PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



..... Appellant

Shri Rajesh Kumar, Chief Editor, RTI Activist, Vice Chairman, National Freedom Fighter Press Association, C/o 2253/1, Peepli Wala Town, Manimajra, Chandigarh. (M: 98151-48139)

Public Information Officer, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2976 of 2018

Vs

Present:- Shri Avtar Singh is present on behalf of Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant. ASI Ramesh Lal-777, Thana Dhakoli is present on behalf of PIO, O/o SSP, SAS Nagar.

ORDER

Shri Avtar Singh is present on behalf of Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant and he has submitted Authority Letter and a copy of letter No. 359 dated 03.03.2019, written by the SHO, Police Station, Dhakoli to the appellant, Shri Rajesh Kumar.

ASI Ramesh Lal, who is present on behalf of the PIO submitted un-attested affidavit of Shri Simranjit Singh PPS, D.S.P., Sub Division Dera Bassi, SAS Nagar Mohali.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 09.03.2017 and also gone through the case file. Attested copies of 76 pages had already been provided to the appellant, Shri Rajesh Kumar, by the PIO.

So, the appellant is directed that in case he is not satisfied with the information, then he should submit list of deficiencies in writing before the concerned PIO within 5 days and the PIO is further directed that in case the appellant files list of deficiencies to his notice, then the remaining information regarding the deficiencies be provided to him before the next date of hearing.

Contd..p2..

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO. So, the PIO is directed that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit, otherwise it will be presumed that the PIO do not want to reply to the Show Cause Notice.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **11.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 06.03.2019



Shri Malkit Singh, S/o Shri Kala Singh, Village Kherpur Jata, PO Khanpur Gadiya, Tehsil Rajpura, Distt. Patiala.

Vs

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, -cum-XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 829 of 2018

Present:- Shri Malkit Singh, complainant/applicant is present. Shri Sehaj Sharma, PIO-cum-XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Mohali is present along with Shri Manbir Singh Baath, Advocate.

ORDER

Shri Sehaj Sharma, PIO-cum-XEN, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Mohali has provided 13 pages of attested information to the complainant/applicant today before the Bench. He has also tendered his affidavit dated 06.03.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

The complainant/applicant stated that some pages of information which had been provided to him are not readable and he requested that readable photocopies of the documents be provided to him. At this stage the PIO submitted before the Bench that the complainant/applicant will be welcomed if he visits his office and original record will be provided to him and he will be at liberty to get the photocopies of the original record.

The complainant/applicant stated that he will visit the office of the PIO on 08.03.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO is directed that photocopies of record which will be claimed by the complainant/applicant be prepared in his presence and attested copies by the PIO, be provided to the complainant/applicant.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO. So, the PIO is further directed to file reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 26.03.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jasbir Singh, S/o Shri Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, Distt. Patiala. (M: 98153-97246)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Block Sanor, Near Sadar Thana, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority. O/o DDPO, Mini Secretariat, Block –A, Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 318 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present. None is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o BDPO, Block Sanor, Patiala.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.10.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o BDPO, Block Sanor, Patiala. When no information was provided to the appellant then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 09.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench for hearing. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The appellant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO.

The Commission has received a mail on 15.02.2019 diarized at 3666, which is a copy of letter No. 398 dated 11.02.2019 sent by the PIO-cum-BDPO, Block Sanor. The said mail is annexed with a photocopy of letter No. 3441 dated 16.10.2018 written by the PIO-cum-BDPO, Sanor to Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant and through this letter the appellant was asked by the PIO to deposit the assessed fee of Rs.340/- with the office of the PIO, so that the sought for information be provided to him. The PIO submitted in the letter that the appellant has not

deposited the assessed fee of Rs.340/- till 11.02.2019, rather he filed First Appeal and then Second Appeal without depositing the assessed fee in the office of the PIO.

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant stated that he had received attested copies of 174 pages of sought for information, which was provided to him by the PIO on 01.03.2019. He stated that he is satisfied with the information. He has submitted a duly singed written submission dated 01.03.2019 that the office of the BDPO, Sanor has provided him attested copies of 174 pages of information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the information has been provided to the appellant and he is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate, H.No.258, Sector-4, MDC, Panchkula. (M: 78377-46107)

..... Appellant

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer, O/o S.S.P, SAS Nagar, District Administrative Complex, SAS Nagar, Mohali-160055.

First Appellate Authority. O/o S.S.P, SAS Nagar, District Administrative Complex, SAS Nagar, Mohali-160055.

Appeal Case No. 327 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma (Advocate), appellant is present. ASI Bhupinjder Singh-985/SAS Nagar is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.09.2018 and he sought information regarding status report on his complaint dated 25.11.2017 filed by Smt. Kulwant Kaur Sandhu against him (Shri Mukesh Kumar Sharma, appellant). When no information was provided to him, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.11.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench for hearing. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. Both the parties are present today.

ASI Bhupinder Singh stated that he has come present along with copies of status report and statements of the parties recorded during the compromise, but the appellant stated that copies attested by the PIO, be provided to him through the RTI branch.

So, the PIO-cum-SSP, SAS Nagar Mohali is directed that attested copies of status report and statements of parties during the compromise be sent to the appellant at his given address on the RTI application through registered post within 7 days

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **02.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**



Shri Harchand Singh, S/o Shri Nanak Singh, R/o Village Gandua Kalan, Tehsil Bassi Pathana, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. (M: 97817-82608)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Bassi Pathana, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority. O/o DDPO, Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 334 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Harchand Singh, appellant is present. Shri Gurinder Mohan Singh, Superintendent is present on behalf of PIO-cum-BDPO Bassi Pathana.

ORDER

Shri Harchand Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.05.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o BDPO, Bassi Pathana, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. When no information was provided to him, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.09.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench for hearing. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent today provided the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant. The appellant has received the information and after going through the information he stated that he is satisfied with the information provided to him today. He has also submitted a written permission dated 06.03.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. He submitted in the written submission that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to dispose of his appeal case.

As the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur Kularia, (M: 98158-17313) Wd/o Late Shri Pyara Singh Kularia, H.No. 5065, Modern Housing Complex, Manimajra, Chandigarh-160101.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DSP-cum-CPIO (NRI), NRI Wing, Punjab Police, Sector-61, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 52 of 2019

Present:- Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur Kularia, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Amandeep Singh is present on behalf of Shri Rajinder Singh Sohal, PIOcum-AIG, O/o NRI Wing, Punjab Police, Mohali.

ORDER

Smt. Sukhwinder Kaur Kularia, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 30.07.2018 and she sought some information from the PIO, O/o DSP-cum-CPIO (NRI), NRI Wing, Punjab Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali. When no information was provided then she filed complaint before the Punjab State Information Commission on 07.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. Both the parties are present today.

I have gone through the RTI application. The complaint/applicant sought information regarding complaint no. 1359660 dated 15.06.2018.

ASI Amandeep Singh, who is present on behalf of Shri Rajinder Singh Sohal, PIO-cum-AIG, sought some time to file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/ applicant. His request is genuine and allowed with a direction to the PIO that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 02.04.2019 at

11:00 A.M.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Gurbhej Singh, S/o Shri Gurmeet Singh, Village Reona Niwan, PO Reona Ucha, Tehsil & Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. (M: 62394-66414)

Vs

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 56 of 2019

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh is present on behalf of Shri Gurbhej Singh, complainant/ applicant. ASI Ranjit Singh No. 128/FGS, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, filed an Authority Letter on behalf of Shri Gurbhej Singh, complainant/applicant, who filed RTI application dated 24.11.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib. When no information was provided by the PIO, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 09.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 24.11.2018 filed by the complainant/applicant. The information sought by the complainant/applicant is today provided to Shri Jasbir Singh, authorized person of the complainant/applicant, by ASI Ranjit Singh before the Bench. Shri Jasbir Singh has received the information and after going through the information he stated that he has received the attested copies of entire information except copy of MLR. The copy of MLR is not a document of police department rather, the complainant/applicant can get the copy of MLR from the concerned Civil Hospital where the MLR was conducted.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the authorized person of the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Manjit Singh, H.No. 2877, Phase-7, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

..... Complainant

.Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Station House Officer, Police Station Mattaur, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali-160062

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 62 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present. None is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Mattaur.

ORDER

Shri Manjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.05.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o Station House Officer, Police Station Mattaur, SAS Nagar, Mohali. When no information was provided by the PIO, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The complainant/applicant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO.

The complainant/applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO till today. I have gone through the RTI application filed by the complainant/applicant.

The PIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Mataur is directed that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case of non-compliance of the Order of the Bench strict action will be taken against him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **24.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Bassi Pathana, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 63 of 2019

Present:- Shri Harchand Singh, complainant/applicant is present. Shri Gurinder Mohan Singh, Superintendent is present on behalf of PIO-cum-BDPO Bassi Pathana.

ORDER

Shri Harchand Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.05.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o BDPO, Bassi Pathana, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib. When no information was provided to him, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench for hearing. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent today provided the attested copies of sought for information to the complainant/applicant. The complainant/applicant has received the information and after going through the information he stated that he is satisfied with the information provided to him today. He has also submitted a written permission dated 06.03.2019, which is taken in the file of the Commission. He submitted in the written submission that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to dispose of his appeal case.

As the information has been provided to the complainant/applicant and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Rikhi Rana, S/o Shri Lokha Ram Rana, R/o VPO Samrari, Tehsil Phillaur, Distt. Jalandhar. (M: 98788-04573)

.Vs

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 64 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rikhi Rana, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Sukhdev Singh, Panchayat Officer, O/o BDPO, Phillaur is present on Behalf of PIO-cum-DDPO, Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Rikhi Rana, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 21.07.2018 and he sought information regarding his complaint dated 03.04.2018 from the PIO, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar. When no information was provided, then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 21.11.2018. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. Today, the complainant/applicant is absent, whereas the Respondent is present on behalf of the PIO.

The PIO-cum-DDPO, Jalandhar is directed that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case of non-compliance of the Order of the Bench strict action will be taken against him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **02.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri R.M. Bhatia, (M: 98109-20157) E-69, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi-110076.

..... Complainant

.Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Block Bhunga, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 65 of 2019

Present:- Shri R.M. Bhatia, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Chander Mohan, Superintendent is present on behalf of PIO-cum-BDPO, Block Bhunga, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

ORDER

Shri R.M. Bhatia, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 14.08.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO regarding NAREGA scheme in Gram Panchayat Patial, Distt. Hoshiarpur.

I have gone through the RTI application and heard the respondent who is present on behalf of the PIO. He submitted a copy of letter no. 1212 dated 25.02.2019 through which the information consisting of total 95 attested pages sought by the complainant/applicant, were sent to him through speed post on 25.02.2019. He has also submitted a photo copy of the postal receipt.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Capt.Y.S. Matta (DETC Retd.), # 5, Ajit Nagar, Patiala. (M: 99888-81363) Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Financial Commissioner (Excise & Taxation), Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 68 of 2019

.Vs

Present:- Capt.Y.S. Matta, complainant/applicant is present. Shri Ram Singh, ETO is present on behalf of Smt. Maninderdeep Kaur Sidhu, PIO-cum- Financial Commissioner (Excise and Taxation) Punjab.

ORDER

Capt.Y.S. Matta, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 20.08.2018 and he sought some information from the PIO, O/o Financial Commissioner (Excise & Taxation), Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

The complainant/applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO.

So, the PIO is directed that she should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant that why the information has not been provided to the complainant/applicant. In case of non-compliance of the Order of the Bench, action will be taken against her.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **02.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Karnail Singh son of Shri Gurdeep Singh, R/O Village Farid Kera, Tehsil Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Lambi.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2041 of 2017

Versus

Present:- Shri Karnail Singh, appellant is present. Shri Sukhmeet Singh Sra, PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi is present.

ORDER

Shri Sukhmeet Singh Sra, PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi stated that he has provided the sought for information to the appellant, but the appellant stated that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the PIO as the information is incomplete.

The appellant is directed that in case he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the respondent PIO, then he should visit the office of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi on 12.03.2019 at 10.00 AM for the inspection of the record and the PIO is further directed that attested copies of remaining information, which will be claimed by the appellant be provided to him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Karnail Singh son of Shri Gurdeep Singh, R/O Village Farid Kera, Tehsil Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Lambi

First Appellate Authority, O/o DDPO, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2042 of 2017

Versus

Present:- Shri Karnail Singh, appellant is present. Shri Sukhmeet Singh Sra, PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi is present.

ORDER

Shri Sukhmeet Singh Sra, PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi stated that he has provided the sought for information to the appellant, but the appellant stated that he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the PIO as the information is incomplete.

The appellant is directed that in case he is not satisfied with the information provided to him by the respondent PIO, then he should visit the office of the PIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi on 12.03.2019 at 10.00 AM for the inspection of the record and the PIO is further directed that attested copies of remaining information, which will be claimed by the appellant be provided to him.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Ms. Sukhjeet Kaur, D/o Shri Abjeet Singh, R/o Village Sadda Singh Wala, PO Mussa, Tehsil & Distt. Mansa.

Vs

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DPI (S), Phase-8, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 828 of 2018

Present:- Ms. Sukhjeet Kaur, Complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Davinder Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, PIOcum-Assistant Director, O/o Education Recruitment Directorate, Dept. of School Education, Punjab. Shri Rajeev Puri, Sr. Asstt. O/o DPI (SE) is present.

ORDER

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.05.2017 filed by the complainant/applicant and also gone through the Orders passed by the Bench.

Shri Davinder Singh, who is present on behalf of the PIO, stated that information sought by the complainant/applicant was provided to her by the PIO. The Commission has received a mail sent by Ms. Sukhjeet Kaur, complainant/applicant and she submitted that requisite information has been sent to her by the Director, Education Recruitment Board, Punjab.

As the information has been provided to the complainant/applicant by the PIO and the complainant/applicant is satisfied, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 06.03.2019 Copy to :

(Regd.) Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Education Recruitment Board, Punjab, Govt. Model Senior Secondary School, (Microsoft Building), Phase 3 B-1, Mohali

For necessary action and information please.



Shri Wassan Singh Goraya, S/o Shri Ajit Singh, R/o 120 D, Sector 79, Airport Road, Mohali. (M: 98885-00466)

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training Department, Punjab, Sector 36-A, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1179 of 2018

Present:- Shri Wassan Singh, Complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Rachhpal Singh, Senior Assistant is present on behalf of Shri Amarjit Singh (9814846799), PIO-cum-Deputy Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training Dept., Punjab. Shri Mahesh Kumar, Sr.Asstt., SDI Branch is present.

ORDER

The complainant/applicant though is absent, but he sent a mail which is taken in the file of the Commission. He submitted in the mail that information regarding deficiencies is not provided to him by the PIO.

The Respondent who is present on behalf of the PIO stated that he has come present along with information regarding the deficiencies, but as the complainant/applicant has not come present, so the PIO is directed that attested copies of information be sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post within 3 days.

The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing. In case any part of the information is not available or traceable in the office of the PIO, then he should submit self declaration that this part of information is not available or traceable in his office, so he is unable to provide the said information to the complainant/applicant.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **02.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Navdeep Gupta, (8054098586) Kothi No. 455, Gilco Valley, Kharar, Distt. Mohali-140301

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Secretary, Punjab Govt., Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 721 of 2018

Present:- Shri Navdeep Gupta, Complainant/applicant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Shri Kuldeep Singh, APIO, O/o Chief Secretary, General Co-ordination Branch is present.

ORDER

Shri Navdeep Gupta, complainant/applicant is absent today. He was also absent on the last date of hearing.

Shri Kuldeep Singh, APIO has submitted a copy of letter dated 28.02.2019, through which the information was sent to the complainant/applicant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 03.04.2018 filed by the complainant/applicant and also gone through the information sent to the complainant/applicant by the PIO. The information sought by the complainant/applicant is an Order dated 01.04.2018 duly signed by Shri Rahul Tewari, IAS, Secretary to Govt. of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs, Justice and Jails.

As the PIO has provided the sought for information to the complainant/applicant, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Rajesh Kumar, Chief Editor, RTI Activist, Vice Chairman, National Freedom Fighter Press Association, C/o 2253/1, Peepli Wala Town, Manimajra. Chandigarh. (M: 98151-48139)

..... Appellant

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer,

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Police Headquarter, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2975 of 2018

Vs

Present:- Shri Avtar Singh is present on behalf of Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant. Sub Inspector Bhajan Singh is present on behalf of Shri S.K Singh, PIO-cum-Inspector General of Police, Securities, Punjab.

ORDER

Shri Rajesh Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.04.2017 before the PIO, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh. The information regarding point no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application was provided to the appellant by the office of the PIO, but the information regarding point no. 3 to 7 of the RTI application is related with the PIO, O/o ADGP, Securities, Punjab. So, the RTI application was transferred to the PIO, O/o IGP Securities, Punjab, Chandigarh.

On the previous dates of hearing I have heard Shri Gurvinder Singh, APIO-cum-DSP, Securities Punjab who was present on behalf of the PIO and also heard the appellant, Shri Rajesh Kumar.

The PIO submitted reply/information regarding point no. 3 to 7 related with securities wing that matters of the securities are dealt by the Security Wing and the Security Wing of Punjab Police has been notified by the Govt. of Punjab and exempted under Section 24

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 2975 of 2018

of the RTI Act and the provisions of RTI Act are not applicable on the Security Wing of Punjab Police. The Respondent submitted an Order passed by Hon'ble Ld. Shri S.S. Channy, Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab in Appeal case No. 2318 of 2015. He also submitted an Order dated 21.09.2016 passed by Justice Rajiv Narain Raina, Judge, Punjab and Haryana High Court. He also submitted copy of Judgement dated 05.02.2013, passed by Justice Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, Judge, Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 18615 of 2011 in Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others and also filed copy of notification dated 07.06.2016 of Chief Secretary to Govt. of Punjab.

I have heard Shri Avtar Singh, who is present on behalf of the appellant and also heard the appellant on the last date of hearings. I have gone through Section 24 of the RTI Act, 2005 and according to Section 24, the State Govt. is empowered to notify Intelligence and Securities Organizations that the provisions of the Right To Information Act shall not apply on the following Intelligence and Security Organizations. Section 24(4) is as follows:-

"24(4): Nothing contained in this Act shall apply to such intelligence and security organization being organizations established by the State Govt., as that Govt. may, from time to time, by notification in the Official Gazette, specify:

Provided that the information pertaining to the allegations of corruption and human rights violations shall not be excluded under this sub-section:

Provided further that in the case of information sought for is in respect of allegations of violation of human rights, the information shall only be provided after the approval of the State Information Commission and, notwithstanding anything contained in Section 7, such information shall be provided within forty-five days from the date of the receipt of request".

So, according to Section 24(4) of the RTI Act, 2005, the Govt. of Punjab vide notification dated 07.06.2016 exempted some organizations including Security Wing to which the provisions of RTI Act shall not apply.

So, as the Act provides and empowers the State Govt. to notify the Intelligence and Security Agencies that the provisions shall not apply and vide this power contained in favour of Punjab Govt. through notification dated 07.06.2016 exempted Security Wing U/s 24(4) of the Act and under this Section no person can ask the Security Wing to provide information under Right to Information Act, 2005. The information can sought from these agencies only regarding allegations of corruption and violation of human rights.

Contd..p3..

Appeal Case No. 2975 of 2018

I have gone through the Judgment passed by Justice Tejinder Singh Dhindsa dated 05.02.2013 in CWP No. 18615 of 2011 and the information sought by the petitioner in that case was also denied and the writ petition filed by Shri Dilbagh Singh was dismissed.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 18.04.2017 filed by the appellant. The information sought by the appellant through point no. 3 to 7 of the RTI application is related with Security Wing of Punjab Police, which was exempted under Section 24(4) by the Punjab Govt. and provisions of Right to Information Act, 2005 do not apply in the Security Wing of Punjab Police.

So, the appeal filed by the appellant, Shri Rajesh Kumar regarding point No. 3 to 7 of the RTI application is hereby **disposed of and dismissed**.

Dated: 06.03.2019



Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, # 126-M, Gali No.3, Op. B/S, HAri Mandir, Gopal Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, DAV College, Katra Sher Singh, Amritsar-143006.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal, DAV College, Katra Sher Singh, Amritsar-143006.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 295 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant is absent. Shri Dhani Ram is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-Principal, DAV College, Amritsar.

ORDER

Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.10.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, DAV College, Amritsar. A reply was sent to him by the PIO on 03.11.2018, but not satisfied with the reply, he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 16.11.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The appellant is absent, today but the respondent is present on behalf of the PIO.

The appellant though is absent, but he has sent a mail that he is unable to appear before the Bench for today due to some urgent work and requested for adjournment.

The appellant and the PIO are directed to come personally present before the Bench on the next date of hearing. The PIO is further directed to come present along with

Appeal Case No. 295 of 2019

attested copies of information as was sought by the appellant or file written submission to the appeal filed by the appellant.

Both the parties should personally come present for further hearing of the appeal case on **03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 06.03.2019



Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, # 126-M, Gali No.3, Op. B/S, HAri Mandir, Gopal Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, GGS DAV College, Bahmani Bazar, Jalalabad-152024, Distt. Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal, GGS DAV College, Bahmani Bazar, Jalalabad-152024, Distt. Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 296 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant is absent. Advocate Harshit Jain is present on behalf of PIO-cum-Principal, GGS DAV College, Jalalabad.

ORDER

Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.10.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, GGS DAV College, Bahmani Bazar, Jalalabad-152024, Distt. Fazilka. A reply was sent to him by the PIO on 06.12.2018, but not satisfied with the reply, he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.12.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The appellant is absent, today but the respondent is present on behalf of the PIO.

The appellant though is absent, but he has sent a mail that he is unable to appear before the Bench for today due to some urgent work and requested for adjournment.

Contd..p2..

Advocate Harshit Jain, who is present on behalf of the PIO stated that the respondent college is not a public authority as defined under Section 2(H) of the RTI Act, 2005 and is not obliged to provide the information to the information seekers as the RTI Act does not apply on it.

So, the appellant is directed that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and submit evidence or proof that the respondent college is a public authority and obliged to provide the information.

The PIO-cum-Principal is directed to come personally present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply/information.

Both the parties should personally come present for further hearing of the appeal case on **03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 06.03.2019



Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, # 126-M, Gali No.3, Op. B/S, HAri Mandir, Gopal Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, BBK DAV College for Women, Lawrence Road, Amritsar-143001,

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal, BBK DAV College for Women, Lawrence Road, Amritsar-143001.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 297 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant is absent. Advocate Harshit Jain is present on behalf of PIO-cum-Principal, BBK DAV College for Women, Amritsar.

ORDER

Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.10.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, BBK DAV College for Women, Lawrence Road, Amritsar-143001. A reply was sent to him by the PIO on 29.11.2018, but not satisfied with the reply he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.12.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The appellant is absent today but the respondent is present on behalf of the PIO.

The appellant though is absent, but he has sent a mail that he is unable to appear before the Bench for today due to some urgent work and requested for adjournment.

Advocate Harshit Jain, who is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-Principal, BBK DAV College for Women, Amritsar stated that the information sought by the appellant had already been provided to him vide letter No. 2391 dated 25.02.2019 through registered post.

Appeal Case No. 297 of 2019

So, the appellant is directed that in case he feels some deficiencies in the information which was sent to him, then he should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days.

Both the parties should personally come present for further hearing of the appeal case on **03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 06.03.2019



Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, # 126-M, Gali No.3, Op. B/S, HAri Mandir, Gopal Nagar, Majitha Road, Amritsar.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal, S.L. Bawa DAV College, Khazuri Gate, Batala-143505.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Principal, S.L. Bawa DAV College, Khazuri Gate, Batala-143505.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 298 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant is absent. Advocate Harshit Jain is present on behalf of PIO-cum-Principal, S.L. Bawa DAV College, Batala.

ORDER

Shri Rajeev Kumar Nayyar, appellant filed RTI application dated 31.10.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, SL Bawa DAV College Batala. A reply was sent to him by the PIO on 30.11.2018, but not satisfied with the reply he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 06.12.2018. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 08.01.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today. The appellant is absent today but the respondent is present on behalf of the PIO.

The appellant though is absent, but he has sent a mail that he is unable to appear before the Bench for today due to some urgent work and requested for adjournment.

Advocate Harshit Jain, who is present on behalf of the PIO-cum- Principal, S.L. Bawa DAV College Batala stated that the information sought by the appellant had already been provided to him vide letter No. F-287 dated 27.02.2019 through registered post.

Appeal Case No. 298 of 2019

So, the appellant is directed that in case he feels some deficiencies in the information which was sent to him, then he should bring the deficiencies in writing to the notice of the PIO within 5 days.

Both the parties should personally come present for further hearing of the appeal case on **03.04.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 06.03.2019