

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Om Parkash (Retd.Suptd.) (94632-32537)

S/o Sh. Jattu Ram Damri

House No. 2163, Street No. 4-D,

Kailash Nagar, Fazilka.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o District Treasury Officer,

Ferozepur

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 498 of 2018

Date of Institution: 01.05.2018

Date of Decision: 10.06.2019

Present: Nobody on behalf of the complainant.

Sh. Paramjit Singh, SA (94642-07390) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.04.2019.
2. Sh. Paramjit Singh, SA states that requisite information and/or reply/affidavit satisfying the RTI application has already been provided to the complainant as per the directions of the Commission and submitted again a copy of said affidavit, which is taken on record.
3. Complainant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission and nor did he filed any reply in this regard. He was also absent on previous hearing dated 29.04.2019 without any intimation to the Commission.
4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that last and final opportunity was given to the complainant to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on today's hearing to clear the facts of this case, failing to which case will be decided on the available evidences but he failed to so.
5. In the view of above, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh

Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Baljeet Ram (9463296150)

S/o Sh. Gurmeet Ram, House No. 20/540,
Street No. 1, Prem Nagar, Moga.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DEO (SE), Moga.

Public Information Officer

O/o President/Secretary,
Zonal Tournament Committee,
District Moga -142001.

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Dev Samaj, Sr. Sec.
School Boys, Moga -142001.

Public Information Officer

O/o Assistant District Education
Officer, (Sports), Moga.

First Appellate Authority

O/o DPI (SE), Moga.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 888 of 2018

Date of Institution: 14.08.2018

Date of Decision: 10.06.2019

Present:

Sh. Baljeet Ram, the complainant present in person.

Sh. Bharat Bhushan, LA present along with Sh. Inderpal Singh, ADEO Sports and

Sh. Jasbir Singh, SA on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Sh. Baljeet Ram, the complainant verbally pointing out deficiencies states that incomplete requisite information has been provided.
3. Sh. Bharat Bhushan, LA states that available requisite has already been provided to the complainant and also provided a written reply along with supporting documents to the complainant during the hearing and also submitted a copy of it to the Commission which is taken on record.
4. Sh. Baljeet Ram, the complainant is satisfied with the written reply provided to him during the hearing as mentioned above.
5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been provided and the complainant is satisfied with it, therefore, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh

Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Tejinder Singh (90410-04313)
R/o Village Bholapur, PO-Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141123

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh, present on behalf of the appellant.
Nobody present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.04.2019.
2. Sh. Jasbir Singh states that requisite information has not been provided till date and the respondent PIO not even bothered to represent this case. An email in this regard has been received in the Commission from the email indiajustice@hotmail.com from appellant, which is taken on record.
3. Respondent PIO is continuously absent for six hearings dated 05.12.2018, 07.01.2019, 11.02.2019, 18.03.2019, 29.04.2019 and today without any intimation to the Commission. He neither provided information to the appellant and nor did he files any reply to the Commission. A notice to show cause in this regard was issued to the respondent on previous hearing dated 11.02.2019 and compensation of Rs 1500/- was award to the appellant on 18.03.2019. The respondent neither filed any reply to the show cause notice issued to him and nor did he compensated the appellant (as mentioned above).
4. After hearing the representative of appellant and examining the case file, the interim **compensation awarded to appellant is enhanced to Rs 2000/- (Two thousand)** from Rs 1500/- (Fifteen hundred). Also, in the backdrop of the aforementioned factual position, initiation of action under Section 20(1) Right to Information Act, 2005, against the concerned defaulting officer called for, I am, therefore, left with no other option but to decide the imposition of penalty upon **Sh. Sushant Bhatia, Secretary cum Nodal PIO O/o Municipal Corporation Amritsar, of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand only)**. The amount of penalty i.e. Rs. 15,000/- should be deducted from the salary of **Sh. Sushant Bhatia, Secretary cum Nodal PIO O/o Municipal Corporation Amritsar** and be deposited in the Government treasury under head 0070-Other Administrative Services-60-Other Services-800-Other Receipts-86-Fees under the Right to Information Act.



Appeal Case No.: 2901 of 2018

5. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Amritsar shall ensure that this amount of penalty is deducted from the salary of the above said concerned defaulting official(s) and deposited in the Treasury under the relevant head and issue instructions to his official(s) to present the Challan and/or relevant evidence(s) before the Commission on next date of hearing positively
6. The respondent PIO is also **directed to appear in person** along with directions to produce a copy of the cheque or demand draft along with copy of challan for penalty deposited on the next date of hearing along with directions to provide copy of requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant, failing to which further action under section 20(1) of RTI would be enhanced against the respondent PIO.
7. Due to continuous absence of the PIO despite of due notice(s) and directions under RTI Act, the concerned PIO O/o MC, Amritsar i.e. **Sh. Sushant Bhatia Secretary Cum Nodal PIO, MC, Amritsar** has not complied with the orders of the undersigned, therefore in exercise of powers vested in the Commission under section 18(3) of RTI Act read with relevant provisions of Civil Procedure Code, **I here by direct that Bail-able Warrant be issued for appearance of Sh. Sushant Bhatia Secretary Cum Nodal PIO, MC, Amritsar** to produce the record and give evidences pertaining to RTI queries. A copy of this order shall be endorsed to The Commissioner of Police, Amritsar for execution of the bail-able warrant to Sh. Sushant Bhatia.
8. This case is adjourned to further hearing on **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.**
9. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Sh. Sushant Bhatia
(Regd. Post) Secretary, cum Nodal PIO
MC, Amritsar

(Regd. Post) The Commissioner,
MC, Amritsar



BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

**Before DR. PAWAN KUMAR SINGLA, STATE INFORMATION
COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB at CHANDIGARH**

In Appeal Case 2901 of 2018

Sh. Tejinder Singh Vs. PIO O/o MC, Amritsar

Under Section 18 (3) of RTI Act 2005

Next Hearing: 24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM

To,

**The Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.**

Whereas the Sh. Sushant Bhatia, Secretary Cum Nodal PIO, MC, Amritsar, has failed to appear before the undersigned despite of issuance of notice/summon in the above mentioned appeal case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bail-able Warrant on Sh. Sushant Bhatia, Secretary Cum Nodal PIO, MC, Amritsar to appear before the undersigned at Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh, on 24.07.2019 at 11.00 A.M. to submit compliance report in respect of the orders of the Commission.

**Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019**

**(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Simranjit Singh S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh
93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar (98760-76662).

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority
O/o Addl. Commissioner,
MC, Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3101 of 2018

Date of Institution: 12.09.2018

Date of Decision 10.06.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Geetansh, JE is present on the behalf of respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Sh. Geetansh, JE states that pending that requisite information has already been provided to the appellant on previous hearing dated 24.04.2019.
3. The appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.
4. On previous hearing dated 24.04.2019, the appellant Sh. Simranjit Singh requested the Commission to go through the pending information provided to him and a last opportunity was given to him to point out deficiencies if any in the information provided to him along with an advice to represent this case to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis but he failed to do so.
5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is found that the appellant has not pointed out any deficiencies as directed and therefore no further action is required in this case and hence the case is decided on merit basis as **disposed of and closed**.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Date: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-63290)
(Anti Corruption Wing)
Samrala, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3267 of 2018

Present: Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, the appellant in person.
Sh. Ajit Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.04.2019.
2. A covering letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 11033 dated 04.06.2019 signed by Superintendent cum PIO along with supporting documents mentioning therein that the appellant has repeatedly filed RTI application to sought information which relates directly or indirectly with Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Principal, GSSS Alamgir, Ludhiana and also attached the Judgments of Hon'able CIC Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in which respondent PIOs are directed need not answer any RTI question to Sh. Darshan Singh Kang for the information relating directly or indirectly to the respondent. This letter along with supporting documents is considered and taken on record.
3. Sh. Darshan Singh Kang states that incomplete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO. He pointed out deficiencies verbally in requisite information point wise to the respondent.
4. Sh. Ajit Singh, SA states that information that relates with point no. 1 will be provided to the appellant and rest all the available requisite information has been provided to the appellant.
5. On this, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang objected that information provided is incomplete.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence **the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to: **The Deputy Registrar**
(Along with case file)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Simranjit Singh S/o Sh. Jagdish Singh
93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar (98760-76662).

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner,
Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Police Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3394 of 2018

Date of Institution: 09.10.2018

Date of Decision 10.06.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Avtaar Singh, SI is present along with Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI (88727-00273) on the behalf of respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. The appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.
3. Sh. Balwinder Singh, ASI states that the appellant has made false statement that High Court has ordered to preserve the requisite information for which he submitted evidence(s) mentioning therein that the order was passed by Hon'ble Riffi Bhatti, JMIC Barnala (UID No. PB0563) and not Hon'ble High Court and further it is added that the requisite information that relates with said record is not available in their office record and a written report in this regard has already been submitted to the Commission (copy of said order and written reply by PIO cum DCP Jalandhar are as follows):

1 of 1

CHI No.883/2013

State Vs Simranjeet Singh

Present; Ld APP for State
Accused on bail with Sh Chandan Kaushal Advocate

Case RBT. It be registered. DW-2 HC Ashok Kumar has come present and examined completely. During the course of examination of DW-2 HC Ashok Kumar who has produced before the court rapat roznamcha. Ld. APP for State has drawn the attention of the court to the register brought by DW-2 which is reflected that two leaves of register were torned. The register was returned back to the concerned witness. However prosecution/complainant at the liberty to call the same at the time of final arguments. Case is adjourned to 28.05.2018 for cross-examination of DW-1. Ahlmad is directed to issue summon to criminal ahlmad of SDJM Samrala to produce the case file positively on the date fixed.

(Riffi Bhatti)
JMIC/Samrala
(UID No.PB0563)

Dated:-01.05.2018
Name of Steno:Sonia
Next Date:28.05.2018
Purpose:



Appeal Case No.: 3394 of 2018
Date of Institution: 09.10.2018
Date of Decision 10.06.2019

<p>ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਕਮ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਜਲੰਧਰ।</p> <p>ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ</p> <p>Dr. Pawan kumar singla, State Information Commission, Punjab, Red Cross Building Madhya Marg, Sector 16 - Chandigarh.</p> <p>ਨੰਬਰ:-109-11 ਆਰ ਟੀ ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 6/6/19</p> <p>ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 3394/2018 ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸਿਮਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ 93/2 ਅਦਰਸ ਨਗਰ ਜਲੰਧਰ।</p> <p>ਯਾਦ ਪੱਤਰ</p> <p>ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 17314 ਅਤੇ 17315/2019 ਮਿਤੀ 24/04/2019 ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ।</p> <p>ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਅੰਕਿਤ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ 24/04/2019 ਨੂੰ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਉਠਾਏ ਨੁਕਤਿਆਂ ਦੀ ਪਾਲਣਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਮੁੱਖ ਅਫਸਰ ਬਾਣਾ ਬਸਤੀ ਖੇਲ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਹਾਸਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਮੁਤਾਬਿਕ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦੇ ਐਸ ਆਈ ਸਰਦਾਰੀ ਲਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ 1636 /ਜਲੰਧਰ MHC / ਕੇਅਰ ਟੇਕਰ ਬਾਣਾ ਬਸਤੀ ਬਾਵਾ ਖੇਲ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਨਾਲ ਐਚ ਸੀ ਅਸ਼ੋਕ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਨੰਬਰ 87 ਸਹਾਇਕ ਮੁਣਸ਼ੀ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਸੀ। ਮਿਤੀ 01/05/2018 ਨੂੰ ਐਚ ਸੀ ਅਸ਼ੋਕ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਮਿਤੀ 31/07/2013 ਦਾ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਉਸ (ਏ ਐਸ ਆਈ ਸਰਦਾਰੀ ਲਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ 1636 /ਜਲੰਧਰ MHC / ਕੇਅਰ ਟੇਕਰ ਬਾਣਾ ਬਸਤੀ ਬਾਵਾ ਖੇਲ ਜਲੰਧਰ) ਪਾਸੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਰਿਫੀ ਭੱਟੀ ਜੇ. ਐਮ. ਆਈ. ਸੀ. ਸਮਰਾਲਾ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਨੇ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਪੇਸ਼ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਕਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਮਿਤੀ 01/05/2018 ਨੂੰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਰਪਟ ਨੰਬਰ 35 ਵਾਪਸੀ ਪਰ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਲਿਆ ਕੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ (ਏ ਐਸ ਆਈ ਸਰਦਾਰੀ ਲਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ 1636 /ਜਲੰਧਰ MHC / ਕੇਅਰ ਟੇਕਰ ਬਾਣਾ ਬਸਤੀ ਬਾਵਾ ਖੇਲ ਜਲੰਧਰ) ਹਵਾਲੇ ਕਰ ਦਿਤਾ ਸੀ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਕੋਰਟ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਆਡਰ (ਏ ਐਸ ਆਈ ਸਰਦਾਰੀ ਲਾਲ ਨੰਬਰ 1636 /ਜਲੰਧਰ MHC / ਕੇਅਰ ਟੇਕਰ ਬਾਣਾ ਬਸਤੀ ਬਾਵਾ ਖੇਲ ਜਲੰਧਰ) ਦੇ ਪੇਸ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਨਾਂ ਹੀ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 01/05/2018 ਨੂੰ ਉਕਤ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਸੇਫ ਕਸਟੋਡੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖਣ ਬਾਰੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਸੀ। ਜੋ ਰੋਜਨਾਮਚਾ ਅਤੇ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਰੋਸਟਰ ਮਿਤੀ 31/07/2013 ਦਾ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਕਾਫੀ ਪੁਰਾਣਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਉੱਚ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਮੁਤਾਬਿਕ ਨਸ਼ਟ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 2080 /ਆਰ ਟੀ ਆਈ ਮਿਤੀ 28/09/2018 ਸਬੰਧੀ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ</p>	<p>ਮੌਜੂਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਅਫਸਰ ਗਗਨਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਟਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ ਮਿਤੀ 29/09/2018 ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਬਾਣਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਪੰਜਾਬ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਰੂਲਜ ਦੇ ਫਿਕਰਾ ਨੰਬਰ 22.51 ਤਹਿਤ ਦੈਨਿਕ ਡਾਇਰੀਆ ਆਖਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਇੰਦਰਾਜ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਦੋ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਦੇ ਮਗਰੋਂ ਨਸ਼ਟ ਕਰਨਾ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਰੂਲਜ ਮੁਤਾਬਿਕ ਨਸ਼ਟ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ।</p> <p>ਇੱਥੇ ਇਹ ਗੱਲ ਵੀ ਵਰਨਣ ਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਸਿਮਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ 93/2 ਅਦਰਸ ਨਗਰ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 24/04/2019 ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਪਾਸ ਗਲਤ ਬਿਆਨ ਵਾਜੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨੂੰ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਅਤੇ ਹਰਿਆਣਾ ਹਾਈਕੋਰਟ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸੇਫ ਕਸਟੋਡੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖਣ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਪਾਸ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਪਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਅਧੀਨ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਜੋ ਹੁਕਮ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਸ਼੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਰਿਫੀ ਭੱਟੀ ਜੇ. ਐਮ. ਆਈ. ਸੀ. ਸਮਰਾਲਾ ਜਿਲਾ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਬਾਣਾ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਮਾਣਯੋਗ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਦਿਤੀ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।</p> <p>ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਹਾਲਾਤਾਂ ਦੇ ਮੱਧੇ ਨਜਰ ਜੇਕਰ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨ ਹੋਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਭੱਥਾਂ ਦੀ ਰੋਸ਼ਨੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।</p> <p style="text-align: right;">ਡਿਪਟੀ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨਰ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਕਮ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਜਲੰਧਰ</p>
---	--

- After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, on the grounds of above details, no further action is required in this case and hence the case is decided on merit basis as **disposed of and closed.**
- Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Date: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Darshan Singh Kang (94643-63290)
(Anti Corruption Wing)
Samrala, Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Principal Secretary Education (Schools),
Punjab Govt., Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3399 of 2018

Present: Sh. Darshan Singh Kang, the appellant in person.
Sh. Ajit Singh, SA present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 29.04.2019.
2. A covering letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 11033 dated 04.06.2019 signed by Superintendent cum PIO along with supporting documents mentioning therein that the appellant has repeatedly filed RTI application to sought information which relates directly or indirectly with Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Principal, GSSS Alamgir, Ludhiana and also attached the Judgments of Hon'able CIC Sh. Yashvir Mahajan in which respondent PIOs are directed need not answer any RTI question to Sh. Darshan Singh Kang for the information relating directly or indirectly to the respondent. This letter along with supporting documents is considered and taken on record.
3. Sh. Darshan Singh Kang states that incomplete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO. He pointed out deficiencies verbally in requisite information point wise to the respondent.
4. Sh. Ajit Singh, SA states that information that all the available requisite information has been provided to the appellant.
5. On this, Sh. Darshan Singh Kang objected that information provided is incomplete stating record after enquiry report has not been provided.
6. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents on record, it is observed that an important/legal issue is involved in the case and hence **the case file is sent to the Deputy Registrar to place it before the Hon'ble CIC to constitute a Full/Larger Bench in this case to decide the issue.**
7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to: **The Deputy Registrar**
(Along with case file)

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Advocate, Rakesh Kumar Gupta
8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur
Distt. Ludhiana-141101. **(98149-24851)**

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Gau Sewa Commission,
6th Floor, Tower 5, Van Bhawan,
Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellate Authority
O/o Chairman,
Punjab State Gau Sewa Commission,
6th Floor, Tower 5, Van Bhawan,
Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3456 of 2018
Date of Institution: 09.10.2018
Date of Decision: 10.06.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of appellant.
Dr. Harminder Singh Sekhon, PIO cum CEO (Gau Sewa Commission, Punjab)
along with Dr. Sarbdeep Singh, Deputy CEO (752909000) present as respondent
PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Dr. Harminder Singh Sekhon, PIO cum CEO states and submits a copy of affidavit as per directions of the Commission. He further added that affidavit in original has been sent to the appellant through registered post and assures the Commission that nothing is left pending to be supplied. This copy of affidavit is taken on record.
3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
4. On the assurance of the respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that requisite information and/or written reply/affidavit satisfying the RTI application has been provided, therefore the case is decided on merit basis and, no further action is required in this case and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)

C/o Civil Vet Hospital,
Village Humayupur Tasimbli,
Tehsil Derabassi
District-SAS Nagar-140501

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director,
Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,
Livestock Complex, Sector-68,
SAS Nagar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director,
Dept. of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,
Livestock Complex, Sector-68, SAS Nagar



Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3467 of 2018

Present: Sh. Rajesh Gupta the appellant present in person.
Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO present as respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. An email has been received in the Commission from email id guptavet@gmail.com on 05.06.2019 mentioning therein that information of two points (i.e. 9 & 10) has been received and rest information is pending / incomplete. Copy of email is as follows:





Appeal Case No.: 3467 of 2018

3. Sh. Rajesh Gupta the appellant states as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order.
4. Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO handed over the copy of pending information to the appellant during the hearing.
5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is advised to **point out deficiencies specifically** after going through the information provided during the hearing as mentioned above in point no. 4, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
6. The case is adjourned for further hearing dated **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajesh Gupta (94639-90768)

C/o Civil Vet Hospital,
Village Humayupur Tasimbali,
Tehsil Derabassi, District SAS Nagar-140501

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3852 of 2018

Present: Sh. Rajesh Gupta the appellant present in person.
Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO present as respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. A letter has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 9749 dated 14.05.2019 APIO cum Superintendent Grade 1, mentioning therein that requisite information that relates with point 1 to 4 is third party information which is not covered under section 8(1) (j) of RTI. It is also added that point no. 5 of RTI application is in questioning form and there is no provision in RTI Act to reply questions. This letter is taken on record.
3. Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO stated as mentioned above in point no. 2 of this order.
4. Sh. Rajesh Gupta the appellant states that point no. 5, copy of rules or procedure, if any is requisite and no information regarding point no. 6 is provided.
5. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, the respondent PIO is directed to send letter(s) to the third parties to retrieve their consent with regards to point no. 4 of RTI information; he/she is further directed to provide copy of rules or procedure and/or to file a proper written reply regarding copy of rules or procedure, if not available, satisfying the RTI application, if any; regarding point no. 6 either provide information and/or file an affidavit satisfying the RTI application failing to action under section 20 (i) of RTI would be initiated against the respondent PIO.
6. The case is adjourned for further hearing dated **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
7. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Manjit Singh (76962-82813)

S/o Sh. Sohan Singh
#388/3, Bahera Road, Patiala

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Language Department,
Shera Wala Gate, Patiala

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Language Department,
Shera Wala Gate, Patiala

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3914 of 2018

Date of Institution: 15.11.2018

Date of Decision: 10.06.2019

Present: Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant present in person.
Sh. Swaroop Singh, JA (99886-27880) present on the behalf of respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Sh. Swaroop Singh, JA states that pending information that relates with bills has been sent for verification and assures the appellant and the Commission will be provided to appellant once they are returned back to their office after verification from concerned department.
3. Sh. Manjit Singh, the appellant shows positive consent to the assurance of respondent.
4. On going through the documents placed on record that available requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been provided to the appellant and also on mutual consent of both the parties after the assurance of respondent that pending requisite information that relates with bills will be provided to the appellant once they are returned in their office after verification, I am of the view that no further action is required in this case at this instant and hence this instant appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.
5. The appellant has also the liberty to approach the Commission if the respondent fails to provide the pending information regarding bills after said verification as mentioned above.
6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Major Singh (99888-51513)

S/o Sh. Gian Chand, H. No. 23,
St. No. 2, Aman Avenue,
Majitha Road, Amritsar.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Assistant Commissioner,
MC, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3942 of 2018

Present: Sh. Major Singh, the appellant present in person.
Sh. Prince JA (97799-56969), PIO present as respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Sh. Prince JA states that reply regarding point no.1 is given that Matta number (Resolution No.) and date of resolution has not been mentioned therefore requisite information cannot be provided.
3. Sh. Major Singh, the appellant states that no information has been provided till date.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the documents placed on record, it is found that Sh. Prince, JA is not aware of, about the facts of this case and I am of the view that due to evasive attitude and irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO concerned, the appellant has suffered a lot of detriments for not getting the requisite information and/or getting it in time, therefore, I am of the view that interim compensation be awarded to the appellant, **Sh. Major Singh**.
5. Hence, I award an interim compensation of Rs. 1500/- (Fifteen Hundred only) to the appellant. The interim compensation amount must be paid by the respondent PIO concerned through cheque or demand draft in favor of **Major Singh** from the account of Public Authority and not from the individual's account.
6. The respondent PIO is also given last opportunity along with directions to provide requisite information and/or written reply to the appellant by the next date of hearing along with directions to represent this case in person, failing to which further action under section 20(i) of RTI would be enhanced against the respondent PIO.
7. The case is adjourned for further hearing dated **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
8. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. R.P. Gupta (94639-90768)
#738/41-A, Chandigarh.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab,
Department of Animal Husbandry, Punjab,
Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab
Mini Secretariat, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3995 of 2018

Present: Sh. Rajesh Gupta the present on the behalf of appellant.
Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO present as respondent.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Sh. Rajesh Gupta the present on the behalf of appellant is not considered as representative of appellant as he is not having the authority letter of appellant to represent this case. He also represented this case on previous hearing dated 24.04.2019 without presenting any authority letter to the Commission on that day therefore his representation in this case for previous hearing dated 24.04.2019 is considered as null and void. In view of this and after examining the case file, the appellant is considered to be absent continuously for all the three hearings in this case. On this, Sh. Rajesh Gupta presents an authority letter from appellant in this case dated 24.04.2019 which is not considered at this instant.
3. Dr. K.P.S. Pasricha, PIO is present to represent this case.
4. In the view of above and going through the documents placed on record, appellant is given last opportunity to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on next date of hearing with valid authorization, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
5. The case is adjourned for further hearing dated **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM.**
6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Simranjit Singh (9876076662)

S/o S. Jagdish Singh
93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Building Branch,
Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o MC, Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3967 of 2018

Present: Nobody present.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
3. Respondent PIO is absent for today's hearing but a letter has been received in the Commission from PIO, ATP Department Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar, vide diary no. 11542 dated 14.06.2019 mentioning therein that regarding order dated 24.04.2019 of the Commission it is acknowledged that COLLASPE OF A FACTORY SHITAL FIBER is under jurisdiction/custody of Focal Point area, and this area is not transferred to the jurisdiction/custody Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar and therefore Nagar Nigam, Jalandhar has no concern with it. This letter is considered and taken on record.
4. After going through the documents placed on record, the appellant is given last opportunity with an advice to clear the facts of this case, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
5. The case is adjourned for further hearing dated **24.07.2019 at 11.00 AM**.
6. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Simranjit Singh (9876076662)

S/o S. Jagdish Singh
93/2, Adarsh Nagar, Jalandhar
Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3968 of 2018

Date of Institution: 27.11.2018

Date of Decision: 10.06.2019

Present: Nobody present on the behalf of the appellant.
Ms. Madhu Bala, Building Inspector (99880-10428) present on the behalf of
respondent PIO.

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 24.04.2019.
2. Ms. Madhu Bala, Building Inspector states and submits a letter signed by Superintendent, Workshop Branch, Nagar Ngam, Jalandhar addressed to the appellant mentioning therein that as per directions of the Commission the appellant failed to visit respondent's office on any working day to inspect and specify the rehired record till 06.06.2019 therefore appellant has been sent photocopies of log books of vehicle no. PB08-AW-4086, PB08-AC-0790 & PB08-AE-0051. She also assured that nothing is left pending to be supplied as per RTI application and Orders of Commission. This letter is considered and taken on record.
3. Appellant is absent for today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
4. On the assurance of respondent and going through the documents placed on record, it is assumed that available and feasible requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application has been supplied to the appellant and therefore the instant appeal case is decided on merit basis to be **disposed of and closed**.
5. Announced in the Court; copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.06.2019

Sd/-
(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner