



Smt Saloni Alias Smt Kiran Bala,
W/o Sh Arun Kumar,
R/o No-69, Street No-2, Anandpuri,
Near Mata Vaishno Devi Charitable Hospital,
Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer,
Distribution (Central), PSPCL,
Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 338 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Deepinder Singh, Sr.Xen, PSPCL Ludhiana for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 03.01.2019 has sought information regarding action taken report on the complaint filed against Sh.Ramsh Bawa s/o Mohal Lal of Ludhiana and other information concerning the office of Chief Engineer, Distribution (Central) PSPCL Ludhiana. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 24.01.2019 whereby the PIO informed the complainant that the department has not taken action against Sh.Ramesh Bawa since as per enquiry from the concerned police officer, police station, Jodhewal, Sh.Ramesh Bawa was not kept in the custody of the police. Thereafter, the complainant filed complaint in the Commission on 02.04.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 24.01.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission.

The Commission observes that the RTI application has been attended to by the PIO within a prescribed time of 30 days but the appellant on not being satisfied, has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority.

Since the RTI has been replied within 30 days, the case is disposed off and closed. The appellant if not satisfied with the information, he should go to the First Appellate Authority.

The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Gora Lal, S/o Sh Manohar Lal,
R/o H No-1, Street No-1, Professor Colony,
Sirhind Mandi, Fatehgarh Sahib.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, PSPCL,
Sirhind, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 345 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
Sh.Arvider Singh, Asstt.Engineer, PSPCL Sirhind for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 09.01.2019 has sought information regarding status of complaint filed before the DC Fatehgarh Sahib on 30.10.2018 and forwarded by them to the office of the Xen PSPCL Sirhind for illegal encroachment of gate in residential colony, Pearl Enclave and other information concerning the office of Xen PSPCL Sirhind. The complainant was not provided the information after which he filed complaint in the Commission on 03.04.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 22.03.2019. Regarding delay in attending to the RTI application, the respondent stated that the RTI application was received in City Sub Division on 18.01.2019 and City Sub Division submitted reply to the Xen on 31.01.2019 for approval which sent it to the appellat vide letter dated 22.03.2019.

The Commission is not satisfied with the reply of the PIO and hereby directs the respondent to file a detailed reply giving sequence of events that why delay has been occurred.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **18.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Bharbhur Kaur, W/o Sh Nachattar Singh,
Village Arniwala Wajira, P.O Phulu Khera, Tehsil Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1264 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Manjit Singh, ASI O/o SSP Sri Mukatsar Sahib for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 03.08.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the letter file No.2-PB/109/D/15 dated 15.01.2016 sent by National Commission for Scheduled Castes Chandigarh relating to enquiry conducted on complaint dated 27.10.2014 and other information concerning the office of SSP Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant in an earlier appeal case No.3185/2017 which was filed by the appellant seeking the same information and the said appeal case was disposed off by Sh.A.S.Kaler, State Information Commission on 15.03.2018. A copy of the information is submitted to the Commission.

The appellant is absent. I have gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO and find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied and no further course of action is required. The PIO is directed to send a copy of the information again to the appellant through registered post.

The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3678 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Joginder Singh, Superintendent-PIO O/o DC Moga for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 25.01.2018 filed before the DC Moga for illegal constructios in SDM Moga's office and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. Sh.Davinder Kumar, RTI Clerk was present who submitted a letter signed by the PIO vide which the PIO informed the appellant vide letter dated 09.08.2018 that the complaint dated 25.01.2018 was not received by them. The PIO was directed to trace out the relevant record and provide the information to the appellant.

The case was again heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. The case was described to Sh.Joginder Singh, respondent and he was given one more opportunity to provide the information before the next date of hearing. On the next date of hearing which was heard on 20.03.2019, the appellant was absent and sought adjournment.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3680 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the representations of the appellant in State Information Commission cases AC-4155, AC-4160 and AC-3369 and other information concerning the office of SDM Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. Sh.Prince Kumar, RTI Clerk was present. A copy of the RTI application was handed over to him for forwarding the same to the respondent PIO concerned and the PIO was directed to provide the information as per RTI application.

The case was again heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. Sh.Manveer Singh Bath, advocate appeared and submitted a reply dated 21.02.2019, a copy of which was handed over to the appellant and the appellant was asked to express his grievances in writing to the PIO. The PIO was directed to remove the same. On the next date of hearing which was heard on 20.03.2019, the appellant was absent and sought adjournment.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent is absent. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

Having gone through the reply, I observe that the appellant has asked for information that what action has been taken on the observations of the State Information Commissioner in the said appeal cases. The PIO is directed to look at the RTI application and provide the action taken, if any, on the observations of the State Information Commissioner. Regarding point-5, if there is any information, it be provided. The Point-6 is ambiguous, so no information to be provided.

To come up for further hearing on **18.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3682 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Joginder Singh, Superintendent-PIO O/o DC Moga for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 08.03.2018 filed before the DC Moga for investigation of TDS scam in SDM Moga's office in land acquisition for widening of NH71 and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. Sh.Davinder Kumar, RTI Clerk was present who submitted a letter signed by the PIO vide which the PIO informed that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant sought adjournment to go through the information and to point out the discrepancies.

The case was again heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. The appellant pointed out the discrepancies to the respondent and the respondent was directed to remove the same. On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, the appellant was absent and sought adjournment.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3690 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Joginder Singh, Superintendent-PIO O/o DC Moga for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information regarding rules/provisions for operating camp office by the DC Moga and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. Sh.Davinder Kumar, RTI Clerk was present who submitted a letter signed by the PIO vide which the PIO sent reply to the appellant informing that the information sought is not specific. The PIO was directed to relook the RTI application and provide the information

The case was again heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. The respondent informed that the part information regarding points 1,2 & 3 is not available in their office and part information sought by the appellant is not specific. The respondent was directed to either provide the information or file a written reply. On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, the appellant was absent and sought adjournment.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the information is not available in their record and the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant has withdrawn the appeal case.

Since the appellant has withdrawn the appeal, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3692 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Joginder Singh, Superintendent-PIO O/o DC Moga and Sh.Jagsir Singh,
Gram Sewak O/o BDPO Moga for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.07.2018 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 10.05.2018 filed against BDPO Block II Moga and Sh.Jagsir Singh Panchayat Secretary village Salina Block II Moga and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.09.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 29.01.2019. Sh.Davinder Kumar, RTI Clerk and Sh.Jagsir Singh, Panchayat Secretary were present. After hearing the parties concerned, it was considered that an official of DDPO Moga be called to represent the case and accordingly, a copy of the order was sent to the DDPO Moga to be present on the next date of hearing.

The case was again heard on 26.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. The respondent Sh.Avtar Singh Panchayat Secretary appeared and sought adjournment. On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, Sh.Avtar Singh Panchayat Secretary brought a reply of the PIO-BDPO dated 19.03.2019 to be handed over to the appellant. The appellant was absent and sought adjournment. The PIO was directed to send the reply to the appellant through registered post. The appellant was given one more opportunity to express his grievances and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

The case has come up for hearing today. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information. Having gone through the RTI application, I observe that the appellant wants to know the action taken on his complaint and other documents. The PIO-BDPO is implicated in the case and directed to provide the information as per the RTI application. The Point-5 is ambiguous.

To come up for further hearing on **18.11.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to PIO-Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Moga-2



Sh Hari Singh S/o Sh.Harbans Singh,
Kazi Kot Road, Near Rohi Pull,
TarnTarn-143401 (Pb).

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Moga(Pb)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Ferozpur Range,
Ferozpur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3963 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.Hari Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Mohinder Singh, ASI (Incharge RTI) for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 16.03.2018 has sought information regarding complaint cases filed in last 10 years and action taken on the complaints and other information concerning the office of SSP Moga. The appellant was not provided the information after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.05.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner on 28.01.2019. Sh.Mohinder Singh ASI was present. The appellant was absent. The case was adjourned.

The case was again heard on 13.02.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. The respondent submitted a reply dated 12.02.2019 signed by the PIO stating that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant expressed his dissatisfaction over the information. The appellant was directed to point out discrepancies in writing and the PIO was directed to remove the same. On the date of next hearing on 27.02.2019, the respondent brought relevant record and handed over a copy of the information to the appellant. For remaining information, the respondent was directed to supply the same or file written reply if the information is not available in the record.

On the next date of hearing which was held on 20.03.2019, the respondent handed over the requisite information to the appellant and also submitted a reply signed by the PIO dated 09.03.2019 which was taken on record. The appellant was again not satisfied. The appellant was directed to express his grievances in writing to the PIO and the PIO was directed to remove the same.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the requisite information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied regarding point-c. The PIO-RTI Cell is directed to send appropriate reply on point-C within 10 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Daljit Singh, S/o Sh Bachittar Singh,
R/o 201/100, Block-J, BRS Nagar,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Director,
Vigilance Bureau, Sec-68,
SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Director,
Vigilance Bureau, Sec-68,
SAS Nagar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4302 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.Daljit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Rajesh Kumar, Inspector O/o Director Vigilance, Pb and Sh.Som Nath,
Sub-Inspector, O/o Vigilance Ludhiana for the Respondent

ORDER: The appellant through RTI application dated 30.05.2018 has sought information regarding number of cases registered from the year 2001 till date without following vigilance manual and the instructions dated 06.03.2000 of the Govt. and other information concerning the office of Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 18.06.2018 vide which the appellant was denied the information stating that the sought information does not fall under the purview of the RTI Act., after which he filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.08.2018 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was again heard on 23.01.2019 by Sh.A.S.Chanduraian, State Information Commissioner. Sh.Avtar Singh Inspector was present. After examining the record, it was found that the PIO sent a reply to the appellant vide letter dated 17.01.2019 stating that the information cannot be supplied and is exempt u/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act. After hearing both the parties, the investigating officer of SSP Vigilance Bureau Pb Ludhiana was impleaded and directed to be present on the next date of hearing.

On the next date of hearing which was held on 27.02.2019. Sh.Anil Kumar, ASI O/o SSP Vigilance Bureau Ludhiana appeared. The appellant claimed that the information has not been provided by the PIO. The PIO was directed to file a fresh reply in wiring on the queries raised by the appellant. On the hearing of 20.03.2019, Sh.Jaswant Singh, ASI Vigilance Bureau Mohali and Sh.Manmohan Singh, ASI O/o SSP Vigilance Bureau, Ludhiana appeared. On examining the record, it was found that the PIO vide letter dated 13.03.2019 has sent a reply to the appellant stating that the case No.38 dated 18.05.2002 was registered on the basis of source report and the information on source report is exempt u/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act.

The case has come up for hearing today. The respondent present pleaded that the reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and wants preliminary report before registration of the FIR.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **18.11.2019 at 11.00AM.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated:07.08.2019

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh,
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Licensing & Registration Authority,
-Cum- SDM, Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Abohar, Distt Fazilka

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 986 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh for the Appellant
Sh.Ankush –Data Entry Clerk O/o SDM Abohar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case was last heard on 25.06.2019. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent present pleaded that since the sought information is not clear, the appellant was asked vide letter dated 03.01.2019 and again on 26.02.2019 to clarify the information as well as the period of information but the appellant has not clarified the same.

Having gone through the RTI application, it was observed that the appellant has tried to seek information on the steps taken towards implementation of Section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005 which pertains to the obligations of the Public Authorities, whereby, the public authorities create a system to maintain records, as well disseminate them suo-motto in easily accessible form, preferably electronic and the Internet, so that the public have minimum resort to use this Act to obtain information. The STC Punjab was made a party to this and directed to inform that what steps have been taken at the District level to implement this section. The reply be sent within 15 days.

Hearing dated 07.08.2019:

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 27.07.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied and wants to know the steps taken towards implementation of section 4 of the RTI Act.

The PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission which still stands and provide the information.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 07.08.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to : PIO-State Transport Commissioner,
Punjab, Chandigarh.