Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Meenakshi Arora, (M: 91171-82256), W/o Shri Chander Mohan Arora, R/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Complaint Case No. 141 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Smt. Meenakshi Arora, complainant/applicant is absent.

None present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station,

Division No.5, Ludhiana

#### ORDER

The present Complaint Case No.141 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 26.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The complainant/applicant though is absent, but Advocate Tejinder Singh has sent a mail on behalf of the complainant/applicant that he is unable to appear before the Commission for today and he further submitted in the mail that misleading information has been supplied to the complainant/applicant by the PIO.

The complainant/applicant is directed that in case she is not satisfied with the information, which was provided to her by the PIO, then she should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days and in case the complainant/applicant files the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, then the PIO should send the remaining information regarding the deficiencies to the complainant/applicant through registered post within 5 days from the receipt of list of deficiencies.

Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana has not come present today neither, he has sent any intimation to the Commission. So, a Bailable warrant of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana is issued through Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana for his presence before the Commission on

# Complaint Case No. 141 of 2019

09.07.2019 and the PIO should file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Dated: 10.06.2019

## BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

BEFORE SHRI AVTAR SINGH KALER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,
PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH.

IN THE CASE TITLED AS Smt. Meenakshi Arora, (M: 91171-82256), W/o Shri Chander Mohan Arora, R/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001. Vs
Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

### Complaint Case No. 141 of 2019

### UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

Next Date of hearing 09.07.2019

То

The Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

Whereas Inpsector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notices/summon in the above mentioned case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bailable Warrant on Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh on 09.07.2019 to produce the record and give evidence pertaining to the RTI queries dated 24.12.2018

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Chander Mohan Arora, (M: 94171-82256), R/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

## Complaint Case No. 142 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Chander Mohan Arora, complainant/applicant is absent.

None present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station,

Division No.5, Ludhiana

#### ORDER

The present Complaint Case No.142 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 26.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The complainant/applicant though is absent, but Advocate Tejinder Singh has sent a mail on behalf of the complainant/applicant that he is unable to appear before the Commission for today and he further submitted in the mail that misleading information has been supplied to the complainant/applicant by the PIO.

The complainant/applicant is directed that in case he is not satisfied with the information, which was provided to him by the PIO, then he should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days and in case the complainant/applicant files the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, then the PIO should send the remaining information regarding the deficiencies to the complainant/applicant through registered post within 5 days from the receipt of list of deficiencies.

Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana has not come present today neither, he has sent any intimation to the Commission. So, a Bailable warrant of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana is issued through Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana for his presence before the Commission on

# Complaint Case No. 142 of 2019

09.07.2019 and the PIO should file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Dated: 10.06.2019

## BAILABLE WARRANT OF PRODUCTION

BEFORE SHRI AVTAR SINGH KALER, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER,
PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH.

IN THE CASE TITLED AS Shri Chander Mohan Arora, (M: 91171-82256), R/o 2983, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

### Complaint Case No. 142 of 2019

### UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005.

Next Date of hearing 09.07.2019

То

The Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana.

Whereas Inpsector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana has failed to appear before the State Information Commissioner despite the issuance of notices/summon in the above mentioned case. Therefore, you are hereby directed to serve this Bailable Warrant on Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana to appear before the State Information Commissioner, Punjab at Red Cross Bhawan, Sector 16, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh on 09.07.2019 to produce the record and give evidence pertaining to the RTI queries dated 24.12.2018

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rajesh Aggarwal, (M: 94179-15100), S/o Shri Ram Roop, Social Worker & RTI Activist, Hanjhra Marg, Indra Marg, Sunam, Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Department, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt. Department, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

#### **Appeal Case No. 3263 of 2016**

Vs

Present:- Shri Rajesh Aggarwal, appellant is absent.

None is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Principal Secretary, Local Govt.

Department, Chandigarh.

#### ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Appeal Case no. 3263 of 2016 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

Today none has come present on behalf of both the parties.

The PIO as well as the appellant are directed that they should personally come present before the Commission on the next date of hearing. The PIO is further directed to file reply to the appeal filed by the appellant on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Manjit Singh, (M: 76962-82813), S/o Shri Sohan Singh, H.No. 388/3, Dhandholian Road, Patiala.

..... Appellant

۷s

Public Information Officer, O/o DGP, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DGP, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

Dated: 10.06.2019

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 4162 of 2018

Present:- Shri Manjit Singh, appellant is present.

ASI Ajeet-858/PTL is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-SSP, Patiala.

ORDER

The present Appeal Case no. 4162 of 2018 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant filed RTI application dated 17.09.2018 and sought information regarding the Use Certificate submitted by the Committee for the utilization of the funds amounting to Rs.20,50,000/- for the renovation of Police Station, Patran, Distt. Patiala.

ASI Ajeet-858/PTL has come present today and he submitted a copy of letter No.984 dated 04.06.2019, copy of letter No. 759 dated 22.04.2019, copy of letter No.3774 dated 16.03.2019 along with copy of Use Certificate. The attested copies of all the documents have been handed over to the appellant by the respondent today before the Commission and the said copies of information had already been sent to the appellant through registered post also.

I have gone through the Use Certificate, but it is not as per the rules. It is simply mentioned in the Use Certificate that where the money has been spent, but what amount of money has been spent on a particular item has not been mentioned. So, the PIO is directed that proper Use Certificate be sent to appellant through registered post within 10 days.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Love Kumar Dua, (M: 98152-55646), S/o Late Shri Megh Raj Dua, H.No.125, Model Town, Samrala Road, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Addl. Deputy Commissioner (General), Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

..... Appellant

## Appeal Case No. 1973 of 2018

Present:- Shri Love Kumar Dua, appellant is present.

Shri Gagandeep Singh, Clerk, Estt. Branch is present on behalf of Smt. Poonam

Preet Kaur, PIO O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana

#### ORDFR

The present Appeal Case no. 1973 of 2018 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 11.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant filed RTI application dated 22.01.2018 and he sought information that in the year 1988 who was the Deputy Commissioner at Ludhiana and further sought information that at that time who was posted as Tehsildar, Ludhiana (East) and he further sought information regarding floods in the year 1988, the report prepared by the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana and Tehsildar (East) Ludhiana be provided to him.

The PIO has sent the information through letter no. 875 dated 19.03.2019 that Shri Shavinder Singh Brar, IAS was posted as Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana in the year 1988 and through letter no. 1995 dated 15.04.2019 it is submitted by the PIO-cum-Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana that relevant record regarding the name of Tehsildar, Ludhiana (East) could not be traced out from the office of the PIO, so the PIO is unable to provide the name of Tehsildar, Ludhiana (East), who was posted in the year 1988. No information regarding point no. 3 of the RTI application was provided to the appellant by the PIO.

Appeal Case No. 1973 of 2018

So the PIO is directed that the information sought by the appellant through point

no. 3 of the RTI application be sent to him through registered post within 7 days. In case the

information is not available or traceable in the office of the PIO, then the PIO should submit a duly attested affidavit that this information is not available or traceable in the office of the PIO.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 09.07.2019 at

11:00 A.M.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri S.P. Goyal, (M: 98202-39110), 2-C, Sarabha Nagar, Gurudwara Road, Ludhiana-141001.

..... Complainant

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer.

O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

## Complaint Case No. 84 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri S.P. Goyal, Complainant/applicant is absent.

H.C. Harjinder Pal No. 1020/LDH is present on behalf of Inspector Goldy

Birdi, PIO-cum-SHO, P.S. Division No. 5, Ludhiana.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

#### ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Complaint Case no. 84 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The complainant/applicant is absent however, he sent a mail which is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 22.10.2018 filed by the complainant/applicant. The complainant/applicant asked whimsical queries from the PIO.

The complainant/applicant as well as the PIO both are absent. So, they are directed that they should personally come present before the Commission on the next date of hearing. The PIO is directed that he should file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant on the next date of hearing. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, strict action will be taken against the PIO.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00 A.M.** 

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 375 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Er. Arun Kumar Garg, appellant is absent.

ASI Kuldeep Singh No. 966 is present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-

Cum-SHO, Police Station, Divn.No. 5, Ludhiana.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

### ORDER

The present Appeal Case no. 375 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant is absent, however, he sent a mail and submitted in the mail that wrong information/reply was provided to him, but he has not pointed out any deficiencies in the information which was sent to him by the PIO.

ASI Kuldeep Singh, who is present on behalf of the PIO, presented a copy of letter no. 25 dated 13.02.2019 through which the information was sent to the appellant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.09.2018 and also gone through the reply/information sent by the PIO to the appellant. I have discussed the RTI application and the reply/information with the respondent. The PIO has replied each para of the RTI application and sent the information to the appellant. The appellant sent a mail and he admitted that he has received the information which was sent to him by the PIO. He further submitted in the mail that

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 375 of 2019

wrong information/reply was sent to him, but he has not pointed out any deficiencies in the

information to the notice of the PIO and neither, he sent any mode of deficiencies to the notice

of the Commission that this part of information has not been provided to him by the PIO. On the

other hand, the PIO has sent the information to the appellant through letter no. 25 dated

13.02.2019. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** 

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.** 

Dated: 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 376 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Er. Arun Kumar Garg, appellant is absent.

ASI Kuldeep Singh No. 966 is present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-

Cum-SHO, Police Station, Divn.No. 5, Ludhiana.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

### ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Appeal Case no. 376 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant is absent toady, but he sent a mail that incomplete information provided to him is incomplete.

The PIO is directed that complete information as was sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 10.09.2018 be sent to him through registered post within 7 days.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

..... Appellant

#### Appeal Case No. 377 of 2019

Present:- Er. Arun Kumar Garg, appellant is absent.

ASI Kuldeep Singh No. 966 is present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-

Cum-SHO, Police Station, Divn.No. 5, Ludhiana.

Sub Inspector Pawandey, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Appeal Case no. 377 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant is absent today, however, he sent a mail and pointed out some deficiencies. He submitted that misleading information was given to him by the PIO and he pointed out deficiencies regarding point no. 3 to 8 and 10 of the RTI application. It means that the appellant is satisfied with the information regarding remaining point nos. i.e. 1, 2 and 9 of the RTI application.

So, the PIO is directed that complete information regarding point nos. 3 to 8 and 10 of the RTI application be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **09.07.2019** at **11:00** A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 378 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Er. Arun Kumar Garg, appellant is absent.

ASI Om Parkash No. 459/LDH is present on behalf of Inspector Goldy Birdi, PIO-

Cum-SHO, Police Station, Divn.No. 5, Ludhiana.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner Of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

### ORDER

The present Appeal Case no. 378 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant filed RTI application dated 10.09.2018 and sought some information regarding FIR No. 50 dated 10.04.2016. ASI Om Parkash is present today on behalf of the PIO. I have discussed the RTI application with the respondent and he submitted that information sought by the appellant was sent to him thorough registered post on 06.03.2019.

The appellant though is absent today, but he sent a mail and submitted that incomplete/incorrect information was sent to him by the PIO. He further submitted in the mail that no other document pertaining to second part of the information is given except copy of FIR and cancellation report of FIR and he further submitted copy of inquiry report and present status report has not been sent to him. I have discussed the matter with ASI Om Parkash and he submitted before the Bench that copy of FIR No. 50 along with inquiry report and cancellation

Contd..p2..

### Appeal Case No. 378 of 2019

report was sent to the appellant through registered post on 06.03.2019. The FIR No. 50 dated 10.04.2016 was registered at Police Station Sadar, Ludhiana. The inquiry was conducted by SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Ludhiana and he recommended the cancellation of the FIR.

As the PIO has sent the copy of FIR, copy of inquiry report and copy of cancellation report to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** 

Dated: 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

..... Appellant

### Appeal Case No. 380 of 2019

Present:- Er. Arun Kumar Garg, appellant is absent.

Sub Inspector Pawandey, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

ORDFR

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Appeal Case no. 380 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant though is absent today, but he sent a mail which is taken in the file of the Commission. Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell is present today and he submitted a copy of letter dated 08.06.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. He requested for some time to provide the information to the appellant.

The PIO is directed that information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 29.08.2018 be sent to him through registered post before the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Aditi Garg, D/o Shri Arun Garg, H.No.40-41, Central Town, Village Dad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o SDM, Ludhiana (East), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o SDM, Ludhiana (East), Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 381 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Ms. Aditi Garg, appellant is absent.

None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o SDM, Ludhiana (East).

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

The present Appeal Case no. 381 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant though is absent today, but she has sent a mail that incomplete information has been received, but she has not brought any deficiencies that how the information is incomplete.

So, the appellant is directed that in case she is not satisfied with the information provided to her by the PIO, then she should submit the list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO in writing within 7 days and in case the appellant files list of deficiencies to the notice of the PIO, then the PIO should send the remaining information to the appellant through registered post within 5 days from the receipt of list of deficiencies.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 10.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Satnam Singh Dhawan, (M: 95015-13137), H.No. 7, GK Vihar, Manakwal, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 390 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh Dhawan, appellant is absent.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell, O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana is present.

#### ORDER

The present Appeal Case no. 390 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

Through the appellant is absent today, but he sent a mail that he is unable to appear before the Commission for today and requested for adjournment.

I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench. The appellant was directed to send his observations regarding the deficiencies in the information which was provided to him by the PIO.

Sub Inspector Pawandev, Incharge RTI Cell has submitted a copy of letter dated 08.06.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. It is submitted in the letter that as per the Order passed by Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab on 18.03.2019, the appellant, Shri Satnam Singh Dhawan has not brought any observations to the notice of the PIO till today.

As the appellant was directed by the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab vide Order dated 18.03.2019 to bring the observations to the notice of the PIO, but he has not complied with the directions issued to him by the Commission. Moreover, the

Contd..p2..

## Appeal Case No. 390 of 2019

respondent has submitted a copy of letter that till today the appellant has not brought any observations to the notice of the PIO.

The information sought by the appellant had already been provided to him, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Krishan Kumar Singla, # 195/2, Sector 45-A, Chandigarh.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretariat Establishment-1 Branch, General Administration Department, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Secretariat Establishment-1 Branch,
General Administration Department,
Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 415 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Krishan Kumar Singla, appellant is absent without any intimation to the

Commission.

Shri Gursharan Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Sanjay Goswami, PIO, O/o Secretariat Estt.-1 Branch, General Administration Deptt, Chandigarh.

#### ORDER

The present Appeal Case no. 415 of 2019 was earlier heard by the Bench of Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, Dr. S.S. Channy and on his retirement, the case file was sent to the present Bench for hearing. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench.

The appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. He has filed RTI application dated 18.10.2018 and sought some information from the office of the PIO. I have gone through the Order dated 18.03.2019 passed by the predecessor Bench. The PIO was directed to supply the requisite documents to the appellant and file reply to the hearing notice issued by the Commission before the next date of hearing.

The Respondent stated that in compliance to the Order dated 18.03.2019, the office of the PIO has sent the information to the appellant through letter dated 04.01.2019 and 07.02.2019. The respondent submitted a copy of letter dated 10.06.2019, copy of letter dated 12.03.2019, copy of letter dated 04.01.2019 and copy of letter dated 07.02.2019.

Appeal Case No. 415 of 2019

The PIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant and there is receipt

duly signed by the appellant on letters dated 04.01.2019 and 07.02.2019 that he has received

the information.

As the PIO has provided the information to the appellant as was sought by him

through his RTI application dated 18.10.2018, so the present appeal case is disposed of and

closed.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.** 

Dated: 10.06.2019

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Banarsi Dass Kakkar, (M: 98553-23464) Nehru Mandi, Bagha Purana, Distt. Moga.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO No. 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO No. 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 3825 of 2018

۷s

Present:- Shri Banarsi Dass Kakkar, appellant is present.

Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab is

present.

#### ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri Banarasi Dass Kakkar, appellant is present today and he stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO till today.

Shri Sham Lal, PIO-cum-ATO is present and he requested for some time. He assured the Commission that information will be sent to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

So, the PIO is directed that information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 06.11.2017 be sent to him through registered post before the next date of hearing.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on 17.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, (M: 98785-00082), # 1, Dutt Road, Moga.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 822 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant is present.

Shri Joginder Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of

Shri Gagandeep Singh, PIO, O/o D.C. Moga.

#### ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant has filed RTI application dated 27.09.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga. He sought information regarding Farm Debt Waiver at District level since April 2017.

Shri Joginder Singh APIO has come present along with copies of information. He has provided the information to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the information and he stated that his appeal case may please be disposed of.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, (M: 98785-00082), # 1, Dutt Road, Moga.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 823 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant is present.

Shri Joginder Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of

Shri Gagandeep Singh, PIO, O/o D.C. Moga.

Shri Janak Raj, Suptd. O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Moga is

present.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant has filed RTI application dated 27.09.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

Shri Janak Raj, Suptd. O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Moga. has come present on behalf of the PIO. He stated that he has come present along with attested copies of information comprising of 411 pages. He has provided the attested copies of information to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the information and he stated that his case may kindly be disposed of.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, (M: 98785-00082), # 1, Dutt Road, Moga.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 824 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant is present.

Shri Joginder Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of

Shri Gagandeep Singh, PIO, O/o D.C. Moga.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant has filed RTI application dated 27.09.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga. He sought certified copies of documents relating to functions held for distribution of Farm Debt Waiver in District Moga since April, 2017.

Shri Joginder Singh APIO has come present today on behalf of the PIO. He stated that he has come present along with copies of information. He has provided the information to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the information and he stated that his case may kindly be disposed of.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, (M: 98785-00082), # 1, Dutt Road, Moga.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 825 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant is present.

Shri Joginder Singh, APIO-cum-Superintendent is present on behalf of

Shri Gagandeep Singh, PIO, O/o D.C. Moga.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri H.S. Hundal (Advocate), appellant has filed RTI application dated 27.09.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

Shri Joginder Singh APIO has come present today on behalf of the PIO. He stated that he has come present along with copies of information. He has provided the information to the appellant. The appellant has gone through the information and he stated that his case may kindly be disposed of.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Darshan Sharma, S/o Shri Prithi Raj Sharma, #80-A Madhuban Enclave, Badewala Road, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/ o Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.8, Punjab Water Resources Management & Development Corporation Ltd., Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Superintending Engineer,
Lining Circle 1,
Punjab Water Resources Management &
Development Corporation Ltd.,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 2797 of 2018

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Darshan Sharma, appellant is absent.

Shri Rupinder Singh SDO is present on behalf of Shri Shammi Singla, PIO-cum-

XEN, Lining Division No.8, Punjab Water Resources Management &

Development Corporation Ltd., Bathinda.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri Darshan Sharma, appellant has filed RTI application dated 01.06.2018 and sought information regarding Shri Jaspal Singh from the office of the PIO.

Shri Rupinder Singh, SDO is present on behalf of the PIO and he submitted that sought for information has been sent to the appellant by the PIO through registered post on 01.03.2019. He has submitted photo copy of the postal receipt dated 01.03.2019, a copy of letter no. 448 dated 06.06.2019 along with attested copies of information. All the papers are taken in the file of the Commission.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** 

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Darshan Sharma, S/o Shri Prithi Raj Sharma, #80-A Madhuban Enclave, Badewala Road, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/ o Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.8, Punjab Water Resources Management & Development Corporation Ltd., Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Superintending Engineer,
Lining Circle 1,
Punjab Water Resources Management &
Development Corporation Ltd.,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

## Appeal Case No. 2798 of 2018

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Darshan Sharma, appellant is absent.

Shri Rupinder Singh, SDO is present on behalf of Shri Shammi Singla, PIO-cum-

XEN, Lining Division No.8, Punjab Water Resources Management &

Development Corporation Ltd., Bathinda.

ORDER

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri Darshan Sharma appellant has filed RTI application dated 01.06.2018 and sought information regarding Shri Salochan Singh from the office of the PIO.

Shri Rupinder Singh SDO is present on behalf of the PIO and he submitted that sought for information has been sent to the appellant by the PIO through registered post on 01.03.2019. He has submitted photo copy of the postal receipt dated 01.03.2019, a copy of letter no. 447 dated 06.06.2019 along with attested copies of information. All the papers are taken in the file of the Commission.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** 

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Gurbhej Singh, (M: 62394-66414), S/o Shir Gurmeet Singh, R/o Village Reona Niwan, PO REona Ucha, Tehsil & Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

..... Complainant

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Engineer, Canal, Jail Road, Near Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

#### Complaint Case No. 57 of 2019

Vs

**Present:-** Shri Gurbhej Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

Shri Lakhvir Singh, Steno is present on behalf of Shri Chander Mohan Sharma,

PIO-cum XEN, Canal, Patiala.

**ORDER** 

Dated: 10.06.2019

Shri Gurbhej Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 24.11.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o XEN, Canal, Patiala.

The Commission has received a mail sent by Shri Jasbir Singh, authorized person on behalf of Shri Gurbhej Singh, complainant/applicant. I have gone through the mail and it is submitted by Shri Jasbir Singh, authorized person of the complainant/applicant that he is unable to appear before the Bench for today.

The PIO is directed that information sought by the complainant/applicant be sent to him through registered post within 10 days. The PIO-cum-XEN should personally come present before the Commission on next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice. In case he would not come present, then the Show Cause Notice will be decided in his absence on the basis of papers available in the file.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 10.07.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Gagandeep Singh, (M: 8872720012) # 222, Street No. 6, Anaj Nagar, Kot Khalsa, Amritsar.

...... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Naib Tehsildar, Sub Tehsil Harike, Distt. Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

#### Complaint Case No. 805 of 2018

Vs

Present:- Shri Gagandeep Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

Shri Narjeet Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Noor Mehal, Distt. Jalandhar is present.

**ORDER** 

In complaint case no. 805 of 2018, a Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Narjeet Singh, who was PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar, Harike, District Tarn Taran, now transferred as Naib Tehsildar, Noor Mehal, District Jalandhar. The RTI application dated 04.11.2017 was filed by Shri Gagandeep Singh, S/o Shri Surjit Singh, H.No. 222, Gali No. 6, Azad Nagar, Kot Khalsa, Amritsar. The information was provided to the complainant/applicant on 02.11.2018, whereas the RTI application was filed on 04.11.2017.

Shri Narjeet Singh, Naib Tehsildar has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit dated 10.06.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and it is submitted in the affidavit that in the office of the PIO-cum-Naib Tehsildar, Harike, no RTI application dated 04.11.2017 filed by Shri Gagandeep Singh was received. It is further submitted that the said RTI application was received in the office of the PIO on 05.10.2018 and in support of this the PIO has submitted the Diary Register in which the RTI applications are being registered and the RTI application of Shri Gagandeep Singh was received in the office of the PIO, which was sent by PIO-cum-Tehsildar Tarn Taran through letter no. 64-65 dated 05.10.2018 and RTI application was registered in the register on 18.10.2018 and before this date the PIO has no information regarding the RTI application dated 04.11.2017. The information was sent to the complainant/applicant on 02.11.2018 within the stipulated period of 30 days.

I have gone through the reply submitted by Shri Narjeet Singh, Naib Tehsildar and also heard him on the Show Cause Notice and came to the conclusion that the PIO is not at Contd..p2..

### Complaint Case No. 805 of 2018

fault because the RTI application was not received earlier in the office of the PIO-cum Naib Tehsildar, Harike, whereas it was sent to the office of the PIO by Tehsildar, Tarn Taran through letter no. 64-65 dated 05.10.2018. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to Shri Narjeet Singh Naib Tehsildar, Harike, Distt. Tarn Taran now posted at Naib Tehsildar, Noor Mehal, Distt. Jalandhar is hereby dropped and filed.

The information had already been provided to the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and filed.** 

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 10.06.2019 State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: 1. The Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar. }

- 2. District Revenue Officer, Jalandhar. } for compliance of the Order.
- 3. Shri Narjit Singh, -Naib Tehsildar, Noor Mehal, Distt. Jalandhar.