

Sh.Tejinder Singh, R/o Village Bholpaur, P.O Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

... Appellant

...Respondent

**Public Information Officer,** O/oGLADA, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Addl, Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No. 21 of 2019

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO GLADA for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.08.2018 has sought information regarding action taken report on his application dated 03.08.2018 against Sethi Ice Cream Flat No.930, MIG Jamalpur Colony and other information concerning the office of GLADA Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.10.20188 which took no decision o the appeal.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that they have already started action against the allottee of the flat and the allottee has been issued notices on 13.03.2019, 22.03.2019 and 05.04.2019 for calling reply. The respondent further informed that they have sent reply to the appellant on 05.04.2019.

The Commission recommends that the action against the defaulter be taken as per law and a compliance report be sent to the Commission. The PIO is also directed to explain the reasons for delay in attending to the RTI application.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019



Sh. Tejinder Singh, R/o Village Bholpaur, P.O Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

... Appellant

**Public Information Officer,** O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Addl, Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

# ...Respondent

#### AppealCase No. 76 of 2019

### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Santosh Kumar Bains, PIO-GLADA for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.08.2018 has sought information regarding permission granted for setting up of a factory namely M/s Sethi Ice Cream in residential flat No.930 MIG PHB Colony, Jamalpuragainst the building bye lawa and other information concerning the office of GLADA Ludhiana The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.09.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant has further informed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the department has not granted any permission to the allottee for setting up of a factory in the flat. The respondent further informed that they have already started action against the allottee of the flat and the allottee has been issued notices on 13.03.2019, 22.03.2019 and 05.04.2019 for calling reply.

The PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant as per the RTI application and explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Both the parties to be present on 04.06.2018 at 11.00 AM for further hearing.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019



Sh.Ashok Kumar, H No-214, Pine Homes, Dhakoli, Zirakpur.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA, PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali..

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

...Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 95 of 2019

## PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO GMADA for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.08.2018 has sought information regarding calculation sheet vide which the allotment price of land in IT city Mohali for setting up of a World Class Private Technical University was finalized alonwith allotment letter for the land allotted to Reimagining Higher Education Foundation and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrator GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.09.2018which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that they have already sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 06.11.2018. The appellant is absent and has sought exemption. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission on 04.04.2019 claims that he has not received the information as per the RTI application.

Since the appellant is absent and no discrepancy can be discussed at this hearing, the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **29.05.2019 at 11.00AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019 (Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

... Appellant



Sh. Ashok Kumar, H No-214, Pine Homes, Dhakoli, Zirakpur.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA, PUDA Bhawan, Sector-62, Mohali..

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Administrator, GMADA, Sector-62, Mohali.

...Respondent

#### Appeal Case No. 96 of 2019

#### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO-GMADA for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.07.2018 has sought information regarding complete proposal and approval under which applications are invited from eligible and interest entities to set up a university in IT city Mohali and other information concerning the office of Chief Administrator GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 26.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that they have already sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 09.10.2018 and again on 26.03.2019. The appellant is absent and has sought exemption. The appellant vide letter received in the Commission on 04.04.2019 claims that he has not received the information as per the RTI application.

Since the appellant is absent and no discrepancy can be discussed at this hearing, the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on 29.05.2019 at 11.00AM.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019 (Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

... Appellant



Sh.Dharmender S/o Sh Dhaniram, R/o Street No-11, Kabir Nagar, Opposite Gurudwara Shahidan, Near Nirmal Palace, Ludhiana.

Appellant

### Public Information Officer,

O/oDeputy Chief Engineer, Personnel, PSPCL, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/oChief Engineer / HRD,

PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

## Appellant Case No. 106 of 2019

#### PRESENT: Sh.Dharminder as the Appellant Sh.Ashok Kumar, Joint Secretary/Zones-cum-APIO PSPCL Patiala for the Rrespondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.07.2018 has sought information regarding backlog of scheduled caste category posts of lineman under approved direct recruitment in the state of Punjab and other information concerning the office of Deputy Chief Engineer, Personnel, PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.09.2018 which disposed off the appeal on 26.10.2018 with the directions to the PIO to provide the remaining information to the appellant as per available record within two weeks.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant informed that he has received a letter dated 12.02.2019 from the PIO whereby the PIO has denied the information. The respondent informed that there is no backlog of the posts of lineman since they have already stopped direct recruitment on such posts and the vacancy if any against the post of lineman is filled through promotion.

After hearing both the parties, the Commission directs the PIO that if the post of lineman still exists, to provide the information and if the post does not exist, then give it in writing. Further if the recruitment of this post has been stopped, to provide the decision. The information be provided within a week.

With the above directions, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019



Sh.Raghbir Singh, S/o Sh Daljit Singh, VPO Mauli Baidwan, Sector-80, Tehsil & Distt SAS Nagar.

Versus

Appellant

...Respondent

**Public Information Officer,** 

O/oEstate Officer, GMADA, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,

O/oGMADA, Mohali.

# Appellant Case No. 108 of 2019

## PRESENT: Sh.Raghbir Singh as the Appellant Sh.Gurvinder Singh, PIO O/o Estate Officer, GMADA Mohali for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.05.2018 has sought information regarding clarification on the notification published on 23.01.2014 for calculating A.P.Charges and other information concerning the office of Estate Officer, GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.07.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 14.08.2018. The appellant claims that he has received the information regarding points a & b but is not satisfied with the information regarding points c, d & e.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission is of the view that the information on points a & b stands provided and directs the PIO to provide the information on points-c & d. The PIO is also directed to clarify point-e

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on 29.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019



Sh.Rohit Sabharwal, Kundan Bhawan 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

...Respondent

Versus

### Public Information Officer,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Zone-A, Ludhiana.

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

## Appellant Case No. 111of 2019

## PRESENT: None for the Appellant Dr.Vipal Malhotra PIO-cum-Health Officer and Dr.Y.P.Singh for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 15.09.2018 has sought information on 8 points regarding number of stray cows and buffalos roaming upon the roads and other areas in the jurisdiction of MC Ludhiana and steps to lift the stray cows and other information concerning the office of MC Zone-A Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information regarding points 1, 2 & 3 has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 19.11.2018 and since the information regarding points 4,5&6 relates to the PIO-Account Branch, MC Ludhiana, they have transferred the RTI application to their account branch on 26.11.2018.

I have gone through the reply and observed that the RTI application for information on points 4,5 & 6 has been transferred on 26.11.2018 but with an enormous delay since it was to be transferred within 5 days. The PIO has also not adequately responded points 7 & 8.

The appellant is absent and no particular discrepancy has been pointed out by the appellant. It appears that the information on points 1,2& 3 has been provided to the best possible extent. However, since there is delay in transferring the RTI application regarding points 4, 5 &6, the PIO is directed to explain the reasons for delay in transferring the RTI application.

Further since the information regarding points 4,5&6 is in the custody of the accounts department, The PIO-Accounts Department, MC Ludhiana is impleaded in the case with the directions to provide the information on points 4 5 &6 as per the RTI application and explain the reasons for not attending to the RTI application within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned.. To come up for further hearing on 29.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-Accounts Department, MC Ludhiana



Sh. Rohit Sabharwal, Kundan Bhawan 126, Model Gram, Ludhiana.

Versus

Appellant

...Respondent

# Public Information Officer,

O/o Municipal Corporation, Zone-A, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Municipal Corporation,

Ludhiana.

#### Appellant Case No. 112 of 2019

#### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Dr.Vipal Malhotra PIO-cum-Health Officer and Dr.Y.P.Singh for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.09.2018 has sought information on 4points regarding total number of class-IV temporary workers engaged in all 95 wards to carry out the sewer work, details of EPF amount and other information concerning the office of MC Zone-A Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant on 06.04.2019 and has produced a document stating that the appellant has received the information. However, the Commission has received an email from the advocate of the appellant stating that the information has not been provided.

The case is adjourned for adjudication on **29.05.2019 at 11.00 AM.**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019

The PSIC Use

Sh. Jasbir Singh, Village Bolapur Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

#### Public Information Officer,

O/oPrincipal Secretary, Transport Department, Pb, Chd

**First Appellate Authority,** O/oPrincipal Secretary, Transport Department, Pb, Chd

...Respondent

# Appellant Case No. 114 of 2019

# PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as Appellant None for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 14.09.2018 has sought information regarding complaints received against Sh.Balvir Singh, ex-additional Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar from the year 2013 till date and other information concerning the office of Principal Secretary, Transport Department Punjab The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.10.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The appellant informed that he has received a letter from the PIO stating that the information relates to STC Punjab Chandigarh and they have transferred the RTI application to the STC Punjab Chandigarh. The PIO-STC has not provided the information.

The PIO-STC Punjab is impleaded in the case and directed to attend to the RTI application and provide the information as per the RTI Act.

The case is adjourned. Both the parties to be present on 03.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019 (Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector 17, Chandigarh.



Smt Gursimran kaur, R/o 836 MIG, PHB Colony, Jamalpur, Ludhiana.

Versus

....Appellant

#### Public Information Officer,

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb, Chd.

## First Appellate Authority,

O/oState Transport Commissioner, Pb, Chd.

...Respondent

# Appellant Case No. 116 of 2019

## PRESENT: None for the Appellant None for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.08.2018 has sought information regarding deduction of penalty amount of Rs.15000/- from the salary of Sh.Baldev Randhawa, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Gurdaspur imposed in appeal case No.1851/2017 by State Information Commission on 07.06.2018 and other information concerning the office of State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.11.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

Since both the parties are absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted and the case is adjourned.

Both the parties to be present on 04.06.2019 at 11.00 AM.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated 08.04.2019