



Sh. Lajpat Rai, S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,  
H No-B-3/287, Romana Street,  
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o EO, NC, Jaito,  
Distt. Faridkot.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 653 of 2020**

**PRESENT:     None for the Complainant**  
**Sh. Naib Singh, Inspector, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 21.11.2019, has sought information regarding action taken report on the complainant filed on 24.10.2019 against Nagar Council Jaito's EO and concerned staff for irregularities in cash matters – date of audit from 01.02.2000 to 01.12.2019 along with fee received as audit fee – objections raised during the audit – disciplinary action taken against the staff for irregularities and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of EO-NC Jaito. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 15.09.2020.

The case last came up for hearing on 26.02.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The Commission received a letter from the PIO-Examiner, Local Fund Accounts, Pb Chandigarh stating that the information concerning them relating to points 1,2 & 1<sup>st</sup> part of point-3 has already been provided to the complainant in an earlier appeal case No.894/2020, which was disposed of by Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla, State Information Commissioner on 10.08.2020 and since the remaining information (2<sup>nd</sup> part of point-3 and point-4) relates to EO-NC Jaito, the RTI application has been transferred to them on 23.01.2020 u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act.

The EO-NC Jaito was impleaded in the case and directed to provide information as per the RTI application transferred by Local Fund Accounts on 23.01.2020 and appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing alongwith explanation for not attending to the RTI application.

On the date of the last hearing on **16.08.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO had not supplied the information.

The respondent PIO-cum-EO, NC Jaito, who was impleaded in the case at the last hearing, was absent nor had complied with the order of the Commission to provide the information as per the RTI application transferred by the PIO-Examiner on 23.01.2020.

**Complaint Case No. 653 of 2020**

The PIO-cum-EO-NC Jaito was issued a **show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period and directed to file reply on an affidavit.**

The PIO was again directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

**Hearing dated 11.07.2022**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. Due to some technical glitch in the video conferencing, the hearing could not take place.

The EO-cum-PIO, Jaito, was impleaded in this case and has not given reply to the show cause notice issued to him on the previous hearing date. One last opportunity is given to the EO-cum-PIO, Jaito to file reply to shown notice before the next hearing date.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **23.11.2022 at 11.00 AM** through a video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:11.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**