RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: <u>psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PSIC Sales Antomator

Sh. Tejinder Singh

R/o Vill. Bholapur, PO Ramgarh Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana-141008 (M-9888296107)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.3985 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Tejinder Singh is present.

Sh.Gurpal Singh, APIO, O/o STC Punjab is present.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. Sh.Jasbir Singh had come present as the representative of The Appellant Sh.Tejinder Singh. Sh. Gurpal Singh, APIO had come present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent and had handed over certain information vide letter dated 24.09.2018 to Sh.Jasbir Singh during the proceedings of the Court, copy of which had been taken on record. The representative of Appellant Shri Jasbir Singh had sought some time for going through the information supplied.

After discussion with the parties and going through the case file, the Appellant was advised to point out deficiencies in the information supplied to the PIO/ Respondent through registered post before next date of hearing. The appellant was also advised to come in person on the next date of hearing.

The PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the attested copies of the requisite information after removing deficiencies, if any, pointed out by the Appellant and PIO was further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative along with the postal receipt for having sent the information by registered post.

Appeal Case No.3985 of 2018



Today, Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, who comes present, states that he has not received the information after removal of deficiencies pointed out. On enquiring by the Court, Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO, who comes present today, states that the requisite pending information is yet to be supplied after removing deficiencies. Serious view of the same is taken.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the requisite information after removing the deficiencies as pointed out by the Appellant before the next date of hearing by registered post and also produce postal receipt in this regard on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Tejinder Singh

R/o Vill. Bholapur, PO Ramgarh Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana-141008 (M-9888296107)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.3986 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Tejinder Singh is present.

Sh.Gurpal Singh, APIO, O/o S.T.C. Punjab is present.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. Shri Jasbir Singh was present on behalf of the Appellant Shri Tejinder Singh. On behalf of PIO, Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO had come present and had handed over the requisite information vide letter dated 22.10.2018 to Sh.Jasbir Singh during the proceedings of the Court, a copy of which had been taken on record. The APIO had further requested for an adjournment for supply of pending information before next date of hearing.

After going through the supplied information, the representative of Appellant Sh.Jasbir Singh had stated that he was satisfied with the information relating to Point No.5 but was not satisfied with information on Points No.1,2,3, & 4. The representative of Appellant had also requested for attested copies of the reply given vide letter dated 12.10.2018 relating to Point No.1 and in regard to Point No.2, he had requested the APIO to clarify this reply as to when the Policy had been drafted and in regard to Point No.3 & 4, no information had been supplied.

Appeal Case No.3986 of 2018



After discussing with the parties and going through the case file, the PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the attested copies of requisite information relating to Point No.1, clarify the statement in regard to Point No.2 and regarding Points No.3 & 4, PIO was directed to supply requisite information. PIO/ Respondent was further directed to appear in person or through his representative along with the postal receipt for having sent the information by registered post

Today, Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO comes present and states that the requisite information has been supplied to the Appellant. Shri Tejinder Singh, Appellant, who comes present, confirms the receipt of information by him. He also gives in writing that he has received the information to his satisfaction.

Since the information stands supplied to the Appellant to his satisfaction, the appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Sd/(Sanjiv Garg)

Dated:10.04.2019

State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh.Darshan Singh

S/o Sh.Sikandar Singh H.No.297, Ward No.1, Behlolpur Road,Samrala District Ludhiana M:9815552886

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Samrala. District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Samrala District Ludhiana.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.3980 of 2018

Present: Sh.Darshan Singh, Appellant is present

Sh.Jasbir Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Samrala/PIO

along with Sh.Rajwinder Singh, Junior Engineer.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. Sh.Darshan Singh, Appellant had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Sikandar Singh, Junior Assistant had come present, who had submitted the requisite information to the Appellant during the proceedings of the court. On the asking of the Court, he had shown dissatisfaction and pointed out certain deficiencies in the supplied information.

After discussing with the parties and going through the case file, the Appellant was advised to point out deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent through registered post before next date of hearing. The PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the attested copies of the requisite information after removing the deficiencies as pointed out by the Appellant and he was directed to appear in person or through any of his representative along with postal receipt for having sent the information by registered post.

Today, Shri Darshan Singh, Appellant comes present. Shri Jasbir Singh, Executive Officer, M.C. Samrala, PIO comes present and hands over the information to the Appellant during the proceedings in the Court. On the asking of the Court, the Appellant points out certain deficiencies. He seeks specific information regarding all the streets in Ward No.1 on Behlolpur Road, Samrala where works have been executed. He also wants to know specifically regarding the disposal of old bricks of the streets in Ward No.1

-2-

Appeal Case No.3980 of 2018



The Appellant is advised to point out this information to the PIO/Respondent in writing by registered post. PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the complete information after removing the deficiencies pointed out by the Appellant before the next date of hearing by registered post. He is also directed to come in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on the next date.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Jagdev Singh S/o Sh.Gurmail Singh

C/o Labh Singh Sandhu,Advocate Chamber No.573, Yadwindra Court Complex, Patiala.

....Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

....Respondent

Complaint Case No.1205 of 2018

Present: Shri Nikhil Batta, Advocate on behalf of Jagdev Singh, Complainant

Shri Narinder Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Department of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Chandigarh

ORDER

The complaint was last heard on 21.02.2019. The complainant Shri Jagdev Singh was absent but an e.mail dated 20.02.2019 had been received from him that his authorized representative Shri Nikhil Batta, Advocate was not well and had requested for an adjournment. Nobody was present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, as such, no statement could be recorded.

After going through the case file, the PIO/Respondent was advised to supply the requisite information to the complainant by registered post before the next date of hearing. PIO was further advised to come in person or through any of his representative along with postal receipt for having sent the information by registered post. The complainant was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him after the same was received by him, before next date of hearing.

Today, Shri Nikhil Batta, Advocate comes present on behalf of the Complainant Shri Jagdev Singh, Complainant. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Narinder Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o Principal Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Department of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Chandigarh comes present and states that the information has already been transferred to the Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab, Chandigarh as the information relates to him. Upon this, the Counsel appearing for the Complainant Shri Nikhil Batta raises objection to it stating that it should have been pointed out by the PIO/ Respondent at the initial stage prior to the filing of appeal before the Commission when RTI Application was filed and it is nothing but just delaying the information.



Complaint Case No.1205 of 2018

The PIO, office of the Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training (I.T. Wing), Punjab, Chandigarh be impleaded as the party respondent. PIO/Respondent in the Directorate of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab is advised to supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing. He is also advised to come in person on the next date. The complainant is also advised to come present on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dated:10.04.2019

Copy to:

By Regd. Post : The Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training Deptt., Punjab Sector-36, Chandigarh.

Encl:RTI Application

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh.Yogesh Mahajan

S/o Sh.Kuldeep Raj Mahajan President, Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot-145001 M-98143-54654)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, Construction Division NO.2 PWD(B&R), Malout District Sri Muktsar Sahib

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD (B&R) Circle, Faridkot

..Respondents

Appeal Case No.3973 of 2018

Present: Appellant Shri Yogesh Mahajan is absent.

Shri Pawan Kumar, Junior Engineer,

Const. Sub Division No.2, PWD (B&R), Sri Muktsar Sahib

is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. Shri Yogesh Mahajan, Appellant was absent, as such, no statement of the Appellant could be recorded.

Shri Pawan Kumar, Junior Engineer on behalf of PIO/Respondent had come present who had stated that the requisite information had already been provided to the Appellant through registered post vide letters dated 07.09.2018, and 01.02.2019. He had also submitted letter dated 05.09.2018 along with the requisite information which had been taken on record. On asking about Point No.2, he had stated that no tender had been called.

After discussions with the representative of PIO/Respondent and going through the case file, an opportunity was given to the Appellant to appear in person on the next date of hearing, failing which the case would be decided on merits. The Appellant was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied before next date of hearing. The PIO/ Respondent was directed to appear in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

Appeal Case No.3973 of 2018



Today, the Appellant is absent, but a letter dated 09.04.2019 through an e-mail dated 10.04.2019 has been received vide which it has been informed that he has not received information on Point No.2 i.e. the list of all works with or without tender calling got done by the Construction Division No.2, PWD (B&R), Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib for the period 20.08.2017 to 03.08.2018. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Pawan Kumar, Junior Engineer, Construction Sub Division No.2, PWD (B&R), Sri Muktsar Sahib comes present.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply information to the Appellant on Point No.2 as stated in his letter dated 09.04.2019 through registered post. He is also directed to come present in person or through his representative on the next date and produce postal receipt for having supplied the information. The Appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Yogesh Mahajan S/o Sh. Kuldeep Raj Mahajan President, Anti Corruption Council, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot-145001 M-98143-54654)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, Provincial Sub Division PWD(B&R), Gidderbaha District Sri Muktsar Sahib

First Appellate Authority

O/o Superintending Engineer, PWD (B&R) Circle, Faridkot

....Respondent

Appeal Case No.3974 of 2018

Present: Appellant Shri Yogesh Mahajan is absent.

Shri Pawan Kumar, Junior Engineer, Const. Sub Division No.2, PWD (B&R), Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. Shri Yogesh Mahajan, Appellant was absent, as such, no statement of the Appellant could be recorded.

Shri Satwant Kumar, Sub Divisional Officer-cum-PIO had come present who had stated that the requisite information had already been provided to the Appellant through registered post vide letters dated 28.08.2018, 24.09.2018 and 26.10.2018. He had also submitted letter dated 22.01.2019 along with the requisite information which had been taken on record.

After discussions with the PIO and going through the case file, an opportunity was given to the Appellant to appear in person on the next date of hearing, failing which the case would be decided on merits. The Appellant was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied before next date of hearing. The PIO/ Respondent was directed to appear in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.



Appeal Case No.3974 of 2018

Today, the Appellant is absent, but a letter dated 09.04.2019 through an e-mail dated 10.04.2019 has been received vide which it has been informed that he has not received information on Point No.2 i.e. the list of all works with or without tender calling got done for the period 20.08.2017 to 03.08.2018 by the Provincial Sub Division, PWD (B&R), Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Pawan Kumar, Junior Engineer, Construction Sub Division No.2, PWD (B&R), Sri Muktsar Sahib comes present.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply information to the Appellant on Point No.2 as stated in his letter dated 09.04.2019 through registered post. He is also directed to come present in person or through his representative on the next date and produce postal receipt for having supplied the information. The Appellant is also advised to point out deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for 04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



President, Anti Corruption Trust, #2346, Ward No.4, Sunder Market, Machhiwara, Distt. Ludhiana-141001 M-9465650001

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Transport Officer, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

..Respondents

Appeal Case No.3960 of 2018

Present: Sh.Sukhwinder Singh, Appellant is present.

Sh.Amardeep Singh, Clerk, O/o Secretary, RTA Ludhiana on behalf of PIO.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 21.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Sukhwinder Singh was absent, but he had sent an e-mail dated 21.02.2019 requesting for an adjournment. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Amardeep Singh, Clerk had come present. He had stated that in case the Appellant gives them photocopies of the letters about which information had been sought, it would be easier for them to locate the requisite information. He had further assured that on receiving copies of letters, they would supply the requisite information to the Appellant.

After discussing with the representative of the PIO/Respondent and going through the case file, the Appellant was advised to give photocopies of the concerned letters to the PIO/Respondent by registered post within two weeks and the Appellant was further advised to point out deficiencies, if any, on the receipt of information by him, before next date of hearing.

Last opportunity was given to the PIO/Respondent to supply the requisite information to the Appellant, after receiving photocopies of the letters from the Appellant. He was further directed to appear in person or through any of his representative on next date of hearing.

Today, Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Appellant comes present and states that he has still not been provided any information. Sh.Amardeep Singh, Clerk who comes present on behalf of PIO/ Respondent, reiterates his earlier version and requests for photocopies of the letters mentioned in RTI Application.

...1/2

-2-

Appeal Case No.3960 of 2018



The Appellant states that letters have been misplaced and he will try to trace out and give copies of the same to the PIO/ Respondent for supplying the information, before next date of hearing. Final opportunity is given to the Appellant to point out exact information to be supplied. In case he fails to provide the photocopies of letters, the case will be disposed of on merits.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the requisite information after receiving the photocopies of the letters from the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Sh. Rakesh Kumar S/o Sh.Nem Chand C/o Sh.Pankaj Verma,Advocate Chamber No.506, Yadvindra Court Complex, Patiala.

....Complainant

Versus.

Public Information Officer
O/o Director, Technical Education &
Industrial Training Deptt.

Sector-36, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary to the Govt. of Punjab Technical Education & Industrial Training Deptt. Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 879 of 2018

Present: Sh.Nikhil Batta, Advoacte is present on behalf of the Complainant

Sh.Rakesh Kumar.

On behalf of Respondent/PIO, Sh. Rashpal Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The complainant was absent, but he had sent an e-mail dated 21.02.2019 enclosing therewith the letter dt.21.02.2019 pointing out deficiencies in the information supplied. According to this letter, he had pointed out deficiencies only regarding Points No.(vi) & (vii) and no deficiency had been pointed out by him regarding points No.(i) to (v). On the asking of the Court, Shri Rashpal Singh who had come present on behalf of PIO/Respondent had stated that the information had been supplied regarding Points (vi) & (vii) after removing deficiencies as per photocopies of letters dated 04.01.2019 and 18.01.2019 produced before the Court, which were taken on record.

Last opportunity was given to the Complainant to put forth his version with regard to the information supplied on Points (vi) & (vii) as to whether he was satisfied with the information supplied on these points also. He was advised to come present in person on next date of hearing, otherwise the case would be decided on merits.

PSIC Sall

Complaint Case No. 879 of 2018

Today, Shri Nikhil Batta, Advocate comes present on behalf of the complainant Shri Rakesh Kumar and states that the information Regarding Points No.(vi) and (vii) is not very clear. The letter does not show as to whether the recovery of Rs.5,82,000/- has been made from Shri Amrik Singh, Assistant Director, as asked for vide Point No.(vi). He further states that copy of any document regarding fixation of responsibility of the staff has not been supplied as per Point No.(vii).

On the asking of the Court, Shri Rashpal Singh, representative of PIO states that regarding Point No.(vi), it has to be replied by Deputy Controller, Finance & Accounts of the Department. Regarding Point No.(vii), he states that as per record of Administration Branch, responsibility of any of the officers has not been fixed, as such, regarding this point, the information is nil.

On discussions with the parties, it is observed that it is the internal matter of the Department to seek information from their different branches. PIO has to ensure to supply the information to the complainant and as such, PIO is directed to supply the information within one month. PIO is also directed to come in person or through his representative on the next date.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing fixed for **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**. Copies of orders be given to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commission
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ravi Kumar

S/o Sh.Dharminder Kumar R/o H.No.107, Backside Santpura Gurdwara, Patiala Gate, Sangrur M-9356037575

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer-cum-

Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb. Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.3397 of 2018

Present: Appellant Sh.Ravi Kumar is absent.

Sh.Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, Assistant Transport Officer,

On behalf of PIO/Respondent.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The Appellant was absent, but he had sent an e.mail dated 21.02.2019 informing that due to ill health, he was unable to attend the court and had requested for another date. PIO/Respondent was absent for the 2nd consecutive hearing.

In view of the above, PIO O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur was issued Show Cause Notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show cause as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. In addition, the PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Strict instructions were issued to the PIO/Respondent to supply the information to the Appellant by registered post and to come present in person along with the information on the next date of hearing. The appellant was also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied on receipt of information and send the same to the PIO/Respondent by registered post with a copy to the Commission.



Appeal Case No. 3397 of 2018

Today, the Appellant is absent and as such, no statement could be recorded.

Shri Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, Assistant Transport Officer, Sangrur comes present on behalf of PIO/Respondent and submits letter No.8140 dated 09.04.2010 sent by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur to the Commission. As per this letter, it has been stated that a letter dated 12.04.2018 was written to the appellant that this information cannot be given by compiling the same. However, it has been further been requested to the Appellant that if he wants to see any specific information, he can inspect the record by visiting the office on any working day, but the Appellant has not contacted their office. It has further been stated in the letter that the information sought by the Appellant relates to the period 01.01.2012 to 19.03.2018 and as such, the office is unable to supply this information being very old.

As per letter of the PIO/Respondent, the Appellant is advised to visit the office of PIO/Respondent on **06.05.2019** to inspect the record and identify the information he has sought. Sh.Gurcharan Singh Sandhu, Assistant Transport Officer will ensure that the Appellant is allowed to inspect the record and information is provided to him which he so identifies after inspection.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **04.06.2019 at 1100 AM**.

Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing.

Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commission Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Versus



Smt.Daljeet Kaur

W/o Sh.Gurmeet Singh #553, New Defence Colony, Deep Nagar-II, Jalandhar Cantt.-144005 M-8968074623

...Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner Punjab, Sector-17B, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No.3406 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Smt. Daljeet Kaur is present.

On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Inderjit Singh, Section Officer and

Sh.Lember Ram, Jr. Assistant are present.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The Appellant Smt. Daljeet Kaur was present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Lember Ram, Junior Assistant had come present and he had submitted letter dated 13.02.2019 that to give retirement dues to the Appellant, the relevant record had been searched but due to frequent transfers of staff, difficulty was being faced to trace out the record. Vide this letter, a request had also been made to the appellant to make available photocopy of passbook of the relevant time so as to make payment to her of the balance amount.

The Appellant Smt. Daljit Kaur had come present and had stated that she had made available the photocopy of the pass book/bank statement to the PIO/Respondent as desired vide their letter dated 13.02.2019. But the requisite information was yet to be supplied. It was observed that PIO/Respondent was trying to delay the information.

In view of the above, PIO O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar was issued Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show cause as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.



Appeal Case No.3406 of 2018

Strict instructions were issued to the PIO/Respondent to supply the information to the Appellant by registered post and to come present in person along with the information on the next date of hearing. The appellant was also advised to point out any deficiencies in the information on receipt of information and send the same to the PIO/Respondent by registered post with a copy to the Commission.

Today, Smt. Daljeet Kaur, Appellant is though absent, but she has sent an e-mail stating that due to ill health, she is unable to attend the court and has sought an adjournment to another date. Shri Inderjit Singh, Section Officer, along with Sh.Lember Ram,Jr.Asstt. on behalf of the PIO/ Respondent comes present and apprises of the progress in the case. PIO is directed to supply the information to the Appellant by registered post, with reference to the progress regarding release of payments of retiral benefits to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to **04.06.2019 at 11.00 A.M.**

Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing.

Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commission Puniab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harish Jindal S/o Sh.Sukhdev Kumar

Street No.1, Kishan Bagh, Outside Nabha Gate, Sangrur (M-9463511675)

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

Assistant Registrar O/o I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University Near Pushpa Gujral Science City Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway, Kapurthala-144603

First Appellate Authority

O/o Registrar, I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University Near Pushpa Gujral Science City Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway, Kapurthala-144603

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.3461 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh. Harish Jindal is present.

On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar,

IKG Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Harish Jindal was absent. Shri Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar, had come present on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had stated that the information was not available with the PIO/Respondent. He had further stated that they had written to Director, Institute of Engineering & Technology, Bhaddal and had also produced a copy of e-mail dated 21.02.2019 from IET Bhaddal stating that all Institutional details are uploaded on AICTE web portal and are available on web portal and further that Institution never keeps hard copy. The said letter was also supported by letters dated 26.05.2014 and 15.02.2018 seeking approval of AICTE for 2014-15 and 2018-19. However, copies of applications for extension of approval for academic year 2014-15 and 2018-19 sent to the Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab and the information regarding point (b) were yet to be supplied to the appellant.



Appeal Case No.3461 of 2018

Since the information was to be supplied by the PIO/Appellant, the SPIO, IKG Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar was issued Show Cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show cause as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Today, Shri Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar, IKG P.T.U. Jalandhar comes present and states that the information has been supplied to the Appellant. The appellant Shri Harish Jindal who comes present, confirms the receipt of information to his satisfaction and also gives in writing to this effect.

Since the information stands supplied to the Appellant to his satisfaction, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commission
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harish Jindal S/o Sh.Sukhdev Kumar

Street No.1, Kishan Bagh, Outside Nabha Gate, Sangrur (M-9463511675)

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

Assistant Registrar O/o I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University Near Pushpa Gujral Science City Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway, Kapurthala-144603

First Appellate Authority

O/o Registrar, I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University Near Pushpa Gujral Science City Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway, Kapurthala-144603

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.3218 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh.Harish Jindal is present.

Sh.Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar is present

on behalf of PIO/Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. Shri Harish Jindal had come present and had stated that complete information had not been supplied to him. On behalf of PIO/Respondent Sh.Rajinder Kumar had come present. Regarding point No.1, he had produced letter dated 16.07.2018 along with letter dated 28.02.2017 which showed the name of nominee of IKG Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar as well as the constitution of the Expert Committee for making selections. The appellant had stated that he had desired information from 01.07.2013 to 31.03.2018. However, the representative of PIO had stated that the information desired for this period was relating to IET Bhaddal and was third party information. Regarding Point No.2, Sh. Rajinder Kumar had stated that the information would be given on the next date.

Last opportunity was given to the PIO/Respondent to supply whatever information was sought to be supplied by the Appellant, and was asked to give in writing whichever information cannot be supplied, failing which strict action would be taken.

-2-

Appeal Case No.3218 of 2018



The Appellant Harish Jindal comes present and states that the information on Point No.2 supplied to him relates to the selection committee meeting of Institute of Engg. & Technology, Bhaddal held on 05.03.2017, in which nominee of IKJ PTU Jalandhar was included whereas he has sought information regarding nominee of the IKJ PTU Jalandhar in the selection committees of IET Bhaddal from 01.07.2013 to 31.03.2018. Upon this, the PIO who is present, states that only in the meeting of 05.03.2017, the nominee of IKJ PTU was included.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the information on Point No.2 i.e. the nominee of IKG PTU in the selection committee of IET Bhaddal and if apart from this, there is no other information, PIO/Respondent is directed to give in writing to this effect to the Appellant before the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to the next date of hearing which is fixed for 04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commission
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harish Jindal S/o Sh.Sukhdev Kumar

Street No.1, Kishan Bagh, Outside Nabha Gate, Sangrur (M-9463511675)

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Directorate of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab Sector-36, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Directorate of Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab Sector-36, Chandigarh.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.3214 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Shri Harish Jindal is present.

PIO/Respondent, Smt. Monika Bansal, HOD,

O/o Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab.

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The Appellant Shri Harish Jindal had come present and had stated that information had not been supplied to him. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Baldev Singh had come present. He had produced letter dated 22.02.2019 vide which APIO O/o Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab had informed that no information was available in their office record. On the asking of the court, the Appellant had stated that it was not the complete information as he had desired to know the name and designation of Nominees of Department in Selection/Screening Committees and venue at which such selection/screening process had been conducted.

On the asking of the Court, Shri Baldev Singh was unable to tell why this information could not be supplied and moreover, he was unaware of the facts of the case. He had stated that Smt. Monika Bansal, is the PIO/Respondent.

In view of the above, Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO O/o The Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training, Punjab, Chandigarh will show cause under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, to show cause as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

-2-

Appeal Case No.3214 of 2018



The PIO/Respondent was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information, before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Strict instructions were issued to the PIO/Respondent to supply the information to the Appellant by registered post and Mrs. Monika Bansal, PIO/Respondent was directed to come present in person on the next date of hearing.

Today, the PIO/Respondent, Smt. Monika Bansal comes present and hands over letter No.161/RTI dated 09.04.2019 to the Appellant containing the information sought under RTI Act, with a copy to the Commission which is taken on record. On the asking of the Court, the Appellant expresses his satisfaction to the information supplied and also gives in writing to this effect.

Since the information stands supplied to the Appellant to his satisfaction, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commission
Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com
Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harish Jindal S/o Sh.Sukhdev Kumar

Street No.1, Kishan Bagh, Outside Nabha Gate, Sangrur (M-9463511675)

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

Assistant Registrar
O/o I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University
Near Pushpa Gujral Science City
Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway,
Kapurthala-144603

First Appellate Authority

O/o Registrar, I.K.Gujral Pb. Technical University Near Pushpa Gujral Science City Jalandhar Kapurthala Highway, Kapurthala-144603

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.1721 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh. Harish Jindal is present.

Sh.Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar is present

on behalf of PIO/Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 22.02.2019. The appellant Shri Harish Jindal had come present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Shri Rajinder Kumar had come present.

On going through the file, it was observed that on the previous date, PIO/Respondent had been asked to provide a copy of the Service Rules governing the posts of Regular/Full Time/Part time Faculties appointed but the same had not been supplied. PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the copy of Service Rules and information relating to Point No.(v) before the next date of hearing, as the appointment letter earlier given contained only terms and condition for appointment.

Shri Rajinder Kumar, Assistant Registrar, IKG PTU, Jalandhar comes present and states that as per letter dated 09.07.2015, a decision has been taken by the University in 55th meeting of its Board of Governors held on 03.07.2015 to adopt UGC guidelines in IK Gujral Punjab Technical University and in case there are no UGC guidelines on the subject, then to follow guidelines of regulatory body i.e. AICTE and further in case, it is not covered by any regulatory body, the University shall follow best practice from other more established universities.

Appeal Case No.1721 of 2018



On the asking of the Court, Shri Harish Jindal, Appellant who is present, states that he is not satisfied with this reply. He further states that he wants specific reply whether the Rules governing the IKG Punjab Technical University or its affiliated colleges, apply to the private colleges also.

PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the specific information to the Appellant as to whether the Rules/ guidelines governing the IKG Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar, also apply to the affiliated colleges/institutes and the private colleges. The information be sent to the Appellant by registered post and postal receipt be produced on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to the next date of hearing which is fixed for 04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

Copies of the orders be given to the parties.

Dated:10.04.2019

Sd/-(Sanjiv Garg) State Information Commission Puniab