

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Bhawan, near Rose Garden Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph. No. 0172-2864119 Fax No. 0172-2864125 E-mail - [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Sandeep Singh, S/o Shri Kuldeep Singh,  
R/o Village Rupalo, Tehsil Samrala,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Manager,  
State Warehouse,  
Ludhiana.

..... Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 770 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Sandeep Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.  
None present on behalf of PIO, O/o District Manager, State Warehouse,  
Ludhiana.

**ORDER**

Shri Sandeep Singh, complainant/applicant has filed RTI application dated 07.02.2018 before the PIO, O/o District Manager, State Warehouse, Ludhiana. No information has been provided to him by the PIO, then he filed complaint before the Commission.

The respondent PIO has never come present before the Bench on any date of hearing. The PIO was absent on 04.09.2018, 18.09.2018, 06.11.2018 and today i.e. 06.12.2018 is his forth absence, whereas the pendency of the complaint is in the knowledge of the PIO as he has sent a mail to the Commission on the last date of hearing.

The conduct of the PIO amounts to denial of information and defiance of the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. So, a Show Cause Notice is issued to the PIO that why you have not provided the information to the complainant/applicant within stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized.

The PIO is directed that he should come personally present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit and also file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case he would not come present on the next date of hearing, then his presence will be procured by issuing arrest warrant.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **14.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Smt. Renu Gupta, Sr. Clerk (Retd.) DRDA,  
H.No. 229/16, Rishi Dayanand Nagar,  
Baathwali Gali, Jail Road,  
Gurdaspur.

..... Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Joint Development Commissioner,  
IRD, Punjab,  
Sector 62, Vikas Bhawan,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

## **Complaint Case No. 579 of 2018**

**Present:-** Smt. Renu Gupta, complainant/applicant is absent.  
Shri Vinod Kumar, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Hardayal Singh Chattha,  
PIO-cum-Dy. Director, O/o Joint Development Commissioner, IRD, Punjab.

## **O R D E R**

Smt. Renu Gupta, complainant/applicant is absent today, however she has sent a mail, which is taken on the file of the Commission. She has submitted in the mail that incomplete information has been provided to her by the PIO.

So, a direction is issued to the respondent PIO that attested copies of complete information be provided to the complainant/applicant specifically Para-wise before the next date of hearing and come personally present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case of default, serious action will be taken against the PIO.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **14.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Yogesh Mahajan, (M: 98143-54649)  
S/o Shri Kuldeep Raj Mahajan,  
President of Anti Corruption Council,  
Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market,  
Mission Road, Pathankot.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Mandi Board, Punjab,  
Punjab Mandi Bhawan,  
Sector 65-A,  
S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Chief Engineer (North),  
Punjab Mandi Bhawan,  
Sector 65-A,  
S.A.S. Nagar.

.....Respondent

## **Appeal Case No. 3045 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Yogesh Mahajan, appellant is absent.  
Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Suptd., RTI is present on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Bansal, PIO-cum-XEN, O/o Mandi Board, Punjab, Mohali.  
Smt. Usha Rani, PIO-cum-Establishment Officer.

### **O R D E R**

Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Suptd., RTI filed an Authority Letter on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Bansal, PIO-cum-XEN, which is taken on the file of the Commission.

Shri Yogesh Mahajan, appellant is absent, however he has sent a mail that no information has been provided to him by the PIO.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.04.2018 and discussed the matter with the respondents. Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Suptd. stated that she has come present alongwith attested copies of information to be provided to the appellant, but the appellant is absent today. So, the PIO is directed that attested copies of information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 3 days and personally come present on the next date of hearing alongwith copy of information and copy of postal receipt.

Contd..p2..

..2..

**Appeal Case No. 3045 of 2018**

The appellant is directed that in case feels some deficiencies in the information which will be sent to him by the PIO, then he should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days from the receipt of the information, otherwise the appeal case will be heard and decided on the next date of hearing on the basis of information sent to him by the PIO.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **14.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2855 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 10.05.2018 was provided to him through post on 21.05.2018 and secondly on 29.06.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room No. 78370-18555 from his Mobile No. 78884-59837 on 09.05.2018.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2855 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 1637 dated 21.05.2018 and letter No. 164 dated 29.06.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2856 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 10.05.2018 was provided to him through post on 21.05.2018 and secondly on 29.06.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room No. 100 on 20.12.2015.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2856 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 1640 dated 21.05.2018 and letter No. 165 dated 21.06.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2857 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 21.02.2018 was provided to him through post on 06.04.2018. Now secondly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 21.02.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding complaint made by him on Punjab Police Helpline No. 181 on 23.11.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2857 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 96 dated 06.04.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2858 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 24.04.2018 was provided to him through post on 02.06.2018 and secondly on 10.07.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 24.04.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding complaint made by him against ACP/Rural, Ludhiana through his email on 02.06.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2858 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 1400 dated 02.06.2018 and letter No. 753 dated 10.07.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2859 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 02.05.2018 was provided to him through post on 02.07.2018. Now secondly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 02.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding complaint made by him to the Police Control Room on 26.10.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2859 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 158 dated 02.07.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2860 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 24.04.2018 was provided to him through post on 09.05.2018 and secondly on 11.06.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 10.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room No. 98158-00251 on 26.10.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2860 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 15 dated 27.04.2018 and letter No. 133 dated 11.06.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2861 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 14.05.2018 was provided to him through post on 21.05.2018 and secondly on 25.07.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 14.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room No. 78370-18555 from his Mobile No. 78884-59837 on 14.12.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2861 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 1671 dated 21.05.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2862 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 14.05.2018 was provided to him through post on 21.05.2018 and secondly on 25.07.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 14.05.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room from his Mobile No. 95175--64540 on 09.09.2017 or 10.09.2017.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2862 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 1672 dated 21.05.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal,  
# 40-41, Central Town,  
Village Dad, PO Lalton Kalan,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2863 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Arun Garg, appellant is absent.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Arun Garg, appellant is though absent, but he has sent a mail which is taken on the file of the Commission. I have gone through the mail. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that no information was earlier given to him and a few information was provided to him just few days ago. The appellant is submitting two views. On the one hand he stated that no information was provided to him and on the other hand he stated that few information was provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 13.11.2018 and on that date the appellant was absent and it was brought to the notice of the Bench that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 30.04.2018 was provided to him by hand on 15.05.2018 and secondly sent to him on 15.06.2018. Now thirdly as per the mail sent by the appellant the remaining information was provided to him on 19.11.2018.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 30.04.2018 filed by Shri Arun Kumar Garg, appellant. He is seeking information regarding calls and complaints made by him to the Police Control Room No. 100 from his Mobile No. 95175-78970 on 25.04.2018.

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2863 of 2018**

I have gone through letter No. 149 dated 15.06.2018 through which the information was sent to the appellant. A photocopy of letter dated 19.11.2018 is submitted by the respondent and on that date the remaining information was provided to the appellant.

On the last date of hearing, a clear cut direction was given to the appellant that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and in case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence on the basis of information provided to him by the PIO. The appellant though sent a mail, but has not come personally present and moreover he has not mentioned in the mail that which part of the information was not provided to him by the PIO. Simply mentioning in the mail that information is incomplete is not sufficient.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information provided to the appellant and heard Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge of RTI Cell. The PIO has provided the information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information which is related with the specific Police Station, the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application to the concerned PIO of the Police Station separately.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh**  
**Ph. No. 0172-2864119 E-mail – [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us -**  
**[www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**

Shri Sunil Kumar, S/o Shri Lekh Raj,  
R/o 1350/9, Gujra Wali Street,  
Chowk Baba Sahib,  
Amritsar. (M: 98727-79282)

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Mini Secretariat, Zila Kachehri Area,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Police Commissioner,  
Mini Secretariat, Zila Kachehri Area,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2841 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Sunil Kumar, appellant is present.  
Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of Shri Ashok Kapur,  
PIO-cum-PPS, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Inspector Dev Raj, Incharge RTI Cell submitted a copy of letter No. 285 dated 30.11.2018, which is taken on the file of the Commission.

The respondent sought some time. So, the PIO is directed that attested copies of information specifically para-wise be provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing. The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing alongwith copy of information.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **14.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Ashok Kapoor, (M: 98555-56210)  
# 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar,  
Ludhiana-141001.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

## **Appeal Case No. 2912 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant is present.  
Smt. Ratandeep Kaur, Jr. Asstt., RTI Branch is present on behalf of Smt. Savita,  
PIO-cum-DRO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### **O R D E R**

Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.04.2018 and he sought report upon his complaint dated 16.12.2016 filed before Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. No information has been provided to the appellant till today by the PIO.

The PIO has sent letter No. 3520 dated 05.12.2018 alongwith copy of letter No. 1168 dated 25.04.2018 and some other documents are annexed with the letter.

So, a direction is issued to the PIO-cum-DRO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana that the information sought by the appellant be provided to him before the next date of hearing, otherwise strict action will be taken against her under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **22.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Ashok Kapoor, (M: 98555-56210)  
# 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar,  
Ludhiana-141001.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (W),  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

## **Appeal Case No. 2913 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant is present.  
Shri Lalit Sharma, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of PIO, O/o Sub Divisional,  
Magistrate (W), Ludhiana.

### **O R D E R**

Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.04.2018 and he sought some information upon his application dated 13.06.2017.

The respondent who is present on behalf of the PIO sought some time. So, the PIO is directed that sought for information be provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on  
**22.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**  
**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**  
Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)  
Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Ashok Kapoor, (M: 98555-56210)  
# 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar,  
Ludhiana-141001.

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2914 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant is present.  
Smt. Ratandeep Kaur, Jr. Asstt., RTI Branch is present on behalf of Smt. Savita,  
PIO-cum-DRO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.  
Shri Lalit Sharma, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of PIO, O/o Sub Divisional,  
Magistrate (W), Ludhiana.

**O R D E R**

Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.04.2018 and he sought certified copies of complete file and status of his complaint dated 27.11.2016 against Mr. Gurcharan Singh and Mr. Harbhajan Lal who were working as Reader in the O/o Tehsildar (W), Ludhiana.

The respondents sought some time. So, the PIO is directed that sought for information be provided to the appellant before the next date of hearing.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on  
**22.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Rajesh Kumar, Chief Editor,  
RTI Activist, Vice Chairman,  
National Freedom Fighter Press Association,  
C/o 2253/1, Peepli Wala Town,  
Manimajra. Chandigarh. (M: 98151-48139)

..... Appellant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Police Headquarter, Sector-9,  
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Police Headquarter, Sector-9,  
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

## **Appeal Case No. 2975 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant is present.  
Shri Gurvinder Singh, APIO-cum-DSP, Security is present on behalf of Shri S.K Singh, PIO-cum-Inspector General of Police, Securities.  
Head Constable, Purshotam Lal is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab.

## **O R D E R**

Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.04.2017 before the PIO, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab.

I have gone through the Order dated 14.11.2018. The information regarding point No. 1 of the RTI application was provided to the appellant and information regarding point No. 2 of the RTI application is provided to the appellant today before the Bench at the time of hearing.

Point Nos. 3 to 7 of the RTI application are related with the office of Inspector General of Police, Securities, Punjab. Shri Gurvinder Singh, APIO-cum-DSP, Security is present on behalf of Shri S.K. Singh, PIO-cum-IGP, Securities and submitted a copy of letter No. 36723 dated 28.11.2018 as reply to point Nos. 3 to 7 of the RTI application. The copy of the same is handed over to the appellant. The PIO replied that the information regarding point Nos. 3 to 7 is related with the office of Security and the matters of security are dealt by Security Wing and the

Contd..p2..

**Appeal Case No. 2975 of 2018**

provision of information on this subject also falls in the purview of the Security Wing. He further stated that Security Wing has been exempted from the application of RTI Act, 2005.

The appellant sought time. So, the case is adjourned for 14.01.2019 for further hearing on this issue that whether the information sought by the appellant through point Nos. 3 to 7 of the RTI application is exempted under the RTI Act or not.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **14.01.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

# **PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden Sector 16, , Chandigarh.**

Fax No. 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864119- Email: [psic29@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic29@punjabmail.gov.in)

Website: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Shri Harkamal Singh, (M: 98783-62515)  
H.No. 6, Street No. 7,  
Karnail Singh Nagar, Phase -3,  
Pakhawal Road. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

**Vs**

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Police Station,  
Dugri-141003.

.....Respondent

## **Complaint Case No. 933 of 2018**

Present:- Shri Harkamal Singh, complainant/applicant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.  
Shri Karamjit Singh, ASI No. 645/LDH is present on behalf of PIO, O/o Police Station, Dugri.

### **O R D E R**

Shri Harkamal Singh, complainant/applicant was absent on 14.11.2018 and today he is again absent.

Shri Karamjit Singh, ASI was present on the last date of hearing and he stated that he went to the house of the complainant/ applicant but his house was locked. So, he could not provide the information to him. PIO was directed that the information be sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post at his address given on the RTI application within 5 days.

In compliance to the Order passed by the Bench on the last date of hearing, the PIO has sent the information to the complainant/applicant through registered post on 03.12.2018. Shri Karamjit Singh, ASI submitted a copy of information and copy of postal receipt, which are taken on the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and the information sent by the PIO to the complainant/applicant. PIO has provided the sought for information to the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Dated: 06.12.2018**

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Punjab**