RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 262 OF 2019

Sh. Bhinder Kumar Goyal, House No. 17002, Aggarwal Colony, Bhatti Road, Backside Parigama Hospital, Bathinda.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh. Bhinder Kumar Goyal, Complainant.

Ms. Saroj Rani, Superintendent -cum- APIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 08.05.2019.

- 2. The Complainant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. Ms. Saroj Rani, Superintendent –cum- APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent PIO and states that enquiry into the complaint is pending, so the information cannot be provided to the Complainant and same is conveyed to the Complainant.
- 4. The Complainant had filed a RTI application with the PIO on 20.10.2018 for certain information. He received a reply dated 14.12.2018 from the PIO, which he found unsatisfactory. The Complainant therefore filed a Complaint with the Commission on 13.03.2019 under Section 18 of the RTI Act.
- 5. The perusal of the file reveals that the complainant has not availed the provision of the Section 19(1) of the RTI Act by filing an appeal with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). As such the FAA has not been able to address the grievances of the Complainant.
- 6. It must be noted that there is an alternate and efficacious remedy of First Appeal available under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act. It appears that the Complainant has failed to avail the same in the instant case. Consequently, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had the chance to review the PIO's decision as envisaged under the RTI Act.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 262 OF 2019

- 7. In view of the aforesaid, the instant matter is remanded to the FAA. The Commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the Complaint (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.
- 8. The FAA is directed to peruse all the relevant documents during the hearing and examine whether the information provided by the PIO is complete, relevant and correct. Where the FAA is satisfied that the information provided by the PIO is as per the records, the First Appeal shall be disposed of. In the event, there are any deficiencies in the information provided by the PIO, the FAA shall direct the PIO to provide the complete information in reply to the RTI application dated 20.10.2018 to the Complainant.
- 9. In the given circumstances, this case is hereby, remanded back to the PIO who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005. In view of the above, the instant Complaint Case is hereby, Closed and Disposed off. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 07.08.2019 Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action:-

The Additional Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda.

Encl: RTI Application of the Complainant.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 971 of 2019

Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, # 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Inderjit Singh, Jr. Asstt. & Sh. Umesh Kumar, Clerk

For the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 01.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. The Respondent states that information sought by the Appellant is third party information and cannot be provided to the Appellant.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO is directed to file a written submission regarding the RTI application of the Appellant and should appear personally and explain his conduct and status of the Appeal to enable the Commission to arrive at a reasonable/logical conclusion in the matter, on the next date of hearing, failing which action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. Last & final opportunity is given to the Appellant to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **18.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2071 of 2018

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan (98722-20039). House No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, District-Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Chief Engineer, Punjab Water Supply & Sewerage Board, Patiala Circle, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Ravinder Singh, S.D.O. O/o Sewerage Board, Dhuri is present on

behalf of Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 26.06.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, he emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that personal exemption may please be given to him from today's hearing due to unavoidable circumstances.
- 3. Sh. Ravinder Singh, S.D.O. appears on behalf of the Respondents and requests that some more time be given to him to provide the complete information because information relating to different cities.
- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO is directed to appear personally alongwith the available information, if there is no other information then the Respondent PIO will file a proper affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **29.08.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appeal Case No. 3202 of 2017

Sh Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, New Mandi, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Engineer, PWD (B&R), Construction Division, Malerkotla (Sangrur).

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintending Engineer, Construction Circle, PWD(B&R), Sangrur.

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, (B&R) Sangrur.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. Jagdeep Singh Tung, Executive Engineer -cum - PIO in person.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.06.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, he emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that personal exemption may please be given to him from today's hearing due to unavoidable circumstances.
- 3. Sh. Jagdeep Singh Tung, Executive Engineer –cum PIO appears in person and bring the remaining information for the Appellant but he is absent. He further files an Affidavit mentioning therein that the available information supplied to the Appellant and no other information available in the official record. The same is taken on record.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent has submitted the remaining information alongwith the Affidavit, today in the Commission. As the Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. The Appellant is at liberty to collect the requisite information from the office of the undersigned, on any working day.
- 5. In view of the above, therefore, no further action is required in this Appeal case, hence this case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1622 OF 2019

Sh. Krishan Gopal Singla, S/o Sh. Brij Lal, Ward No. 16B/190, Sangrur Road, Tehsil Dhuri, District Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda.

Public Information Officer, O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority O/o Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 20.09.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 29.10.2018 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 23.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, representative of the Appellant emailed today, in the Commission and request for personal exemption.
- 3. The Respondent has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 15055, dated: 07.08.2019 mentioning therein that the information sought by the Appellant is not specific.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1622 of 2019

4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the Respondent – PIO (O/o D.C. Bathinda) transferred the RTI application of the Appellant to the PIO O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda vides letter no. 1228/RTI Bathinda, dated: 03.10.2018.

- 5. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda. I, therefore, order that PIO O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda be impleaded as necessary party as Respondent No. 2.
- 6. I further direct to the Respondent PIO O/o Tehsildar, Bathinda to bring the complete record relating to the RTI application of the Appellant, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 20056.
- 7. The case is adjourned to **18.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 07.08.2019 Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

After the hearing was over, Sh. Jagdev Singh, Jr. Assistant O/o D.C. Office, Bathinda came present on behalf of the Respondent. He has been apprised of the proceedings in today's hearing, including the next date fixed. As already noted above, adjourned to **18.09.2019 at 11:30 AM**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 4153 OF 2018

Shri Krishan Gopal Singla S/o Sh.Brij Lal, Ward No. 16,B/190,Sangrur Road, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Punjab, Sector 35, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Local Govt. Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Punjab, Sector 35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Parties.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission and he was also absent on the last 4th consecutive hearings dated: 31.01.2019, 28.02.2019, 27.03.2019 and 25.04.2019. However, he emailed today in the Commission and request for personal exemption.
- 3. Neither the PIO nor his representative is present for today's hearing. The PIO has not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is revealed that the representative of the Appellant has furnished the deficiencies to the Respondent PIO vide letter dated: 30.04.2019. The Respondent PIO is directed to remove the same.
- 5. Last opportunity is given to the Respondent PIO to appear personally alongwith the available information, if there is no other information then the Respondent PIO will file an affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **18.09.2019 at 11:30 AM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 743 OF 2018

Sh Varinder Singh (94641-14107), S/o Shri Ramesh Chand, Mohalla Bankhari, VPO Dholbaha, Hoshiarpur-144206.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab Livestock Complex, Sector 68, SAS NAGAR.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab Livestock Complex, Sector 68, SAS NAGAR.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None is present on behalf of the Appellant.

Sh. S.S. Rana, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 28.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. Sh. S.S. Rana, Sr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondents and states that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Appellant. He further states that as and when the inquiry is completed, the sought for information will be supplied to the Appellant as per the RTI Act, 2005 and same is conveyed to the appellant in writing.
- 4. The Respondent-PIO is directed that the enquiry may be got completed in a time bound period and on completion of the enquiry, the demanded information be supplied to the Appellant by registered post within two weeks, under intimation to the Commission. In case of non-compliance of the order of the Commission, the case will be reopened and appropriate proceedings as per RTI Act 2005, shall be initiated against the Respondent-PIO.
- 5. Keeping In view of the above, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 844 of 2019

Shri Parminder Singh S/o Sh. Jasmer Singh, House No. 249, Medical Enclave, Amritsar- 143001.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Local Bodies Department (Trust Cell), Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Additional Director, Local Government, Sathanak Sarkar Bhawan, Sector 35A, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Parminder Singh, Appellant.

Sh. Paramjit Singh, Sr. Assistant is present on behalf of Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. Sh. Parmajit Singh, Sr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondents alongwith the remaining information, which is handed over to the Appellant.
- 4. After going through the information, the Appellant expresses his satisfaction.
- 5. In view of the above, it is being observed that the Respondent has provided the remaining information duly attested to the Appellant today in the Commission and he is acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1081 OF 2018

Sh Surinder Kumar S/o Sh. Jagan Nath, Mohalla Nasirpur, Sultanpur Lodhi, Distt. Kapurthala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

PRESENT: Sh. Surinder Kumar, Complainant.

None is present on behalf of the Respondent - PIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 30.05.2019.

- 2. The Complainant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO till date.
- 3. The Respondent has emailed in the Commission mentioning therein that the inquiry is still pending in this case; therefore, requisite information cannot be supplied to the Complainant.
- 4. The Complainant had filed a RTI application with the PIO on 08.12.2017 for certain information. He received a reply dated: 06.03.2018 from the PIO, which he found unsatisfactory. The Complainant therefore filed a Complaint with the Commission on 04.10.2018 under Section 18 of the RTI Act.
- 5. The perusal of the file reveals that the complainant has not availed the provision of the Section 19(1) of the RTI Act by filing an appeal with the First Appellate Authority (FAA). As such the FAA has not been able to address the grievances of the Complainant.
- 6. It must be noted that there is an alternate and efficacious remedy of First Appeal available under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act. It appears that the Complainant has failed to avail the same in the instant case. Consequently, the First Appellate Authority (FAA) has not had the chance to review the PIO's decision as envisaged under the RTI Act.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1081 OF 2018

- 7. In view of the aforesaid, the instant matter is remanded to the FAA. The Commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the Complaint (enclosed herewith) as the First Appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard.
- 8. The FAA is directed to peruse all the relevant documents during the hearing and examine whether the information provided by the PIO is complete, relevant and correct. Where the FAA is satisfied that the information provided by the PIO is as per the records, the First Appeal shall be disposed of. In the event, there are any deficiencies in the information provided by the PIO, the FAA shall direct the PIO to provide the complete information in reply to the RTI application dated 20.10.2018 to the Complainant.
- 9. In the given circumstances, this case is hereby, remanded back to the PIO who is directed to decide the RTI application afresh as per provisions of the RTI Act 2005. In view of the above, the instant Complaint Case is hereby, Closed and Disposed off. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 07.08.2019 Sd/(Hem Inder Singh)
State Information Commissioner

Copy to Intimation and Necessary Action:-

The Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

Encl: RTI Application of the Complainant.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1560 OF 2018

Shri Manjit Singh (76962-82813) (President), Anti Corruption Association of India (Regd.) 388/3, Bahera Road, Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Punjab State Transport Commissioner, SCO No.177-178, Sector-17-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab State Transport Commissioner, SCO No.177-178, Sector-17-C, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/O Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Manjit Singh, Appellant.

Advocate Pooja Rani is present on behalf of the Respondent No. 2.

Sh. Gupral Singh, APIO O/o STC, Punjabi is present on

behalf of Respondent No. 1.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated: 25.04.2019.

- The Appellant states that he went to the office of the Respondent-PIO for the inspection of the record, but they did not get the inspection done in this case.
 He requests the Court to direct the respondents to allow him to inspect the record.
- 3. Advocate Pooja Rani O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala appear and requests that some more time be given to her to provide the information.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1560 OF 2018

- 4. Accordingly, the Appellant is advised to visit the office of the PIO on a mutually agreed date and time to obtain the information after inspection of record and the authorities will ensure that the information, available in their office record, is supplied to him on the spot and in case any information is not available or is exempted as per the provisions of RTI Act, 2005, then a detailed reply be filed for the perusal of the Commission, on the next date of hearing.
- 5. The Respondent No. 1 is exempted from personal appearance on the next date of hearing.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **24.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1628 of 2019

Sh. Rashid Mohd. S/o Sh. Ruldu Mohd., V.P.O. Haider Nagar, Tehsil Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Moga.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Directorate of Pubic Instructions (Elementary), Punjab, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Rashid Mohd., Appellant.

Sh. Sachin Kumar, Jr. Assistant for the Respondents.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 18.09.2018. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 04.01.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 30.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. Sh. Sachin Kumar, Jr. Assistant appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO alongwith the remaining information and the same is handed over to the Appellant during the hearing. The Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 4. After going through the information, he expressed his willingness to close the case as he is satisfied with the provided information.
- 5. In view of the above, the Respondent has supplied the remaining information to the Appellant today in the Commission and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed and disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1647 OF 2019

Versus

Sh. Sarabjit Singh, S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh, House No. 292, Nagar Sudhar Trust Colony, Street No. 5, Jail Road, Gurdaspur.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Secretary, Local Government Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Secretary, Local Government Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the parties.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 06.02.2019 First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 11.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 01.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission. However, he has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 15337, dated: 09.08.2019 mentioning therein that personal exemption may please be given to him from today's hearing. He further writes that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. The Respondent has sent a letter in the Commission vide diary no. 11072, dated: 04.06.2019 mentioning therein that the information sought by the Appellant is relating with the PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur therefore, RTI application of the Appellant has been transferred to the O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur.

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE No. 1647 of 2019

- 4. In view of the above, the Respondent PIO O/o Secretary, Local Government, Punjab is directed to retrieve the requisite information from the O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur and should appear personally, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. Since, the information is to be provided by the PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur. I, therefore, order that PIO O/o O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur be impleaded as necessary party as Respondent No. 2.
- 6. I further direct to the Respondent PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Dera Baba Nanak, District Gurdaspur to supply the information which is available with him to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 7. The Appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- 8. The case is adjourned to **24.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 1649 OF 2019

Sh. Major Singh, S/o Sh. Gian Chand, House No. 23, Street No. 2, Aman Avenue, Amritsar.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Directorate, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

PRESENT: None for the Appellant.

Sh. Satpal Narula, Superintendant -cum - PIO.

ORDER:

The Appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application dated: 10.01.2019 First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority on dated: 07.03.2019 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on dated: 01.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant is absent from today's hearing in the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Satpal Narula, Superintendant –cum PIO appears in person and states that information sought by the Appellant is not specific and a letter has been written to him to specify the demanded information vide letter no. ਸ1-ਡਸਸ-ਮਸਸ-2019/7421, ਮਿਤੀ: 08-02-2019 ਅਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰ: ਸ1-ਡਸਸ-ਮਸਸ-2019/14133, ਮਿਤੀ: 22-03-2019 but he did not turn up.
- 4. After telephonic discussion with the Appellant, he has specified the information to the Respondent. The Respondent PIO is directed to provide the available information to the Appellant, before the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The Appellant is advised to follow up his case in the Commission, failing which appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.
- 6. The case is adjourned to **24.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 2926 OF 2017

Sh. Narinderjeet Singh, (94634-22558), Village Jasowal, P.O. Sandhuwal, Tehsil & District Patiala.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o General Manager, PRTC, Patiala Depot, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Managing Director PRTC, Head Office, Patiala.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Narinderjeet Singh, Appellant.

Ms. Rajesh Sharma, Superintendent -cum- APIO.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.05.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that incomplete information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. Ms. Rajesh Sharma, Superintendent –cum- APIO appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO alongwith the remaining information and the same is handed over to the Appellant during the hearing.
- 4. After going through the information, he expressed his willingness to close the case as he is satisfied with the provided information.
- 5. In view of the above, the Respondent has supplied the remaining information to the Appellant today in the Commission and he has acknowledged the same. Therefore, no further action is required. Hence this Appeal Case is **closed** and **disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO. 3613 OF 2018

Shri Jaswinder Singh, 22, Flower Dale Colony, Barewal Road,Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer.

O/o Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No. 1, Gurdaspur.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division No. 2, Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Superintending Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Circle, Gurdaspur.

...Respondents

PRESENT: Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Appellant.

Sh. Jagjeet Singh, S.D.O. for the Respondents.

ORDER:

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.04.2019.

- 2. The Appellant states that no information has been provided to him by the Respondent PIO.
- 3. Sh. Jagjeet Singh, S.D.O. appears on behalf of the Respondent-PIO and states that the information cannot be supplied to the Appellant because information sought is not available in the official record.
- 4. Last opportunity is given to Sh. Surinder Kumar Sharma, Executive Engineer -cum- PIO is either to furnish the necessary information to the Appellant, if there is no other information then the Respondent PIO will file an affidavit duly attested in response to the information supplied, on the next date of hearing, failing which strict action will be initiated against him as per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 5. The case is adjourned to **03.09.2019 at 12:30 PM.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh 07.08.2019