal, Superintendents –cum- PIO O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Recruitment Cell Branch to bring the information relating to the RTI application of the Appellant, which is available with them, before the Bench, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against them as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 8. The Respondent PIO (DLG, Branch-III) is directed to provide the RTI application of the Appellant dated: 08.03.2018 alongwith the copy of the orders of the Commission, before the next date of hearing.
- 9. The case is adjourned to **15.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 12.09.2019

## RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

## **SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



### **APPEAL CASE NO. 3666 OF 2018**

Sh. Tarun Goyal, House No. 19208, Street No. 7, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

### **Public Information Officer.**

O/o Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

### Heard through Video Conference

**PRESENT:** Sh. Tarun Goyal, Appellant.

Sh. Sanjiv Kumar, Superintendent –cum- PIO O/o D.L.G. Branch-II, Punjab.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 24.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that he has received the information relating to point no. 1 except the point no. 2 and 3 of his RTI application by the Respondents.
- 3. Sh. Sanjiv Kumar, Superintendent –cum- PIO O/o Local Government, Punjab Branch- II appears in person and states that point no. 2 is covered under Section 8 (e) and point no. 3 is enquiry is pending, therefore cannot be provided to the Appellant.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO is directed to bring the record relating to the RTI application of the Appellant, before the Bench, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **15.10.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner