PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Shri Kuldeep Raj Mahajan, (M: 98143-54649), President of Anti Corruption Council, Opposite Water Tank, Municipal Market, Pathankot. Vs

রন দুরক PSIC

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o XEN, Construction Div. No.2, PWD (B&R), Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority: O/oSE, PWD(B&R),Construction Circle, Bathinda,

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4389 of 2019

Present:-

Shri Yogesh Mahajan, appellant is absent. Shri Tek Singh, PIO-cum-XEN, Construction Div. No.2, PWD (B&R), Bathinda is present.

ORDER

Shri Yogesh Mahajan, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.09.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

The appellant is absent today, however he has sent a mail, which was received in the office of the Commission on 31.01.2020 and diarized vide no.2146. I have gone through the said mail and it is submitted by the appellant that no information has been provided to him by the PIO as was sought by him through his RTI application dated 02.09.2019.

Shri Tek Singh, PIO-cum-XEN has come present today and he has submitted a copy of letter no.401 dated 03.02.2020, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted that the information was sent to the appellant through letter no.4127 dated 01.10.2019 and then the appellant provided some deficiencies through his letter dated 30.12.2019 and remaining information was sent to the appellant through letter no.242 dated 20.01.2020 and again the appellant sent a letter on 27.01.2020 and the information which he sought through this letter had already been sent to him through letter no.4127 dated 01.10.2019.

I have heard the PIO and I am satisfied that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant by the PIO. The PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is disposed of and closed.

> (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.co Shri Palwinder Singh Aulakh, (M: 98722-40994), H.No. 189, Adarsh Nagar, Dharamkot, Distt. Moga.



.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o Director, Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Punjab, Phase-6, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority: O/ o Director, Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Punjab, Phase-6, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4420 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Palwinder Singh Aulakh, appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
Shri Iqbal Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Shri Gurwinder Singh, PIO-cum- Joint Director, O/o Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Punjab.

ORDER

Shri Palwinder Singh Aulakh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.07.2019 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

I have gone through the Order dated 16.01.2020 and the appellant, Shri Palwinder Singh Aulakh was present on that date and he was directed to submit the list of names of 5 PAS officers whose Pay Fixation Orders he is seeking.

The appellant has not come present today.

Shri Iqbal Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the PIO and he has submitted a copy of letter no.125 dated 05.02.2020, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the PIO that the appellant, Shri Palwinder Singh Aulakh has not submitted the list of 5 PAS officers as was directed by the Commission on the last date of hearing i.e. 16.01.2020.

The appellant has not come present today neither he has submitted the list of 5 PAS officers as was directed. So, a last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant that in case he wants to get the information, then he must submit the list of 5 PAS officers to the notice of the PIO within a week. IN case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, the case will be heard and decided on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **25.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Rajinder Singh, (M: 82888-69307), S/o Shri Om Kumar, VPO Kot Phatuhi, Tehsil Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur-144519.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, SE, PWD (B&R), Punjab, Construction Circle, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4422 of 2019

Present:- Shri Rajinder Singh, appellant is absent. Shri Rajinder Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of Shri Arshdeep Singh, PIO-Cum-XEN, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Punjab.

Vs

ORDER

Shri Rajinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 02.09.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Punjab, Chandigarh.

The appellant is absent today. He was also absent on the last date of hearing.

Shri Rajinder Singh, Superintendent is present on behalf of the PIO and he has submitted a copy of letter no.906 dated 04.02.2020 through which attested copies of 2336 pages of information has been provided to the appellant. He has also submitted a photocopy of a receipt dated 05.02.2020 duly signed by Shri Rajinder Singh, appellant that he has received the sought for information. Both the papers are taken in the file of the Commission.

As the PIO has provided the attested copies of 2336 pages of sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Rajiv Singla, (M: 98140-76643), H.No. 322, Shastri Nagar, Sector 4-C, PO Mandi Gobindgarh.



..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o E.O., Municipal Council, Mandi Gobindgarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4383 of 2019

Present:-

Shri Rajiv Singla, appellant is absent. Shri Nirdosh Sharma, Building Inspector and Shri Kamaljeet Singh, Jr. Asstt. are present on behalf of Shri Charanjit Singh, PIO-cum-EO, MC Mandi Gobindgarh.

ORDER

Shri Rajiv Singla, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o E.O., Municipal Council, Mandi Gobindgarh.

The appellant, Shri Rajiv Singla though is absent, however he has sent an e-mail that due to fever he is unable to attend the hearing for today and he further submitted that no information has been provided to him by the PIO.

I have gone through the Order dated 21.01.2020 and on that date Shri Rajiv Singla, appellant was present and he was directed to visit the office of the PIO on 28.01.2020 at 10:00 A.M. for inspection of the record and the PIO was also directed to hear the appellant and provide the record for inspection to him and attested copies of relevant documents be provided to him free of cost, but the number of documents must not be more than hundred.

As stated by the respondents the appellant has not complied with the Order of the Commission. So, a last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to make the inspection of the relevant record in the office of the PIO on 12.02.2020 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO will be responsible to provide the relevant record to the appellant for inspection and after inspection attested copies of sought for information be provided to the appellant.

Both the parties to come present for further hearing on the next date on **04.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Dara Singh, S/o Shri Kundan Lal, R/o H.No. 163, Sukhna Enclave, Village Kansal, Distt. Mohali. Punjab Child the hormation

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Establishment Officer (Property Tax Officer0, Municipal Council, Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Establishment Officer (Property Tax Officer), Municipal Council, Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4438 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Dara Singh, appellant is present. None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Establishment Officer (Property Tax Officer), Municipal Council, Zirakpur.

ORDER

Shri Dara Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Establishment Officer (Property Tax Officer), Municipal Council, Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The appellant stated that he has filed RTI application on 15.07.2019, but till today no information has been provided to him by the PIO.

So, a last and final opportunity is granted to the PIO-cum-EO (Property Tax Officer), MC, Zirakpur that he should personally come present before the Bench on the next date of hearing and file reply to the appeal filed by the appellant. In case he would not come present then the case will be heard and decided in his absence and a Show Cause Notice will be issued to the PIO under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties to come present for further hearing on the next date on **05.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

LR/SI Ashok Kumar, No.429/GU, (M: 97800-15287), S/o Shri Ramesh Pal, R/o H.No. 811/19, Prem Nagar, Daki Road. Pathankot. A B F B B C Losse

..... Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o IGP, Border Range, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1064 of 2019

Present:- LR/SI Ashok Kumar, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Parshottam Kumar is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Asstt. Inspector General of Police/Personnel-2, Punjab, Chandigarh. ASI Harpal Singh-1091/Amsr(R) is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-IGP, Border Range, Amritsar.

Vs

ORDER

LR/SI Ashok Kumar, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 06.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 06.07.2019 and the appellant sought attested copies of Annual Confidential Report of Inspector Avtar Singh, No.891/Gurdaspur and Shri Sulakhan Singh, PPS, DSP, Patti, Distt. TarnTaran for the period 1985 to 2013. The information was denied by the PIO-cum-IGP, Border Range, Amritsar being confidential record.

ASI Parshottam Kumar submitted a copy of letter no.254-55 dated 05.02.2020, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and the information is denied U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. ASI Harpal Singh has submitted a copy of letter no.3295-97 dated 28.01.2020, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

The respondent should come present on the next date of hearing along with the relevant record file

Both the parties to come present for further hearing of the appeal case on the next date on **26.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja, S/o Shri Om Parkash, (M: 96465-46613), R/o Street No.2, Near Shani Dev Mandir, Patel Nagar, Malout, Distt. Sri Muketsar Sahib.

..... Appellant

ਡਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

PSIC

Public Information Officer, O/o District Forest Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority, O/o District Foest Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4679 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja, appellant is absent. Shri Hemant Kumar, Block Office is present on behalf of Shri Baljit Singh Brar, PIO-cum-DFO, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Vs

ORDER

Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o District Forest Officer, Sri Muktsar Sahib. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal on 14.08.2019 before the First Appellate Authority. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is absent today, however he has sent an e-mail that he is unable to attend the hearing for today. Shri Hemant Kumar is present on behalf of the PIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through letter no.8483 dated 20.01.2020. He has submitted a copy of letter no.8484 dated 20.01.2020 along with a copy of letter no.8483 dated 20.01.2020 and a copy of information, which was sent to the appellant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 14.06.2019 and discussed about the sought for information and the information sent to the appellant with the respondent. The appellant raised two points in his RTI application and the PIO has sent the information regarding both the two points .

So, the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post on 20.01.2020. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

ARHIT Puttyh Puny PSÌ (

Shri Dinesh Gupta, (M: 98140-76643), H.No. 71, Sector 20-D, P.O. Mandi Gobindgarh.

..... Appellant

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o E.O., Municipal Council, Mandi Gobindgarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4470 of 2019

Present:-Shri Dinesh Gupta, appellant is present along with his counsel Shri Jagmohan Datta. Shri Nirdosh Sharma, Building Inspector and Shri Kamaljeet Singh, Jr. Asstt. are present on behalf of Shri Charanjit Singh, PIO-cum-EO, MC Mandi Gobindgarh.

ORDER

Shri Dinesh Gupta, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o E.O., Municipal Council, Mandi Gobindgarh.

I have gone through the Order dated 28.01.2020 and the appellant was directed that he should visit the office of the PIO on 03.02.2020 at 10:00 A.M.

The appellant stated that he along with his counsel went to the office of the PIO on the said date and time, but Shri Varinder Kumar, A.M.E. humiliated them and also not provided the proper record for inspection.

Today the respondents have come present along with original record and the appellant inspected the record. There are six site plans of Hukam Chand Building in the name of different persons. The respondents stated that Shri Hukam Chand objected to provide the information to the appellant being his personal information.

The information is not personal, rather it is site plan approved by the public authority and the information is in existence in the office of the PIO. So, the PIO is directed that attested copies of site plans, which were approved by the public authority regarding Hukam Chand building, Mandi Gobindgarh be provided to the appellant and reply regarding the other points of the RTI application be also provided.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on 13.02.2020 at 11:00 A.M.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Kala Singh Bedi, (M: 98143-60937), Barkandi Road, Baba Nanak Nagar-4, Sri Muktsar Sahib. AT TO A CONSISTENT OF THE POINT OF THE POINT

..... Complainant

.....Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Ferozepur Cantt.

Complaint Case No. 1087 of 2019

Present:- Shri Kala Singh Bedi, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Ajay Kumar, Clerk is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o XEN, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Ferozepur Cantt.

ORDER

Shri Kala Singh Bedi, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.04.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Executive Engineer, Central Works Division, PWD B&R, Ferozepur Cantt. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter no.605 dated 22.05.2019. Then the appellant filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is absent today, however he has sent a mail, which was received in the office of the Commission on 03.02.2020 and diarized vide no.2226. I have gone through the said mail.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.04.2019 and also gone through the reply sent by the PIO to the appellant bearing letter no.605 dated 22.05.2019. It is submitted by the PIO that the sought for information is voluminous in size, so the appellant can visit the office of the PIO on 06.06.2019 between 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. for inspection and the information will be provided to him after the receipt of assessed fee. In response the appellant sent letter dated 21.06.2019 to the PIO that he is unable to visit the office of the PIO for inspection on the said date, but he is ready to pay the assessed fee. Then the PIO wrote letter no.196 dated 16.08.2019 and letter no. 5405 dated 09.01.2020 and asked the appellant to deposit the assessed fee of Rs.2698/- with the office of the PIO so that the sought for information be provided to him.

Contd..p2..

The respondent, who is present today on behalf of the PIO stated that the appellant has not deposited the assessed fee till today.

The Commission is of the view that the PIO has asked the appellant to deposit the assessed fee of Rs.2698/- through letter no.196 dated 16.08.2019 and again through letter no. 5405 dated 09.01.2020, but the appellant has not deposited the fee till today.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant should deposit the assessed fee as was asked by the PIO with the office of the PIO within 15 days and in case he would deposit the assessed fee then the PIO should provide him the attested copies of sought for information within 10 days from the receipt of assessed fee and in case any information related to point nos. 2 to 7 of the RTI application is in existence in the office of the PIO that must be provided to the appellant. In case the appellant would not deposit the assessed fee within 15 days, then the RTI application be filed.

Dated: 06.02.2020

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Satnam Singh, (M: 98144-82289), S/o Late Shri Mohan Singh, R/o Village Terha Rajputa, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.



..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1059 of 2019

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Harpal Singh-1091/Amsr(R) is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

ORDER

Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and discussed the matter with both the parties.

The respondent submitted a copy of letter no.5552-53 dated 05.02.2020, which is taken in the file of the Commission. The respondent requested for some time to file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant.

So, the PIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar is directed that he should personally come present before the Commission on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant.

Both the parties to come present for further hearing on the next date on **05.03.2020 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Satnam Singh, (M: 98144-82289), S/o Late Shri Mohan Singh, R/o Village Terha Rajputa, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.



..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o ADGP (Investigation), Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1057 of 2019

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant is present. Shri Ram Prashad, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the PIO, O/o ADGP (Investigation), Punjab, Chandigarh.

ORDER

Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o ADGP (Investigation), Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and heard both the parties.

Today at the time of hearing it came to the notice of the Commission that another complaint case no.1059 of 2019 is also related with same RTI application which was filed by the complainant/applicant before the PIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar and a reply is submitted in that case and the case is adjourned for further hearing on 05.03.2020. The same matter is involved in the present complaint case and the RTI application was transferred by the O/o ADGP (Investigation), Punjab to the O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

So, there is no need to continue with the present complaint case. Accordingly the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Satnam Singh, (M: 98144-82289), S/o Late Shri Mohan Singh, R/o Village Terha Rajputa, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.



..... Complainant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1056 of 2019

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant is present. None present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The complainant/applicant is present today, but none come present on behalf of the PIO. I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and heard both the parties.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the PIO on 17.01.2020 and diarized vide no.1054, which is a copy of letter no.128-29 dated 16.01.2020, which is in the file of the Commission.

Today at the time of hearing it came to the notice of the Commission that another complaint case no.1059 of 2019 is also related with same RTI application which was filed by the complainant/applicant before the PIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar and a reply is submitted in that case and the case is adjourned for further hearing on 05.03.2020. The same matter is involved in the present complaint case.

So, there is no need to continue with the present complaint case. Accordingly the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Satnam Singh, (M: 98144-82289), S/o Late Shri Mohan Singh, R/o Village Terha Rajputa, Tehsil Ajnala, Distt. Amritsar.



..... Complainant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o IG, Border Range, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1055 of 2019

Present:- Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant is present. None present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Shri Satnam Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o IG, Border Range, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 10.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The complainant/applicant is present today, but none come present on behalf of the PIO. I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.08.2019 and heard both the parties.

Today at the time of hearing it came to the notice of the Commission that another complaint case no.1059 of 2019 is also related with same RTI application which was filed by the complainant/applicant before the PIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar and a reply is submitted in that case and the case is adjourned for further hearing on 05.03.2020. The same matter is involved in the present complaint case.

So, there is no need to continue with the present complaint case. Accordingly the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Kesar Singh, (M: 98720-01212), H.No. 739, Sector 78, SAS Nagar.



..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority-cum-SSP, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4673 of 2019

Present:- Shri Kesar Singh, appellant is absent. Constable Maninder Singh is present on behalf of the PIO-cum-SSP, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Kesar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.09.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o SSP, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal on 22.10.2019 before the First Appellate Authority. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 17.12.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The complainant/applicant is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Constable Maninder Singh is present on behalf of the PIO and he stated that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant, Shri Kesar Singh on 17.01.2020. He has submitted a copy of letter no.6307 dated 04.02.2020 along with a receipt dated 17.01.2020 duly signed by Shri Kesar Singh, appellant. Both the papers are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the receipt dated 17.01.2020 and the appellant submitted in the receipt that he has received the sought for information, so he do not want to continue his appeal case and requested to disposed of the appeal case.

As the information has been provided to the appellant on 17.01.2020 by the PIO and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Ashok Kapoor, (M: 98555-56210) # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.



..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate (W), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2913 of 2018

Vs

Present:- Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant is present. Shri Lakshay Kumar, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W) is present.

ORDER

Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant filed RTI application dated 12.04.2018 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

The appellant is present and Shri Lakshay Kumar, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W) is also present. I have heard both the parties on the sought for information.

The PIO stated that the information which was available in the office of the PIO has already been provided to the appellant. He has provided copies of 209 pages of information to the appellant today before the Bench.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to PIO,O/o SDM(W)I Ludhiana vide Order dated 19.03.2019 that why you have not provided the sought for information to the appellant within the stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 and why not compensation be awarded to the appellant.

Shri Navdeep Singh Bhogal, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana(W) was present on 19.11.2019 and he has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter no.203 dated 13.11.2019, which is in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said reply and it is submitted by the PIO that the office of the PIO has sent the report to the office of the Sub Divisional Magistrate(W), Ludhiana vide letter no.1538 dated 07.11.2019 and the office of the Sub Divisional Magistrate(W), Ludhiana has sent the said report to the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana vide letter no.3083 dated 13.11.2019 and the same has been intimated to the appellant. It is further submitted by the PIO that the appellant is demanding the information that what action has been taken upon his complaint by the Deputy Commissioner,

which is not available in the record of the office of the PIO. However the PIO submitted that the information which was available in his office had already been provided to the appellant and he requested that the Show Cause Notice issued to him may kindly be filed.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice filed by Shri Navdeep Singh Bhogal, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W). The information, which was available in the office of the PIO had already been provided to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to the PIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the information, which was available in the office of the PIO has been provided to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Ashok Kapoor, (M: 98555-56210) # 8-C, Kitchlu Nagar, Ludhiana-141001.

..... Appellant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2914 of 2018

Present:- Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant is present.

Shri Lakshay Kumar, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W) is present.

ORDER

Shri Ashok Kapoor, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.04.2018 and sought some information from the office of the PIO.

The appellant is present and Shri Lakshay Kumar, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W) is also present. I have heard both the parties on the sought for information.

The PIO stated that the information which was available in the office of the PIO has already been provided to the appellant. At this stage the appellant stated that the information regarding what action has been taken upon his complaint by the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhaina has not been provided to him. So, The PIO is directed that whenever the action taken report by the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana would be received in the office of the PIO, then the copy of the same be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days from the receipt of action taken report.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to PIO,O/o SDM(W)I Ludhiana vide Order dated 19.03.2019 that why you have not provided the sought for information to the appellant within the stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 and why not compensation be awarded to the appellant.

Shri Navdeep Singh Bhogal, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana(W) was present on 19.11.2019 and he has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter no.208 dated 13.11.2019, which is in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said reply and it is submitted by the PIO that the enquiry report no.811 dated 06.06.2019 had already been sent to the Sub Divisional Magistrate(W), Ludhiana and the copy of the same had also been sent to the

Contd..p2..

appellant. It is further submitted that the appellant is demanding the information that what action has been taken upon his complaint by the Deputy Commissioner, which is not available in the record of the office of the PIO. However the PIO submitted that the information which was available in his office had already been provided to the appellant and he requested that the Show Cause Notice issued to him may kindly be filed.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice filed by Shri Navdeep Singh Bhogal, PIO-cum-Tehsildar, Ludhiana (W). The information, which was available in the office of the PIO had already been provided to the appellant. So, the Show Cause Notice issued to the PIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the information, which was available in the office of the PIO has been provided to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab