PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Satish Chander, S/o Sh Subhas Chander, R/o H No 1555, Sec-23B, Chandigarh.

Versus

Appellant

A RHAN

Information

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Govt Sr. Sec. School, Bhucho Kalan, Distt Bathinda

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal, Govt Sr. Sec. School, Bhucho Kalan, Distt Bathinda

Appeal Case No.: 4348 of 2019

For the respondent: Sh. Rajbinder Singh (Lecturer-cum-PIO) (98888-24230)

Respondents

Present: ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.01.2020.

Sh. Satish Chander, the appellant in person.

2. Respondent, Sh. Rajbinder Singh handed over the requisite information to the appellant

during the haering.

3. After gone through the supplied information, appellant, Sh. Satish Chander states that correspondence sheets have been supplied by the respondent PIO and notings are still pending.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, another opportunity is given to the

respondent PIO to supply the notings to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively. Both

the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next

date of hearing to clear the facts of this case positively.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

Red Cr Ph: 0172-2	STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION ross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. 864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in sit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com	HIT HIT AN ARTING UNISSING
(Regd. Post)	Sh.Avtar Singh (M: 9888234460) S/o Sh Amar Singh, Village Rattan Palon Now at Prem Street, Guru Harkrishan Nagar, Malerkotla Road, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana. Versus	Appellant
(Regd. Post)	Public Information Officer O/o Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry Dept. Ludhiana	
(Regd. Post)	First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Animal Husbandry Dept. SAS Nagar.	Respondent
Present: <u>ORDER</u>	Appeal Case No.: 1899 of 201 Nobody present on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Inderjeet Kaur (Clerk).	<u>9</u>
1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 06.01.2020.		

2. Respondent, Ms. Inderjeet Kaur states that requisite information relates with the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana and letter was sent to the concerned office in this regard. She submits a reply vide letter no. 102 dated 10.02.2020 signed by the Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry, Ludhiana along with copy of letter no. 88 dated 15.01.2020 addressed to the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana to supply the duplicate copy of bill to the appellant. This reply is taken on record.

3. Neither the appellant is present for today's hearing nor did he file reply in this regard.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that

respondent PIO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana be impleaded as a necessary party in this case. <u>A</u>

copy of this order be sent to him along with RTI request through registered post for his ready

reference.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

11.02.2020Public Information Officer(Regd. Post)O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana

Encl. RTI Request.

Sh. Diwan Chand (94649-77989) S/o Sh. Daulat Ram, Street No. 4, Sant Bhag Singh Nagar, Hoshiarpur

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Superintendent, MC, Hoshiarpur

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Hoshiarpur

Appeal Case No.: 3565 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Sh. Diwan Chand, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Sunil Kumar (ATP).

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.01.2020. 1.

2. Respondent, Sh. Sunil Kumar handed over the file notings to the appellant during the

hearing along with reply signed by him dated 11.02.2020. A copy of the reply letter dated 11.02.2020

is taken on record.

After gone through the supplied information, appellant, Sh. Diwan Chand states that he is 3. satisfied with the supplied information.

4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



Appellant

Chandigarh

11.02.2020

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Smt. Rajni Gupta (7986799578) W/O Anurag Gupta, Charrian Mohalla, Raja ram Street City148028, Suman, Distt Sangrur.

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Rotary Public School,

Sunam.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur.

Appeal Case No.: 4366 of 2019

Versus

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Neetu Garg (Principal)

<u>ORDE</u>R

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Ms. Neetu Garg states that reply was already been sent to the appellant. She

submits a reply signed by her stating that requisite information relates with Ms. Lalita Anand and she

denied disclosing her information. This reply is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Ms. Rajni Gupta is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the

Commission as she was also absent on the previous hearing held on 15.01.2020.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is presumed that appellant does

not want to pursue this case further as she is filed to represent this case and has not filed

5. has not pointed out any deficiency in the supplied reply. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ Puttyh Punjab Information

Appellant

Respondents

Smt. Rajni Gupta (7986799578) W/O Anurag Gupta, Charrian Mohalla, Raja ram Street City148028, Suman, Distt Sangrur.

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Rotary Public School, Sunam.

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur.

Present:

Appeal Case No.: 4367 of 2019

Versus

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Neetu Garg (Principal)

ORDER 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Ms. Neetu Garg states that reply was already been sent to the appellant. She submits a reply signed by her stating that requisite information relates with Ms. Anu Jaura, Ms. Meenakshi Verma and Ms. Monika Garg and she denied disclosing her information. This reply is taken on record.

3. Appellant, Ms. Rajni Gupta is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission as she was also absent on the previous hearing held on 15.01.2020.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is presumed that appellant does

not want to pursue this case further as she has not pointed out any deficiency in the supplied reply.

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed**

of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Information

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Aller Punjab

Appellant

Respondents

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Kashmir Singh Thind, (9815091774) S/o Late Sh. Mukhtiar Singh, R/o H no 19, Good Earth Colony, Behind New moti palace,Patiala.

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Land Records and Rehabilitation, Jalandhar.

Complaint Case No.: 1017 of 2019

Versus

Sh. Kashmir Singh Thind, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Sukhwinder Kumar (JA).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.01.2020.

2. Complainant, Sh. Kashmir Singh Thind states that requisite information is still pending form

the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Sh. Sukhwinder Kumar states that requisite information relates with year 1946 which is not traced in the official record. He submits a reply vide letter no. 1608 dated 10.02.2020 signed by the PIO-cum-Deputy Director Land Record, Punjab stating that no record has been traced relates with Sh. Roshan Lal Handa, S/o Chaudhary Ram Rattan pertaining to Refugee Claim No. 2305. This reply is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to file

a proper reply in the shape of an affidavit duly attested before the Commission as per the RTI application of the complainant on the next date of hearing positively.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

TH HID Noissille Anformation

Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Jagir Singh, (9417877310) S/o Sh Jagir Singh, VPO Bhawanipur, Tehsil & Distt Kapurthala.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Sri Muktsar Shahib.

Public Information Officer

O/o PSEB, Adarsh Sr. Sec. School, Bhagu-152113, District Sri Muktsar Shahib.

Public Information Officer (By Name)

O/o Secretary, PSEB, SAS Nagar

Complaint Case No.: 1006 of 2019

Respondent

Present: Sh. Jagir Singh, the complainant in person. For the Respondent: Ms. Seema Kaushal (Superintendent) along with Ms. Charanpreet Kaur (Lecturer-Music)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Ms. Seema Kaushal handed over the requisite information to the complainant

during the hearing.

After gone through the supplied information, complainant, Sh. Jagir Singh states that he is 3.

satisfied with the supplied information.

As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. 4.

Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 5.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**



Appellant

Sh. Jagir Singh, (9478624083) S/o Sh. Jagat Singh, VPO Bhawanipur. Tehsil & Distt. Kapurthala.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Principal, Adarsh Sr. Sec. School, Bhagu, Distt Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, PSEB, SAS Nagar

Complaint Case No.: 1019 of 2019

Respondent

Appellant

Present: Sh. Jagir Singh, the complainant in person. For the Respondent: Ms. Seema Kaushal (Superintendent) along with Ms. Charanpreet Kaur (Lecturer-Music)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Ms. Seema Kaushal handed over the requisite information to the complainant

during the hearing.

3. After gone through the supplied information, complainant, Sh. Jagir Singh states that he is

satisfied with the supplied information.

4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020



Sh. Ravi Kumar (98557-91905)

President Bir Bajrangi Akhara Prabandhak Committee (Regd.), B/s Khaddar Bazzar, I/o Kali Mata Mandir, Nakodar, District Jalandhar -144040.

Versus

Appellant

Mormati

म मुण्ठ त

a fritte

Public Information Officer O/o Tehsildar Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o SDM Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 3864 of 2019

Respondent

Present:Sh. Ravi Kumar, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Inder Dev Singh (Tehsildar-Nakodar).

ORDER
This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.01.2020.

2. Appellant, Sh. Ravi Kumar states that no information has been supplied to him after the previous Order of the Commission dated 15.01.2020.

3. Respondent, Sh. Inder Dev Singh handed over the affidavit in original dated 07.02.2020 to

the appellant during the hearing. He submits a copy of same, which is taken on record. He also submits a reply vide letter no. 120 dated 07.02.2020 along with reply to Show Cause notice signed by him dated 11.02.2020, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that submitted reply to the Show Cause is satisfactory. As the affidavit has already been supplied to the appellant, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is

disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020



Sh. Gagandeep Chugh (79869-83438)

S/o Ram Krishan R/o Ashik Vihar, Gali No. 1, Near Paras Tailor, Fazilka -152123.

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Fazilka. Versus

Complaint Case No.: 853 of 2019

Appellant

Respondent

Present: Nobody present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.01.2020.

2. Both the parties are absent second time without any intimation to the Commission as both

the parties were also absent on the previous hearing held on 13.01.2020.

3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 13.01.2020,

respondent PIO was directed to supply the attested copy of the requisite information to the complainant along with advice to both the parties to represent this case today but no response.

4. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case

is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. J.S.Tiwana, S/o Sh. Didar Singh, # 16, Sector 3-A, Chandigarh



Versus

Public Information Officer

O/O- Tehsildar (West), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/O DC, Ludhiana.

Respondent

Appellant

Appeal Case No.: 2819 of 2019

Sh. Damanjit Virk on behalf of the appellant

For the respondent: Sh. Amanpreet Singh (Reader).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2020.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Damanjit Virk states that requisite information has been

received from the respondent PIO.

- 3. Respondent, Sh. Amanpreet Singh is present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

Sh. Gurdeep Singh, (M:9814648165)

S/o Sh. Amar Singh, House No 112, Ward No 23, Street Mistria Wali, Near Chaki Ram, Diyal Old, Moga.

Public Information Officer

O/o Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Complaint Case No.: 931 of 2019

Versus

Sh. Gurdeep Singh, the complainant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Ram Singh (ETO) (9417481377) along with Sh. Navdeep Singh (ETI).

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Ram Singh handed over requisite information to the complainant during the

hearing.

3. On this, complainant, Sh. Gurdeep Singh states that he is satisfied with the supplied

information.

4. As the information stands supplied, therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.

Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

BUT HE AND USSIL

Appellant

Respondents

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Vinod Kumar Walia

S/o Sh. Mhinder Singh, Huouse No 27, Gurdevnagar, Jalandhar. (M:9041997492)

Appellant

Respondents

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Informatic

Puttyh Punjab

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO O/o Commissioner MC Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner MC Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.: 3919 of 2019

Sh. Vinod Kumar Walia, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Rajinder Kumar (Inspector).

ORDER

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Rajinder Kumar states that requisite information has already been supplied

to the appellant.

3. Appellant, Sh. Vinod Kumar Walia denied of receiving information from the respondent PIO

and requested the Commission to supply the requisite information.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to

supply the point-wise information to the appellant as per queries raised by him in RTI application before the next date of hearing.

5. Both the parties are advised to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case positively.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **11.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Sh. Sukhpinder Singh VPO , Mudia Kalan, House No. 12, Ludhiana -141015 (M: 9888427957)

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Principal, S S S S Jalaldiwal Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal, S S S S Jalaldiwal Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 3955 of 2019

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Sh. Kulwinder Singh (Science Master).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Kulwinder Singh states that requisite information has already been sent to

the appellant dated 04.01.2020.

3. Appellant, Sh. Sukhpinder Singh is consecutively absent second time without any intimation

to the Commission.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is also observed that no

deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant till today. Therefore, no cause of action is required

in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Appellant

Respondents

ARTHO

THE HERE PUNjab

Information



Ms. Promila Sharma (97797-61292)

W/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar House No. 166, Street No. 1/B, Shalimar Nagar, Hoshiarpur-146001

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur

First Appellate Authority O/o DEO (SE), Hoshiarpur

Appeal Case No.: 3243 of 2019

Present:

Nobody on behalf of the appellant. For the respondent: Ms. Manjeet Kaur (Superintendent-cum-PIO).

ORDER 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.01.2020.

2. Appellant, Ms. Promila Sharma is not present for today's hearing but a letter dated 03.02.2020 has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 2444 dated 05.02.2020 stating that requisite information relates with point no. 3 and 4 is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent, Ms. Manjeet Kaur states that she has brought the pending information relates with point no. 3 and 4 to hand it over to the appellant but she is not present. She submits a copy of the same vide letter no. 53523 dated 10.02.2020 signed by her, which is taken on record.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that brought information is sufficient and therefore no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed** with the directions to the respondent PIO to send the brought information to the appellant through registered post under the intimation to the Commission.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Respondent

ਤਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ Putty Puny Information

Smt . Laveena Jindal Allias Laveena Bansal D/o Hukum Chand Jindal, # 321, W No 25, Mohalla, Madhopuri, Malerkotla-148023 (M:9855654796) Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE) Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

Appeal Case No.: 3923 of 2019

Present: Nobody present. <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.01.2020. 1

Appellant, Ms. Promila Sharma is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 2. 09.02.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned stating that she is unable to attend today's hearing. This email is taken on record.

3. Respondent PIO is consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing. He was also absent on the previous hearing held on 08.01.2020, which shows no regard to the Notice as well as Orders of the Commission.

In view of the above, the then respondent PIO, O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur will Show Cause 4. under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. A copy of this order to be sent to him through registered post along with RTI request.

He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

5. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 11.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) **State Information Commissioner**

Show Cause Public Information Officer (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o DEO (SE) Sangrur

Encl. RTI Request

Respondents

Appellant

O/o DPI (SE) Mohali .



Sh. Ravinder Singh S/o Harjit Singh

H. No. 26, Diamond Avenue, Aman Avenue, Majitha Road, Amritsar. (70092-86908)

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.: 3575 of 2019

Sh. Ravinder Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: Sh. Loveleen Sharma (Superintendent), Sh. Sarav Dev (Inspector) along with Sh. Sanjeev Joshi (JA).

<u>ORDER</u>

Present:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.01.2020.

2. Respondent, Sh. Loveleen Sharma handed over pending requisite information relates with

point no. 2 (comprising 1000 pages), 4 (comprising 32 pages) and point no. 5 (comprising 15 pages)

to the appellant during the hearing.

3. After receiving the pending information, appellant, Sh. Ravinder Singh states that he has no

objection to close this case.

4. In wake of above, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case

is disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 11.02.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

THE PSIC Unissider Point of the providence of th

Appellant

Respondent