Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill, VrC (Retd.), House No 3352, Sector32D, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SSP, Mohali

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Inspector General of Police, Roopnagar Range, Roopnagar

#### .....Respondent Appeal Case No.: 755 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill, the appellant in person For the respondent: Ms. Gagandeep Kaur (9592299061)

### ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 14.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill states that requisite information is still pending form the

respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur states that reply was sent to the appellant and if appellant is not satisfied with the supplied information then he can contact the office of DSP Traffic as nothing is left to be supplied from the office of SSP, Mohali.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that sufficient reply

has already been supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 5.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill, VrC (Retd.), House No 3352, Sector32D,Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana

**Public Information Officer** O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana

hiana .....Respondent Appeal Case No.: 760 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill, the appellant in person For the respondent: ASI Ramesh Kumar (9915603000) along with ASI, Roor Singh (9780001280)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 04.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Lt Col Kuldip Singh Gill states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, ASI Ramesh Kumar states that requisite information is not available in the official record because of heavy rainfall on dated 13.08.2011 (Saturday) and water was filled in the basement upto 3 to 4 feet, due to which record is damaged and not readable. He added that in this context DDR (no.14 dated 13.09.2011) was filed by concerned police station, Division No. 5, Ludhiana and record has already been destructed. He further states that reply along with copy of DDR has already been supplied to the appellant.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that a copy of letter no. 318-D dated 04.08.2020 along with copy of DDR no. 14 dated 13.09.2011 have been received by the undersigned bench through an email dated 05.08.2020 from email ID cpo.ldh.police@punjab.gov.in . I am of the considered view that sufficient reply has already been supplied to the appellant to the best possible extent. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



## Sh. Sandeep Tiwai, (9415136000)

R/o H No 511, Civil Line, Lal Diggy, P.S. Kotwali Nagar, District Saltanpur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Public Information Officer** (Regd. Post) O/o DGP, PB, CHD

Versus

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o IGP, HEAD QUARTER, PB,CHD.

#### .....Respondent Appeal Case No.: 997 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Sh. Harpreet Singh on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 04.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh and examining the case

file, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person or through

his representative(s) who is well aware about the facts of this case. A copy of this order be sent to

him through registered post for his ready reference.

Matter is adjourned for further hearing on 15.09.2020 through CISCO WEBEX at 11:00 5.

A.M. (Steps: Install CISO WEBEX->Click on Join Meeting->Enter meeting number 918232900)

Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties. 6.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



**Sh. Sandeep Tiwai, (9415136000)** R/o H No 511, Civil Line, Lal Diggy, P.S. Kotwali Nagar, District Saltanpur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Supdtt., Central Jail, Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority** O/o AGDP (Jail's), PB, CHD.

Appeal Case No.: 998 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:Sh. Harpreet Singh on behalf of the appellant.<br/>Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 04.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh and going through the case file, it is observed that an email dated 29.07.2020 has been received from the respondent by the undersigned bench from email ID <u>sudhargharamritsar@gmail.com</u> showing that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant through letter no. 9229 (dated 26.11.2019) and letter no. 1739 (dated 17.02.2020).

5. It is transpired <u>that Sought information enumerated in RTI application is in **question** <u>form</u>. Whereas according to, **RTI Act, 2005**, under **Section 2(f)**, which defines 'information', **questions** have **not** been defined as information.</u>

Sub-section (f) of section (2) of <u>RTI Act</u> defines information as "information" means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force"

Inspite of that, respondent PIO supplied information twice to the appellant. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



**Sh. Sandeep Tiwai, (9415136000)** R/o H No 511, Civil Line, Lal Diggy, P.S. Kotwali Nagar, District Saltanpur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o DGP, PB, CHD

**First Appellate Authority** O/o IGP, HEAD QUARTER, PB ,CHD.

#### Appeal Case No.: 999 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Versus

Present:

Sh. Harpreet Singh on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 04.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh states that requisite information is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant, Sh. Harpreet Singh and going through the case file, it is observed that an email dated 03.08.2020 has been received from the respondent by the undersigned bench from email ID <u>acplicnsingasrcity@gmail.com</u> showing that reply has already been sent to the appellant through letter no. 2330/RTI dated 03.08.2020 stating that requisite information relates with State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar and appellant can contact the concerned office.

5. It is transpired <u>that Sought information enumerated in RTI application is in **question** <u>form</u>. Whereas according to, **RTI Act, 2005**, under **Section 2(f)**, which defines 'information', **questions** have **not** been defined as information.</u>

Sub-section (f) of section (2) of <u>RTI Act</u> defines information as "information" means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force"

Inspite of that, respondent PIO supplied sufficient reply to the appellant. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. K.C. Ghambir, (9988203151) R/o 151/G H -03,sector 5, Mansa Devi Complex, Panchcula- 134114.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DGP,HQ, PB, CHD

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Addl. Chief Seceretary, Home Affairs & justice Department, PB, Chandigarh.

# Appeal Case No.: 1001 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:Sh. K.C. Ghambir, the appellant in person.For the respondent: Sh. Maninder Singh (Senior Constable) (9463952430)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 03.03.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Appellant, Sh. K.C. Gambhir states that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, Sh. Maninder Singh states that a letter was sent to the appellant to supply the proof of complaint dated 12.03.2018 (sent through which mode ordinary post/registered post/email etc.). He added that complaint is untraceable in the official record so requisite information could not be supplied to the appellant. He requested the appellant to supply the details of the complaint so that it could be check once again.

4. Appellant, Sh. K.C. Gambhir is not agreeing to supply the details to the respondent PIO.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, as appellant is not ready to supply

the details, so therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is disposed

of & closed.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020

## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### Smt Archana Sudha, (9815393333)

D/o Shri Kashmir Singh, C/o Alka Chatrath, # 2055, Sector 15 C, Chandigarh.

..... Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer(Regd. Post)O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

# Appeal Case No.: 373 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Advocate, Ms. Alka Chatrath on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

Versus

2. Representative of the appellant, Advocate, Ms. Alka Chatrath states that requisite information still pending from the respondent PIO. She added that office of DPI (SE), Punjab intimated the appellant that information relates with C-DAC, Mohali and C-DAC, Mohali informed that information relates with office of DPI (SE), Punjab.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO, O/o C-DAC, Mohali be implead as a necessary party in this case. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him along with RTI Request through **registered post** for his ready reference.</u>

5. Respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. <u>A</u>

copy of this order be sent to him through registered post for his ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.09.2020 at 11:00 AM**.

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

## Chandigarh 06.08.2020

### (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Public Information Officer (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o C-DAC, Mohali

Encl. RTI Request

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



#### Smt Archana Sudha, (9815393333)

D/o Shri Kashmir Singh, C/o Alka Chatrath, # 2055, Sector 15 C, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

## Appeal Case No.: 374 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

Advocate, Ms. Alka Chatrath on behalf of the appellant. Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The Appellant filed appeal in the Commission dated 24.02.2020. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.

2. Representative of the appellant, Advocate, Ms. Alka Chatrath states that requisite information still pending from the respondent PIO. She added that office of DPI (SE), Punjab intimated the appellant that information relates with C-DAC, Mohali and C-DAC, Mohali informed that information relates with office of DPI (SE), Punjab.

3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission for today's hearing.

4. After hearing the representative of the appellant and examining the case file, it is observed that respondent PIO, O/o C-DAC, Mohali be implead as a necessary party in this case. <u>A copy of this order be sent to him along with RTI Request through **registered post** for his ready reference.</u>

5. Respondent PIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar is also directed to represent this case in person or through his representative(s) on the next date of hearing to clear the facts of this case. <u>A</u> copy of this order be sent to him through **registered post** for his ready reference.

6. Matter is adjourned for further hearing on **15.09.2020 at 11:00 AM.** 

7. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties

## Chandigarh 06.08.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner

Public Information Officer (By Name)(Regd. Post)O/o C-DAC, Mohali

Encl. RTI Request

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

(Regd. Post) S/o Sh. Santokh Singh, (9465650001) Machiwara, Tehsil Samrala, Distt Ludhiana. Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt. Ludhiana.

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Inspector General of Police, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.: 863 of 2020

Respondent

**Present:** Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, the appellant in person. For the respondent: ASI, Roor Singh (9780001280).

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with previous order dated 10.06.2020 through which respondent PIO was directed to supply an affidavit in original to the appellant regarding pending information relates with statements and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 06.08.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Sukhwinder Singh states that respondent PIO has sent a copy of an affidavit on his whatsapp number. He added that respondent PIO informed that affidavit in original has already been sent to him through registered post but has not been received till date.

3. On this, respondent, ASI Roor Singh states that affidavit in original has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 04.08.2020. He added that a copy of the same is also sent to the Commission through an email.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that a copy of an affidavit has been received by the undersigned bench through an email dated 05.08.2020 from the respondent, which is sufficient regarding the pending information relates with statements. Therefore, no cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**.

5. Appellant is on liberty to approach the Commission in writing, if the above mentioned affidavit in original will not be received by him.

6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 06.08.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant