**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Baldev Kumar (94173-04320)**

S/o Sh. Surjit Ram,

#18, Ward 18, Phri Gate,

Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar-140507 Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chief Administrator,

GMADA, SAS Nagar Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1193 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 30.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Rajinder Mittal (Senior Assistant-Accounts Branch)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Rajinder Mittal states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant through registered post dated 09.02.2018.
2. Neither the complainant, Sh. Baldev Singh is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that the complainant, Sh. Baldev Singh was also absent on the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018. Another opportunity was given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the complainant failed to do so. It is presumed that complainant; Sh. Baldev Singh is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further.
4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near to Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16, Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Jaspal Singh, VP Mazdoor Jagriti Union,**

Office – 263 A/ 13, Street No. 8,

Hussaianpura East, Amritsar. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer/APIO**

O/o Secretary, Local Bodies,

Govt. of Punjab, Mini Secretariat,

Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Bodies,

Govt. of Punjab, Mini Secretariat,

Chandigarh. Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2488 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 11.09.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.02.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Amritpal Sing on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Ramesh Kumar (Junior Assistant) (8968834326)

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Amritpal Singh states that no information has been supplied to the applicant from the respondent PIO.
2. On this, respondent, Sh. Ramesh Kumar orally states that inquiry is under process, due to this requisite information could not be supplied to the applicant.

He submits a letter no. XEN1/73 dated 09.02.2018 signed by PIO cum XEN, Division No. 1, (O & M Cell), Nagar Nigam, Amritsar stating that no inquiry is going on in the office of Nagar Nigam, Amritsar. It is taken on record.

Respondent, Sh. Ramesh Kumar also assures that after completion of the inquiry, will be provided to the applicant.

1. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the inquiry after completion to the applicant.
2. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required presently in this case along with liberty to the applicant; Sh. Jaspal Singh can approach the Commission if respondent PIO will not comply with this order. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Satnam Singh (93777-55558)**

S/o Sh. Kashmir Singh

R/o Village Dhani Karala Singh

Tehsil Amloh, District Fazilka-152116 Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Development),

Fazilka Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1200 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 31.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the complainant.

For the respondent: Sh. Aman Kakkar (Accounts Manager) along with Sh. Vikas (Panchayat Secretary).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Aman Kakkar states that requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant through registered post dated 27.12.2017.
2. Neither the complainant, Sh. Satnam Singh is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that the complainant, Sh. Satnam Singh was also absent on the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018. Another opportunity was given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the complainant failed to do so. After questioning from the respondent, it is found that no deficiency has been pointed out by the complainant till date. It is presumed that complainant; Sh. Baldev Singh is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further.
4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Piara Singh (94638-11826)**

S/o Sh. Bachan Singh

R/o Village Lohari Kalan,

Tehsil Bassi Pathana,

District Fatehgarh Sahib Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o BDPO, Bassi Pathana,

Fatehgarh Sahib Respondent

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1205 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 31.10.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.02.2018**

**Present:** Sh. Piara Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Surinder Singh Dhaliwal (BDPO, Bassi Pathana) along with Sh. Lakhwinder Singh (Panchayat Secretary).

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Surinder Singh Dhaliwal handed over the requisite information to the complainant during the hearing.
2. After going through the supplied information, complainant, Sh. Piara Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further.
3. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
4. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Gurdeep Singh (98783-02727)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Sh. Banta Singh

VPO Maan, Tehsil Malout

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO, Block Lambi,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3067 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu on the behalf of the applicant.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu states that respondent PIO handed over the requisite information to the applicant today morning i.e. 12.02.2018. He requested for an adjournment to go through the supplied information.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received from the PIO cum **District Development and Panchayat Officer**, Sri Muktsar Sahib in the Commission vide diary no. 1676 dated 23.01.2018 addressed to **Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi** stating that no one has attended the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018 before the Commission. In that letter DDPO assign duty to the BDPO to represent this case on the next date of hearing on 12.02.2018 i.e. today but the BDPO, Lambi failed to do so.
4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi to appear in person to represent this case, failing to which action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi through registered post for his ready reference.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3067 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order along with RTI application be sent to the DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib through **registered post** to look into the matter and is advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Gurdeep Singh (98783-02727)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Sh. Banta Singh

VPO Maan, Tehsil Malout

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO, Block Lambi,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3068 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu on the behalf of the applicant.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu states that respondent PIO handed over the requisite information to the applicant today morning i.e. 12.02.2018. He requested for an adjournment to go through the supplied information.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received from the PIO cum **District Development and Panchayat Officer**, Sri Muktsar Sahib in the Commission vide diary no. 1676 dated 23.01.2018 addressed to **Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi** stating that no one has attended the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018 before the Commission. In that letter DDPO assign duty to the BDPO to represent this case on the next date of hearing on 12.02.2018 i.e. today but the BDPO, Lambi failed to do so.
4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi to appear in person to represent this case, failing to which action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi through registered post for his ready reference.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3068 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order along with RTI application be sent to the DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib through **registered post** to look into the matter and is advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Kuljinder Singh (92617-00007)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Jagroop Singh

R/o Village & PO, Railway Kalan,

Tehsil & District Bathinda Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO, Block Lambi,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3083 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu on the behalf of the applicant.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu states that respondent PIO handed over the requisite information to the applicant today morning i.e. 12.02.2018. He requested for an adjournment to go through the supplied information.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received from the PIO cum **District Development and Panchayat Officer**, Sri Muktsar Sahib in the Commission vide diary no. 1676 dated 23.01.2018 addressed to **Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi** stating that no one has attended the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018 before the Commission. In that letter DDPO assign duty to the BDPO to represent this case on the next date of hearing on 12.02.2018 i.e. today but the BDPO, Lambi failed to do so.
4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi to appear in person to represent this case, failing to which action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi through registered post for his ready reference.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3083 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order along with RTI application be sent to the DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib through **registered post** to look into the matter and is advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Kuljinder Singh (92617-00007)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Jagroop Singh

R/o Village & PO, Railway Kalan,

Tehsil & District Bathinda Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO, Block Lambi,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

District Sri Muktsar Sahib Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3084 of 2017**

**Present:** Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu on the behalf of the applicant.

Nobody on the behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu states that respondent PIO handed over the requisite information to the applicant today morning i.e. 12.02.2018. He requested for an adjournment to go through the supplied information.
2. Neither the respondent PIO is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the representative of the applicant, Advocate, Harkirat Singh Sandhu and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received from the PIO cum **District Development and Panchayat Officer**, Sri Muktsar Sahib in the Commission vide diary no. 1676 dated 23.01.2018 addressed to **Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi** stating that no one has attended the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018 before the Commission. In that letter DDPO assign duty to the BDPO to represent this case on the next date of hearing on 12.02.2018 i.e. today but the BDPO, Lambi failed to do so.
4. Last opportunity is given to the respondent PIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi to appear in person to represent this case, failing to which action will be initiated against him. A copy of this order be sent to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lambi through registered post for his ready reference.

1/2

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3084 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order be sent to the DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib through **registered post** to look into the matter and is advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **09.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Satwinder Singh (94782-59757)**

S/o Gurpal Singh

VPO Bhapal, Tehsil Rajpura,

District Patiala Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Panchayat Secretary,

Gram Panchayat

Bhapal

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o BDPO,

Rajpura Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3096 of 2017**

**Date of Institution: 01.11.2017**

**Date of Decision: 12.02.2018**

**Present:** Nobody on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Baljeet Singh (Superintendent)

**ORDER**

1. Respondent, Sh. Baljeet Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the applicant.
2. Neither the applicant, Sh. Satwinder Singh is present for today’s hearing nor did he file any written reply in this regard.
3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that the applicant, Sh. Satwinder Singh was also absent on the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018. Another opportunity was given to the applicant to represent this case in person or through any of his representative(s) on the next date of hearing i.e. today but the applicant failed to do so. It is presumed that applicant; Sh. Satwinder Singh is satisfied with the supplied information and does not want to pursue this case further as no deficiency has been pointed out by the applicant till date.
4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed.**
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1. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**Note:** After the hearing as over, applicant, Sh. Satwinder Singh appeared and read out the above said order. He orally states that respondent PIO has not supplied requisite information to him. He also states that he moved from Rajpura at 12:30 PM as concerned respondent PIO, Sh. Baljeet Singh (Superintendent) intimated him regarding the case, which is disposed of & closed by the undersigned bench.

I am of the View applicant, Sh. Satwinder Singh has not bothered to appear before the Commission at fixed time, which shows no regard to the Notice & Order of the Commission. As he was also absent on the previous hearing held on 08.01.2018. It is also observed that he reached at the office of SICP, Chandigarh at 03:15 PM. No further cause of action is required in this case.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Avtar Singh (97795-00907)**

**(Regd. Post)** S/o Sh. Ranjeet Singh

Village Lepon, PO- Ranjitgarh,

Tehsil- Guruharshai,

District Ferozepur Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o BDPO,

Guruharshai

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o DDPO,

Ferozepur Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3099 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Jaswant Singh on the behalf of the applicant.

For the respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (Panchayat Secretary)

**ORDER**

1. Representative of the applicant, Sh. Jaswant Singh states that no information has been supply to the applicant as per the last order of the Commission dated 08.01.2018.
2. Respondent, Sh. Balwinder Singh requested for an adjournment in this case. He also mentioned that concerned respondent; Sh. Subhash Chander is unable to attend today’s hearing due to additional charge with him.
3. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is found that a letter has been received in the Commission from the respondent PIO, O/o BDPO, Guruharshai vide diary no. 2630 dated 06.02.2018 Sating that the **BDPO, Guruharshai assign duty to Sh. Subhash Chander (Panchayat Secretary) and Sh. Balwinder Singh (Panchayat Secretary)** to appear in person before the Commission to represent this case today. Request of the respondent is granted; respondent PIOs Sh. Subhash Chander (Panchayat Secretary) and Sh. Balwinder Singh (Panchayat Secretary) is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, to supply the requisite information to the applicant before the next date of hearing along with advice to appear in person on the next date of hearing positively to clear the facts of this case, failing to which action under Section 20 (1) will be initiated against him.
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**APPEAL CASE NO. 3099 of 2017**

1. A copy of this order be sent to the DDPO, Ferozepur through **registered post** to look into the matter and is advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Advocate, Sh. H. S. Hundal (98785-00082)**

Chamber No. 82,

Districts Courts, SAS Nagar-160059 Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o GLADA,

Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana-141001

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o GLADA,

Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana-141001 Respondent

**APPEAL CASE NO. 3101 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. H.S. Hundal, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Amarjeet Singh (APIO-GLADA).

**ORDER**

1. Applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal states that incomplete information has been received from the respondent PIO. He also pointed out the deficiencies in the supplied information during the hearing.
2. Respondent Sh. Amarjeet Singh is present for today’s hearing states that no letter has been received from the applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal regarding the deficiencies.
3. After discussing with both the parties and examining the case file, applicant, Sh. H. S. Hundal agrees to send an email regarding the deficiencies and whatever information is pending from the respondent PIO. Respondent, Sh. Amarjeet Singh assures that he will supply the pending information by the next date of hearing positively.
4. Both the parties are advised to co-ordinate and to represent this case in person or through any of their representative(s) on the next date of hearing.
5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Manjit Singh**

S/o Sh Mohinder Singh

R/o 123 A, Model Town Extension,

Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Joint Registrar,

Co-operative Societies,

Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Joint Registrar,

Co-operative Societies,

Patiala Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 228 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Manjit Singh, the applicant in person.

For the respondent: Sh. Manmohan Singh (Superintendent).

**ORDER**

1. The above mentioned case was last heard and disposed of & closed by the undersigned bench vide order dated 02.08.2017. The applicant, Sh. Manjit Singh intimated the Commission through a letter vide diary no. 99 dated 02.01.2018 stating that he has not received any intimation regarding the date fixed in the Commission.
2. Applicant, Sh. Manjit Singh states that hearing notice was not received as he was not in India that time. He further mentioned that irrelevant information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO.
3. Respondent, Sh. Manmohan Singh states that concerned person is unable to attend today’s hearing. He also mentioned applicant has not attended the hearing fixed before the First appeal.

He submits a letter no. 214 dated 08.02.2018 signed by APIO cum Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Ludhiana (East) stating that present case which was disposed of & closed by the undersigned bench as on the hearing held on 02.08.2017, applicant, Sh. Manjit Singhw was not present. In that letter he also mentioned that information relates with RTI application is not available in respondent’s office as no record is maintained by their office and same was intimated to the applicant. He further added that as per the instructions by the First Appellate Authority, Joint Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Patiala
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**Appeal Case No. 228 of 2017**

Division, Patiala, attested copy of register in connection with registered Societies, were sent to the applicant but the applicant denied to accept the information, which is taken on record.

1. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to supply the requisite information to the applicant before the next date of hearing, failing to which action under Section 20(1) will be initiated against him.
2. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
3. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Adv)**

**(Regd. Post)** Booth No. 14- B,

Sector-47-C,

Chandigarh Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Chairman,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Vice Chancellor,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2019 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan along with Sh. Kamal Kant.

For the respondent: Advocate, Tarun Sharma along with Sh. Hardeep Singh (Clerk).

**ORDER**

1. The above mentioned case was last heard and remanded to First Appellate Authority by the undersigned bench vide order dated 09.10.2017. The applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan intimated the Commission through a letter vide diary no. 27000 dated 28.11.2017 stating that no information has been supplied to him by the concerned respondent PIO.
2. Applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan states that neither the information is provided nor there is any communication from the respondent PIO till date.
3. On this, respondent, Advocate, Tarun Sharma submits a written reply signed by Ms. Monica Gulati dated 30.01.2018 along with decision of First Appellate Authority, who is Vice Chancellor, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road, Phagwara-144411 dated 22.11.2017 with copies of postal receipt and track consignment, which are taken on record.
4. After querying from the respondent, I found that the respondent, Advocate, Tarun Sharma is not aware about the facts of this case. It is also observed that respondent PIO-O/o Chairman, Lovely Professional University respondent, Jalandhar and respondent PIO, O/o Vice Chancellor, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar has no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.
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**It is also observed that First Appellate Authority has not dealt with the RTI application of the applicant properly as present case was remanded to the First Appellate Authority by the Commission.**

1. Due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the applicant Sh. Sunil Mallan.
2. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) to the applicant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favour of Sunil Mallan from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
3. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to supply the requisite information to the applicant before the next date of hearing positively. Respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person to represent this case as counsel, Advocate Tarun Sharma was not aware about the case.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building (Near Rose Garden)**

**Sector-16 Chandigarh**

**Contact No. 0172-2864115, Fax No. 0172-2864125**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com), **Email-ID** [**psic25@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:psic25@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Sh. Sunil Mallan (Adv)**

**(Regd. Post)** Booth No. 14- B,

Sector-47-C,

Chandigarh Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Chairman,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar

**First Appellate Authority**

**(Regd. Post)** O/o Vice Chancellor,

Lovely Professional University,

Jalandhar Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2020 of 2017**

**Present:** Sh. Sunil Mallan along with Sh. Kamal Kant.

For the respondent: Advocate, Tarun Sharma along with Sh. Hardeep Singh (Clerk).

**ORDER**

1. The above mentioned case was last heard and remanded to First Appellate Authority by the undersigned bench vide order dated 09.10.2017. The applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan intimated the Commission through a letter vide diary no. 27000 dated 28.11.2017 stating that no information has been supplied to him by the concerned respondent PIO.
2. Applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan states that neither the information is provided nor there is any communication from the respondent PIO till date.
3. On this, respondent, Advocate, Tarun Sharma submits a written reply signed by Ms. Monica Gulati dated 30.01.2018 along with decision of First Appellate Authority, who is Vice Chancellor, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road, Phagwara-144411 dated 22.11.2017 with copies of postal receipt and track consignment, which are taken on record.
4. After querying from the respondent, I found that the respondent, Advocate, Tarun Sharma is not aware about the facts of this case. It is also observed that respondent PIO-O/o Chairman, Lovely Professional University respondent, Jalandhar and respondent PIO, O/o Vice Chancellor, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar has no regard to the Notice and Order of the Commission.
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**It is also observed that First Appellate Authority has not dealt with the RTI application of the applicant properly as present case was remanded to the First Appellate Authority by the Commission.**

1. Due to evasive attitude of the respondent PIO concerned, the applicant, Sh. Sunil Mallan has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information, I am of the view that compensation be awarded to the applicant Sh. Sunil Mallan.
2. Hence, I award a compensation of Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand) to the applicant. The compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favour of Sunil Mallan from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual’s account.
3. Respondent PIO is directed to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft on the next date of hearing. He is also directed to supply the requisite information to the applicant before the next date of hearing positively. Respondent PIO is also directed to appear in person to represent this case as counsel, Advocate Tarun Sharma was not aware about the case.
4. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **14.03.2018 at 11:30 PM**
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**
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