Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Appellant

Sh. Gurnam Singh(9653496100)

s/o Sh. Chanan Singh, H.No.63, Indar Colony, Islamabad, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

Versus

Appeal Case No.: 1158 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Public Information Officer O/o Regional Transport Authority, Hoshiarpur

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secy, Regional Transport Authority, Hoshiarpur

Respondent

Present: None present.

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 2.9.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 5.11.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 7.3.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 6.9.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are absent in-spite of registered and intimation on phone, which is a sheer wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission.
- 3. After examining the case file, it is observed that an email is received from the respondent on 05.09.2022 showing that information is sent to the appellant. This email is taken on record. Nothing/no correspondence is received from the appellant after filing the case in the Commission, which means he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 6.9.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Note: After rising the court, the respondent Sh. Surinder Bhandari, PIO makes a telephonic call to the undersigned Bench and states that he was away to attend Sessions court. The deputed representative could not attend the meeting. He further states that the sought for information has already been provided.

Dated: 6.9.2022



Appellant

Respondent

Sh. Manjit Singh(8427498620)

s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Village Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, Distt. Ropar

Public Information Officer O/o GM, Pb. Roadways, Roopnagar

First Appellate Authority O/o Director, State Tpt. Pb., Chandigarh

Versus

Appeal Case No.: 1260 of 2022 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None present.

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 14.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 15.12.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 9.3.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 6.9.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are absent in-spite of registered post notice and intimation on phone, which is a sheer wastage of precious time and resources of the Commission.
- 3. After examining the case file, it is observed that nothing/no correspondence is received from the appellant after filing the case in the Commission, which means he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is disposed of & closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 06.09.2022

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Pankaj Kumar (9988241285)

s/o Sh. Nabh Singh, MCB Zone, Gali No.1-B, Surkhpeer Road, Bathinda – 151001.

Public Information Officer O/o M.C., Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o M.C., Bathinda

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2853 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(i) Sh. Sanjeev Goyal on behalf of the appellant
(ii)For the respondent PIOs: 1. Sh. Ravi Loona
2. Sh. Jatin Kansal, SDO
3. Sh. Ajmer Singh

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.05.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present to represent their case.
- 3. The appellant states that information sought for is still awaited. He states that the information with regard to point No.3, 4 and 5 has not been received till date.
- 4. The Bench, as per observations made above, is of the view that the appellant cannot seek information on single RTI application from multiple public authorities/PIO and advises the appellant to seek information from single PIO. (Order dated 04.05.2022 for the reference in this regard).
- 5. In view of above, the court directs the concerned PIO, Sh. Ravi Loona to supply point-wise information in connection with point no. 3, 4 and 5 to the appellant by Monday i.e. 12.09.2022, for which the respondent affirms.
- 6. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 06.09.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Versus



Respondent

Sh. Sanjeev Goyal (9814197689) s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, # 148, Model Town, Bathinda – 151001.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o M.C., Bathinda

First Appellate Authority O/o M.C., Bathinda

Appeal Case No.: 2854 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(i) Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, the appellant
(ii)For the respondent PIOs: Sh. Jatin Kansal, SDO (9803547547).

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.05.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present to represent the above mentioned case.
- 3. The appellant states that after the inspection, the pointed out information is still awaited in connection with point no. 1 to 6.
- 4. Respondent, Sh. Jatin states that information in connection with point no. 1 to 6 relates with the office of Executive Engineer, Central Works, Division No. 1, PWD (B & R), Bathinda and RTI application was transferred to the concerned office vide letter no. 106 dated 17.03.2021. He adds that information had already been supplied to the appellant in connection with point no. 1 to 6 by the concerned office.
- 5. After hearing both the parties and examining the available documents, respondent PIO, O/o Executive Engineer, Central Works, Division No. 1, PWD (B & R), Bathinda is directed to supply point-wise affidavit in original from 1 to 6 to the appellant with a copy to the Commission within seven days after receipt of this order.
- 6. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 06.09.2022



Appellant

Sh. Bhushan Jain (8146300392) s/o Sh. Rajinder Kumar Jain, H.No.392, Street No.3, Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

O/o Regional Transport Authority, Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 4256 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None present.

ORDER

- 1. Refer earlier orders dated: 10.5.2022 vide which both the parties were given a chance to represent their case on the next date of hearing. The respondent was directed to supply the information. The matter was adjourned for further hearing for 6.9.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing again both the parties are absent.
- **3.** In view of absence of appellant consecutively second time, the court does not see any further course of action required in the present case. No correspondence is received from the appellant after the previous hearing held on 10.05.2022 and a copy of that order was sent to him through registered post. Therefore, the present case stands **disposed of/closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 06.09.2022

Sh. Sandip Pathak(956970007) Chamber 806, Lawyers Chambers, 3rd Floor, District Courts, Bathinda

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 147 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Appellant

(i) Complainant - Absent.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (DSP, Head Quarter, Bathinda)

ORDER:

Present:

- 1. Refer earlier orders dated 19.4.2022 vide which an inspection was fixed for inspection of record with the directions to supply the identified information to the complainant. The matter was adjourned to 6.9.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is absent.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Gurdip Singh, DSP states the sought for information has already been supplied to the complainant after inspection. He adds that complainant met on 27.08.2022 and not pointed out deficiency in the supplied information. He adds that complainant was verbally demanded different information than the present case and complainant was informed that he can file fresh RTI application to collect that information. He further adds that a reply in this regard had already been sent to the Commission via an email dated 05.09.2022, which is received and taken on record.
- 4. As no correspondence is received from the complainant after the previous hearing held on 19.04.2022 regarding discrepancies in the supplied information.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 6.9.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Vs.



Sh. Sandip Pathak (9569700007) Chamber 806, Lawyers Chambers, 3rd Floor, District Courts, Bathinda

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 148 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Complainant - Absent.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (DSP, Head Quarter, Bathinda)

ORDER:

- 1. Refer earlier orders dated 19.4.2022 vide which an inspection was fixed for inspection of record with the directions to supply the identified information to the complainant. The matter was adjourned to 6.9.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is absent.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Gurdip Singh, DSP states the sought for information has already been supplied to the complainant after inspection. He adds that complainant met on 27.08.2022 and not pointed out deficiency in the supplied information. He adds that complainant was verbally demanded different information than the present case and complainant was informed that he can file fresh RTI application to collect that information. He further adds that a reply in this regard had already been sent to the Commission via an email dated 05.09.2022, which is received and taken on record.
- 4. As no correspondence is received from the complainant after the previous hearing held on 19.04.2022 regarding discrepancies in the supplied information.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant complaint case is disposed of & closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 6.9.2022

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Vs

Respondent

Appellant

Sh. Sandip Pathak(9569700007) Chamber 806, Lawyers Chambers, 3rd Floor, District Courts, Bathinda

Public Information Officer O/o SSP, Bathinda

Complaint Case No.: 149 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Vs

Respondent

Appellant

Present: (i) Complainant - Absent.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Gurdeep Singh (DSP, Head Quarter, Bathinda)

ORDER:

- Refer earlier orders dated 19.4.2022 vide which an inspection was fixed for inspection of record with the directions to supply the identified information to the complainant. The matter was adjourned to 6.9.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is absent.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Gurdip Singh, DSP states the sought for information has already been supplied to the complainant after inspection on 03.09.2022 after collecting from the concerned respondent office i.e. MTO, Police Lines, Bathinda.
- 4. As no correspondence is received from the complainant after the previous hearing held on 19.04.2022 regarding discrepancies in the supplied information/his absence.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 6.9.2022



Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. Kamaldeep Kaur, (9872139640)

D/o Sh. Pritpal Singh, VPO Gumtala, Opp. Govt Dispensary, Ward No 5, Amritsar.

Appellant Versus Sh. Dharminderjeet Singh (Public Information Officer) (Regd. Post) O/o MC, Amritsar. Sh. Sushant Bhatia (Public Information Officer) (Regd. Post) O/o Land Department, MC, Amritsar. Sh. Narinder Sharma (Public Information Officer) (Regd. Post) O/o MTP. MC. Amritsar. First Appellate Authority (By Name) (Regd. Post) O/o Addl. Commissioner, MC, Amritsar. Respondent Appeal Case No.:242 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX Present: (i) Appellant - Absent. (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Nirmaljit Singh, Building Inspector (9876501826)

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Refer earlier orders dated 19.4.2022 Compensation amounting Rs. 3,000/- which was awarded to the appellant vide order dated 16.12.2020 was enhanced to Rs. 5,000/-. The matter was adjourned to 6.9.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent states that a cheque (bearing no. 001407 dated 30.08.2022) for compensation amounting Rs. 6500/- (Rs. 5,000/- in present case and Rs. 1500/- in another appeal case no. 411 of 2020) has been sent to the appellant on 02.09.2022 vide office letter no. MTP/165. He adds that a detailed and point-wise response to the RTI application had also been sent to the appellant through speed post No. RP113118451IN dated 12.04.2022 and no point as per RTI application remains unanswered and unattended.
- 3. Appellant is not present for today's hearing but email dated 05.09.2022 is received from her stating therein regarding compensation only but nothing in connection with information. In that email she adds that she is unable to attend today's hearing. This email is taken on record.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO complied with the previous orders of the Commission but he is advised to deal the RTI applications within stipulated time period since the present case was lingering on, from March 2020 so that objective of the RTI Act, 2005 to promote transparency and accountability be achieved and to save the precious resources and time of the Public authority as well of the Commission.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

(i) Appellant - Absent.



Smt. Kamaldeep Kaur, (9872139640) D/o Sh. Pritpal Singh, VPO Gumtala, Opp. Govt Dispensary, Ward no. 5,

Versus

Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 411 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Nirmaljit Singh, Building Inspector (9876501826)

ORDER

Amritsar.

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.04.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent states that a cheque (bearing no. 001407 dated 30.08.2022) for compensation amounting Rs. 6500/- (Rs. 1,500/- in present case and Rs. 5,000/- in another appeal case no. 242 of 2020) has been sent to the appellant on 02.09.2022 vide office letter no. MTP/165. He adds that a detailed and point-wise response to the RTI application had also been sent to the appellant through speed post No. EP629002021IN dated 13.04.2022 and no point as per RTI application remains unanswered and unattended.
- 3. Appellant is not present for today's hearing but email dated 05.09.2022 is received from her stating therein regarding compensation only but nothing in connection with information. In that email she adds that she is unable to attend today's hearing. This email is taken on record.
- 4. After examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO complied with the previous orders of the Commission but he is advised to deal the RTI applications within stipulated time period since the present case was lingering on, from March 2020 so that objective of the RTI Act, 2005 to promote transparency and accountability be achieved and to save the precious resources and time of the Public authority as well of the Commission.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Therefore, this instant complaint case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties

Dated: 06.09.2022



Sh. Ravinder Singh, Advocate (9317561575) H.No.16, Shaheed Nagar, Chhehartta, Amritsar 143008.

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Town Improvement Trust, Ranjiv Avenue, Amritsar-143001.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Town Improvement Trust, Ranjiv Avenue, Amritsar-143001.

Appeal case No.: 286 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex Respondent

Present:

None present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.7.2022.
- 2. In today's hearing appellant is absent in spite being aware about the date of hearing, which means he has nothing to say in this regard. It is also observed that no correspondence is received from the appellant after the previous hearing held on 26.07.2022.
- Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 05.09.2022 is received by the undersigned Bench showing that demanded documents had been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 5465 dated 05.09.2022.
- 4. The court is of view that sufficient time has gone, nothing has been heard from appellant side nor does he appear in today's hearing, which is a sheer wastage of the precious time and resources of the Commission. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 06.09.2022



Sh. Ravinder Singh, Advocate(9317561575) H.No.16, Shaheed Nagar, Chhehartta, Amritsar 143008.

Public Information Officer O/o Town Improvement Trust, Ranjiv Avenue, Amritsar-143001.

First Appellate Authority O/o Town Improvement Trust, Ranjiv Avenue, Amritsar-143001.

Appeal case No.: 285 of 2021 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Versus

Respondent

Present: None present.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 26.7.2022.
- In today's hearing appellant is absent in spite being aware about the date of hearing, which means he has nothing to say in this regard. It is also observed that no correspondence is received from the appellant after the previous hearing held on 26.07.2022.
- Respondent PIO is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 05.09.2022 is received by the undersigned Bench showing that demanded documents had been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 5462 dated 05.09.2022.
- 4. The court is of view that sufficient time has gone, nothing has been heard from appellant side nor does he appear in today's hearing, which is a sheer wastage of the precious time and resources of the Commission. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 06.09.2022



Respondent

Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Vill. Bolapur, PO Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb., Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o State Transport Commissioner Pb., Chandigarh

Appeal Case No.: 806 of 2022 Hearing through CISCO Webex

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh Respondent: Ms Kulwinder Kaur, PIO

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 1.12.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 7.7.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 11.2.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for hearing through CISCO WEBEX on 14.7.2022 at 11.00 a.m. which was further postponed to 6.9.2022 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing both the parties are present.
- 3. The appellant states that sufficient time has gone but the sought for information is still awaited. He adds that he is not satisfied with the supplied reply/information.
- 4. The respondent states that information cannot be given as it is 3rd party information. She adds that reply had already been sent to the appellant on 11.07.2022 and submits a copy of the same vide letter no. 11825 dated 11.07.2022, which is taken on record.
- 5. After hearing case in detail, the court directs the respondent to provide the complete information on the appellant's visit on Monday i.e. 12.9.2022 under intimation to the Commission. Appellant is also advised to intimate the Commission after visiting the respondent's office, failing which it will be presumed that he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 6. With aforesaid, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 06.09.2022