**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Complainant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Deputy Commissioner

Sangrur

First Appellate Authority

o/oDeputy Commissioner

Sangrur .Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1180 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Advocate Pawan Gupta

**through video conference**

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 11.09.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed appeal in the Commission on 25.10.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.
3. The appellant that no information has been given to him so far.
4. Respondent states that the information demanded by the appellant cannot be provided as the matter is pending in the Hon'ble High Court
5. Both the parties are directed to come present in the Commission office on the next date of hearing. Respondent is directed to bring original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the appellant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

6. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Complainant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Headmaster,

Prem Sabha High School,

Sangrur .Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 937 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Advocate Pawan Gupta

**through video conference**

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 09.08.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 11.09.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Respondent states that the complainant has not demanded specific information. He further states that the Complainant has sought very voluminous information.

5. Both the parties are directed to come present in the Commission office on the next date of hearing. Respondent is directed to bring original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the Complainant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

6. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Appellant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Headmaster,

Prem Sabha High School,

Sangrur

First Appellate authority

o/o District Education Office (SE),

Sangrur .Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2300 of 2016**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Advocate Pawan Gupta

**through video conference**

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 09.04.2016 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 11.07.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Respondent states that the complainant has not demanded specific information. He further states that the Complainant has sought very voluminous information.

5. Both the parties are directed to come present in the Commission office on the next date of hearing. Respondent is directed to bring original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the Complainant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

6. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Complainant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (SE),

Sangrur .Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 936 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Deen Dayal, Sr. Assistant

**through video conference**

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 22.07.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 11.09.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Both the parties are directed to come present in the Commission office on the next date of hearing. Respondent is directed to bring original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the Complainant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

5. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Complainant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Headmaster,

Prem Sabha High School,

Sangrur .Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 937 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Advocate Pawan Gupta

**through video conference**

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 09.08.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 11.09.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.
3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.
4. Respondent states that the complainant has not demanded specific information. He further states that the Complainant has sought very voluminous information.
5. Both the parties are directed to come present in the Commission office on the next date of hearing. Respondent is directed to bring original record in the Commission on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the Complainant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

6. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sham Lal Singla,

# B-325, Guru Nanak Colony,

Sangrur . Complainant

versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Director Education Department (S),

Pb, Chandigarh . .Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1181 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sham Lal Singla the complainant through video

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 11.09.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 25.10.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 12.02.2018 in the Commission through video conference.

3. Sh. Sham Lal Singla stated that no response whatsoever has been received from the respondents till date. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents nor has any communication been received from them. In the interest of justice, Respondent PIO is afforded one more opportunity to provide the appellant point-wise complete specific information, duly attested, free of cost, by registered post, in accordance with his RTI application and present a photocopy of the relevant postal receipt along with a copy of the information provided, before the Commission on the next date fixed, for its perusal and records. Respondents to note that in case no one comes present on their behalf on the next date fixed, punitive provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 may be invoked against the erring officer(s).

4. To come up on **12.03.2018 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office at Punjab State Information Commission, Red Cross Building, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties through registered post. Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Parveen Kohli, S/o Sh Savdesh Kohli,

R/o H No. 451/2, College Road, Jagraon,

Ludhiana . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,

Jagraon, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

. ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 528 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Surinder Singh, Junior Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2018.

2. The complainant is absent today. He has submitted a letter that he is unable to attend the today's hearing.

3. Respondent states that complete reply has been sent to the complainant.

4. I have gone through the record and found that complete information has been provided to the complainant. He may take note that the information as exist in the record has been provided , queries are not to be replied. Moreover the respondent has also filed in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The complaint filed by the complainant is therefore disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Rajinder Singh, S/o Sh Balwinder Singh,

Village Achariki, Abohar,

Distt Fazilka. Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Director Primary Instructions (S),

Punjab, Chandigarh. .

..Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1208 of 2017**

Present : (i) Advocate Smt. Kanishka Goyal on behalf of the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Rajiv Kumar, Clerk

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.01.2018 vide which the complainant was directed to inspect the record.

2 Advocate Smt. Kanishka Goyal is appearing on behalf of the complainant states that he has received the information and is satisfied.

3. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The complaint filed by the complainant is, therefore, disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road, Mansa

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1218 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Satpal Bansal the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today the appellant states that he has inspected the record but no information has been given to him so far.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant has filed RTI on 05.06.2017, but no information has been given to him so far, which shows that the respondent –PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

4. In view of the foregoing, respondent-PIO is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

5. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered parties.*** *Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road, Mansa

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1219 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Satpal Bansal the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today the appellant states that he has inspected the record but no information has been given to him so far.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant has filed RTI on 11.07.2017, but no information has been given to him so far, which shows that the respondent –PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

4. In view of the foregoing, respondent-PIO is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

5. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered parties.*** *Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Sant Kumar, S/o Sh G.S. Gogna,

R.o B-1-171, Guru Nanak Pura, Civil Lines,

Ludhiana Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Forest Officer,

Opp Western mall, Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**

O/o District Forest Officer,

Oppo Western mall, Ferozepur Road,

Ludhiana.

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Registrar, PAU,

Ludhiana..

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Chief Conservator Officer,

Forest Complex, Sector 68,

SAS Nagar ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1626 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Sant Kumar Garg the appellant

(ii) for the respondent: Sh. Karnail Singh, Sr. Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2018 vide which PIO, o/o Chief Conservator Officer, Mohali was impleaded as respondent no. 2

2. Sh. Karnail Singh , Sr. Assistant is appearing on behalf of the respondent and has filed his reply mentioning therein that the information demanded by the appellant is not available in their office record. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant.

3. Since, the information is not available in the office record and written reply has been handed over to the appellant, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh Rakesh Kumar Gupta,Advocate

S/o Sh Das raj Gupta,

#8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District. Ludhiana. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Colonization Punjab

Office Complex, Kissan Bhawan, Sector 35A,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o o Colonization Punjab

Office Complex, Kissan Bhawan, Sector 35A,

Chandigarh

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Secretary Punjab Mandi Board

,Sector 65A, SAS Nagar

. . ..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1695 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jugraj Singh, Sr. Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.01.2018 vide which last opportunity was given to the appellant to appear before the Commission.

2. Sh. Jugraj Singh, Sr. Assistant o/o Colonization is appearing on behalf of the respondent and states that the information demanded by the appellant is lying with Punjab Mandi Board.

3. Both the PIOs, i.e. o/o Colonization Punjab and PIO, o/o Secretary Mandi Board are directed to come present on the next date of hearing.

4. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

*Note : After the hearing the appellant- Sh. Rakesh Kumar appeared. He was read out the above order. He states that the information is related with Colonization department.*

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh Rakesh Kumar Gupta,Advocate

S/o Sh Das raj Gupta,

#8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District. Ludhiana. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Colonization Punjab

Office Complex, Kissan Bhawan, Sector 35A,

Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o o Colonization Punjab

Office Complex, Kissan Bhawan, Sector 35A,

Chandigarh

. . ..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1697 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jugraj Singh, Sr. Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.01.2018 vide which last opportunity was given to the appellant to appear before the Commission.

2. The appellant is absent today. Respondent states that complete information has already been sent to the appellant.

3. A perusal of the file shows the appellant is absent for fourth consecutive hearing. He has even not informed the Commission about his absence for today's hearing, therefore, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided.

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is therefore disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

Note : *After the hearing the appellant- Sh. Rakesh Kumar the appellant appeared. He was read out the above order. He has prayed for another date. He states that complete information has not been provided to him so far. On the plea of the appellant one more opportunity is given to him. To come up on* ***05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM****. He may take note that this is the last opportunity. Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road,

Mansa Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3094 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Satpal Bansal the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today the appellant states that he has inspected the record but no information has been given to him so far.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant has filed RTI on 15.05.2017, but no information has been given to him so far, which shows that the respondent –PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

4. In view of the foregoing, respondent-PIO is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

5. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered parties. Sd/-***

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Manjeet singh, S/o Mohan Singh

Village Langiana Purana,

Tehsil Bagha Purana, Distt. Moga - 142038

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o DPI(SE),

Mohali

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI(SE),

Mohali

.

..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3332 of 2017**

Present : (i) none is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Rajiv Kumar, Clerk

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.01.2018 vide which the appellant was directed to inspect the record.

2. Today the appellant is absent.

3. Respondent states that the appellant has inspected the record and complete information has been provided to him.

4. After hearing the respondent, it is ascertained that the appellant has inspected the record and obtain the information. He has not pointed out any deficiencies till now. Even he is not attended the hearing today in the Commission. It is, therefore, presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Krishan Gopal, S/o Shri Sunil Kumar,

Training Centre of Teachers of Visually Handicap,

Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o EO, Nagar Council

Patti, Distt. Tarn TAran

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o EO, Nagar Council

Patti, Distt. Tarn TAran

.

..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3303 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Love Joel, Clerk

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.01.2018 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent is present.

2. Today the appellant is absent.

3. Respondent states that complete information has been given to the appellant. He has also given in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him

4. After hearing the responden,t it is observed that the information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent. Moreover, he has given in writing that there is no other information which is pending in the office record of the respondent and there is nothing left to be furnished to him.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla

S/o Shri Brij Lal, Ward No. 16

B/190, Sangrur Road, Dhuri

Distt. Sangrur

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Principal

Delhi Public School, Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO (SE) Sangrur

.

..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3526 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Aminder Singh, Advocate

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.01.2018 vide which the appellant was absent and the respondent has sought another date.

2. Today the appellant is again absent. He has sent an email.

3. Sh. Aminder Singh, Advocate is appearing on behalf of the respondent and states that the Delhi Public School, Sangrur is not covered under the RTI Act 2005.

4. Respondent is directed to file an affidavit stating the same on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that in case the appellant does not appear on the next date of hearing , appropriate order in his absence shall be passed

5. To come up on **01.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Smt. Veena Rani, 17250-A

Street no. 1, Aggarwal Colony

Bathinda- 151001

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Principal,

Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Village Jandawal

Distt. Bathinda

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o DEO(SE) Bathinda

.

..Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3542 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

Neither the appellant nor the respondent is present for today's hearing, therefore one more opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

2. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh. Jatinder Kumar,

House No. 1086/26, Panchkula

Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Officer

Municipal Council, Rampura Phull,

Bathinda

**First Appellate Authority**

o/o Director Local Govt.,

Punjab, Chandigarh Sector 35. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 4242 of 2016**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

Neither the appellant nor the respondent is present for today's hearing, therefore one more opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

2. To come up on **05.03.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Jalandhar Drainage Division,

Jalandhar.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh  ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 139 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Ajit Singh, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, Constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, J.D.Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**D.R.**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Canal Lining Division,

Ferozepur  ...Respondent

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh

**Complaint Case No. 140 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Ashutosh Kumar, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh/JD, Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**D.R.**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Ludhiana Drainage Division,

Ludhiana

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh  ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 141 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. R.P.Singh, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, Constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**D.R.**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Hoshiarpur Drainage Division,

Hoshiarpur.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh  ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 142 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. R.P.Singh, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, Constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

**D.R.**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Phagwara Drainage Division,

Jalandhar.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh  ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 143 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Ajit Singh, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

**D.R.**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Amritsar Drainage Division,

Amritsar.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 144 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Kulwinder Singh, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018. State Information Commissioner**

D.R.

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-864117** Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in)

Sh Karamjit Singh Narang,

H NO-3398, Sector-19-D,

Chandigarh. . Complainant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Golewala Drainage Division,

Ferozepur.

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Vigilance Bureau,

SCO 60-61, Sector 17D, Shopping Plaza,

Chandigarh ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 145 of 2018**

Present : (i) Sh. Karamjit Singh Narang, the Complainant

(ii) for the respondent : Sh. Ashutosh Kumar, XEN-cum-PIO, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime-cum-PIO, Sh. Anil Rattan, Constable Vigilance Bureau

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.02.2018 through which the Respondent-PIO stated that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as the matter is under investigation with Vigilance Bureau. Therefore, PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau was impleaded as respondent no.2.

2. Today, Sh. Paramjit Singh, JD/Crime is appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 states that in this case the PIO is Sh. Ashish Kapoor, AIG, FS-1. He further states that he is not clear about the facts of the case.

3. A perusal of the file shows that the respondent no. 1 has given in writing that the information demanded by the complainant cannot be provided as it comes under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005. The respondent has prayed that the complaint filed by the complainant may be dismissed. Sh. Karamjit Singh the complainant states the information demanded by him is not covered under Section 8(h) of the RTI Act 2005.He has also submitted a judgment of Delhi High Court i.e. Adesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors to support his view.

4. In view of the submissions, legal complication is involved in this case which requires adjudication by the Larger Bench in the commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, the Deputy Registrar is directed to place the papers of the instant case before the Chief Information Commissioner for appropriate orders.

*Sd/-*

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 12.02.2018 State Information Commissioner**

D.R.