



Sh. Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 119 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh as Appellant**  
**Sh.Manjit Singh, Jr.Assistant O/o RTA Fatehgarh Sahib for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2018 has sought information regarding total number of driving licenses and fitness certificates of vehicles issued in April, May and June 2018 and other information concerning the office of RTA Fatehgarh Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant claims that no information has been provided to him. The respondent has handed over some information to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO has only provided fitness certificates of the vehicles issued but has not provided the number of driving licenses issued month-wise.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observed that the points 2 & 3 have been adequately addressed. Regarding points 1 & 4, the PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide the information as per RTI application.

Since there has been an enormous delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Ferozepur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 120 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh as Appellant**  
**Sh.Neeraj Kumar, Clerk, O/o RTA Ferozepur for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2018 has sought information regarding total number of driving licenses and fitness certificates of vehicles issued in April, May and June 2018 and other information concerning the office of RTA Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant claims that no information has been provided to him. The respondent present pleaded that the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.10.2018 even though no proof has been brought for dispatch of the information. The respondent has handed over a copy of the information to the appellant. The appellant has pointed out the discrepancies in points 1 & 4 and stated that has not provided the information month-wise. The PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies in the information regarding points 1 & 4.

Since there has been an enormous delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 121 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh as Appellant**  
**Sh.Tarjit Pal Singh, Data Entry Operator, O/o RTA Amritsar for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2018 has sought information regarding total number of driving licenses and fitness certificates of vehicles issued in April, May and June 2018 and other information concerning the office of RTA Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant claims that no information has been provided to him. The respondent present has brought some information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant has pointed out the discrepancies in the information and stated that the PIO has not provided the break up of the information. The PIO is directed to provide break up of the information.

During the hearing, it was observed that the PIO had earlier denied the information vide letter dated 16.10.2018 without citing any particular reason for denial of the information except a single line "does not fall under the purview of the RTI Act", after which the appellant filed first appeal and no decision was taken by the First Appellate Authority.

Since the information had been denied by the PIO in a random manner without citing any valid reason, and now stands provided after the notice of the Commission, the Commission has taken a serious view of the earlier denial of the information and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act for denying the information in an arbitrary manner.** He/She should file an affidavit in this regard, if there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission alongwith the written replies.

The PIO is directed to provide break up of the information regarding points 1 & 4.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 122 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh as Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2018 has sought information regarding total number of driving licenses and fitness certificates of vehicles issued in April, May and June 2018 and other information concerning the office of RTA Sangrur. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant claims that no information has been provided to him. The respondent is absent without intimation to the Commission. The PIO is directed to look at the RTI application and provide the information within 15 days and be present on the next date of hearing along with the reasons for not attending to the RTI application.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh  
Dated 09.04.2019

(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Manjinder Singh,  
# 2469, Sunny Enclave,  
Sector-125, Kharar,  
Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Regional Transport Authority,  
Faridkot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 123 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Manjinder Singh as Appellant**  
**Sh.Arvindeer Singh, Clerk, O/o RTA Fardikot for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2018 has sought information regarding total number of driving licenses and fitness certificates of vehicles issued in April, May and June 2018 and other information concerning the office of RTA Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present informed that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(KhushwantSingh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Ajit Singh, S/o ShGurcharan Singh,  
Village Arnauli, P.O Morinda,  
Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, Distt.Roopnagar.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Deputy Chief Engineer,  
Operational Division, PSPCL,  
Roopnagar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Engineer,  
Operational (South), PSPCL,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 128 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Gurjant Singh on behalf of the Appellant**  
**Sh.Bawa Singh, SDO-cum-APIO, PSPCL Roopnagar for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 05.03.2018 has sought information regarding copy of register containing test reports in respect of tubewell connections issued from Jan.2015 to April 2017 under any category/quota in village Salora and village Bada and complete files of tubewell connections issued to the farmers and other information concerning the office of Deputy Chief Engineer, Operational Division, PSPCL Roopnagar. The appellant was not satisfied with the information/reply of the PIO dated 17.04.2019 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.05.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present informed that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 17.04.2019. The Commission observed that the PIO has provided partial information regarding point-1 and denied the disclosure of information on point-2. However, the exemption of disclosure of information is not specifically quantified that why this information is being denied.

The respondent present also pleaded that the information is voluminous in nature and there are about 1000 files which approximately will lead to 7000 pages of information sought. The appellant is represented by Sh.Gurjant Singh who is not aware of the information sought. The matter will be adjudicated on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned. Both the parties to be present on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM** for further hearing.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Ashok Kumar Sharma,  
H No-2356/1, Mohalla Lal Bagh,  
Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o General Manager,  
PRTC, Patiala Depot,  
Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Chief Accounts Officer,  
PRTC, Head Officer,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 141 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Sh.Ashok Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Mrs. Rajesh Sharma, Superintendent, O/o PRTC Patiala for the**  
**Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.10.2018 has sought information regarding details of clerks/data entry operators/cashiers recruited in PRTC Patiala and the income generated during last 8 months through the buses plying to Jammu, Katra, udhampur, Amritsar, Batala, Jalandhar under KM scheme and other information concerning the office of General Manager, PRTC, Patiala Depot, Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant claims that the information has not been provided to him. The respondent present pleaded that since the dealing officials were deputed in a fair festival, the information could not be prepared and has sought adjournment.

Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that the appellant has not specified the date of information and directs the appellant to specify from which date the information is sought.

The Commission also observed that there is an enormous delay in providing the information and directs the PIO to provide complete information within 10 days and explain the reasons for delay in attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act. The reply be submitted on an affidavit.

The case is adjourned. Both the parties to be present on **15.05.2019 at 11.00 AM**. For further hearing.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jaspal Singh,  
Reporter O/o Jain Sanitary House,  
Opposite Jain School Street, Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,  
Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o State Transport Commissioner,  
Pb, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 142 of 2019**

**Present: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Nishant Joshi, Date Entry Operator O/o RTA Bhatinda for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.09.2018 has sought information regarding receipt of amount of fine collected for challan No.889092 dated 19.06.2018 for vehicle No.PB-31-R-9554 and the act under which the challan was issued and other information concerning the office of RTA Bhatinaa.The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present has submitted a letter of the appellant whereby the appellant has stated that he does not want the information and his appeal case be filed.

Since the appellant does not want the information, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**





Sh. Gurmukh Singh, S/o Sh.Mohinder Singh,  
H No-610, Sector-11, Preet Nagar,  
Kharar, Distt.Mohlai.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o General Manager,  
PRTC, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o PRTC, Head Officer,  
Patiala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 143 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.Gurmukh Singh as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Hardeep Singh, Clerk, O/o GM PETC Chandigarh for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.09.2018 has sought information regarding payment of TA bills alongwith its bill number and dates month-wise and other information concerning the office of GM PRTC Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 03.04.2019. The appellant claims that the PIO has provided incomplete information. The respondent further informed that since the dealing official has been on medical leave, the complete information could not be provided and the remaining information will be provided on joining the dealing official.

Having gone through the file, it is observed that the appellant had filed RTI application on 18.09.2018 whereas the PIO has provided the partial information on 03.04.2019 after the notice of the Commission and with a delay of seven months. The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time**, He/She should file an affidavit in this regard, if there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such persons of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission alongwith the written replies.

The PIO is directed to provide the information within a week and be present personally on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **28.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated 09.04.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(KhushwantSingh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. D.S Dhillon,  
# 192-C, Rajguru Nagar,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Guru Nanak Dev Engineer College,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Guru Nanak Dev Engineer College,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 145 of 2019**

**Present: Sh.D.S.Dhillon as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Harpreet Singh, Sr.Steno O/o Guru Nanak DevEngg College, Ludhiana**  
**for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 21.08.2018 has sought information regarding prior and present NBA (Accreditation) status of all the engineering branches and branch/institution wise approvals and rejection letters given by NBA/NAAC in last ten years and other information concerning the office of **Guru Nanak Dev Engg College, Ludhiana**. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.10.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 06.11.2018.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 06.11.2018 regarding point-1. The respondent has also handed over information regarding point-2 to the appellant. The appellant stated that the PIO has not provided the latest accreditation status. The respondent informed that it was in process. The PIO is directed to provide supporting documents which determine the status of the information regarding point-1. The information be provided within a week.

To come up for further hearing on **20.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh  
Dated 09.04.2019

(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commissioner