

Shri Parkash Singh Chugh, R/o 1057/2-C, Opp. Dera Kalsian, Miller Ganj, Ludhiana

.....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

Remanded

First Appellate Authority O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

CC No.320 of 2020

Present:(i)Shri Parkash Singh Chugh, Complainant(ii)Shri Davinder Singh, Clerk, O/o Secretary, RTA, LudhianaOn behalf of the PIO.(After the hearing)

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 24.04.2020 vide which the Complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and the Complaint was filed in the Commission on 15.06.2020 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Parkash Singh Chugh, complainant comes present for the hearing. He states that he has been supplied information vide letter No.988 dated 05.08.2020. He further states that he is not satisfied with the information supplied and requests to direct the PIO to supply him complete information.

4. From the perusal of the record as available on the file, it is ascertained that the complainant has not availed the provision of Section 19(1) of the RTI Act by filing an appeal with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). As such, the FAA has not been able to address the grievances of the complainant.

5. It must be noted that there is an alternate and efficacious remedy of First Appeal available under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act. It appears that the complainant has failed to avail the same in the instant case.



CC No.320/2020

6. In view of the aforesaid, the instant matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority. The Commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the Complaint (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the above, **the case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy (By Regd.Post) to:

The Public Information Officer O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17C, Chandigarh.

PS; Shri Davinder Singh, Clerk O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana. He comes to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO after the complaint case was heard by the Bench. He is apprised that since the complainant is not satisfied with the reply given by the PIO, the complaint case has been remanded to the First Appellate Authority to hear the complainant and to dispose off the same.

-2-



Shri Amrik Singh, Block J/26/10, BRS Nagar, Ludhiana-141012.

..... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

> (i) (ii)

CC No.322 of 2020

....Respondents

Present:

Complainant- absent Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana/APIO On behalf of the PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 29.04.2020 vide which the Complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application and the complaint was filed in the Commission on 16.06.2020 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Amrik Singh, complainant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.

4. Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana, APIO has come present to attend the hearing. He states that the complainant has been sent reply to his RTI application vide letter No.420 dated 26.06.2020 stating therein that as per the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the matter between the Employer (Punjab Govt.) and the Govt. employee concerned deployed in their office is primarily personal one and it has got no public relevance. Thus the information sought by the complainant in respect of Ms. Kiranjit Kaur, Junior Assistant working in their office is personal information and thus, is exempted from disclosure under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act, 2005. However, copy of the order regarding the work allotted to her has been enclosed with the said reply.

5. The Bench deliberates on the case and agrees with the contention of the PIO. **The complaint case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street NO.2 Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana-141401.

.....Appellant

....Respondents

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Govt., Ludhiana.

AC No.1450 of 2020

Versus

Present:(i)Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant(ii)None on behalf of the PIO.ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 21.11.2019 vide which the Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that till date, the PIO has not supplied him any information.

4. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 21.11.2019. However, even after the lapse of more than eight months, the appellant has not been supplied any information. Moreover, the PIO has also not come present to attend the hearing. The Bench has viewed adversely the absence of the PIO as well as non-supply of information to the appellant.

5. The Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

Cont..P-2



AC No.1450/2020

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 10.08.2020 -2-



Shri RamandeepSingh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street NO.2

Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana-141401.

.....Appellant

....Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Govt., Ludhiana.

AC No.1451 of 2020

Present:(i)Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant(ii)None on behalf of the PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 21.11.2019 vide which the Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that till date, the PIO has not supplied him any information.

4. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 21.11.2019. However, even after the lapse of more than eight months, the appellant has not been supplied any information. Moreover, the PIO has also not come present to attend the hearing. The Bench has viewed adversely the absence of the PIO as well as non-supply of information to the appellant.

5. The Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.



AC No.1451/2020

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 10.08.2020 -2-

Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street No.2 Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana-141401.

rsus

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Govt., Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1497 of 2020Present:(i)Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,Appellant(ii)None on behalf of the PIO.ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 30.10.2019 vide which the Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.12.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 12.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that till date, the PIO has not supplied him any information.

4. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 21.11.2019. However, even after the lapse of more than eight months, the appellant has not been supplied any information. Moreover, the PIO has also not come present to attend the hearing. The Bench has viewed adversely the absence of the PIO as well as non-supply of information to the appellant.

5. The Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.



AC No.1497/2020

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 10.08.2020 -2-

Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and to explain as to why action should not be

taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for not supplying the information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u> Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Ward No.18, Street NO.2 Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana-141401.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

5.

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Urban Local Govt., Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1498 of 2020Present:(i)Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,Appellant(ii)None on behalf of the PIO.ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 30.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.12.2019 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 12.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that till date, the PIO has not supplied him any information.

4. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 21.11.2019. However, even after the lapse of more than eight months, the appellant has not been supplied any information. Moreover, the PIO has also not come present to attend the hearing. The Bench has viewed adversely the absence of the PIO as well as non-supply of information to the appellant.

The Public Information Officer O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Khanna, District



.....Appellant



AC No.1498/2020

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to come in person on the next date of hearing.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 10.08.2020

PSiC Poic

.....Appellant

Shri Baljeet Singh, Civil Engr. R/0 #64-H, BRS Nagar, Ludhiana-141012.

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

AC No.1452 of 2020

Versus

....Respondents

Present: (i) Shri Baljeet Singh, Appellant (ii) Ms. Kashish Garg, Building In

Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 02.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 03.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video

Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Baljeet Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that he is not satisfied with the information supplied by the PIO as the information given is vague.

4. Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. She states that reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.02.2020, as intimated to the Commission vide letter dated 29.07.2020. She further clarifies that reply to Point NO.1 & 2 has been given in totality. However, with regard to Point No.3, the application has been transferred to their Legal Branch as the this point relates to them.

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO to file an affidavit, with in Seven days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that information regarding Point No.1 & 2 of the RTI application as available in the official records in AC No.1452 of 2020 has been supplied to the appellant in totality. Cont...P-2



AC No.1452/2020

Regarding information on Point No.3, the application has been transferred to the Legal Branch of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. The statement made is correct and authentic. Nothing has been concealed therein. The original copy of the affidavit be given to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

-2-

6. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Shri Baljeet Singh, Civil Engr. R/0 #64-H, BRS Nagar, Ludhiana-141012.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

AC No.1454 of 2020

....Respondents

<u>Present:</u> (i) (ii)	Shri Baljeet Singh, Appellant Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Corporation, I on behalf of the PIO.	Ludhiana
-----------------------------	--	----------

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 12.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 10.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Baljeet Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing. He states that he has not been supplied any information by the PIO.

4. Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. She states that reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 17.02.2020, as intimated to the Commission vide letter dated 29.07.2020. She further states that regarding Point No.1, the documents asked for are not available in the Drawing Branch/Building Branch of Zone D of the Municipal Corporation. She further clarifies that information on Point No.2 & 3 has been sent to the appellant, as regards Point No.4, the appellant has been intimated that the documents asked for by him are third party information and cannot be shared.



AC No.1454/2020

5. Ms. Kashish Garg is asked to hand over a copy of the information sent to the appellant as he denies having received the same sent earlier. Thereafter, the Bench directs the PIO to file an affidavit, within seven days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that information in AC No.1454/2020 regarding Point No.1, the documents asked for are not held in the Drawing Branch/Building Branch of Zone D of the Municipal Corporation. The information on Point No.2 & 3 has been sent to the appellant in totality. As regards Point No.4, the appellant has been intimated that the documents asked for by him relate to third party and as such, cannot be supplied. The statements made are correct and authentic. Nothing has been concealed therein. The original copy of the affidavit be given to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

-2-

6. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Advocate Tejinder Singh. R/0 Vill. Bholapur PO Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1519 of 2020

 Present:
 (i)
 Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant

 (ii)
 Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana/APIO

 ORDER
 ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 17.01.2020 vide which the Complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 18.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Tejinder Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that though the information has been supplied to him, but he is not satisfied as there are some deficiencies in the information supplied.

4. Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana, APIO has come present to attend the hearing. He states that the appellant has been sent reply to his RTI application vide letter dated 19.06.2020 stating therein that regarding point No.1, the information cannot be supplied, as the case mentioned therein is sub-judice, regarding point No.2, information has been supplied, regarding Point No.3, the information has not been given as it does not exist in the format asked for by the appellant, information on Points No.4, 5, & 6 stands supplied.



AC No.1519/2020

-2-

5. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on any working day. The appellant will be allowed access to the relevant records by the PIO. The appellant will identify the documents he needs, after perusing the record made available to him. The PIO will ensure to provide the copies of documents so identified by the appellant before next date of hearing. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit before the next hearing, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1519 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for records.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020

Shri Trilochan Singh. R/0 H.No.848, M.I.G. Punjab Housing Board Colony, Jamalpur, Distt. Ludhiana-141010.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1521 of 2020

Present:(i)Shri Trilochan Singh, Appellant(ii)Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana/APIO
On behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 01.07.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 17.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Trilochan Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that no information has been supplied to him.

4. Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana, APIO has come present to attend the hearing. He hands over a copy of the reply to the appellant during the hearing. On perusing the reply, the appellant prays for some time to go through the information supplied.





AC No.1521/2020

5. The Bench, acceding to the request of the appellant, grants time to point out deficiencies, if any, to the PIO. The PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies and supply the rectified information to the appellant before the next hearing.

6. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit before the next hearing, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1521 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for records.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Shri Trilochan Singh. R/0 H.No.848, M.I.G. Punjab Housing Board Colony, Jamalpur, Distt. Ludhiana-141010.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority.

....Respondents

AC No.1522 of 2020

Present:(i)Shri Trilochan Singh, Appellant(ii)Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana/APIOOn behalf of the PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

Ludhiana.

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 02.08.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 05.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Trilochan Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that no information has been supplied to him.

4. Shri Kuldeep Kapur, Section Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ludhiana, APIO has come present to attend the hearing. He states that the appellant has been supplied information vide letter dated 17.07.2020. However, the appellant denies having received any such letter. On the asking of the Bench, APIO hands over a copy of the information to the appellant. On perusing the reply, the appellant prays for some time to go through the information supplied.



AC No.1522/2020

5. The Bench, acceding to the request of the appellant, grants time to point out deficiencies, if any, to the PIO. The PIO is directed to remove the deficiencies and supply the rectified information to the appellant before the next hearing.

6. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit before the next hearing, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1522 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for records.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, . S/o Sh.Jaipal Singh Chamber No.5014, 5th Floor, District Courts, Ludhiana-141010.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Zone-D, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Zone-D, B&R Branch, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Zone-D, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1625 of 2020

Present: (i) Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, Appellant

(ii) Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana On behalf of the PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

3.

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 23.01.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 27.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video

Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand,

appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that no information has been supplied to him.

4. Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. She states that reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 29.07.2020. She states that regarding reply to Point No.1, the information is available on the website of the



AC No.1625/2020

Municipal Corporation and the appellant can access the same on the website. As regard reply to Point No.2 & 3, she states that the information is not being maintained in the Drawing/Building Branch. It can be obtained from the B & R Branch of the Municipal Corporation.

5. Post deliberations, the PIO O/o B & R Branch of the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana is impleaded as the Respondent and he is directed to provide information to the appellant on Point No.2 & 3. The PIO of the Drawing/Building Branch is directed to call the appellant to the office of Municipal Corporation on any working day and allow access to the appellant to the relevant record. He will also help the appellant to inspect the record in the office of PIO, B&R Branch. After the appellant inspects the records in the office of PIO, Drawing/Building Branch and B&R Branch, the PIO, Drawing/Building Branch will ensure that the requisite information is provided to the appellant.

6. The PIOs concerned are also directed to file an affidavit before the next hearing, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1625 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for records.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd.Post):

The Public Information Officer, B&R Branch, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

-2-



Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, S/o Shri Jaipal Singh Chamber No.5014, 5th Floor, District Courts, Ludhiana-141010.

Versus

.....Appellant

....Respondents

Public Information Officer

O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Zone-D, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Zone-D, Ludhiana.

(i)

AC No.1626 of 2020

Present:

Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, Appellant

(ii) Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana On behalf of the PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 23.01.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 27.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 19.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video

Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Kamaljit Singh Anand, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C. He states that he has sought information regarding the action taken on his complaint made regarding the encroachments made on Govt. land, but no information has been supplied to him.

4. Ms. Kashish Garg, Building Inspector O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. She states that reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.12.2019. On seeking clarification and deliberations on the case by the Bench, Ms. Kashish Garg states that notice to the party by whom the land had been encroached upon, was issued by the Municipal Corporation. She clarifies that no further action beyond the issue of notice has been taken in the matter.

Cont..P-2



AC No.1626/2020

5. Post deliberations, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit within next seven days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the reply in AC No.1626 of 2020 has been given to the appellant that the party by whom the land was encroached upon, has been issued notice and no action thereafter, has been taken. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

-2-

7. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020



Shri Jasbir Singh Village Bolapur Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, Distt.Ludhiana-

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o State Transport Commissioner,

Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

AC No.1432 of 2020

Present:(i)Appellant - absent(ii)None on behalf of the PIO.ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 07.12.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 14.01.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 09.06.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 10.08.2020 by the Commission through Video Conferencing facility in the office of D.C. Ludhiana.

3. The case has been heard through V.C. at DC Office, Ludhiana. Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent. However, a letter dated 13.07.2020 was received from him stating that no information has been supplied to him.

4. The PIO O/o Secretary, RTA Patiala was required to attend the hearing through V.C. in office of D.C. Ludhiana, but he has not come present. Accordingly, the PIO Sh.Sham Lal, Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA Patiala is contacted through mobile phone. He is apprised of the appeal case in brief and directed to supply the information before the next hearing. The Bench directs the PIO to call the appellant to his office on any working day and allow the appellant to peruse the relevant official record. On perusing the official records, the appellant will identify the information he requires. The PIO will ensure to provide him the information so identified, before the next date of hearing.



AC No.1432/2020

5. The PIO is also directed to file an affidavit before the next hearing, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1432 of 2020, has been supplied to the appellant. Nothing has been concealed therein. The statement made is correct and authentic. Original copy of the affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for records.

-2-

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 05.10.2020 at 11.30 AM through V.C. in the office of D.C. Ludhiana. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 10.08.2020