**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Ms. Savita Katyal,

H.No.1198-A/24,

Jagdish Colony, Rohtak. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Inspector General of Police (Zonal),

Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1361 of 2017**

Present- None on behalf of the appellant .

Shri Ravinder Singh, ASI and Shri Major Singh, H.C., on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 24.07.2017, when Shri Ravinder Singh, ASI, appearing on behalf of the respondent-public authority, reiterated that the requisite information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter No. 4222/G/RTI, dated 06.04.2017 and again vide letter dated 16.6.2017 by registered post but no observations had been received from the appellant.

2. The appellant was not present without any intimation. However, a letter dated 17.06.2017, addressed to the Commission, was received from her vide which deficiencies in the provided information had been pointed out but this letter, containing deficiencies, had not been sent to the PIO. Accordingly, a copy of the letter dated 17.06.2017, received from the appellant, was sent to the PIO with the directions that
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complete information be supplied to the appellant after removing the deficiencies pointed out by her, before the next date of hearing and in case any information was not available in the record or could not be supplied, then a Speaking Order to this effect be passed. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, Shri Ravinder Singh, ASI , appearing on behalf of the respondents, states that the investigation in the matter is yet not complete and thus the proceedings cannot be supplied. Accordingly, the PIO is again directed to pass a Speaking Order, before the next date of hearing, spelling out in detail as to why the requisite information cannot be supplied to the appellant.

4. To come up on **07.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Gagandeep Singh Threeke,

Social RTI Activist,

SCO 26, Shant Park, Main Sua Road,

Threeke, District Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Tehsildar (W), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1997 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Mandeep Singh Dhillon, Tehsildar(West) Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 04.09.2017, when none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents without any intimation. Viewing the absence of the respondents seriously, the PIO was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant within 15 days, under intimation to the Commission and explain reasons for today’s absence. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Shri Mandeep Singh Dhillon, Tehsildar(West) Ludhiana, appearing on behalf of the respondents informs that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter No. 50/SR(W), dated 03.05.2017 and a copy of the same has again been sent to him vide letter No. 231/SR(W), dated 06.10.2017. He submits copies
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of both the letters to the Commission, which are taken on record. He further informs that no observations, on the provided information, has been received from the appellant till date. Accordingly, one last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to furnish his observations, if any, on the provided information, to the PIO with a copy to the Commission, failing which case will be decided on the basis of documents, placed on record.

3. To come up on **07.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri M.S. Sodhi,

WZ-14,Sant Nagar, Third Floor,

Tilak Nagar, Chowkhandi Chowk, New Delhi. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o District Revenue Officer,

Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

O/o District Transport Officer,

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1999 of 2017**

Present:- Shri M. S. Sodhi, Appellant, in person.

Ms. Lovejeet Kalsi, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 04.09.2017, when the PIO of the office of District Transport Officer, Ludhiana was impleaded as a necessary party in this case and he was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, Ms. Lovejeet Kalsi, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana, appearing on behalf of the respondents, submits a letter No. 12982-12983, dated 04.10.2017, addressed to the appellant, with a copy endorsed to the Commission, informing the appellant that the information asked for by him, being third party
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information, is exempted from disclosure under Section 8(1)(d)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. It has been further informed that the third party has not given her consent to supply her personal information. Besides, the written submission made by the father of the third party is handed over to the appellant.

3. After hearing both the parties and discussing the matter at length, I accept the plea put forth by the respondent in denying the information to the appellant. However, the respondent is directed to submit a report to the Commission after verifying the documents submitted by the third party as to whether the said Driving License has been rightly issued to her or not.

4. In these circumstances, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri M.S. Sodhi,

WZ-14,Sant Nagar, Third Floor,

Tilak Nagar, Chowkhandi Chowk, New Delhi. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o District Revenue Officer,

Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

o/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2001 of 2017**

Present:- Shri M. S. Sodhi, Appellant, in person.

Shri Gurcharan Singh, Health Worker, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 04.09.2017, when none was present on behalf of the respondents. However, a letter No. 3247-48/8199/PIO/RTI, dated 14.08.2017 was received from DRO-cum-PIO, Ludhiana informing that the information sought for by the appellant related to Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana and the application had been transferred to them. Accordingly, the PIO of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana was impleaded as a necessary party and he was directed to supply the requisite information to the appellant, before the next date of hearing, under intimation to the Commission. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents hands over requisite information to the appellant, who after perusing the same, expresses satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**scic@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:scic@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Ram Raspal Singh

s/o Shri Fauja Singh, Village Ajit Nagar (Wazir Bhullar),

Gali No.7, P.O. Beas, Tehsil: Baba Bakala,

District: Amritsar. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Amritsar (Rural). -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 738 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Ram Raspal Singh, Complainant, in person.

Shri Shamsher Singh, ASI, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 04.09.2017, when the complainant informed that he had been informed by the PIO-cum-SSP Rural Amritsar that the inquiry into the matter was in progress due to which information could not be supplied at this juncture.

None was present on behalf of the respondents without any intimation. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to submit a status report of the inquiry on the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the representative of the respondents submits a letter No. 214/RTI, dated 02.10.2017 from SSP-cum-PIO, Amritsar Rural vide which it has been informed that the information has been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 21.08.2017 by ordinary post. The complainant informs that he has not received the said letter. Consequently, the representative of the respondents hands over a copy of information to the complainant, who after perusing the same, expresses satisfaction.

3. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri Harjinder Singh,

H.No.1423/20, Phase-11,

Mohali. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority,

Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority,

Mohali. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1204 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Harjinder Singh, Appellant, in person.

Shri Tarsem Singh, Superintendent(H)-cum-APIO, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when Smt. Suman Bala, Assistant Estate Officer-cum-PIO, submits an affidavit dated 07.08.2017, explaining in detail the reasons for delay in the supply of information, The plea put forth by her was accepted and thus the Show-Cause Notice issued to her was dropped.

2. The respondent submitted a copy of Memo. No. GMADA/E.O.(H)/2017/33570, dated 04.08.2017 from Superintendent(H), GMADA, S.A.S. Nagar vide which it was informed that the main file relating to House No. 1423/6, Sector: 65 had been put up to higher authorities for further action. It was assured that as and when the file was received back, requisite information would be supplied to the
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appellant. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, the representative of the respondents informs that the main file has been received back from the higher authorities. Accordingly, it is directed that suitable action be taken and requisite information be supplied to the appellant as per his RTI application, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing.

4. To come up on **07.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for confirmation of compliance of orders.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri Ranjit Singh, Advocate,

Chamber No.122, Mahatma Gandhi Complex,

District Courts, Patiala. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o PUDA, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o PUDA, Patiala. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1229 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant .

Shri Jiwan Singh, Clerk, as well as the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, a letter dated 05.08.2017 was received from the appellant through e-mail on 07.08.2017 vide which he informed that he visited PUDA office on 04.08.2017 for inspection of record but the concerned official verbally informed him that there was no such case/record, where the interest was waived off or refunded due to late handing over of the possession. The appellant further stated in his letter that he has no objection if his case is disposed of, in case the Department submits a written submission to this effect. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to make a written submission to this effect, on the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned for today.

2. Today, the appellant is not present. However, a letter dated 07.10.2017
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has been received from him informing that a written submission, as per the orders of the Commission, has not been provided to him. He has requested to take action against the department.

3. The representative of the respondents states that a Speaking Order has been passed and a reply has been sent to the Commission vide Memo. No. P.D.A./E.O./2017/10824, dated 12.09.2017 by the PIO. This letter has been received in the Commission and has been taken on record. Accordingly, it is directed that a copy of this letter be sent to the appellant by registered post. In view of the written submission made by the PIO in the said letter, no more action is required.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri Navdeep Gupta

s/o Shri D.C. Gupta,

K. No.455, Gillco Valley,

Kharar, District SAS Nagar. --------Complainant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,

Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,

Sector: 9, Chandigarh. -------Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 401 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Navdeep Gupta, complainant, in person.

Shri Gurnam Singh, Senior Assistant, office of DGP, Punjab, on behalf of the respondent.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when the complainant was not present. However, he informed vide his letter dated 20.07.2017 that he never received Memo. No. 2270/RTI-2, dated 30.06.2017 from Shri Jasdip Singh Saini, P.P.S., AIGP-cum-PIO, Admn. Wing. Accordingly, the representatives of the respondents were directed that one more copy of the said Memo. be sent to the complainant and one last opportunity was afforded to the complainant to send his observations, if any, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, the appellant informs that he has already sent his observations,
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on the provided information, vide his letter dated 28.06.2017. The representative of the respondents informs that they have not received this letter as yet. Consequently, a perusal of the letter dated 28.06.2017 reveals that this letter has been sent to the Commission only and thus the observations have not been furnished to the PIO. Accordingly, the appellant is directed to send a copy of this letter, containing his observations, to the PIO and the respondent PIO is directed to send a reply to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission, before the next date of hearing.

3. To come up on  **22.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate,

Chamber No.82, District Courts,

SAS Nagar-160059. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

o/o Deputy Commissioner, Mini Secretariat,

SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority

o/o Deputy Commissioner, Mini Secretariat,

SAS Nagar.

Public Information Officer,

O/o GMADA, Phase-8,

S.A.S. Nagar. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 585 of 2017**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Shri Babu Ram, Draftsman, GMADA, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Senior Assistant, office of Deputy Commissioner Mohali, reiterated that the information relating to their office had already been supplied to the appellant and no observations had been received from him. Therefore, the PIO of the office of Deputy Commissioner Mohali, was exempted from attending the further hearings in this case. Shri Babu Ram, Draftsman, GMADA, informed that the information relating to their office had also been supplied to the appellant by registered post on 27.07.2017. Accordingly, the appellant was directed to send his observations, if any, on the provided information, to
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the PIO, with a copy to the Commission. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today, Shri Babu Ram, Draftsman, GMADA, appearing on behalf of GMADA, reiterates that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant on 27.07.2017 and no observations have been received from him.

3. The appellant is not present without any intimation nor any observations, on the provided information, have been received from him, which shows that he is satisfied with the provided information.

4. Accordingly, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,

District Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer

O/o The Manager

Punjab Police Help Line-181

Community and Policing,

NRI and Women Wing Building, Punjab Police,

Phase VII, SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Manager.

Punjab Police Help Line-181,

Community and Policing,

NRI and Women Wing Building, Punjab Police,

Phase VII, SAS Nagar.

Station House Officer(SHO),

Police Station Dakha,

District: Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 557 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Appellant, in person.

Shri Ajay Hans, Supervisor 181 Helpline, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when Shri Gurmail Singh, AIG/Law & Order-1, Punjab, Chandigarh informed that as per the orders of the Commission dated 11.07.2017, a copy of Inquiry Report had been sent to the appellant on 05.08.2017. He further informed that the appellant had not approached them to inspect the record to identify the specific documents required by him. Accordingly, one last opportunity was afforded to the appellant to inspect the record on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the specific documents still required by him, which would be supplied to him by the respondent PIO, on the spot.
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2. After the hearing was over and representatives of the respondents had left, a letter dated 07.08.2017 was received from the appellant through e-mail informing that a copy of Inquiry report had not been supplied to him as yet and the record had not been got inspected. He also pointed out deficiencies in the provided information. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to send one more copy of Inquiry Report to the appellant and supply complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies in the provided information pointed out by him. He was further directed to get the record inspected by the appellant on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the specific documents required by him and supply the same to him, on the spot. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Since S.P.(D) in the office of SSP Ludhiana Rural has been designated as PIO in this case, he is directed to get the record inspected by the appellant on a mutually agreed date and time to identify the documents required by him and supply the same to him, on the spot. He is also directed to explain reasons for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission and also bring the original record on the next date of hearing for the perusal of the Commission.

4. To come up on **07.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings at Chandigarh.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**CC: Superintendent of Police( Detective), REGISTERED**

**Office of Senior Superintendent of Police,**

**Ludhiana Rural at Jagraon.**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri R.K. Aggarwal,

H.No.1121, Sector 7,

Panchkula-134109. -------------Appellant

**Vs.**

The Public Information Officer

o/o Executive Officer,

Improvement Trust, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Deputy Director,

Local Bodies, Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana. -------------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 2834 of 2016**

Present:- Shri R. K. Aggarwal, Appellant, in person.

Shri Jeewan Bansal, E.O.-cum-PIO, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana and Shri Harmeet Singh, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondents.

**ORDER**

In this case, during hearing on 11.07.2017, a Show-Cause Notice was issued to Shri Jiwan Bansal, E.O.-cum-PIO, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana for imposition of penalty for the delay in the supply of information. Besides, in view of the loss and detriment suffered by the appellant during this long period, a compensation of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees five thousand only) was awarded to Shri R. K. Aggarwal, appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. Improvement Trust Ludhiana through a Bank Draft within 20 days. The case was adjourned to 07.08.2017.

2. On 07.08.2017, the representative of the respondents handed over a Bank Draft for Rs. 5000/- as compensation amount, to the appellant in the court. The appellant informs that the information had still not been supplied to him. Consequently, It was noted with concern that despite the issue of Show-Cause Notice to Shri Jiwan Bansal, E.O.-cum-PIO, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, he was not present nor reply to
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Show-Cause Notice was submitted by him till date. Viewing the defying attitude of the PIO seriously, one last opportunity was afforded to him to supply the requisite information and submit reply to the Show-Cause Notice issued to him on the next date of hearing, failing which Bailable Warrants would be issued against him. Besides, in view of the continuance loss and detriment being suffered by the appellant in obtaining the information in the instant case, a compensation of Rs. 1000/-(Rupees one thousand only) more was awarded to Shri R. K. Aggarwal, appellant, to be paid by the Public Authority i.e. Improvement Trust Ludhiana through a Bank Draft before the next date of hearing. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

3. Today, the respondent PIO informs that the information, available on record , has been supplied to the appellant. He submits reply to the Show-Cause Notice vide Memo. No. LIT/RTI/4689, dated 11.09.2017 along with an affidavit, explain in detail the reasons for the delay in the supply of information. The plea put forth by the PIO is accepted and consequently, Show-Cause Notice issued to him is hereby dropped.

4. The appellant informs that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- awarded to him, on the last date of hearing, has not been paid to him as yet. Accordingly, the PIO is directed that compensation amount of Rs. 1000/- be paid to the appellant through a Bank Draft by the Public Authority i.e. Improvement Trust, Ludhiana within 15 days. The PIO assures to comply with the orders of the Commission.

5. On the assurance given by the PIO, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, PUNJAB**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/)

Shri Naresh Devgan Sharma, Advocate,

Chamber No.7022/2, District Courts Complex,

Ludhiana. --------Appellant

**Vs.**

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Commissioner of Police (South),

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police-4,

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Commissioner of Police (West),

Ludhiana. -------Respondents

**Appeal Case No. 1688 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents.

**ORDER**

The case was last heard on 07.08.2017, when none was present on behalf of the appellant as well as the respondents. However, a letter dated 06.08.2017 was received from the appellant informing that he had furnished deficiencies, in the provided information, to the PIO vide letter dated 06.08.2017, with a copy to the Commission. Accordingly, the PIO was directed to supply complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies, pointed out by him. The case was adjourned to 13.09.2017, which was further postponed for today due to certain administrative reasons.

2. Today again, none is present on behalf of the appellant as well as the
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respondents. However, a letter dated 13/14.09.2017 has been received from the appellant through e-mail informing that he is unable to attend hearing as he has been implicated in a false case by the Police and has been detained in Central Jail, Ludhiana. He has requested to adjourn the case to some other date.

3. A letter No. 1161-5A-ACP-South, dated 18.08.2017 has been received from ACP South, Ludhiana vide which he has sent information to the Commission regarding Point No. 4(2) and Point No. 4(3). Regarding Point No. 4(1) he has informed that this relates to ACP West, Ludhiana and has been transferred to them for necessary investigation. Accordingly, the PIO of the office of ACP West, Ludhiana is impleaded as a necessary party in this case.

4. Besides, ACP South, Ludhiana is directed to send information regarding Points No. 4(2) and 4(3) to the appellant and ACP West, Ludhiana is directed to send information regarding Point No. 4(1) to the appellant, before the next date of hearing, who will revert back to the authorities in case of any deficiency.

5. To come up on **07.11.2017 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.**

**Sd/-**

**Dated : 09.10.2017 ( S.S. Channy)**

**Chief Information Commissioner Punjab**