RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 13 OF 2019

Advocate Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, (Vigilance Bureau) Patiala Range, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab, Vigilance Bhawan, Sector-68, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Gursher Singh, DSP & Sh. Rajesh Kumar for the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

16.05.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however he has intimated to the Commission by telephone that he has received the information and wants to close the case.

3. Sh. Gursher Singh, DSP appears on behalf of the Respondent - PIO alongwith the information for the perusal of the Commission and appellant but he is absent. The Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO has already supplied the information to the appellant and he has acknowledged the same and wants to close the case. No further action is required, hence this Appeal case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 54 OF 2019

Advocate Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Governance Reforms, Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Complainant. Sh. Sarabjit Singh, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.05.2019.

2. The Complainant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however he has intimated to the Commission by telephone that he has received the information and wants to close the case.

3. Sh. Sarabjit Singh, Sr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the information sought by the Complainant is relating with the Health Department, Punjab and the same has been sent to the Principal Secretary, Health, Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Chandigarh for further necessary action. The copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO has already intimated the complainant about action taken on his RTI application and he has acknowledged the same and wants to close the case. No further action is required, hence this Complaint case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2590 OF 2018

Advocate Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Registrar (West), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (West), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.05.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however he has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 13216, dated: 10.07.2019 mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing due to busy in Judicial Court Ludhiana and requests for an adjournment.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. Last opportunity is given to the Respondent – PIO to appear personally and file an Affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him, on the next date of hearing, failing which penalty provisions will be initiated against him under Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The appellant is also advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken on merit.

6. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2687 OF 2018

Advocate Tejinder Singh, Village Bholapur Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Revenue Officer, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (General), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Parveen Kumar, Naib Sadar Kanungo on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

16.05.2019.

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission, however he has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 13216, dated: 10.07.2019 mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing due to busy in Judicial Court Ludhiana and requests for an adjournment.

3. Sh. Parveen Kumar, Naib Sadar Kanungo appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through registered post.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to present a photocopy of the relevant postal receipt along with a copy of the information provided to the appellant, before the Commission, on the next date fixed, for its perusal and records. Respondents to note that in case no one comes present on their behalf on the next date fixed, punitive provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 will be invoked against the erring officer(s).

5. The appellant is also advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which decision shall be taken on merit.

6. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1174 OF 2019

Shri Shamsher Singh (94175-19667) S/o Late Shri Jogi Ram Village: Rauni (Chhoti Rauni), Post Office: Ranbirpura, Nabha Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

PSIC

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No.2 Near Punjab Pollution Control Board, Nabha Road, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Deptt., Near Punjab Pollution Control Board, Nabha Road, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant. Ms. Yashika, S.D.O. is present on behalf of the Respondent– PIO.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 14.04.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 26.05.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 27.03.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission.

3. Ms. Yashika, S.D.O. appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO alongwith the complete information for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant but he is absent today. The Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent is directed to send the same to the appellant by registered post and present a photocopy of the relevant postal receipt, before the Commission on the next date fixed, for its perusal and records. The Respondents to note that in case no one comes present on their behalf on the next date fixed, punitive provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 will be invoked against the erring officer(s).

5. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1197 OF 2018

Sh. Updesh Singh, Platoon Commander (Retd.), Gali Panchayat Gurudwara Sahib, Humayunpur, Railway Road, Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh. Updesh Singh, Complainant. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO & Ms. Balwinder Kaur, Sr. Assistant.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.05.2019.

2. The Complainant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO appears in person and files an Affidavit mentioning therein that the available information has already been supplied to the Complainant and none of the other information is available in the official record which can be supplied to the Complainant.

4. In these circumstances, it is relevant to invite the attention of the Complainant to the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787-10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP(C) No. 32768-32769/2010) - Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. The Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



COMPLAINT CASE No. 1197 of 2018

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

6. In the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case the complainant has filed his RTI on 01.06.2018, the first reply was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 14.06.2018. The respondent has given the reply within the time as prescribed under the RTI Act. The conduct of the respondent is found satisfactory.

7. The Respondent – PIO has supplied the available information to the Complainant and today she files an Affidavit in this regard, which is handed over to the Complainant.

8. In view of the observations noted above, instant Complaint case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 3961 OF 2018

Sh. Updesh Singh, Platoon Commander (Retd.), Gali Panchayat Gurudwara Sahib, Humayunpur, Railway Road, Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Updesh Singh, Appellant. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO & Ms. Balwinder Kaur, Sr. Assistant.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

16.05.2019.

2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO appears in person alongwith the remaining information consisting 80 pages duly attested for the perusal of the Commission. She further files an Affidavit mentioning therein that the available information has already been supplied to the Appellant and none of the other information is available in the official record which can be supplied to the Appellant.





APPEAL CASE NO. 3961 OF 2018

4. After perusal of the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent has supplied the information and today she has again supplied the complete information to the appellant alongwith an Affidavit.

5. Thus, information as per the official record of the Respondent – PIO has been duly furnished. Therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 3962 OF 2018

Sh. Updesh Singh, Platoon Commander (Retd.), Gali Panchayat Gurudwara Sahib, Humayunpur, Railway Road, Sirhind, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Deptt. Of Home Affairs and Justice, Punjab, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Updesh Singh, Appellant. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO & Ms. Balwinder Kaur, Sr. Assistant.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

16.05.2019.

2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Ms. Parveen Rani, Superintendent – cum – PIO appears in person alongwith the remaining information consisting 80 pages duly attested for the perusal of the Commission. She further files an Affidavit mentioning therein that the available information has already been supplied to the Appellant and none of the other information is available in the official record which can be supplied to the Appellant.





APPEAL CASE NO. 3962 OF 2018

4. After perusal of the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent has supplied the information and today she has again supplied the complete information to the appellant alongwith an Affidavit.

5. Thus, information as per the official record of the Respondent – PIO has been duly furnished. Therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1214 OF 2019

Shri Jasbir Singh, (9888296107), Village : Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office : Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District : LUDHIANA.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sub-Divisional Magistrate, JAITTO, District: Faridkot

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, JAITTO, District: Faridkot.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Parminder Singh, Steno Typist is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 19.12.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 22.01.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 02.04.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However he has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 13153, dated: 10.07.2019 mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing in the Commission due to an urgent work at home.

3. Sh. Parminder Singh, Steno Typist appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent is directed to present a photocopy of the relevant postal receipt along with a copy of the information provided, before the Commission on the next date fixed, for its perusal and records. The Respondent – PIO to note that in case no one comes present on their behalf on the next date fixed, punitive provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 may be invoked against the erring officer(s).

5. The case is adjourned to **29.08.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Versus

Shri Jasbir Singh,(9888296107), Village: Bholapur Jhabewal, Post Office: Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, District : LUDHIANA.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sub-Divisional Magistrate, MAUR, District Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, MAUR, District Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 19.12.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 22.01.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 02.04.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission. However he has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 13154, dated: 10.07.2019 mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing in the Commission due to an urgent work at home.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to appear personally and file a reply in response to the Notice of the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **29.08.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1216 OF 2019

Shri Guarav Kapoor /o Shri Mahesh Kapoor, House No.76/1, Ajit Nagar, PATIALA.

...Appellant

...Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No.1, PATIALA.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No.1, PATIALA.

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

The appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 28.01.2019. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 04.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 02.04.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, the Respondent – PIO is directed to file a reply in response to the Notice of the Commission, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1351 OF 2017

Sh. Kulbhushan S/o Sh. Banvari Lal, House No. 377, PUDA Colony, Malout, District Shri Mukatsar Sahib-152107.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, (Education-2 Branch), Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Vikul is present on behalf of the Appellant. Ms. Sharanjit Kaur, Superintendent –cum- PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

16.05.2019.

2. The Appellant appears and states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Ms. Sharanjit Kaur, Superintendent –cum- PIO appears in person alongwith an Affidavit and cheque of Rs. 3000/- as compensation amount, today in the Commission, which is handed over to the representative of the Appellant.

4. After perusal of the record, it is revealed that the cheque of Rs. 3000/as Compensation is issued from the personal account of the PIO instead of account of the Public Authority i.e. O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, (Education-2 Branch), Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.





APPEAL CASE No. 1351 Of 2017

5. In view of the above, the cheque is returned to the Respondent – PIO with the directions that this amount should be payable from the funds of O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, (Education-2 Branch), Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh, by way of <u>crossed Cheque/Demand Draft in the name of Sh. Kulbhushan</u> within one month and not from an individual account.

6. The Respondent - PIO O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, (Education-2 Branch), Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh is also directed to send a copy of Cheque/Demand Draft to the Commission to establish the fact that order of the Commission has been complied with.

7. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action: -

Ms. Sharanjit Kaur, Superintendent –cum- PIO O/o Principal Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, (Education-2 Branch), Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

The Secretary, Deptt. of School Education, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

APPEAL CASE NO. 1877 OF 2017

Sh. Anil Kumar S/o Sh. Kesar Chand, H. No. 3065/13, Gali Hazar Chand Kamboj Wali, Kherpur, District Sirsa-125055.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO No. 177-78, Sector-17-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated:

04.04.2019.

2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing in the Commission.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. After examination the case file, it is ascertained that in this case, the first hearing was held on 24.08.2017 in the court of the undersigned. During the hearing dated: 18.01.2018, a show cause notice was issued to the PIO for the delay and compensation was awarded to the appellant, but till today no reply has been filed by the PIO in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him. In this case, 13 hearings have been held, but the case is pending on the ground that who is responsible for the delay in providing the information.





APPEAL CASE No. 1877 of 2017

5. During the last hearings dated: 02.05.2018, 05.9.2018, 22.11.2018, 20.12.2018, 22.01.2019, 04.04.2019 the PIO S. Hardip Singh, PCS, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot did not appear in the Commission. Today, the PIO is again absent without any intimation to the Commission, which shows that he has no regard to the orders of the Commission.

6. Hence, bailable warrant be issued to the PIO S. Hardip Singh, PCS, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot through Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot so that he should appear before the Commission to represent his case personally and submit the pointwise reply in regard to the RTI application of the Appellant in the shape of Affidavit alongwith case property (Copy of FIR No. 1/2015). He is further directed to file another reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him vide order dated: 18.01.2018, failing which penalty provision will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation & Necessary Action: -

- 1) S. Hardip Singh, PCS, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot.
- 2) The Commissioner, State Transport Commission, Punjab Main Office –cum- First Appellate Authority, S.C.O. No. 177-178, Sector-17-C, Chandigarh.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION BEFORE S. HEM INDER SINGH, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

In case: <u>Anil Kumar Vs. Public Information Officer O/o Secretary, Regional Transport</u> <u>Authority, Faridkot.</u>

APPEAL CASE NO. 1877 of 2017

UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Next Date of Hearing: 03.09.2019

То

The Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot.

Whereas Public Information Officer PIO O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notice/summon in the above mentioned Appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this bailable warrant to Sh. Hardip Singh, PCS, Secretary, O/o Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot to appear before undersigned at RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR – 16, CHANDIGARH on 03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2541 OF 2018

Advocate H.S. Hundal (9878500082) House No. 1, Dutt Road, Moga-142001.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Moga.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv H. S. Hundal, Appellant. Sh. Devender Pal Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.05.2019.

2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him relating to point no. 2,3,4 of the RTI application.

3. Sh. Devender Pal Singh, Clerk appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that PIO is not well and requests for an adjournment.

4. On the written request of the Respondent – PIO, one more opportunity is given to the PIO to appear personally and provide the complete information and file an Affidavit in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to her vide order dated: 27.11.2018 and compliance of the order dated: 15.01.2019 regarding the compensation amount of Rs. 5000/-, on the next date of hearing, failing which penalty provision will be initiated against her under Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. The case is adjourned to **29.08.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2542 OF 2018

Advocate H.S. Hundal (9878500082) House No. 1, Dutt Road, Moga-142001.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Moga.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Adv H. S. Hundal, Appellant. None is present on behalf of the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 16.05.2019.

2. The Appellant appears and states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent – PIO.

3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.

4. In view of the above, one more opportunity is given to the Respondent – PIO to appear personally and provide the available information to the appellant and file a reply in response to the Show Cause Notice issued to him, on the next date of hearing, failing which action under Section 20 of the RTI Act, will be initiated.

5. The case is adjourned to **29.08.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurdeep Singh S/o Sh. Darshan Singh, VPO: Thuhi, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35-A, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Government, Ludhiana.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Gurparkash Singh, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 04.04.2019.

2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission, however he has intimated to the Commission by telephone that he has received the complete information and satisfied with the provided information and wants to close the case.

3. Sh. Gurparkash Singh, Sr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. ਡਡਸਸ-ਸ1/2019/9322, ਮਿਤੀ: 09-04-2019. Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. The perusal of the case file, it is revealed that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant and he has acknowledged the same and wants to close the case. Therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal Case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 11.07.2019



RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3523 OF 2018

Sh. Charanjit Singh S/o Sh. Palwinder Jit Singh, Village: Surapur, Tehsil Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar-144506.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Zone, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Zone, Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant. ASI Gurmeet Singh & CT Jagmeet Singh for the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 04.04.2019.

2. The appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.

3. ASI Gurmeet Singh appears on behalf of the Respondent – PIO and states that the information sought by the appellant has already been supplied to him. He further files an Affidavit in this regard, which is placed on record.

4. The perusal of the case file reveals that the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant and today the Respondent files an Affidavit on behalf of the PIO in this regard. The Affidavit is taken on record. The appellant is at liberty to collect original affidavit from the Commission office, on any working day.

5. In view of the above, therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.07.2019