

Tejinder Singh, Advocate,
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur - 144410 (Punjab)
(90410-04313)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Sub Registrar,
Jalandhar - 2 (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4686 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 04.05.2021. First appeal is dated 05.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 31.12.2021.

3. On an earlier hearing dated 29.07.2022, Sh. Munish Saini, Junior Assistant, stated that the information at point no. 02 of the RTI request is regarding 'Vasika' and 'N O C' for the period from January, 2021 to 4th May, 2021 and during that period NOC was not required and a point-wise reply dated 28.07.2022 in an affidavit (Attested by Executive Magistrate, Jalandhar) signed by the Sub Registrar, Jalandhar-II was submitted during the hearing. It is placed in the case-file.

3. Further, this case was heard on 10.08.2022 and none of the parties were present and final opportunity was given to the parties to appear before the Commission or appropriate order would be passed.

4. In today's hearing, neither the appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate nor the respondent is present.

5. After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 485 dated 26.08.2022 signed Sub Registrar, Jalandhar - 2, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 19573 dated 31.08.2022, has intimated the Commission that complete information has been given to the appellant, appended to which is a note dated 03.08.2022, signed by the appellant as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information and he (appellant) has requested to close this case. It, alongwith a copy of acknowledgement -receipt, is taken on record.

Contd...2/-

6. Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information and has also given his consent to close the case, hence, and the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Sandeep Khattri,
H. No. 126/B6, Gulmohar City,
Dera Bassi,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali)(Punjab)
(7009178045)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development),
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2543 of 2022

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khattri, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer (96143-02036) ;
ii) Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate(98764-68637), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 17.02.2022. First appeal is dated 24.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.05.2022.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 12.08.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, sought an adjournment in this case.
- ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request within fifteen days from that day.

4. Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, in today's hearing, states that certified copies of the required information has been given to the appellant.

5. The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Khattri, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the required information and the case be closed. He also submits a written-note dated 09.09.2022, signed by himself as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information . It (acknowledgement –receipt), is taken on record.

6. Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information and has also given his consent to close the case, hence, and the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Sandeep Khattri,
H. No. 126/B6, Gulmohar City,
Dera Bassi,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali)(Punjab)
(7009178045)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development),
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2541 of 2022

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khattri, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer (96143-02036) ;
ii) Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate(98764-68637), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 17.02.2022. First appeal is dated 24.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.05.2022.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 12.08.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, sought an adjournment in this case.
- ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request within fifteen days from that day.

4. Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, in today's hearing, states that certified copies of the required information has been given to the appellant.

5. The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Khattri, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the required information and the case be closed. He also submits a written-note dated 09.09.2022, signed by himself as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information . It (acknowledgement –receipt), is taken on record.

6. Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information and has also given his consent to close the case, hence, and the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.



Sandeep Khattri,
H. No. 126/B6, Gulmohar City,
Dera Bassi,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali)(Punjab)
(7009178045)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council, Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development),
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2542 of 2022

Present : Sh. Sandeep Khattri, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer (96143-02036) ;
ii) Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate(98764-68637), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 17.02.2022. First appeal is dated 24.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.05.2022.
3. On the last date of hearing, held on 12.08.2022 ;
 - i) Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, sought an adjournment in this case.
 - ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request within fifteen days from that day.
4. Sh. Kulwant Singh, Junior Engineer, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, in today's hearing, states that certified copies of the required information has been given to the appellant.
5. The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Khattri, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally states that he has received the required information and the case be closed. He also submits a written-note dated 09.09.2022, signed by himself as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information . It (acknowledgement –receipt), is taken on record.
6. Since complete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO and the appellant has also acknowledged that he has received the required information and has also given his consent to close the case, hence, and the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ms. Bhinder Kaur (Sarpanch)

Village Todarwal, PO Baberpur
Tehsil Nabha, Distt. Patiala (Punjab)
(9814241257)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,

O/o The ADGP (Intelligence)
SAS Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3102 of 2022

Present: Sh. Raj Singh on behalf of the appellant
For the respondent : Sh. Purshotam Kumar ASI (9417131510, o/o DGP
Punjab and Sh. Bhaskar Nath, Assistant (Intelligence Wing) (9888028429)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.08.2022 passed by the commission, vide which the respondent was directed to file their point-wise reply.

2. Today, Sh. Raj Singh , who appeared on behalf of the appellant, Ms Bhinder Kaur states that no information has been given to the appellant by the respondent.

3. Sh. Bhaskar Nath -Respondent , o/o Intelligence states that he has brought affidavit today in the Commission.

4. Hearing the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the respondent filed an affidavit today in the Commission mentioning therein that :-

“ That applicant vide application dated 08.04.2022 enclosed application dated 03.07.2021 which was addressed to “the Hon’ble ADGP (Int.) Punjab at Mohali in which it was stated that FIR No. 131 dated 31.05.2021 under Section 353, 332, 148,

Appeal Case No. 3102 of 2022

149 IPC Police Station Kotwali Nabha were wrongly registered against the husband of the applicant. The applicant requested to initiate a high level impartial inquiry into this case from an independent agency so that the reality can come out and given justice to the applicant by cancelling the false case against the applicant. In this regard it is submitted that applicant has wrongly addressed her application to the Addl. DGP Intelligence. The applicant was required to address her application to the DGP Punjab or Director Bureau of Investigation who are competent authority in investigating the criminal cases under the Criminal Procedure Code. The State Intelligence Wing deals with the collection, collation dissemination and keeping record of matters of political significance and larger public importance.

That it is further pertinent to mention here that the Intelligence wing conducts the enquiries of a confidential nature affecting the security of a state or relating to political matters and the Intelligence Wing officers are especially trained and earmarked for such purposes only.”

5. I have gone through the affidavit filed by the respondent and have agreed with the same. Original affidavit is handed over to the representative of the appellant today in the Commission. Regarding information i.e. copies of the complaints filed by the appellant. I fail to understand as to how the appellant seeking the copies of her own complaints/appeals. It is needless to say that if the appellant does not have copies of her own complaints/ appeals she has to blame herself and she cannot seek those complaints/appeals as a matter of Right. Further, those documents cannot bring under the definition “information” as defined

Appeal Case No. 3102 of 2022

under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act 2005. The instant Appeal is devoid of merit which is hereby **closed and disposed of**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

**Chandigarh
09.09.2022**

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Ms. Asha Malik,
Flat No. 07, Ground Floor,
Green City, Dhakoli,
Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar – 140603(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2964 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable(M.-82830-60022), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 18.02.2022. First appeal is dated 05.04.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.06.2022.
3. On the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022, none of the parties were present and final opportunity was given to the parties to appear before the Commission.
4. Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 57887 dated 08.09.2022 to the Commission, showing that complete information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 286 dated 17.08.2022. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information is taken on record.
5. The appellant, Ms. Asha Malik, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022. She is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. She has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to her, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.
6. After going over the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the response given by the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed and closed**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ms. Asha Malik,
Flat No. 07, Ground Floor,
Green City, Dhakoli,
Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar – 140603(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2966 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable(M.-82830-60022), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 18.02.2022. First appeal is dated 05.04.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.06.2022.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022, none of the parties were present and final opportunity was given to the parties to appear before the Commission.

4. Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 57885 dated 08.09.2022 to the Commission, showing that complete information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 21287 dated 10.04.2022. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information is taken on record.

5. The appellant, Ms. Asha Malik, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022. She is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. She has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to her, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

6. After going over the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the response given by the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed and closed**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Ms. Asha Malik,
Flat No. 07, Ground Floor,
Green City, Dhakoli,
Zirakpur,
Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar – 140603(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,
S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2965 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable(M.-82830-60022), for the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 18.02.2022. First appeal is dated 05.04.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.06.2022.
3. On the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022, none of the parties were present and final opportunity was given to the parties to appear before the Commission.
4. Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Head Constable, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 53326 dated 18.08.2022 to the Commission, showing that complete information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 15914 dated 15.03.2022. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information is taken on record.
5. The appellant, Ms. Asha Malik, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 24.08.2022. She is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. She has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to her, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.
6. After going over the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request and the response given by the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed and closed**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Raj Singh S/o Sh. Isher Singh,
Village – Todarwal, P. O. - Baberpur,
Tehsil- Nabha, Distt. – Patiala (Punjab)
(98142-41257)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Registrar, Inquiry Commission (Home), Punjab,
5th Floor, Forest Complex,
Sector 68, S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Secretary to Government of Punjab,
Deptt. of Home Affairs & Justice,
Pb. Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2488 of 2022

Present : Sh. Raj Singh , the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Gagandeep Singh(M.-98729-02695), Senior Assistant-cum-APIO
(Home – 4 Branch) ;
ii) Sh. Ankush (M.-88728-08889), Senior Assistant (Home – 4 Branch) ;
iii) Sh. Gurdeep Singh(94657-41205) Senior Assistant (Press – 2 Branch) ;
iv) Sh. Khalid Khan (88723-76800) Senior Assistant (Press – 2 Branch), on
behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 15.02.2022. First appeal is dated 22.03.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 10.05.2022.

3. The appellant, Sh. Raj Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

3. Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Senior Assistant-cum-APIO (Home – 4 Branch) ;
Sh. Ankush, Senior Assistant (Home – 4 Branch) ; Sh. Gurdeep Singh, Senior Assistant (Press – 2 Branch) and Sh. Khalid Khan, Senior Assistant (Press – 2 Branch), who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submit a reply vide letter no. 5074 dated 06.09.2022 intimating that a reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 5075 dated 06.09.2022 through registered post, intimating that the information sought is regarding an enquiry report of a complaint no. 4157, which has not been completed yet and whenever it would be completed, it would be given to the appellant positively. It is taken on record.

4. As the information is sent by the respondent PIO to the appellant vide letter dated 06.09.2022 and probably the same would be received by the appellant within coming two or three days.

5. In view of all the above, the case is **disposed of and closed** with the directions to the respondent PIO that whenever enquiry would be completed on the complaint no. 4157, report shall be given to the appellant.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Sushil Kumar

H. No. 1410, Phase – I,
Urban Estate, Dugri Road
Ludhiana – 141013 (Punjab)
(9814500575)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,

O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4944 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 02.08.2021. First appeal is dated 06.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 28.10.2021.
3. On the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2022 ;
 - i) The appellant , Sh. Sushil Kumar, stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
 - ii) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, who came present, sought an adjournment in this case.
 - iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission .
4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that its the procedure that a UID number is generated for each and every complaint with an intimation to applicant . He also submits a reply vide letter no. 962-D dated 01.09.2022, intimating that and the UID number (issued to appellant as acknowledgment of receipt of complaints) is essential to trace the status of complaints dated 30.07.2021 and 31.07.2021 (as demanded through RTI request), hence, letters were sent time and again to the appellant to provide UID number so that the required information could be supplied to the appellant but till date he has not provided the UID numbers . It is taken on record.

5. The appellant, Sh. Sushil Kumar, is not present in today's hearing but through an e-mail dated 23.07.2022, has requested to transfer all his appeal-cases including the above-mentioned case, fixed before the Bench of the undersigned (Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon). It is taken on record.

6. As the appellant did not provide the UID numbers to the respondent as per procedure laid down by the respondent department, hence, no cognizance is required to be taken on the above-said letter dated 23.07.2022 in the instant appeal-case, hence, the appellant is advised to provide the UID numbers to the respondent so that the required information could be supplied to him.

7. The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, after receiving the UID numbers from the appellant in accordance with the procedure laid down by the respondent department.

With the above-announced directions, the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Sushil Kumar
H. No. 1410, Phase – I,
Urban Estate, Dugri Road
Ludhiana – 141013 (Punjab)
(9814500575)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,
Police Head Quarter,
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4406 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 14.07.2021. First appeal is dated 12.08.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 29.09.2021.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2022 ;

- i) The appellant, Sh. Sushil Kumar, stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission .

4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that its the procedure that a UID number is generated for each and every complaint with an intimation to applicant . He also submits a reply vide letter no. 963-D dated 01.09.2022, intimating that and the UID number (issued to appellant as acknowledgment of receipt of complaints) is essential to trace the status of complaints dated 07.03.2021, 23.04.2021, 12.07.2021(filed by him) and 07.03.2021 and 12.07.2021 (filed by Ms. Neena Gupta)(as demanded through RTI request), hence, letters were sent time and again to the appellant to provide UID number so that the required information could be supplied to the appellant but till date he has not provided the UID numbers . It is taken on record.

Contd...2/-

5. The appellant, Sh. Sushil Kumar, is not present in today's hearing but through an e-mail dated 23.07.2022, has requested to transfer all his appeal-cases including the above-mentioned case, fixed before the Bench of the undersigned (Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon). It is taken on record.

6. As the appellant did not provide the UID numbers to the respondent as per procedure laid down by the respondent department, hence, no cognizance is required to be taken on the above-said letter dated 23.07.2022 in the instant appeal-case, hence, the appellant is advised to provide the UID numbers to the respondent so that the required information could be supplied to him.

7. The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, after receiving the UID numbers from the appellant in accordance with the procedure laid down by the respondent department.

With the above-announced directions, the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

(Regd. Post)

Ms. Kiran Sharma
W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)
(793081420)

Encl: letter no. 990-D/09.09.2022

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 379 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 14.10.2021. First appeal is dated 22.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 11.01.2022.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2022 ;

i) Sh. Sushil Kumar (representative of the appellant) stated that irrelevant information was given to the appellant by the respondent PIO.

ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that he has brought the requisite information vide letter No. 990-D dated 09.09.2022 in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant during the hearing . He also submits a reply vide letter no. 991-D dated 09.09.2022, showing that compete record, after collecting from 'Compliant Branch, has been given to the appellant'. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information, is taken on record.

5. The appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma , is not present in today's hearing but Sh. Sushil Kumar(representative of the appellant) through an e-mail dated 23.07.2022, has requested to transfer all his appeal-cases including the above-mentioned case, fixed before the Bench of the undersigned (Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon). It is taken on record.

6. A copy of the information, given vide letter No. 990-D dated 09.09.2022 is taken on record and a copy of the information (brought by the respondent) be sent to the appellant through registered post to him at her residential address.

7. After going over the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request and the response given by the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Ms. Kiran Sharma

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)
(793081420)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4941 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 02.08.2021. First appeal is dated 06.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 28.10.2021.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I. and Sh. Ravi Kumar, Constable, both office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana came present and stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant.
- ii) Sh. Sushil Kumar (representative of the appellant) intimated that part information is pending.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply in an affidavit signed by the concerned PIO to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission.

4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that its the procedure that a UID number is generated for each and every complaint with an intimation to applicant . He also submits a reply vide letter no. 963-D dated 01.09.2022, intimating that and the UID number (issued to appellant as acknowledgment of receipt of complaints) is essential to trace the status of complaints dated 30.07.2021 and 31.07.2021(as demanded through RTI request), hence, letters were sent time and again to the appellant to provide UID number so that the required information could be supplied to the appellant but till date he has not provided the UID numbers . It is taken on record.

5. The appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma , is not present in today's hearing but Sh. Sushil Kumar(representative of the appellant) through an e-mail dated 23.07.2022, has requested to transfer all his appeal-cases including the above-mentioned case, fixed before the Bench of the undersigned (Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon). It is taken on record.

6. As the appellant did not provide the UID numbers to the respondent as per procedure laid down by the respondent department, hence, no cognizance is required to be taken on the above-said letter dated 23.07.2022 in the instant appeal-case, hence, the appellant is advised to provide the UID numbers to the respondent so that the required information could be supplied to him.

7. The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, after receiving the UID numbers from the appellant in accordance with the procedure laid down by the respondent department.

With the above-announced directions, the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Ms. Kiran Sharma

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana (Punjab)
(793081420)

....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director General of Police, Punjab
Police Head Quarter,
Sector 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5455 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I.(78378-03000) O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana, on behalf of the respondent..

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 13.09.2021. First appeal is dated 13.10.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 07.12.2021.

3. On the last date of hearing, held on 22.07.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Sushil Kumar (representative of the appellant), stated that no information was given to the appellant by the respondent PIO.
- ii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through her RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission .

4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, A. S. I office of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, orally states that its the procedure that a UID number is generated for each and every complaint with an intimation to applicant . He also submits a reply vide letter no. 964-D dated 01.09.2022, intimating that and the UID number (issued to appellant as acknowledgment of receipt of complaints) is essential to trace the status of complaints dated 30.07.2021 and 31.07.2021(as demanded through RTI request), hence, letters were sent time and again to the appellant to provide UID number so that the required information could be supplied to the appellant but till date he has not provided the UID numbers . It is taken on record.

Contd...2/-

5. The appellant, Ms. Kiran Sharma , is not present in today's hearing but Sh. Sushil Kumar(representative of the appellant) through an e-mail dated 23.07.2022, has requested to transfer all his appeal-cases including the above-mentioned case, fixed before the Bench of the undersigned (Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Sh. Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon). It is taken on record.

6. As the appellant did not provide the UID numbers to the respondent as per procedure laid down by the respondent department, hence, no cognizance is required to be taken on the above-said letter dated 23.07.2022 in the instant appeal-case, hence, the appellant is advised to provide the UID numbers to the respondent so that the required information could be supplied to him.

7. The respondent PIO concerned is directed to supply the required information, after receiving the UID numbers from the appellant in accordance with the procedure laid down by the respondent department.

With the above-announced directions, the instant appeal-case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 9th September, 2022

**(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**