

Shri Rajesh Kumar. S/o late Shri Om Parkash r/o #80, near Police Station Sadar, Railway Station, Nabha (Patiala)

-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Public Information Officer, O/o A.D.G.P Security, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector:9, Chandigarh.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 228 of 2018

Present: Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant, in person. Shri Bhajan Singh, S.I. and Shri Kulveer Singh, H.C., on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the representative of the respondents submitted a letter No. 7527/DDSB, dated 05.03.2019 from IGP Security-cum-PIO, Punjab, Chandigarh vide which detailed security reasons for not providing information regarding Point No. 1 i.e. gate passes had been intimated concluding that this information could not be provided to the appellant. I agreed with the plea put forth by the PIO for denying this information to the appellant. A copy of the said letter from the PIO was handed over to the appellant. The representative of the respondents submitted letter No. 1073/AS/D.S.P/Nabha, dated 04.03.2019 from DSP Nabha vide which information asked for at Points No. 2 to 9 had





been provided, a copy of which was handed over to the appellant, who was directed to send his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, within 10 days. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant informs that he has sent deficiencies in the provided information to the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh vide letter dated 15.03.2019. The respondent informs that they have not received this letter. Accordingly, a copy of this letter is handed over to the respondent with the directions that complete information be supplied to the appellant after removing the deficiencies furnished by him, before the next date of hearing and submit status report on the next date of hearing.

3. Since my term is expiring on 04.05.2019, I am not in a position to fix next date for hearing in this case. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for taking further necessary action to get it re-allocated to some other Bench of the Commission, which will intimate the next date of hearing.

Sd/-

Dated : 10.04.2019

(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Smt. Anita Kiran w/o Shri Surinder Pal, H.No.293, St. No.4, Mohalla Janta Colony, Rahon Road, Post Office Basti Jodhewal, Tehsil and District Ludhiana.

Vs.

Public Information Officer O/o Station House Officer, Basti Jodhewal, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

-----Appellant

Appeal Case No. 2476 of 2017

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Gurmukh Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the appellant informed that she had received compensation amount of Rs. 3,000/- but complete information had not been provided to her by the PIO as yet. The representative of the respondents informed that the available information had been supplied to the appellant. He further informed that inquiry into the matter had been completed and Inquiry Report had been submitted to Assistant Commissioner of Police for its approval. Accordingly, it was directed that as and when the Inquiry Report was approved by the competent authority, a copy of Inquiry Report be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant is not present. The representative of the respondent informs that Inquiry Report is still pending with Commissioner of Police for approval. Accordingly, it is directed that a copy of the Inquiry Report, after obtaining approval from the Commissioner of Police, be sent to the appellant within three weeks.

3. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 10.04.2019



Smt. Anita Kiran w/o Shri Surinder Pal, H.No.293, St. No.4, Mohalla Janta Colony, Rahon Road, Post Office Basti Jodhewal, Tehsil and District Ludhiana.

Vs.

Public Information Officer o/o Station House Officer, Basti Jodhewal, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

-----Respondents

-----Appellant

Appeal Case No. 2480 of 2017

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Gurmukh Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the appellant informed that she had received compensation amount of Rs. 3,000/- but complete information had not been provided to her by the PIO as yet. The representative of the respondents informed that the available information had been supplied to the appellant. He further informed that inquiry into the matter had been completed and Inquiry Report had been submitted to Assistant Commissioner of Police for its approval. Accordingly, it was directed that as and when the Inquiry Report was approved by the competent authority, a copy of Inquiry Report be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant is not present. The representative of the respondent informs that Inquiry Report is still pending with Commissioner of Police for approval. Accordingly, it is directed that a copy of the Inquiry Report, after obtaining approval from the Commissioner of Police, be sent to the appellant within three weeks.

3. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 10.04.2019



Dr. Sandeep Kumar Gupta, #1722m Sector 14, Hisar-125001.

Vs.

-----Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Department of Personnel, Punjab, PP-3 Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Department of Personnel, Punjab, PP-3 Branch, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2092 of 2017

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Sandeep Singh, Senior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the appellant was not present. However, a letter dated 04.03.2019 was received from him through e-mail seeking exemption from personal appearance. He requested to issue directions to the respondent PIO for supply of complete certified information. He also requested to impose penalty upon the PIO for the delay in the supply of information and award compensation to him for the detriment suffered by him. The representative of the respondents informed that available information had already been supplied to the appellant. He further informed that the record in respect of Ms. Jaspal Kaur, Member, had not become available. He also informed that the order of the Commission reached their office on 01.03.209, due to which file could not be put up to Secretary Personnel for conducting an inquiry as per the orders of the Commission. Accordingly, Secretary Personnel was again directed to hold an inquiry to find out reasons for missing of very important record and submit report before the next of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.



2. Today, the appellant is not present without any intimation. The representative of the respondents informs that as per the orders of the Commission an inquiry has been conducted by Deputy Secretary Personnel. He submits Inquiry Report, which is taken on record. The Commission is satisfied with the findings and agrees to file the appeal. The appellant be intimated, accordingly.

3. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated : 10.04.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Gurdip Singh s/o Shri Chanan Singh r/o #215, Ajit Nagar, Sultan Wind Road, Sri Amritsar Sahib.

-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer o/o Town Planner, Municipal Corporation, Sri Amritsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Sri Amritsar Sahib.

Appeal Case No. 1211 of 2017

-----Respondents

Present:- Shri Gurdip Singh, appellant, in person. Shri Jagdev Singh, ATP, on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the representative of the appellant informed that complete information had not been provided to the appellant nor compensation amount of Rs. 5,000/- had been paid to him as yet. None was present on behalf the respondents. However, an affidavit dated 01.03.2019 was received from Shri Jagdev Singh, Assistant Town Planner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar, a copy of which was handed over to the representative of the appellant for furnishing his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. Besides, the respondent PIO was directed to pay compensation amount of Rs. 5,000/- through a Bank Draft to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant states that neither complete information has been provided to him nor compensation amount of Rs. 5,000/- has been paid to him as yet. The representative of the respondents reiterates that the record is maintained date-wise but not building-wise. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, the appellant is



directed to visit the office of the PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to help the respondent to trace the requisite information so that the same could be provided to him. The PIO is directed to pay compensation amount of Rs. 5,000/- through a Bank Draft to the appellant before the next date of hearing and submit compliance report on the next date of hearing. Besides, the PIO is directed to take necessary action in case there is any violation in the said building as per building bye-laws and submit status report on the next date of hearing.

3. Since my term is expiring on 04.05.2019, I am not in a position to fix next date for hearing in this case. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for taking further necessary action to get it re-allocated to some other Bench of the Commission.

Dated : 10.04.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Kartar Singh, #555, Agarsen Colony, Sirsa, Haryana.

-----Complainant

-----Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer-cum-Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Complaint Case No. 410 of 2018

Present:- Shri Manish Kumar, on behalf of the complainant.

Shri Surinder Singh, RTI Incharge and Shri Kulwant Raj, Head Constable, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when the complainant, while expressing dis-satisfaction with the provided information, informed that he had sent deficiencies in the provided information to the PIO. The representatives of the respondent PIO informed that the CD demanded by the appellant was not available in record and thus could not be provided. They further informed that a complaint filed by one Shri Gaganpreet Singh against the complainant was also not available in their record. Consequently, after discussing the matter and hearing both the parties, respondents were directed to check as to whether a complaint filed by Shri Gaganpreet Singh had been received in their office and was available in their record and in case it was available, then supply a copy of the same to the appellant, else provide a written submission to this effect to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representatives of the respondents submit a letter No. 1486/CPC, dated 08.04.2019 from DCP-cum-PIO, Amritsar City vide which reply to the deficiencies furnished by the complainant has been furnished and a copy of the reply has been sent to the

<u>CC – 410 of 2018</u>



complainant. Consequently, after hearing both the parties and discussing the matter, the respondents are directed to allow inspection of relevant files to the representative of the complainant to identify the specific documents still required by the complainant and supply duly attested copies of the same to him.

3. With these directions, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

-2-

Dated : 10.04.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon r/o H.No.947 HIG, Jamalpur Colony, Ludhiana.

-----Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer o/o Court of Hon'ble Judge Bhupinder Mittal, Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana.

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1027 of 2018

Present:- Shri Tejinder Singh, on behalf of the Complainant. Shri Hans Kumar, Reader, on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

The case was last heard on 05.03.2019, when none was present on behalf of the complainant as well as the respondent without any intimation during third consecutive hearing. Viewing the defying attitude of Shri Hans Kumar, Reader-cum-PIO, seriously, one last opportunity is afforded to him to submit reply to the Show-Cause Notice issued to him and supply requisite information to the complainant, before the next date of hearing, failing which action for imposing penalty would be taken, ex-parte. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents explains reasons for absence during previous hearings. He informs that certified copies of relevant files have been supplied to the complainant. The representative of the complainant informs that the complainant has not received the information. Accordingly, the respondent is directed to send one more copy of the provided information to the complainant and the complainant is directed to send his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. Besides, the respondent is directed to allow inspection of the relevant file to the complainant to identify the specific



documents still required by him and after identification supply attested copies of the same to him, free of cost.

-2-

3. Since my term is expiring on 04.05.2019, I am not in a position to fix next date for hearing in this case. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for taking further necessary action to get it re-allocated to some other Bench of the Commission, which will intimate the next date of hearing.

Dated : 10.04.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Ranjit Singh s/o Shri Rachhpal Singh, r/o H.No.153, Gali No.3, Near Gurudwara Bhai Kanhaya Ji, Sultanwind Road, Amritsar.

-----Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer o/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3297 of 2017

Present:- Shri Shubham Mehta, Advocate, on behalf of the appellant. Shri Randhir Singh, ACP(South) and Shri Surinder Singh, Incharge (RTI Branch), on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

In this case, during hearing on 28.01.2019, the counsel for the appellant stated that they would be satisfied if the tower location of the concerned Mobile Number was provided to them. Consequently, after going through the Speaking Order dated 22.01.2019, passed by the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar and hearing both the parties at length, it was observed that Tower Location of the concerned Mobile Number had no link with the exemption claimed under Section 8(1)(g) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 by the respondents for not providing the sought for information to the appellant. In case they had any apprehension, they might eclipse any other information, to which any sensitivity is attached. Accordingly, the respondents were directed to provide the Tower Location of the concerned Mobile Number on a specific date and time to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 05.03.2019.



2. On 05.03.2019, the Counsel for the appellant informed that as per the orders of the Commission passed on 28.01.2019, Tower Location of the concerned Mobile Number had not been provided to the appellant. Consequently, after discussing the matter in detail again, last opportunity was afforded to the respondent PIO to provide the Tower Location of the concerned Mobile Number on a specific date and time to the appellant, before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

-2-

3. Today, Shri Randhir Singh, ACP(South), Amritsar, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits a written submission vide letter No. 1526/CPC, dated 09.04.2019 from Deputy Commissioner of Police-cum-PIO, Amritsar City vide which detailed reasons have been furnished for denying to provide the Tower Location of the concerned Mobile Number being not in the public interest. Accordingly, it is directed that a copy of the written submission be provided to the Counsel for the appellant and the appellant is directed to send his observations, if any, on the written submission, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing.

4. Since my term is expiring on 04.05.2019, I am not in a position to fix next date for hearing in this case. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for taking further necessary action to get it re-allocated to some other Bench of the Commission, which will intimate the next date of hearing.

Dated : 10.04.2019

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) Chief Information Commissioner Punjab



Shri Gurjant Singh S/o Shri Ganda Singh, r/o H.No. 8, Molzam Colony, Bareta Mandi, District: Mansa – 151501.

-----Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Andana at Moonak (Sangrur).

First Appellate Authority o/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Sangrur.

-----Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3023 of 2015

Present:- Shri Gurjant Singh, Appellant, in person along with his Counsel, Shri Sartaj Singh, Thakur, Advocate.

None for the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

Today, Shri Sanjiv Garg, SIC, a member of the Larger Bench is not available.

2. The appellant submits a letter dated 10.04.2019 vide which he has requested to

close the instant appeal case as he does not want to pursue the matter further.

3. Accordingly, the case is **dismissed as withdrawn**.

Sd/-(Pawan Kumar Singla) SIC

Sd/-(S.S. Channy) CIC

Dated: 10.04.2019

CC: PS/SIC(PKS) for the kind information of SIC(PKS)

PS/SIC(SG) for the kind information of SIC(SG)