

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh.Mandeep Singh, S/o Sh.Gursewak Singh,  
R/o VillageKundal, Tehsil Abohar,  
Distt.Fazilka

Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SSP,  
Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o I.G, Zonal, Ferozepur Range,  
FerozepurCantt.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2701 of 2018**

Present:       None for the Appellant  
                  Sh.Dalip Singh, ASI from the office of SSP Fazilka for the Respondent

**ORDER:**

The case was first heard on **30.10.2018**. The respondent present pleaded that the similar information has already been provided to the appellant in an appeal case No.3847 of 2016. The appellant informed that the information which was provided was the enquiry reports and vide this application; he has sought copies of the complaints filed regarding their dispute.

The PIO was directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information to the appellant within 7 days as per RTI application and sent a compliance report to the Commission.

The case was last heard on **11.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the orders of the Commission, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 05.11.2018. The appellant stated that the information is not correct as he has not received the copy of complaint No.1333 regarding point 4 and copy of complaint dated 17.01.2017.

The PIO is directed to provide the certified copies of complaint No.1333 regarding point No.4 and copy of complaint dated 17.01.2017 regarding point No.6 within 10 days.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the orders of the Commission, the information has been sent to the appellant on 17.12.2018. The appellant is absent and has not intimated whether the appellant has received the information or not. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh Jai Chand,  
H No-3/130, Street No-1,  
Ram Sharnam Colony,  
Fazilka.

Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o MC,  
Fazilka.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Regional Deputy Director,  
Local Govt, Ferozepur.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3217 of 2018**

**Present:**       **None for the Appellant**  
                  **None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard on **05.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 28.05.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on their requests dated 26.07.2017 and 14.05.2018 alongwith details of funds received in last 4 years for upkeep of the streets and other information concerning the office of MC Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.07.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent is absent. The Commission has received a letter diary No.23349 dated 20.11.2018 from the PIO stating therein that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 30.06.2018 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied with the information provided except information regarding point 2(a) & 2(b) The appellant further stated that he has received the information only on 17.11.2018 after the submission of second appeal with the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO and hereby direct the PIO to relook at point 2 (c ) & 2(d) of the RTI application and provide the complete information within a week. The PIO is also directed to submit proof of sending information on 30.06.2018 and produce dispatch register.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The appellant is absent without intimation to the Commission. The respondent is also absent and vide email has sought adjournment.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. Copies of the order be sent to the parties **through registered post**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in

Sh.Satnam Singh,  
R/o Village Sadakwala 2FDM, PO-3FDM,  
Panchayat Smiti Suratgarh,  
Distt.Shri Ganga Nagar.

Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o SSP,  
Fazilka..

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o IGP, Ferozepur Zone,  
Ferozepur..

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3246 of 2018**

**Present:**        **None for the Appellant**  
                     **Sh.Dalip Singh, ASI O/s SSP Fazilka for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**        The case was last heard on **05.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 25.04.2018 has sought information regarding photo copy of dak register of the office of SSP from 20.05.2017 to 20.06.2017 containing the receipt of dak and other information concerning the office of SSP Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.05.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has pleaded that the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 29.05.2018 and the appellant was asked to specify for which branch of the SSP office, he has sought information but the appellant has not specified.

The appellant is absent. The appellant is directed to specify the particular branch from where he wants information and be present on the next date of hearing otherwise the case will be decided ex-parte.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The respondent present informed the appellant has not specified the information that he wants. The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant has further informed vide email that he wants the copy of dak dispatch register containing the entry of dak sent from SP Office Abohar to SSP Fazilka.

The appellant is directed to inspect the record and specify the information of maximum 5 pages. The PIO is directed to allow the appellant to inspect the record and provide the information of maximum 5 pages.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. Copies of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post***

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh.Krishan Gopal Singla,  
Ward No-16-B/190, Sangrur Road,  
Dhuri, Distt.Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
Chairman, PSPCL,  
Lower Mall, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
Chief Engineer, Distribution, (West),  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2577 of 2018**

The case was fixed for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Since as per information from the office of DC Bhatinda, some other department is holding a video conference which will continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Copies of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post***

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan,  
H o-78/8, Park Road,  
New Mandi, Dhuri,  
Dist.Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,PSPCL,  
Distribution Circle,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Engineer,  
Distribution West Zone,PSPCL,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3373 of 2018**

The case was fixed for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Since as per information from the office of DC Bhatinda, some other department is holding a video conference which will continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Copies of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post***

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh.Prem Kumar Rattan,  
H o-78/8, Park Road,  
New Mandi, Dhuri,  
DistSangrur.

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,PSPCL,  
Distribution Circle,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Engineer,  
Distribution West Zone, PSPCL,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3383 of 2018**

The case was fixed for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Since as per information from the office of DC Bhatinda, some other department is holding a video conference which will continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bhatinda. Copies of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post***

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh. H.S Hundal,  
# 82, District Court, Sector-76,  
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
XEN, PSPCL,  
Sub Urban, Moga.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
CE, (West Zone), PSPCL,  
Bathinda

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2418 of 2018**

**Present: Sh.H.S.Hundal as Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:** The case was first heard on **29.10.2018**. The appellant was present. The Commission observed that the appellant filed first appeal on 09.02.2018 but had come to the Commission on 18.07.2018 which is time barred. The appellant in reply pleaded that since his father expired, the appeal had been delayed. The plea was admitted. The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and be present on the next date of hearing alongwith explanation for delay in providing the information.

The case was last heard on **04.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The respondent present has pleaded that the available information regarding point No.1 has been provided to the appellant and the information regarding point No.2 & 3 is not available in the record since the record of old consumer cases for which the information has been sought by the appellant, stands destroyed in the old building due to rain water.

The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the information provided regarding point 1, is for the change of ownership and he wants the old record from which the ownership was changed. The respondent replied that since the original record stands destroyed in the old building, it cannot be provided. The PIO is directed to ascertain and provide complete enquiry report for the missing record after which the Commission will adjudicate on the same.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Moga. The appellant is present at Chandigarh and informed that he has not received the information.

In the last hearing, Sh.Parmod Shukla, Asstt.Engineer was present who pleaded that since the record stands destroyed in the old building, the information cannot be provided. The PIO was directed to ascertain and submit a complete enquiry report for the missing record. The PIO is absent and has not sent any enquiry report for missing record.

The PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and be present personally on the next date of hearing.

To come up for further hearing **on 19.03.2019 at 11.00.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh.Vikram Vaid,  
# 1527, Gali No-2,  
Bagh Ramanand, Amritsar.

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appellant Case No. 3338 of 2018**

**Present:**      **Sh.Vikram Vaid as Appellant**  
                  **Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI (RTI Branch) O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana**  
                  **for the Respondent**

**Order:**        The case was last heard **on 07.01.2019**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 21.05.2018 has sought information action taken on order/letter No.12124-55/CR-LA dated 28.11.2015 of the Director Bureau of Investigation in connection with violation of Punjab and Haryana High Court order dated 06.11.2012 on PMR/MLR alongwith details of violation cases and other information concerning the office of Commissioner of Police Ludhiana. The appellant was not the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 30.07.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has informed that they have sent all the information to the appellant on 16.08.2018 except the information on points 3 & 4 which they will send before the next date of hearing. The appellant is absent and has asked for hearing through video conferencing in the office of DC Amritsar. The request is accepted and the case is adjourned.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. Sh.Pawandev Singh, SI from the office of Commissioner of Police Ludhiana is present at Chandigarh. The respondent pleaded that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information has been sent to the appellant point-wise.

As per information from the office of DC Amritsar, the appellant is present. However, since some other department is holding a video conference which is likely to continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing **on 01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, **Amritsar**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties **through registered post**. The respondent to appear before the Commission at **Chandigarh**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



# **PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

**Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

**E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh.Vikram Vaid,  
# 1527, Gali No-2,  
Bagh Ramanand, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority,**  
Commissioner of Police,  
Amritsar.

....Respondent

## **Appeal Case No. 3340 of 2018**

**Present: Sh.Vikram Vaid as Appellant**  
**Sh.Hira Singh, ASI Office of DC Amritsar for the Respondent**

**Order:**

The case was last heard **on 07.01.2019**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 29.05.2018 has sought information on 16 points regarding handling of legal medical cases reported by medical authorities on the basis of MLR during investigation by police official while attending complaints filed by patient concerning the office of Deputy Commissioner of Police Amritsar. The appellant was not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO vide letter dated 07.07.2018 after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.07.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 06.09.2018 upholding the order of the PIO.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant. The respondent has submitted a letter of the PIO dated 05.01.2019 which is taken on the file of the Commission. In the letter, the PIO has stated that they received the RTI application on 01.06.2018 through the office of Asstt.Inspector General of Police, Chandigarh-cum-PIO (Admn) and after collecting report from the concerned Police Officer, the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 07.07.2018. The appellant was informed that the information sought is not available in their record.

The PIO has further stated in the letter that after the receipt of notice of the Commission, the information was again called from the concerned police officer, Police Kotwali, Amritsar and the following reply was sent to the appellant:

1. The information regarding points 1,2,3,5,6,7,11 &12 is not available in their record;
2. The information regarding points 4, 15 & 16 is available on the public domain;
3. The information regarding points 9 & 10 are in question form;
4. The information regarding point No.13 does not relate to them.

The respondent further informed that the appellant has filed two more appeal cases No.1675 and 1678/2018 seeking similar information which are fixed for hearing before Sh.S.S.Channi, CIC.

**Appellant Case No. 3340 of 2018**

The appellant is absent and has asked for hearing through video conferencing in the office of DC Amritsar. The request is accepted and the case is adjourned.”

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. Sh.Hira Singh, ASI from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar is present at Chandigarh. The respondent pleaded that the reply has already been sent to the appellant.

As per information from the office of DC Amritsar, the appellant is present. However, since some other department is holding a video conference which is likely to continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing **on 01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, **Amritsar**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties **through registered post**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Lt Col Hardev Singh,  
# 506, Army Base Workshop,  
C/o 56 APO.

....Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o District Magistrate,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Amritsar.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3288 of 2018**

The case was fixed for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar. Since as per information from the office of DC Amritsar, some other department is holding a video conference which will continue for long, the hearing cannot take place and the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **01.04.2019 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, **Amritsar**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post***

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh. S.P Goyal,  
2-C, Sarabha Nagar, Gurudwara Road,  
Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o District & Session Judge,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o District & Session Judge,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3295 of 2018**

**Present:**       **None for the Appellant**  
                      **Sh.OM Parkash Superintendent-cum-PIO O/o District & Session Judge,**  
                      **Ludhiana for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard **on 18.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 16.04.2018 has sought information on 9 points regarding status of missing documents mentioned in the order dated 25.04.2017 in case No.ARB-16 dated 08.10.2013 (ARB/34866/2013)-Sat ParkashGoyal& others V/s HiraLalGoyal& others and category of 38 adjournments and other information concerning the office of District & Session Judge, Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 16.05.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.05.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 27.07.2018 upholding the decision of the PIO.

The respondent present reiterated the decision of the PIO and the First Appellate Authority. In the reply, the respondent has claimed the following:

- Regarding point 1, that the information being related to judicial functions and duties of the Court is not to be disclosed as per Rule 4(1) of Punjab Subordinate Courts (Right To Information) Rules 2007 and is exempt u/s 8(1)(b) of the RTI Act.
- Regarding information in paras 2,3,5,6,&9, no loss is reported till date.
- The information regarding point 4 is available on the website of the Court.
- The information regarding point 7 being voluminous is barred u/s 7(9)
- The information sought in point No.8 being query/opinion/comments of the PIO, are not required to be provided as held by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Central Board of Secondary Education & others v/s AdityaBandopadhyay& others.

The appellant is absent and vide email has asked for hearing through Video Conferencing at DC Office, Ludhiana. “

**Appeal Case No. 3295 of 2018**

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that a detailed reply has already been sent to the appellant.

In the last hearing, the appellant was absent and had asked for hearing through video conference facility at DC Office Ludhiana, which was granted. The appellant is once again absent to plead the case and has sought adjournment. I have gone through the reply of the PIO and the order of the First Appellate Authority. I see no reasons to interfere in the order of the First Appellate Authority and the case is closed.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated: 11.02.2019

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Sector-16, Madhya Marg, Near Rose Garden, Chandigarh**

Ph No- 0172-2864114 Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
[E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:E-mail-Psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)

Sh S.P Goyal,  
2-C, Sarabha Nagar, Gurudwara Road,  
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer**  
O/oRegistrar (General),  
Punjab & Haryana High Court,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Registrar, (Administration),  
Punjab & Haryana High Court,  
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3297 of 2018**

**Present:       None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Inder Singh, Joint Registrar-cum-PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The case was last heard **on 18.12.2018**. The order is reproduced hereunder:

“The appellant through RTI application dated 07.07.2018 has sought 15 points information regarding case No.CS-141 dt.24.01.1988 titled as S.P.Goyal V/s Hari Dutt Dumrain District Court Ludhiana decided on 23.12.2011. The appellant sought information that what action was taken against the concerned judge who consigned the file to record room with a delay of 24 days. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 16.07.2018 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.07.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 02.08.2018 upholding the decision of the PIO.

The respondent present reiterated the decision of the PIO and the First Appellate Authority. In the reply, the respondent has claimed the following:

- That the information regarding points 1 to 6, 8, 9 & 11 to 15 is beyond the jurisdiction and scope of duties of the PIO under the Act to comment, opine and advise on the matters. Hence, the request is not covered within the meaning of ‘information’ as defined under section 2(f) of the RTI Act. 2005.
- Point No.7 – partly information was supplied that Ms.Monika Chauhan is presently posted as Civill Judge(JrDiv) at Phagwara whereas rest of the information is declined as the information sought falls within the ambit of ‘personal information’ under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act 2005 and that such information cannot be furnished unless any nexus with larger public interest is shown and in view of the judgment dated 31.08.2017 passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in CA No.22 of 2009 titled as Canara Bank V/s C.S.Shyam and others.
- Point No.10 – Information has already been supplied vide letter dated 23.05.2018.

**Appeal Case No. 3297 of 2018**

The respondent further pleaded that since the information sought by the appellant is in the form of queries and opinion, the Act does not cast obligation upon the PIO to supply such information, after creating the same. The same issue has elaborately been dealt with by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in matter of Central Board of Secondary Education & others V/s Aditya Bandhopadhyay & Others.

The appellant is absent and vide email has asked for hearing through Video Conferencing at DC Office, Ludhiana. The case is adjourned."

**Hearing dated 11.02.2019:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conference facility available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The respondent present informed that a detailed reply has already been sent to the appellant.

In the last hearing, the appellant was absent and had asked for hearing through video conference facility at DC Office Ludhiana, which was granted. The appellant is once again absent to plead the case and has sought adjournment. I have gone through the reply of the PIO and the order of the First Appellate Authority. I see no reasons to interfere in the order of the First Appellate Authority and the case is closed.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 11.02.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**